NewCom International Inc. Modification of Earth Station License

Technical Appendix

- I. Radiation Hazard Analyses
- II. Frequency Coordination Reports

Intellian V240MT C-band Radiation Hazard Study

This report analyzes the non-ionizing radiation levels for a 2.4-meter earth station system. The analysis and calculations performed in this report comply with the methods described in the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin, No. 65 first published in 1985 and revised in 1997 in Edition 97-01. The radiation safety limits used in the analysis are in conformance with the FCC R&O 96-326. Bulletin No. 65 and the FCC R&O specifies that there are two separate tiers of exposure limits that are dependent on the situation in which the exposure takes place and/or the status of the individuals who are subject to the exposure. The Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for persons in a General Population/ Uncontrolled environment are shown in Table 1. The General Population/Uncontrolled MPE is a function of transmit frequency and is for an exposure period of thirty minutes or less. The MPE limits for persons in an Occupational/Controlled environment are shown in Table 2. The Occupational MPE is a function of transmit frequency and is for an exposure period of six minutes or less. The purpose of the analysis described in this report is to determine the power flux density levels of the earth station in the far-field, near-field, transition region, between the subreflector or feed and main reflector surface, at the main reflector surface, and between the antenna edge and the ground and to compare these levels to the specified MPEs.

Table 1. Limits for	r Genera	Population/Uncontro	olled Exposure (MPE)
---------------------	----------	---------------------	----------------------

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)
30-300	0.2
300-1500	Frequency (MHz)*(0.8/1200)
1500-100,000	1.0

Table 2. Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)
30-300	1.0
300-1500	Frequency (MHz)*(4.0/1200)
1500-100,000	5.0

Table 3. Formulas and Parameters Used for Determining Power Flux Densities

Parameter	Symbol	Formula	Value	Units
Antenna Diameter	D	Input	2.4	m
Antenna Surface Area	A _{surface}	πD^2/4	4.524	m²
Subreflector Diameter	D _{sr}	Input	20.00	cm
Area of Subreflector	A _{sr}	π <i>D</i> sr^2/4	314.159	cm ²
Frequency	F	Input	6.138	MHz
Wavelength	λ	300 / F	0.048880	m
Transmit Power	Р	Input	120.2	W
Antenna Gain (dBi)	Ges	Input	41.1	dBi
Antenna Gain (factor)	G	10^(Ges/10)	12,882.496	n/a
Pi	π	Constant	3.1415927	n/a
Antenna Efficiency	η	$G\lambda^2/(\pi^2 D^2)$	0.54	n/a

1. Far Field Distance Calculation

The distance to the beginning of the far field can be determined from the following equation:

(1)

Distance to the Far Field Region, $R_{\rm ff} = 0.60 \ D^2 / \lambda$ = 70.704 m

The maximum main beam power density in the far field can be determined from the following equation:

On-Axis Power Density in the Far Field, $S_{ff} = G P / (4 \pi R_{ff}^2)$ (2) = 24.655 W/m² = 2.465 mW/cm²

2. Near Field Calculation

Power flux density is considered to be at a maximum value throughout the entire length of the defined Near Field region. The region is contained within a cylindrical volume having the same diameter as the antenna. Past the boundary of the Near Field region, the power density from the antenna decreases linearly with respect to increasing distance.

The distance to the end of the Near Field can be determined from the following equation:

Extent of the Near Field, $R_{nf} = D^2 / (4 \lambda)$ (3) = 29.46 m

The maximum power density in the Near Field can be determined from the following equation: Near Field Power Density, $S_{nf} = 16.0 \ \eta P / (\pi D^2) (4)$

= 57.555 W/m² = 5.756 mW/cm²

3. Transition Region Calculation

The Transition region is located between the Near and Far Field regions. The power density begins to decrease linearly with increasing distance in the Transition region. While the power density decreases inversely with distance in the Transition region, the power density decreases inversely with the square of the distance in the Far Field region. The maximum power density in the Transition region will not exceed that calculated for the Near Field region. The power density calculated in Section 1 is the highest power density the antenna can produce in any of the regions away from the antenna. The power density at a distance R_t can be determined from the following equation:

Transition Region Power Density, $S_t = S_{nf} R_{nf} / R_t$

= 5.756 mW/cm² when $R_t = R_n f$

(5)

4. Region between the Main Reflector and the Subreflector

Transmissions from the feed assembly are directed toward the subreflector surface, and are reflected back toward the main reflector. The most common feed assemblies are waveguide flanges, horns or subreflectors. The energy between the subreflector and the reflector surfaces can be calculated by determining the power density at the subreflector surface. This can be determined from the following equation:

Power Density at the Subreflector, $S_{sr} = 4000 P / A_{sr}$ = 1530.771 mW/cm²

5. Main Reflector Region

The power density in the main reflector is determined in the same manner as the power density at the subreflector. The area is now the area of the main reflector aperture and can be determined from the following equation:

Power Density at the Main Reflector Surface Ssurface = 4 P / Asurface (7)

= 106.304 W/m²

= 10.630 mW/cm²

(6)

(8)

6. Region between the Main Reflector and the Ground

Assuming uniform illumination of the reflector surface, the power density between the antenna and the ground can be determined from the following equation:

Power Density between Reflector and Ground, $S_g = P / A_{surface}$ = 26 576 W/r

= 2.658 mW/cm²

7. Summary of Calculations

Table 4. Summary of Expected Radiation Levels for Uncontrolled Environment Calculated Maximum Rediction Device Device Level (m) (m) 2

Region	Distance	Value	Unit	Power Density Symbol	Value	Unit	Hazard Assessment
1. Far Field	R _{ff}	70.7	m	S _{ff}	2.465	mW/m ²	Exceeds Limits
2. Near Field	R _{nf}	29.5	m	S_{nf}	5.756	mW/m ²	Exceeds Limits
3. Transition Region	$R_{nf} < R_t < R_{ff}$			St	5.756	mW/m²	Exceeds Limits
4. Between Main Reflector and							
Subreflector				S _{sr}	1530.771	mW/m ²	Exceeds Limits
5. Main Reflector				S _{surface}	10.630	mW/m²	Exceeds Limits
6. Between Main Reflector and							
Ground				Sg	2.658	mW/m ²	Exceeds Limits

Calculated Maximum Radiation Power Density Level (mW/cm2)

				Power			
				Density			Hazard
Region	Distance	Value	Unit	Symbol	Value	Unit	Assessment
							Satisfies FCC
1. Far Field	R _{ff}	70.7	m	S _{ff}	2.465	mW/m ²	MPE
							Exceeds
2. Near Field	R _{nf}	29.5	m	Snf	5.756	mW/m ²	Limits
							Exceeds
3. Transition Region	$R_{nf} < R_t < R_{ff}$			St	5.756	mW/m ²	Limits
4. Between Main Reflector and							Exceeds
Subreflector				S _{sr}	1530.771	mW/m ²	Limits
							Exceeds
5. Main Reflector				Ssurface	10.630	mW/m ²	Limits
6. Between Main Reflector and							Satisfies FCC
Ground				Sg	2.658	mW/m ²	MPE

It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that the public and operational personnel are not exposed to harmful levels of radiation.

