

## **Radiation Hazard Study**

### **2.4m Ku-band Earth Station**

This study analyzes the non-ionizing radiation levels for a CP Model 1244 2.4m Ku-band earth station. This report is developed in accordance with the prediction methods contained in OET Bulletin No. 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, Edition 97-01, as supplemented by the FCC's decision in Resolution of Notice of Inquiry, Second Report and Order, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 19-126 (rel. Dec. 4, 2019).

The FCC's guidance specifies that there are two separate tiers of exposure limits that are depending on the area of exposure and/or the status of the individuals who are subject to the exposure -- the General Population/Uncontrolled Environment and the Controlled Environment, where the general population cannot access.

The maximum level of non-ionizing radiation to which individuals may be exposed is limited to a power density level of 5 milliwatts per square centimeter (5 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) averaged over any 6 minute period in a controlled environment, and the maximum level of non-ionizing radiation to which the general public is exposed is limited to a power density level of 1 milliwatt per square centimeter (1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) averaged over any 30 minute period in a uncontrolled environment.

In the normal range of transmit powers for satellite antennas, the power densities at or around the antenna surface are expected to exceed safe levels. The purpose of this study is to determine the power flux density levels for the earth station under study as compared with the MPE limits. This comparison is done in each of the following regions:

1. Far-field region
2. Near-field region
3. Transition region
4. The region between the feed and the antenna surface
5. The main reflector region
6. The region between the antenna edge and the ground

#### **Input Parameters**

The following input parameters were used in the calculations:

| <u>Parameters:</u>                  | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u> | <u>Symbol</u> |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| <i>Antenna Diameter</i>             | 2.4          | m           | <i>D</i>      |
| <i>Antenna Transmit Gain</i>        | 49.2         | dBi         | <i>G</i>      |
| <i>Transmit Frequency</i>           | 14250        | MHz         | <i>f</i>      |
| <i>Antenna Feed Flange diameter</i> | ~7.5         | cm          | <i>d</i>      |
| <i>Power Input to the Antenna</i>   | 16.0         | W           | <i>P</i>      |

### **Calculated Parameters:**

The following values were calculated using the above input parameters and the corresponding formulas:

| <u>Parameter</u>       | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u>     | <u>Symbol</u> | <u>Formula</u>           |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Antenna Surface Area   | 4.524        | m <sup>2</sup>  | <i>A</i>      | $\pi D^2/4$              |
| Area of Antenna Flange | ~44.19       | cm <sup>2</sup> | <i>a</i>      | $\pi d^2/4$              |
| Antenna Efficiency     | 0.65         |                 | $\eta$        | $G\lambda^2/(\pi^2 D^2)$ |
| Gain Factor            | 83,176       |                 | <i>g</i>      | $10^{G/10}$              |
| Wavelength             | 0.021        | m               | $\lambda$     | $300/f$                  |

### **Behavior of EM Fields as a Function of Distance**

The behavior of the characteristics of EM fields varies depending on the distance from the radiating antenna. These characteristics are analyzed in three primary regions: the near-field region, the far-field region and the transition region. Of interest also are the region between the antenna main reflector and the subreflector, the region of the main reflector area and the region between the main reflector and ground.




Figure 1. EM Fields as a Function of Distance

For parabolic aperture antennas with circular cross sections, such as the antenna under study, the near-field, farfield and transition region distances are calculated as follows:

| Parameter                            | Value | Unit | Formula                      |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------|------------------------------|
| <i>Near-Field Distance</i>           | 68.4  | m    | $R_{nf} = D^2/(4\lambda)$    |
| <i>Distance to Far-Field</i>         | 164.2 | m    | $R_{ff} = 0.60D^2/(\lambda)$ |
| <i>Distance of Transition Region</i> | 68.4  | m    | $R_t = R_{nf}$               |

The distance in the transition region is between the near and far fields. Thus,  $R_{nf} \leq R_t \leq R_{ff}$ . However, the power density in the transition region will not exceed the power density in the near-field. Therefore, for purposes of the present analysis, the distance of the transition region can equate the distance to the near-field.

