From:	Hunter, Daryl
To:	Jae Lim
Subject:	Re: [EXTERNAL]: Re: SES-LIC-20210719-01082; Call Sign: E210128
Date:	Thursday, August 5, 2021 3:45:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Yes, it looks like whatever algorithm IBFS is using is generating the correct values.

Regards,

Daryl

From: Jae Lim <Jae.Lim@fcc.gov> Date: Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 12:37 PM To: "Daryl T. Hunter" <daryl.hunter@viasat.com> Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]: Re: SES-LIC-20210719-01082; Call Sign: E210128

Hi Daryl,

I will check with my colleagues and get back to you.

Do you accept all IBFS calculated Azim numbers for all 300+ applications you filed?

Thanks.

Jae Lim FCC/IB

From: Hunter, Daryl <daryl.hunter@viasat.com> Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 3:28 PM To: Jae Lim <dae.Lim@fcc.gov> Subject: [EXTERNAL]: Re: SES-LIC-20210719-01082; Call Sign: E210128

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Federal Communications Commission. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and trust the content to be safe. If you suspect this is a phishing attempt, please use the 'Report Message' feature in Microsoft Outlook or forward the email to the NSOC.

Hi Jae Lim,

This had me scratching my head for a while because I'm using the same macros that I've used for years which have always given good results. So, I double checked the input to the macros. Unfortunately I found that I had entered 89.9 for the satellite instead of 88.9.

When I plug in the correct 88.9 deg value I get: 192.4 42.6, the same thing you are computing.

Unfortunately, this entry was made on the first row of the spreadsheet and copied for all 300+ sites. So the azimuth and elevation angles will be off for all the SAN applications we filed. However, because the antenna pattern is basically the same toward the horizon for this small az and el change, at least the EIRP density toward the horizon value doesn't change for any given site.

How would you like me to proceed to get this corrected?

Regards,

Daryl

From: Jae Lim <<u>lae.Lim@fcc.gov</u>> Date: Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 11:41 AM To: "Daryl T. Hunter" <<u>daryl.hunter@viasat.com</u>> Subject: SES-LIC-20210719-01082; Call Sign: E210128

Hi Daryl Hunter,

Please confirm the IBFS calculated E/W Azim values you see below.

Thanks.

Jae Lim FCC/IB

	sign: E210128 Filing State: Pending Status: AFP Status Date: Jul 20 2021 3:3
Applicant: Viasat, Inc.	File Date: Jul 16 2021 7_Last Action: Action Date: Site:
	ype of Facility: Transmit/Receive Nature of FSS = Fixed Satellite Service
US Licensed Satellites Non-US Licensed Satellites Certifications: N	
I Non-US Licensed Satellites Certifications. N	ton-Compliant Antenna (25.209) on SAN 1.8 m
SAN 1.8 m	
City: Bloomingdale County: Jefferson	State: DH Lat: 402033.1N Lon: 0804843.8W Gnd (m amst): 396.8 NAD83
and the state of the	
Row Antenna ID [m] Power [W] C	Output Eirp Gain (ubled hz) Ga
1 SAN 1 1.87 32.4	67.6 51.8 @ 27.5 52.0 @ 28 52.0 @ 28.5 48.3 @ 17.7 48.6 @ 18.2 48.9 @ 18.7
Crd Freq Lo Freq Hi SatArc SatArc E	Elev Elev Azim Azim Calc Elev Calc Elev Calc Azim Calc Azim Antenna ID [East] [West] [East] [West] [East] [West] [East] [West] [East] [West]
Row (MHz) (MHz) (East) (West) (E	IEast) [West) [East] [West] [East] [West] [East] [West] [West]
1 17700 18300 88.9W 88.9W	42.4 42.4 193.9 193.9 42.6 42.6 192.4 192.4 SAN 1
2 27500 28350 88.9W 88.9W	42.4 42.4 193.9 193.9 42.6 42.6 <mark>192.4 192.4</mark> SAN 1
Freq Freq Lo Freq Hi Bow (MHz) (MHz) Emission (dBW)	
Row (MHz) (MHz) Emission (dBW) 1 27500 28350 464MG7D 59.90	
2 27500 28350 404marb 53.30	
3 17700 18300 464MG7D .00	
4 17700 18300 320MG7D .00	
5 17700 18300 160MG7D .00	00 0.00 R 160. MHz Digital SAN 1
6 17700 18300 80M0G7D .00	00 0.00 R 80.0 MHz Digital SAN 1
7 17700 18300 40M0G7D .00	
8 17700 18300 20M0G7D .00	
9 17700 18300 10M0G7D .00	
10 17700 18300 5M00G7D .00	0 0.00 R 5.00 MHz Digital SAN 1