8. Conclusions

Based on the above analysis it is concluded that the FCC RF Guidelines have been exceeded in the specified region(s) of Tables 4 and 5 and have been met in the specified regions under the Controlled Environment as indicated in Table 5. The applicant proposes to comply with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits of 1 mW/cm² for the Uncontrolled areas and the MPE limits of 5 mW/cm² for the Controlled areas by restricting access to the antenna and posting warning signs. Access to the antenna will be restricted to the public. Only personnel with knowledge of the radiation hazards associated with the antennas at this facility will have access to those regions that exceed the MPE levels. The antenna transmitter will be turned off during maintenance in order to comply with the MPE limit of 5 mW/cm² at the Reflector Surface.

Intellian V240M C-band Radiation Hazard Study

This study analyzes the non-ionizing radiation levels for the Intellian v240M antenna while operating in the C-band. This report is developed in accordance with the prediction methods contained in OET Bulletin No. 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, Edition 97-01.

Bulletin No. 65 specifies that there are two separate tiers of exposure limits that are depending on the area of exposure and/or the status of the individuals who are subject to the exposure -- the General Population/Uncontrolled Environment and the Controlled Environment, where the general population cannot access.

The maximum level of non-ionizing radiation to which individuals may be exposed is limited to a power density level of 5 milliwatts per square centimeter (5 mW/cm²) averaged over any 6 minute period in a controlled environment, and the maximum level of non-ionizing radiation to which the general public is exposed is limited to a power density level of 1 milliwatt per square centimeter (1 mW/cm²) averaged over any 30 minute period in a uncontrolled environment.

In the normal range of transmit powers for satellite antennas, the power densities at or around the antenna surface are expected to exceed safe levels. The purpose of this study is to determine the power flux density levels for the earth station under study as compared with the MPE limits. This comparison is done in each of the following regions:

- 1. Far-field region
- 2. Near-field region
- 3. Transition region
- 4. The region between the feed and the antenna surface
- 5. The main reflector region
- 6. The region between the antenna edge and the ground

Input Parameters

The following input parameters were used in the calculations:

Parameters:	Value	Unit	<u>Symbol</u>
Antenna Diameter	2.4	m	D
Antenna Transmit Gain	41.9	dBi	G
Transmit Frequency	6137.5	MHz	f
Antenna Feed Flange diameter	20	cm	d
Power Input to the Antenna	222	W	Р

Calculated Parameters:

The following values were calculated using the above input parameters and the corresponding formulas:

Parameter	Value	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Symbol</u>	Formula
Antenna Surface Area	4.524	m^2	A	$\pi D^2/4$
Area of Antenna Flange	314.159	cm^2	a	$\pi d^2/4$
Antenna Efficiency	0.65		η	$G\lambda^2/(\pi^2 D^2)$
Gain Factor	15488.17		g	10 ^{G/10}
Wavelength	0.0489	m	$\bar{\lambda}$	300/f

Behavior of EM Fields as a Function of Distance

The behavior of the characteristics of EM fields varies depending on the distance from the radiating antenna. These characteristics are analyzed in three primary regions: the near-field region, the far-field region and the transition region. Of interest also are the region between the antenna main reflector and the subreflector, the region of the main reflector area and the region between the main reflector and ground.



Figure 1. EM Fields as a Function of Distance

For parabolic aperture antennas with circular cross sections, such as the antenna under study, the near-field, farfield and transition region distances are calculated as follows:

Parameter	Value	Unit	Formula
Near-Field Distance	29.46	m	$R_{\rm nf} = D^2 / (4\lambda)$
Distance to Far-Field	70.70	m	$R_{\rm ff} = 0.60 {\rm D}^2/(\lambda)$
Distance of Transition Region	29.46	m	$R_t = R_{nf}$

The distance in the transition region is between the near and far fields. Thus, $R_{nf} \leq R_t \leq R_{ff}$. However, the power density in the transition region will not exceed the power density in the near-field. Therefore, for purposes of the present analysis, the distance of the transition region can equate the distance to the near-field.

Power Flux Density Calculations

The power flux density is considered to be at a maximum through the entire length of the near-field. This region is contained within a cylindrical volume with a diameter, D, equal to the diameter of the antenna. In the transition region and the far-field, the power density decreases inversely with the square of the distance. The following equations are used to calculate power density in these regions.

Parameter	Value	Unit	<u>Symbol</u>	Formula
Power Density in the Near-Field	12.76	mW/cm ²	S_{nf}	$16.0 \eta P/(\pi D^2)$
Power Density in the Far-Field	5.466	mW/cm ²	$\mathbf{S}_{f\!f}$	$GP/(4\pi Rff^2)$
Power Density in the Transition Region	12.76	mW/cm ²	\mathbf{S}_t	$S_{nf} R_{nf} / (R_t)$

The region between the main reflector and the subreflector is confined within a conical shape defined by the feed assembly. The most common feed assemblies are waveguide flanges. This energy is determined as follows:

Parameter	Value	Unit	Symbol	Formula
Power Density at the Feed Flange	2822.69	mW/cm ²	S_{fa}	4 <i>P / a</i>

The power density in the main reflector is determined similarly to the power density at the feed flange; except that the area of the reflector is used.

Parameter	Value	Unit	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Formula</u>
Power Density at Main Reflector	19.60	mW/cm ²	Ssurface	4P/A

The power density between the reflector and ground, assuming uniform illumination of the reflector surface, is calculated as follows:

Parameter	Value	Unit	Symbol	Formula
Power Density b/w Reflector and Ground	4.90	mW/cm ²	S_g	P/A

The below table summarizes the calculated power flux density values for each region. In a controlled environment, the only regions that exceed FCC limitations are shown below. These regions are only accessible by trained technicians who, as a matter of procedure, turn off transmit power before performing any work in these areas.

