

From: Elise M. Stubbe [estubbe@hardycarey.com]  
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2003 4:13 PM  
To: Towanda Bryant  
Cc: 'Bill Lee'; Joseph C. Chautin III  
Subject: E2454

Dear Ms. Bryant:

Pursuant to our conversation, please find below the answers to your questions regarding ORU's application. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions. Have a great weekend!

Sincerely,

Elise M. Stubbe, Esq.

HARDY, CAREY & CHAUTIN, L.L.P.

110 Veterans Boulevard, Suite 300

Metairie, LA 70005

(504) 830-4646 (ofc)

(504) 830-4641 (direct)

(504) 830-4659 (fax)

estubbe@hardycarey.com

\*\*\*\*\*

The information contained in this electronic message may contain attorney-client privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the owner of the email address listed as the recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient of this email message you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by return email and by telephone at (504) 830-4646.

Elise:

Here is the response from Tim Crutcher at Comsearch. He could not read the top of page two of the fax from the FCC due to the red warning stripe my old thermal fax machine puts out when the paper is low. That line refers to the power density of the 11m antenna. Tim said that for an Analog emission the power levels are within FCC specifications.

Please do not hesitate to call my cell if there are additional clarifications necessary. Thank Towanda for her good catch on the errors on our part.

Regards:

Bill Lee  
Director of Engineering  
ORTV / KGEB / GEB  
918-495-6450 voice  
918-495-6451 fax  
918-629-8843 cell

>>> "Tim Crutcher" <tcrutche@comsearch.com> 12/05/03 08:02AM >>>

Bill,

Good morning.

Concerning the questions Towanda had on the FCC application. The pages to the fax appear to be cut off of the right hand side. I think I can get enough of what the issues are.

Certification: Environmental Impact. No should have been checked, we addressed this issue in the radiation hazard study.

The 36000F9 is the analog video emission designator of yesteryear.

This should be 36M0F3F - Analog video with associated audio subcarriers.

The Power Density question. The EIRP and EIRP Density numbers are reversed.

The EIRP Density for the 36M0G7W should be 31.8 and the EIRP should be 55.8.

The EIRP Density for the 64K0G7W should be 35.9 and the EIRP should be 47.0.

This would put the Power Density number at -14.1 for the 36M0G7W and -10.0 for the 64K0G7W. These are both below the required -2.7

I think this is everything, on the second page of the license P.2/2 there is a thick black line at the top of the page, not sure what if anything is under that.

I'll give you a call later this morning to review this info.

Tim