### **Power Flux Density Calculations**

The power flux density is considered to be at a maximum through the entire length of the near-field. This region is contained within a cylindrical volume with a diameter, D, equal to the diameter of the antenna. In the transition region and the far-field, the power density decreases inversely with the square of the distance. The following equations are used to calculate power density in these regions.

| <u>Parameter</u>                              | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u>        | <u>Symbol</u> | <u>Formula</u>          |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| <i>Power Density in the Near-Field</i>        | 2.176        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | $S_{nf}$      | $16.0 \eta P/(\pi D^2)$ |
| <i>Power Density in the Far-Field</i>         | 0.393        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | $S_{ff}$      | $GP/(4\pi R_{ff}^2)$    |
| <i>Power Density in the Transition Region</i> | 2.176        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | $S_t$         | $S_{nf} R_{nf} / (R_t)$ |

The region between the main reflector and the subreflector is confined within a conical shape defined by the feed assembly. The most common feed assemblies are waveguide flanges. This energy is determined as follows:

| <u>Parameter</u>                        | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u>        | <u>Symbol</u> | <u>Formula</u> |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| <i>Power Density at the Feed Flange</i> | 1448.7       | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | $S_{fa}$      | $4P / a$       |

The power density in the main reflector is determined similarly to the power density at the feed flange; except that the area of the reflector is used.

| <u>Parameter</u>                       | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u>        | <u>Symbol</u> | <u>Formula</u> |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| <i>Power Density at Main Reflector</i> | 1.415        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | $S_{surface}$ | $4P / A$       |

The power density between the reflector and ground, assuming uniform illumination of the reflector surface, is calculated as follows:

| <u>Parameter</u>                              | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u>        | <u>Symbol</u> | <u>Formula</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| <i>Power Density b/w Reflector and Ground</i> | 0.354        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | $S_g$         | $P / A$        |

The below table summarizes the calculated power flux density values for each region. In a controlled environment, the only regions that exceed FCC limitations are shown below. These regions are only accessible by trained technicians who, as a matter of procedure, turn off transmit power before performing any work in these areas.

| <u>Power Density</u>                          | <u>Value</u> | <u>Unit</u>        | <u>Controlled Environment</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Far Field Calculation</i>                  | 0.393        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | Satisfies FCC MPE             |
| <i>Near Field Calculation</i>                 | 2.176        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | Satisfies FCC MPE             |
| <i>Transition Region</i>                      | 2.176        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | Satisfies FCC MPE             |
| <i>Region b/w feed iris and reflector</i>     | 1448.7       | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | Exceeds Limits                |
| <i>Main Reflector Region</i>                  | 1.415        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | Satisfies FCC MPE             |
| <i>Region b/w Main Reflector &amp; Ground</i> | 0.354        | mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | Satisfies FCC MPE             |

In conclusion, the results show that the antenna, in a controlled environment, may exist in the regions noted above and applicant will take the proper mitigation procedures to ensure it meets the guidelines specified in 47 C.F.R. § 1.1310.

The location where this antenna is installed is restricted from public access. The earth station will be marked with the standard radiation hazard warnings, as well as the area in the vicinity of the earth station, to inform the general population and maintenance personnel not responsible for earth station maintenance or operations, who might be working or otherwise present in or near the path of the main beam.

The applicant will ensure that the main beam of the antenna will be pointed at least one diameter away from any building, or other obstacles in those areas that exceed the MPE limits. Since one diameter removed from the center of the main beam the levels are down at least 20 dB, or by a factor of 100, public safety will be ensured.

Finally, the earth station's operational personnel will not have access to areas that exceed the MPE limits while the earth station is in operation. The transmitter will be turned off during periods of maintenance so that the MPE standard of 5.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> will be complied with for those regions in close proximity to the main reflector, which could be occupied by operating personnel.

In conclusion, the results show that the antenna, in a controlled environment, and under the proper mitigation procedures meets the guidelines specified in 47CFR §1.1310.