Power Density	Value	Unit	Controlled Environment
Far Field Calculation	5.466	mW/cm^2	Exceeds Limits
Near Field Calculation	12.76	mW/cm^2	Exceeds Limits
Transition Region	12.76	mW/cm^2	Exceeds Limits
Region b/w feed iris and reflector	2822.69	mW/cm^2	Exceeds Limits
Main Reflector Region	19.60	mW/cm^2	Exceeds Limits
Region b/w Main Reflector & Ground	4.90	mW/cm ²	Satisfies FCC MPE

In conclusion, the results show that the antenna, in a controlled environment, and under the proper mitigation procedures, meets the guidelines specified in 47 C.F.R. § 1.1310.

RADIATION HAZARD STUDY – SEATEL 9797

5.0 mW/cm²

The study in this section analyzes the potential RF human exposure levels caused by the Electro Magnetic (EM) fields of a Seatel 9797 antenna operating with the maximum power at the flange shown below. The mathematical analysis performed below complies with the methods described in the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) Bulletin No. 65 (1985 rev. 1997) R&O 96-326.⁶

Maximum Permissible Exposure

There are two separate levels of exposure limits. The first applies to persons in the general population who are in an uncontrolled environment. The second applies to trained personnel in a controlled environment. According to 47 C.F.R. § 1.1310, the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for frequencies above 1.5 GHz are as follows:

- General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure
 1.0 mW/cm²
- Occupational / Controlled Exposure

The purpose of this study is to determine the power flux density levels for the earth station under study as compared with the MPE limits. This comparison is done in each of the following regions:

- 1. Far-field region
- 2. Near-field region
- 3. Transition region
- 4. The region between the feed and the antenna surface
- 5. The main reflector region
- 6. The region between the antenna edge and the ground

Input Parameters

The following input parameters were used in the calculations:

Parameter	Value	Unit	Symbol
Antenna Diameter	2.4	m	D
Antenna Transmit Gain	42.76	dBi	G
Transmit Frequency	6175	MHz	f
Antenna Feed Flange Diam.	13.1	cm	d
Power Input to the Antenna	40	Watts	Р

Calculated Parameters

The following values were calculated using the above input parameters and the corresponding formula:

Parameter	Value	Unit	Symbol	Formula
Antenna Surface Area	4.52	m²	A	π <i>D</i> ² /4
Area of Antenna Flange	134.78	cm ²	а	π <i>d</i> ² /4
Antenna Efficiency	0.76		η	Gλ²/(π²D²)
Gain Factor	18,407.7		g	10 ^{G/10}
Wavelength	0.0486	m	λ	300/ f

Behavior of EM Fields as a Function of Distance

The behavior of the characteristics of EM fields varies depending on the distance from the radiating antenna. These characteristics are analyzed in three primary regions: the near-field region, the far-field region and the transition region. Of interest also are the region between the antenna main reflector and the sub-reflector, the region of the main reflector area and the region between the main reflector and ground.

⁶ Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement B, FCC Office of Engineering & Technology, November 1997.



EF Fields as a Function of Distance

For parabolic aperture antennas with circular cross sections, such as the antenna under study, the near-field, far-field and transition region distances are calculated as follows:

Near-Field Distance	$R_{nf} = D^2/(4\lambda)$	= 29.640 m
Distance to Far-Field	$R_{\rm ff} = 0.60 D^2 / (\lambda)$	= 71.136 m
Distance of Transition Region	$R_t = R_{nf}$	= 29.640 m

The distance in the transition region is between the near and far fields. Thus, $R_{nf} \leq R_t \leq R_{ff}$. However, the power density in the transition region will not exceed the power density in the near-field. Therefore, for purposes of the present analysis, the distance of the transition region can equate the distance to the near-field.

Power Flux Density Calculations

The power flux density is considered to be at a maximum through the entire length of the near-field. This region is contained within a cylindrical volume with a diameter, *D*, equal to the diameter of the antenna. In the transition region and the far-field, the power density decreases inversely with the square of the distance. The following equations are used to calculate power density in these regions.

Power Density in the Near-Field	Snf	= 16.0 η <i>Ρl</i> (π <i>D</i> ²)	= 2.703 mW/cm ²
Power Density in the Far-Field	Sff	$= GP/(4\pi R_{\rm ff}^2)$	= 1.158 mW/cm ²
Power Density in the Transition Region St		$= S_{nf} R_{nf} / (R_{l})$	= 2.703 mW/cm ²

The region between the main reflector and the subreflector is confined to within a conical shape defined by the feed assembly. The most common feed assemblies are waveguide flanges. This energy is determined as follows:

Power Density at the Feed Flange $S_{fa} = 4P/a$	- 1107.101111VV/CIT
--	---------------------

The power density in the main reflector is determined similarly to the power density at the feed flange; except that the area of the reflector is used.

Power Density at Mai	n Reflector
----------------------	-------------

•

Ssurface = 4P / A

= 3.537mW/cm²

The power density between the reflector and ground, assuming uniform illumination of the reflector surface, is calculated as follows:

Power Density b/w Reflector and Gnd $S_g = P/A$ = 0.884 mW/cm²

Summary of Calculations

Table 1 summarizes the calculated power flux density values for each region. In a controlled environment, the only region that exceeds FCC limitations is the region between the main reflector and the feed. This region is only accessible by trained technicians who, as a matter of procedure, turn off transmit power before performing any work in this area. In addition, the antenna operates in an enclosed radome that is locked during normal operation.

Power Densities	(mW/cm²)	Controlled Environment (5 mW/cm ²)
Far Field Calculation	1.158	Satisfies FCC MPE
Near Field Calculation	2.703	Satisfies FCC MPE
Transition Region	2.703	Satisfies FCC MPE
Region b/w Main Reflector and Feed	1187.101	Exceeds limitations
Main Reflector Region	3.537	Satisfies FCC MPE
Region b/w Main Reflector & Ground	0.884	Satisfies FCC MPE

Table 1. Power Flux Density for Each Region

In conclusion, the results show that the Seatel 9797 antenna, in a controlled environment, and under the proper mitigation procedures, meets the guidelines specified in § 1.1310 of the Regulations.

FREQUENCY COORDINATION AND INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS REPORT

Prepared for Newcom International MIAMI, FL Satellite Earth Station

Prepared By: COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard Ashburn, VA 20147 January 24, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. CONCLUSIONS	
2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS	
3. SUPPLEMENTAL SHOWING	
4. EARTH STATION COORDINATION DATA	6
5. CERTIFICATION	

1. CONCLUSIONS

An interference study considering all existing, proposed and prior coordinated microwave facilities within the coordination contours of the proposed earth station demonstrates that this site will operate satisfactorily with the common carrier microwave environment. Further, there will be no restrictions of its operation due to interference considerations.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A number of great circle interference cases were identified during the interference study of the proposed earth station. Each of the cases, which exceeded the interference objective on a line-of-sight basis, was profiled and the propagation losses estimated using NBS TN101 (Revised) techniques. The losses were found to be sufficient to reduce the signal levels to acceptable magnitudes in every case.

