Analysis of Non-Ionizing Radiation for a 4.6-Meter Earth Station System

This report analyzes the non-ionizing radiation levels for a 4.6-meter earth station system. The analysis and calculations performed in this report comply with the methods described in the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin, No. 65 first published in 1985 and revised in 1997 in Edition 97-01. The radiation safety limits used in the analysis are in conformance with the FCC R&O 96-326. Bulletin No. 65 and the FCC R&O specifies that there are two separate tiers of exposure limits that are dependant on the situation in which the exposure takes place and/or the status of the individuals who are subject to the exposure. The Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for persons in a General Population/Uncontrolled environment are shown in Table 1. The General Population/Uncontrolled MPE is a function of transmit frequency and is for an exposure period of thirty minutes or less. The MPE limits for persons in an Occupational/Controlled environment are shown in Table 2. The Occupational MPE is a function of transmit frequency and is for an exposure period of six minutes or less. The purpose of the analysis described in this report is to determine the power flux density levels of the earth station in the far-field, near-field, transition region, between the subreflector or feed and main reflector surface, at the main reflector surface, and between the antenna edge and the ground and to compare these levels to the specified MPEs.

Table 1. Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	
30-300	0.2	
300-1500	Frequency (MHz)*(0.8/1200)	
1500-100,000	1.0	

Table 2. Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)
30-300	1.0
300-1500	Frequency (MHz)*(4.0/1200)
1500-100,000	5.0

Table 3. Formulas and Parameters Used for Determining Power Flux Densities

Parameter	Symbol	Formula	Value	Units
Antenna Diameter	D	Input	4.6	m
Antenna Surface Area	A _{surface}	$\pi D^2 / 4$	16.62	m²
Subreflector Diameter	D _{sr}	Input	36.6	cm
Area of Subreflector	A_{sr}	π D _{sr} ² /4	1052.09	cm ²
Frequency	F	Input	6175	MHz
Wavelength	λ	300 / F	0.048583	m
Transmit Power	Р	Input	5000.00	W
Antenna Gain (dBi)	G_{es}	Input	47.0	dBi
Antenna Gain (factor)	G	10 ^{Ges/10}	50118.7	n/a
Pi	π	Constant	3.1415927	n/a
Antenna Efficiency	η	$G\lambda^2/(\pi^2D^2)$	0.57	n/a

1. Far Field Distance Calculation

The distance to the beginning of the far field can be determined from the following equation:

$$R_{\rm ff} = 0.60 \, D^2 / \lambda$$
 (1)
= 261.3 m

The maximum main beam power density in the far field can be determined from the following equation:

$$S_{ff} = G P / (4 \pi R_{ff}^2)$$
 (2)
= 292.008 W/m²
= 29.201 mW/cm²

2. Near Field Calculation

Power flux density is considered to be at a maximum value throughout the entire length of the defined Near Field region. The region is contained within a cylindrical volume having the same diameter as the antenna. Past the boundary of the Near Field region, the power density from the antenna decreases linearly with respect to increasing distance.

The distance to the end of the Near Field can be determined from the following equation:

$$R_{nf} = D^2 / (4 \lambda)$$
 (3)
= 108.9 m

The maximum power density in the Near Field can be determined from the following equation:

$$S_{nf} = 16.0 \ \eta \ P / (\pi \ D^2)$$

= 681.675 W/m²
= 68.168 mW/cm²

3. Transition Region Calculation

The Transition region is located between the Near and Far Field regions. The power density begins to decrease linearly with increasing distance in the Transition region. While the power density decreases inversely with distance in the Transition region, the power density decreases inversely with the square of the distance in the Far Field region. The maximum power density in the Transition region will not exceed that calculated for the Near Field region. The power density calculated in Section 1 is the highest power density the antenna can produce in any of the regions away from the antenna. The power density at a distance R_t can be determined from the following equation:

