



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 12-591

April 16, 2012

Mr. Tracey L. Frohn
CoverEdge, Inc.
P. O. Box 14925
Las Vegas, NV 89114

File No.: SES-MSC-20120328-00315

Dear Mr. Frohn:

On March 28, 2012, CoverEdge, Inc. (CoverEdge) filed the above-captioned application for a license to operate a new transmit/receive fixed earth station. We dismiss the application as defective, without prejudice to re-filing, for the reasons set forth below.¹


Section 25.112 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112, requires the Commission to return as unacceptable for filing any earth station application that is not substantially complete, contains internal inconsistencies, or does not substantially comply with the Commission's rules. The CoverEdge application has the following deficiencies, which render the application unacceptable and subject to dismissal: CoverEdge did not provide information in response to items E43 through E50 (Frequency) and items E51 through E60 (Frequency Coordination) in Schedule B of FCC Form 312.

While not a ground for dismissal, we also note that in response to Item 17 on FCC Form 312, CoverEdge selected the classification of the filing as b10, "Other." Applications for new earth station licenses are classified as b1, "Application for License of New Station." CoverEdge should correct this in any re-filing.

¹ If CoverEdge, Inc. refiles an application identical to the one dismissed, with the exception of supplying the corrected information, it need not pay an application fee. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1111(d).

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 25.112(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C. F. R. § 25.112 (a)(1) and Section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegations of authority, 47 C.F.R. §0.261, we dismiss the referenced application without prejudice to re-filing.

Sincerely,



Paul E. Blais
Chief, Systems Analysis Branch
Satellite Division
International Bureau