The following companies reported potential great circle interference conflicts that did not meet the objectives on a line-of-sight basis. When over-the-horizon losses are considered on the interfering paths, sufficient blockage exists to negate harmful interference from occurring with the proposed transmit-receive earth station.

Company

Entercom License, LLC HiQ Data Corporation Miami-Dade County Verizon Wireless Personal Comm, LP(S FL)

No other carriers reported potential interference cases.

3. SUPPLEMENTAL SHOWING

Pursuant to Part 25.203(c) of the FCC Rules and Regulations, the satellite earth station proposed in this application was coordinated by Comsearch using computer techniques and in accordance with Part 25 of the FCC Rules and Regulations.

Coordination data for this earth station was sent to the below listed carriers with a letter dated 12/14/2018.

Company Broward County Board of Commissioners Broward County Telecommuncations Div COLLIER, COUNTY OF Computer Office Solutions, Inc. Embarg Florida, Inc. Entercom License, LLC Florida Power and Light Company Florida Rural Broadband Alliance, LLC Florida State HiQ Data Corporation Martin County Sheriffs Office Miami-Dade County New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC - N FL New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC - S FL Olympic Wireless, LLC Palm Beach, County of SES Americom, Inc. Saint Lucie, County of South Florida Water Management District Sprint Spectrum L.P. T-Mobile License LLC Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC - S Florida Verizon Wireless Personal Comm, LP(S FL)

4. EARTH STATION COORDINATION DATA

This section presents the data pertinent to frequency coordination of the proposed earth station that was circulated to all carriers within its coordination contours.

Date: Job Number:		01/24 18121	/2019 I4COMSGE10			
Administrative Info Status Call Sign Licensee Code Licensee Name	rmation	ENGIN E0500 NCOM Newco	NEER PROPOSAL 118 11N om International			
Site Information Venue Name Latitude (NAD 83) Longitude (NAD 83) Climate Zone Rain Zone Ground Elevation (AMS	SL)	MIAM 25° 54 80° 13 B 1 1.83 m	II, FL ∀59.3" N ∀29.2" W n / 6.0 ft			
Link Information Satellite Type Mode Modulation Satellite Arc Azimuth Range Corresponding Elevatio Antenna Centerline (Ad	on Angles GL)	Geosta TR - T Digital 15° W 101.4° 13.7° / 2.74 m	ationary ransmit-Receive to 143° West Long to 257.3° 15.9° n / 9.0 ft	itude		
Antenna Informatio Manufacturer Model Gain / Diameter 3-dB / 15-dB Beamwid	n th		Receive - FCC32 Intellian V240M 38.3 dBi / 2.4 m 2.30° / 4.60°	2	Transmit - FCC32 Intellian V240M 41.9 dBi / 2.4 m 1.00° / 2.00°	
Max Available RF Power	(dBW/4 k (dBW/M⊦	Hz) Iz)			-17.0 7.0	
Maximum EIRP	(dBW/4 k (dBW/M⊦	Hz) Iz)			24.9 48.9	
Interference Objectives:	Long Term Short Term	I	-156.0 dBW/MHz -146.0 dBW/MHz	20% 0.01%	-154.0 dBW/4 kHz -131.0 dBW/4 kHz	20% 0.0025%
Frequency Information Emission / Frequency Rang	t ion e (MHz)		Receive 4.0 GHz 1M00G7D - 20M0G7D	z / 3700.0 - 4200.0	Transmit 6.1 GHz 1M00G7D - 20M0G7D / 5	5925.0 - 6425.0
Max Great Circle Coordinati Precipitation Scatter Contou	on Distance ır Radius		618.3 km / 384.1 m 605.3 km / 376.1 m	ni ni	196.4 km / 122.0 mi 100.0 km / 62.1 mi	

Earth Station Data Sheet

19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard, Ashburn, VA 20147 (703)726-5500 http://www.comsearch.com

Coordination Values	MIAMI. FL				
Licensee Name	Newcom International				
Latitude (NAD 83)	25° 54' 59.3" N				
Longitude (NAD 83)	80° 13' 29.2" W				
Ground Elevation (AMSL)	1.83 m / 6.0 ft				
Antenna Centerline (AGL)	2.74 m / 9.0 ft				
Antenna Model	Intellian V240M				
Antenna Mode	Receive 4.0 GH	z	Transmit 6.	1 GHz	
Interference Objectives: Long Term	n -156.0 dBW/MH	z 20%	-154.0 dBW	/4 kHz 2	20%
Short Tern	n -146.0 dBW/MH	z 0.01%	-131.0 dBW	/4 kHz 0).0025%
Max Available RF Power			-17.0 (dBW	/4 kHz)	
		Receive	40 GH7	Transm	nit 6 1 GHz
Horizon	Antonno	Heceive	Coordination	Harizon	Coordination
Azimuth (°) Elevation (°)	Discrimination (°)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)
0 0.00	101.08	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
5 0.00	96.22	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
10 0.00	91.37	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
15 0.00	86.51	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
20 0.00	81.65	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
25 0.00	76.80	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
30 0.00	71.95	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
35 0.00	07.1Z	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
40 0.00	02.29 57.49	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
45 0.00 50 0.00	57.40	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
50 0.00	JZ.70 47.04	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
60 0.00	47.94	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
65 0.00	38 56	-7.65	442 40	-7.65	143 53
70 0.00	33.98	-6.28	461.09	-6.28	148 79
75 0.00	29.52	-4 75	482 19	-4 75	155 14
80 0.00	25.24	-3.05	507.62	-3.05	162.65
85 0.00	21.25	-1.18	537.03	-1.18	171.42
90 0.00	17.75	0.77	569.45	0.77	181.19
95 0.00	15.09	2.53	600.19	2.53	190.53
100 0.00	13.76	3.53	618.25	3.53	196.43
105 0.00	14.15	3.23	612.81	3.23	194.39
110 0.00	16.12	1.81	587.51	1.81	186.67
115 0.00	19.20	-0.08	555.07	-0.08	176.85
120 0.00	22.95	-2.02	523.69	-2.02	167.43
125 0.00	27.08	-3.82	496.01	-3.82	159.21
130 0.00	31.32	-5.39	472.94	-5.39	152.43
135 0.00	35.46	-6.74	454.70	-6.74	147.07
140 0.00	39.48	-7.91	438.99	-7.91	142.55
145 0.00	43.35	-8.92	425.76	-8.92	138.79
150 0.00	47.01	-9.81	414.62	-9.81	135.65
155 0.00	50.41	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
	53.45 FC 00	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
170 U.UU	50.UJ	-10.00	412.20 410.00	-10.00	134.97
170 U.UU 175 0.00	50.UZ	-10.00	412.20 /12.20	-10.00	134.97
180 0.00	59.20	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
0.00	50.71	10.00	410.00	10.00	104.07