$$S_t = S_{nf} R_{nf} / R_t$$
 (5)
= 68.168 mW/cm²

4. Region between the Main Reflector and the Subreflector

Transmissions from the feed assembly are directed toward the subreflector surface, and are reflected back toward the main reflector. The most common feed assemblies are waveguide flanges, horns or subreflectors. The energy between the subreflector and the reflector surfaces can be calculated by determining the power density at the subreflector surface. This can be determined from the following equation:

$$S_{sr} = 4000 P / A_{sr}$$
 (6)
= 19009.817 mW/cm²

5. Main Reflector Region

The power density in the main reflector is determined in the same manner as the power density at the subreflector. The area is now the area of the main reflector aperture and can be determined from the following equation:

$$S_{\text{surface}} = 4 \text{ P / A}_{\text{surface}}$$
 (7)
= 1203.440 W/m²
= 120.344 mW/cm²

6. Region between the Main Reflector and the Ground

Assuming uniform illumination of the reflector surface, the power density between the antenna and the ground can be determined from the following equation:

$$S_g = P / A_{surface}$$
 (8)
= 300.860 W/m²
= 30.086 mW/cm²

7. Summary of Calculations

Table 4. Summary of Expected Radiation levels for Uncontrolled Environment

Region	Calculated Maximum Radiation Power Density Level (mW/cm²)	Hazard Assessment
1. Far Field (R _{ff} = 261.3 m)	S _{ff} 29.201	Potential Hazard
2. Near Field (R _{nf} = 108.9 m)	S _{nf} 68.168	Potential Hazard
3. Transition Region (R _{nf} < R _t < R _{ff})	S _t 68.168	Potential Hazard
Between Main Reflector and Subreflector	S _{sr} 19009.817	Potential Hazard
5. Main Reflector	S _{surface} 120.344	Potential Hazard
6. Between Main Reflector and Ground	S _g 30.086	Potential Hazard

Table 5. Summary of Expected Radiation levels for Controlled Environment

Region	Calculated Maximum Radiation Power Density Level (mW/cm²)	Hazard Assessment
1. Far Field (R _{ff} = 261.3 m)	S _{ff} 29.201	Potential Hazard
2. Near Field (R _{nf} = 108.9 m)	S _{nf} 68.168	Potential Hazard
3. Transition Region (R _{nf} < R _t < R _{ff})	S _t 68.168	Potential Hazard
Between Main Reflector and Subreflector	S _{sr} 19009.817	Potential Hazard
5. Main Reflector	S _{surface} 120.344	Potential Hazard
6. Between Main Reflector and Ground	S _g 30.086	Potential Hazard

It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that the public and operational personnel are not exposed to harmful levels of radiation.

8. Conclusions

Based upon the above analysis, it is concluded that FCC RF Guidelines have been exceeded in the specified region(s) of Tables 4 and 5. The applicant proposes to comply with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits of 1.0 mW/cm**2 for the Uncontrolled Areas, and the MPE limits of 5.0 mW/cm**2 for the Controlled Areas.

The antenna is installed at the Applicant's teleport facility near Castle Rock, Colorado. The earth station facility is surrounded by a fence, which will restrict any public access. The earth station is marked with the standard radiation hazard warnings, as well as the area in the vicinity of the earth station to inform those in the general population, who might be working or otherwise present in or near the direct path of the main beam.

The applicant will ensure that the main beam of the antenna will be pointed at least one diameter away from any building, or other obstacles in those areas that exceed the MPE levels. Since one diameter removed from the center of the main beam the levels are down at least 20 dB, or by a factor of 100, these potential hazards do not exist for either the public, or for earth station personnel.

Finally, the earth station's operating personnel will not have access to areas that exceed the MPE levels, while the earth station is in operation. The transmitter will be turned off during periods of maintenance, so that the MPE standard of 5.0 mw/cm**2 will be complied with for those regions in close proximity to the main reflector, which could be occupied by operating personnel.

Radiation Hazard Study

Prepared By

COMSEARCH

19700 Janelia Farm Boulevard, Ashburn, VA 20147 (703)726-5500 http://www.comsearch.com

Jeffrey E. Cowles Principal Frequency Planner

Jeffrey E. Cowles

DATED: <u>June 11, 2010</u>