Earth Station Data Sheet

19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard, Ashburn, VA 20147 (703)726-5500 http://www.comsearch.com

Coordination	Values	MIAMI, FL				
Licensee Name)	Newcom Internationa	I			
Latitude (NAD 8	33)	25° 54' 59.3" N				
Longitude (NAE) ⁽ 83)	80° 13' 29.2" W				
Ground Elevation	on (ÁMSL)	1.83 m / 6.0 ft				
Antenna Center	line (AGL)	2.74 m / 9.0 ft				
Antenna Model	()	Intellian V240M				
Antenna Mode		Receive 4.0 G	Hz	Transmit 6	6.1 GHz	
Interference Ob	jectives: Long Te	erm -156.0 dBW/M	Hz 20%	-154.0 dB	W/4 kHz 2	20%
	Short Te	erm -146.0 dBW/M	Hz 0.01%	-131.0 dB	W/4 kHz (0.0025%
Max Available	RF Power			-17.0 (dB\	N/4 kHz)	
				,	,	
			Receive	e 4.0 GHz	Transn	nit 6.1 GHz
	Horizon	Antenna	Horizon	Coordination	Horizon	Coordination
Azimuth (°)	Elevation (°)	Discrimination (°)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)
190	0.00	58.01	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
195	0.00	56.03	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
200	0.00	53.45	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
205	0.00	50.41	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
210	0.00	47.02	-9.81	414.61	-9.81	135.64
215	0.00	43.35	-8.92	425.76	-8.92	138.79
220	0.00	39.48	-7.91	438.98	-7.91	142.55
225	0.00	35.46	-6.74	454.71	-6.74	147.07
230	0.00	31.32	-5.40	472.93	-5.40	152.43
235	0.00	27.19	-3.86	495.36	-3.86	159.02
240	0.00	23.37	-2.22	520.54	-2.22	166.49
245	0.00	20.05	-0.55	547.33	-0.55	174.52
250	0.00	17.50	0.92	572.11	0.92	182.00
255	0.00	16.10	1.83	587.77	1.83	186.75
260	0.00	16.15	1.79	587.15	1.79	186.56
265	0.00	17.64	0.83	570.57	0.83	181.53
270	0.00	20.26	-0.67	545.46	-0.67	173.95
275	0.00	23.63	-2.33	518.71	-2.33	165.95
280	0.00	27.47	-3.97	493.70	-3.97	158.53
285	0.00	31.62	-5.50	471.46	-5.50	152.00
290	0.00	35.96	-6.90	452.61	-6.90	146.47
295	0.00	40.44	-8.17	435.56	-8.17	141.57
300	0.00	45.01	-9.33	420.56	-9.33	137.32
305	0.00	49.65	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
310	0.00	54.34	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
315	0.00	59.06	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
320	0.00	63.81	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
325	0.00	68.58	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
330	0.00	73.36	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
335	0.00	78.16	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
340	0.00	82.96	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
345	0.00	87.76	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
350	0.00	92.57	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97
355	0.00	97.38	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	134.97

5. CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE TECHNICALLY QUALIFIED PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA CONTAINED IN THIS APPLICATION, THAT I AM FAMILIAR WITH PARTS 101 AND 25 OF THE FCC RULES AND REGULATIONS, THAT I HAVE EITHER PREPARED OR REVIEWED THE FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION, AND THAT IT IS COMPLETE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

BY:

Gary K. Edwards Senior Manager COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard Ashburn, VA 20147

DATED: January 28, 2019

FREQUENCY COORDINATION AND INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS REPORT

Prepared for Newcom International MIAMI, FL Satellite Earth Station

Prepared By: COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard Ashburn, VA 20147 January 24, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. CONCLUSIONS	
2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS	4
3. SUPPLEMENTAL SHOWING	5
4. EARTH STATION COORDINATION DATA	6
5. CERTIFICATION	

1. CONCLUSIONS

An interference study considering all existing, proposed and prior coordinated microwave facilities within the coordination contours of the proposed earth station demonstrates that this site will operate satisfactorily with the common carrier microwave environment. Further, there will be no restrictions of its operation due to interference considerations.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A number of great circle interference cases were identified during the interference study of the proposed earth station. Each of the cases, which exceeded the interference objective on a line-of-sight basis, was profiled and the propagation losses estimated using NBS TN101 (Revised) techniques. The losses were found to be sufficient to reduce the signal levels to acceptable magnitudes in every case.

The following companies reported potential great circle interference conflicts that did not meet the objectives on a line-of-sight basis. When over-the-horizon losses are considered on the interfering paths, sufficient blockage exists to negate harmful interference from occurring with the proposed transmit-receive earth station.

Company

Entercom License, LLC HiQ Data Corporation Miami-Dade County Verizon Wireless Personal Comm, LP(S FL)

No other carriers reported potential interference cases.

3. SUPPLEMENTAL SHOWING

Pursuant to Part 25.203(c) of the FCC Rules and Regulations, the satellite earth station proposed in this application was coordinated by Comsearch using computer techniques and in accordance with Part 25 of the FCC Rules and Regulations.

Coordination data for this earth station was sent to the below listed carriers with a letter dated 12/14/2018.

Company Broward County Board of Commissioners Broward County Telecommuncations Div COLLIER, COUNTY OF Computer Office Solutions, Inc. Embarg Florida, Inc. Entercom License, LLC Florida Power and Light Company Florida Rural Broadband Alliance, LLC Florida State HiQ Data Corporation Martin County Sheriffs Office Miami-Dade County New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC - N FL New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC - S FL Olympic Wireless, LLC Palm Beach, County of SES Americom, Inc. Saint Lucie, County of South Florida Water Management District Sprint Spectrum L.P. T-Mobile License LLC Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC - S Florida Verizon Wireless Personal Comm, LP(S FL)

4. EARTH STATION COORDINATION DATA

This section presents the data pertinent to frequency coordination of the proposed earth station that was circulated to all carriers within its coordination contours.

Date: Job Number:		01/24/20 1812140)19 COMSGE09					
Administrative Info Status Call Sign Licensee Code Licensee Name	rmation	ENGINEI E050018 NCOMIN Newcom	ER PROPOSAL					
Site Information Venue Name Latitude (NAD 83) Longitude (NAD 83) Climate Zone Rain Zone Ground Elevation (AMS	SL)	MIAMI, 1 25° 54' 59 80° 13' 29 B 1 1.83 m / 0	FL 9.3" N 9.2" W 6.0 ft					
Link Information Satellite Type Mode Modulation Satellite Arc Azimuth Range Corresponding Elevatio Antenna Centerline (Ad	on Angles GL)	Geostatio TR - Trar Digital 15° W to 101.4° to 13.7° / 15 2.74 m / 9	onary nsmit-Receive 143° West Long 257.3° 5.9° 9.0 ft	itude				
Antenna Informatio Manufacturer Model Gain / Diameter 3-dB / 15-dB Beamwid	n th	Re Int V2 37 2.3	eceive - FCC32 ellian 240MT 3.3 dBi / 2.4 m 30° / 4.60°	2	T Ir V 4 1	Transmit - FCC32 Intellian 240MT 1.0 dBi / 2.4 m .00° / 2.00°		
Max Available RF Power	(dBW/4 k (dBW/MF	Hz) Iz)			-1 7.	6.1 9		
Maximum EIRP	(dBW/4 k (dBW/M⊦	Hz) Iz)			24 48	4.9 8.9		
Interference Objectives:	Long Term Short Term	-1: -1:	56.0 dBW/MHz 46.0 dBW/MHz	20% 0.01%	 	154.0 dBW/4 kHz 131.0 dBW/4 kHz	20% 0.0025%	
Frequency Information Emission / Frequency Range (MHz)		Re 1M	Receive 4.0 GHz 1M00G7D - 20M0G7D / 3700.0 - 4200.0		T	Transmit 6.1 GHz M00G7D - 20M0G7D / 5	925.0 - 6425.0	
Max Great Circle Coordination Distance Precipitation Scatter Contour Radius		61 60	618.3 km / 384.1 mi 605.3 km / 376.1 mi		2 1	201.5 km / 125.2 mi 100.0 km / 62.1 mi		

Earth Station Data Sheet

19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard, Ashburn, VA 20147 (703)726-5500 http://www.comsearch.com

Coordination	n Values	MIAMI. FL				
Licensee Name	9	Newcom Internationa				
Latitude (NAD	83)	25° 54' 59.3" N				
Longitude (NAI	D 83)	80° 13' 29.2" W				
Ground Elevati	on (ÁMSL)	1.83 m / 6.0 ft				
Antenna Cente	erline (AGL)	2.74 m / 9.0 ft				
Antenna Mode	l	Intellian V240MT				
Antenna Mode		Receive 4.0 G	Hz	Transmit	6.1 GHz	
Interference Ob	ojectives: Long 7	Гerm -156.0 dBW/M	Hz 20%	-154.0 dB	SW/4 kHz 2	20%
	Short 7	Term -146.0 dBW/M	Hz 0.01%	-131.0 dB	SW/4 kHz ().0025%
Max Available	RF Power			-16.1 (dB	W/4 kHz)	
			Receive	e 4.0 GHz	Transn	nit 6.1 GHz
	Horizon	Antenna	Horizon	Coordination	Horizon	Coordination
Azimuth (°)	Elovation (°)	Discrimination (°)	Coin (dPi)	Dictorion (km)	Coin (dPi)	Dictance (km)
0	0.00	101.08	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
5	0.00	96.22	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
10	0.00	91.37	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	100.10
15	0.00	00.3 I 91 65	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	100.10
20	0.00	76.90	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	130.15
20	0.00	70.80	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	130.15
35	0.00	67 12	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138 15
40	0.00	62 29	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138 15
45	0.00	57 48	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138 15
50	0.00	52 70	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138 15
55	0.00	47.94	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
60	0.00	43.22	-8.89	426.18	-8.89	142.24
65	0.00	38.56	-7.65	442.40	-7.65	147.03
70	0.00	33.98	-6.28	461.09	-6.28	152.49
75	0.00	29.52	-4.75	482.19	-4.75	159.06
80	0.00	25.24	-3.05	507.62	-3.05	166.81
85	0.00	21.25	-1.18	537.03	-1.18	175.85
90	0.00	17.75	0.77	569.45	0.77	185.90
95	0.00	15.09	2.53	600.19	2.53	195.49
100	0.00	13.76	3.53	618.25	3.53	201.52
105	0.00	14.15	3.23	612.81	3.23	199.82
110	0.00	16.12	1.81	587.51	1.81	191.53
115	0.00	19.20	-0.08	555.07	-0.08	181.43
120	0.00	22.95	-2.02	523.69	-2.02	1/1./4
125	0.00	27.08	-3.82	496.01	-3.82	163.26
130	0.00	31.32	-5.39	472.94	-5.39	156.25
135	0.00	35.46	-6.74	454.70	-6.74	150.57
140	0.00	39.40 42.25	-7.91	436.99	-7.91	140.02
145	0.00	43.35	-0.92	425.70	-0.92	142.12
150	0.00	50 41	-9.01	414.02	-9.01	130.00
160	0.00	53.45	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138 15
165	0.00	56.03	-10.00	412 20	-10.00	138 15
170	0.00	58.02	-10.00	412 20	-10.00	138 15
175	0.00	59.28	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
180	0.00	59.71	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
185	0.00	59.28	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15

Earth Station Data Sheet

19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard, Ashburn, VA 20147 (703)726-5500 http://www.comsearch.com

Coordination	Values	MIAMI, FL				
Licensee Name		Newcom International				
Latitude (NAD 8	3)	25° 54' 59.3" N				
Longitude (NAD	83)	80° 13' 29.2" W				
Ground Elevatio	n (ÁMSL)	1.83 m / 6.0 ft				
Antenna Center	line (AGL)	2.74 m / 9.0 ft				
Antenna Model	()	Intellian V240MT				
Antenna Mode		Receive 4.0 GH	Z	Transmit 6.1	GHz	
Interference Obj	ectives: Long Ter	m -156.0 dBW/MH	z 20%	-154.0 dBW/4	kHz 20)%
	Short Ter	m -146.0 dBW/MH	lz 0.01%	-131.0 dBW/4	↓kHz 0.	0025%
Max Available F	RF Power			-16.1 (dBW/4	kHz)	
			Receive	4.0 GHz	Transmi	it 6.1 GHz
	Horizon	Antenna	Horizon	Coordination	Horizon	Coordination
Azimuth (°)	Elevation (°)	Discrimination (°)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)
190	0.00	58.01	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
195	0.00	56.03	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
200	0.00	53.45	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
205	0.00	50.41	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
210	0.00	47.02	-9.81	414.61	-9.81	138.86
215	0.00	43.35	-8.92	425.76	-8.92	142.12
220	0.00	39.48	-7.91	438.98	-7.91	146.02
225	0.00	35.46	-6.74	454.71	-6.74	150.57
230	0.00	31.32	-5.40	472.93	-5.40	156.25
235	0.00	27.19	-3.86	495.36	-3.86	163.06
240	0.00	23.37	-2.22	520.54	-2.22	170.77
245	0.00	20.05	-0.55	547.33	-0.55	179.03
250	0.00	17.50	0.92	572.11	0.92	186.73
255	0.00	16.10	1.83	587.77	1.83	191.61
260	0.00	16.15	1.79	587.15	1.79	191.42
265	0.00	17.64	0.83	570.57	0.83	186.25
270	0.00	20.26	-0.67	545.46	-0.67	178.46
275	0.00	23.63	-2.33	518.71	-2.33	170.21
280	0.00	27.47	-3.97	493.70	-3.97	162.55
285	0.00	31.62	-5.50	471.46	-5.50	155.80
290	0.00	35.96	-6.90	452.61	-6.90	149.95
295	0.00	40.44	-8.17	435.56	-8.17	145.00
300	0.00	45.01	-9.33	420.56	-9.33	140.59
305	0.00	49.65	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
310	0.00	54.34	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
315	0.00	59.06	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
320	0.00	63.81	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
325	0.00	68.58	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
330	0.00	73.36	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
335	0.00	78.16	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
340	0.00	82.96	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
345	0.00	87.76	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
350	0.00	92.57	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15
355	0.00	97.38	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	138.15

5. CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE TECHNICALLY QUALIFIED PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA CONTAINED IN THIS APPLICATION, THAT I AM FAMILIAR WITH PARTS 101 AND 25 OF THE FCC RULES AND REGULATIONS, THAT I HAVE EITHER PREPARED OR REVIEWED THE FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION, AND THAT IT IS COMPLETE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

17 BY:

Gary K. Edwards Senior Manager COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard Ashburn, VA 20147

DATED: January 28, 2019

FREQUENCY COORDINATION AND INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS REPORT

Prepared for Newcom International MIAMI, FL Satellite Earth Station

Prepared By: COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard Ashburn, VA 20147 January 24, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. CONCLUSIONS	
2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS	4
3. SUPPLEMENTAL SHOWING	5
4. EARTH STATION COORDINATION DATA	6
5. CERTIFICATION	

1. CONCLUSIONS

An interference study considering all existing, proposed and prior coordinated microwave facilities within the coordination contours of the proposed earth station demonstrates that this site will operate satisfactorily with the common carrier microwave environment. Further, there will be no restrictions of its operation due to interference considerations.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A number of great circle interference cases were identified during the interference study of the proposed earth station. Each of the cases, which exceeded the interference objective on a line-of-sight basis, was profiled and the propagation losses estimated using NBS TN101 (Revised) techniques. The losses were found to be sufficient to reduce the signal levels to acceptable magnitudes in every case.

The following companies reported potential great circle interference conflicts that did not meet the objectives on a line-of-sight basis. When over-the-horizon losses are considered on the interfering paths, sufficient blockage exists to negate harmful interference from occurring with the proposed transmit-receive earth station.

Company

Entercom License, LLC HiQ Data Corporation Miami-Dade County Verizon Wireless Personal Comm, LP(S FL)

No other carriers reported potential interference cases.

3. SUPPLEMENTAL SHOWING

Pursuant to Part 25.203(c) of the FCC Rules and Regulations, the satellite earth station proposed in this application was coordinated by Comsearch using computer techniques and in accordance with Part 25 of the FCC Rules and Regulations.

Coordination data for this earth station was sent to the below listed carriers with a letter dated 12/14/2018.

Company

Broward County Board of Commissioners Broward County Telecommuncations Div COLLIER, COUNTY OF Computer Office Solutions, Inc. Embarg Florida, Inc. Entercom License, LLC Florida Power and Light Company Florida Rural Broadband Alliance, LLC Florida State Harris Corporation - Florida HiQ Data Corporation Martin County Sheriffs Office Miami-Dade County New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC - N FL New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC - S FL Olympic Wireless, LLC Palm Beach, County of SES Americom, Inc. Saint Lucie. County of South Florida Water Management District Sprint Spectrum L.P. T-Mobile License LLC Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC - S Florida Verizon Wireless Personal Comm, LP(S FL)

4. EARTH STATION COORDINATION DATA

This section presents the data pertinent to frequency coordination of the proposed earth station that was circulated to all carriers within its coordination contours.

Date: Job Number:		01/24/2 181214	2019 COMSGE11			
Administrative Info Status Call Sign Licensee Code Licensee Name	rmation	ENGINE E05001 NCOMII Newcon	EER PROPOSAL 8 N n International			
Site Information Venue Name Latitude (NAD 83) Longitude (NAD 83) Climate Zone Rain Zone Ground Elevation (AMS	SL)	MIAMI, 25° 54' 8 80° 13' 2 B 1 1.83 m	FL 59.3" N 29.2" W / 6.0 ft			
Link Information Satellite Type Mode Modulation Satellite Arc Azimuth Range Corresponding Elevatio Antenna Centerline (Ad	on Angles GL)	Geostat TR - Tra Digital 15° W to 101.4° t 13.7° / 1 2.74 m	ionary ansmit-Receive o 143° West Longi o 257.3° (5.9° (9.0 ft	tude		
Antenna Informatio Manufacturer Model Gain / Diameter 3-dB / 15-dB Beamwid	r n th	F 9 3 2	Receive - FCC32 SeaTel 797B 8.5 dBi / 2.4 m .30° / 4.60°	2	Transmit - FCC32 SeaTel 9797B 41.7 dBi / 2.4 m 1.00° / 2.00°	
Max Available RF Power	(dBW/4 k (dBW/M⊦	Hz) Iz)			-10.7 13.3	
Maximum EIRP	(dBW/4 k (dBW/M⊦	Hz) Iz)			31.0 55.0	
Interference Objectives:	Long Term Short Term		156.0 dBW/MHz 146.0 dBW/MHz	20% 0.01%	-154.0 dBW/4 kHz -131.0 dBW/4 kHz	20% 0.0025%
Frequency Information Emission / Frequency Range (MHz)		F 2	Receive 4.0 GHz 200KG7W - 1M85G7D / 3700.0 - 4200.0		Transmit 6.1 GHz 200KG7W - 1M85G7D / 3	5925.0 - 6425.0
Max Great Circle Coordinat Precipitation Scatter Contou	ion Distance ır Radius	6 6	18.3 km / 384.1 m 05.3 km / 376.1 m	i i	234.3 km / 145.6 mi 100.0 km / 62.1 mi	

Coordination Values	MIAMI. FL				
Licensee Name	Newcom Internationa	վ			
Latitude (NAD 83)	25° 54' 59.3" N				
Longitude (NAD 83)	80° 13' 29.2" W				
Ground Elevation (AMSL)	1.83 m / 6.0 ft				
Antenna Centerline (AGL)	2.74 m / 9.0 ft				
Antenna Model	SeaTel 2.4 meter				
Antenna Mode	Receive 4.0 G	Hz	Transmit	6.1 GHz	
Interference Objectives: Long	Term -156.0 dBW/M	IHz 20%	-154.0 dB	W/4 kHz 2	20%
Short	Term -146.0 dBW/M	IHz 0.01%	-131.0 dB	W/4 kHz (0.0025%
Max Available RF Power			-10.7 (dB)	W/4 kHz)	
		Dessity		Тиолог	
Llevizon	Antonno	Receive	4.0 GHZ	Transn	Coordination
	Antenna		Coordination		Coordination
Azimuth (°) Elevation (°)	Discrimination (°)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)
0 0.00	101.08	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
5 0.00	96.22	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
10 0.00	91.37	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
15 0.00	86.51	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
20 0.00	81.65	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
25 0.00	76.80	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
30 0.00	71.95	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
35 0.00	62.00	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
40 0.00	62.29	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
45 0.00	57.40	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
50 0.00	52.70 47.04	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
60 0.00	47.54	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	164 75
65 0.00	38 56	-7.65	420.10	-7.65	170 60
70 0.00	33.98	-6.28	461 09	-6.28	177.37
75 0.00	29 52	-4 75	482 19	-4 75	185.26
80 0.00	25.24	-3.05	507.62	-3.05	194.48
85 0.00	21.25	-1.18	537.03	-1.18	205.47
90 0.00	17.75	0.77	569.45	0.77	217.06
95 0.00	15.09	2.53	600.19	2.53	227.94
100 0.00	13.76	3.53	618.25	3.53	234.30
105 0.00	14.15	3.23	612.81	3.23	232.38
110 0.00	16.12	1.81	587.51	1.81	223.46
115 0.00	19.20	-0.08	555.07	-0.08	211.93
120 0.00	22.95	-2.02	523.69	-2.02	200.67
125 0.00	27.08	-3.82	496.01	-3.82	190.27
130 0.00	31.32	-5.39	472.94	-5.39	181.90
135 0.00	35.46	-6.74	454.70	-6.74	175.05
140 0.00	39.48	-7.91	438.99	-7.91	169.37
145 0.00	43.35	-8.92	425.76	-8.92	164.60
150 0.00	47.01	-9.81	414.62	-9.81	160.60
155 0.00	50.41	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
	53.45	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
	50.UJ	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
175 0.00	50.02	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
180 0.00	59.20	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
0.00		10.00		10.00	100.70

Coordination Values	MIAMI, FL			
Licensee Name	Newcom International			
Latitude (NAD 83)	25° 54' 59.3" N			
Longitude (NAD 83)	80° 13' 29.2" W			
Ground Elevation (AMSL)	1.83 m / 6.0 ft			
Antenna Centerline (AGL)	2.74 m / 9.0 ft			
Antenna Model	SeaTel 2.4 meter			
Antenna Mode	Receive 4.0 GHz		Transmit 6.1 GHz	
Interference Objectives: Long Te	erm -156.0 dBW/MHz	20%	-154.0 dBW/4 kHz	20%
Short Te	erm -146.0 dBW/MHz	0.01%	-131.0 dBW/4 kHz	0.0025%
Max Available RF Power			-10.7 (dBW/4 kHz)	

	Receive 4.0 GHz		e 4.0 GHz	Transmit 6.1 GHz		
	Horizon	Antenna	Horizon	Coordination	Horizon	Coordination
Azimuth (°)	Elevation (°)	Discrimination (°)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)	Gain (dBi)	Distance (km)
190	0.00	58.01	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
195	0.00	56.03	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
200	0.00	53.45	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
205	0.00	50.41	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
210	0.00	47.02	-9.81	414.61	-9.81	160.60
215	0.00	43.35	-8.92	425.76	-8.92	164.60
220	0.00	39.48	-7.91	438.98	-7.91	169.37
225	0.00	35.46	-6.74	454.71	-6.74	175.05
230	0.00	31.32	-5.40	472.93	-5.40	181.89
235	0.00	27.19	-3.86	495.36	-3.86	190.03
240	0.00	23.37	-2.22	520.54	-2.22	199.53
245	0.00	20.05	-0.55	547.33	-0.55	209.17
250	0.00	17.50	0.92	572.11	0.92	218.00
255	0.00	16.10	1.83	587.77	1.83	223.56
260	0.00	16.15	1.79	587.15	1.79	223.34
265	0.00	17.64	0.83	570.57	0.83	217.46
270	0.00	20.26	-0.67	545.46	-0.67	208.50
275	0.00	23.63	-2.33	518.71	-2.33	198.87
280	0.00	27.47	-3.97	493.70	-3.97	189.43
285	0.00	31.62	-5.50	471.46	-5.50	181.36
290	0.00	35.96	-6.90	452.61	-6.90	174.30
295	0.00	40.44	-8.17	435.56	-8.17	168.13
300	0.00	45.01	-9.33	420.56	-9.33	162.73
305	0.00	49.65	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
310	0.00	54.34	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
315	0.00	59.06	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
320	0.00	63.81	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
325	0.00	68.58	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
330	0.00	73.36	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
335	0.00	78.16	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
340	0.00	82.96	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
345	0.00	87.76	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
350	0.00	92.57	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73
355	0.00	97.38	-10.00	412.20	-10.00	159.73

5. CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE TECHNICALLY QUALIFIED PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA CONTAINED IN THIS APPLICATION, THAT I AM FAMILIAR WITH PARTS 101 AND 25 OF THE FCC RULES AND REGULATIONS, THAT I HAVE EITHER PREPARED OR REVIEWED THE FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION, AND THAT IT IS COMPLETE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

L.I BΥ·

Gary K. Edwards Senior Manager COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard Ashburn, VA 20147

DATED: January 28, 2019