

APPLICATION FOR GATEWAY EARTH STATION

I. OVERVIEW

The Commission has authorized Space Exploration Holdings, LLC (“SpaceX”) to launch and operate a constellation of 4,409 non-geostationary orbit (“NGSO”) satellites (call sign S2983/S3018) using Ku- and Ka-band spectrum.¹ In doing so, the Commission recognized that granting the SpaceX Authorization would “allow SpaceX to make efficient use of valuable spectrum resources more safely, quickly, and cost-effectively as it initiates a new generation of broadband services available to customers worldwide, including those in areas previously underserved or even totally unserved by other broadband solutions.”² In May 2019, SpaceX began launching satellites to populate its constellation.

This application, filed by a sister company, SpaceX Services, Inc. (“SpaceX Services”), seeks authority to operate a Ka-band gateway earth station that SpaceX will use to deliver broadband data between the satellites of its NGSO system and terrestrial Internet exchange points. Specifically, SpaceX Services seeks authority for eight technically identical 1.5-meter antennas in Cape Canaveral, FL (the “Cape Canaveral Gateway”). Consistent with SpaceX’s space station authorization, these earth stations will transmit in the 27.5-29.1 GHz and 29.5-30.0 GHz bands and receive in the 17.8-18.6 GHz and 18.8-19.3 GHz bands.

Below, we discuss certain spectrum sharing issues relevant to the operation of these earth stations. We then demonstrate that grant of this application would serve the public interest. Lastly,

¹ See *Space Exploration Holdings, LLC*, 33 FCC Rcd. 3391 (2018) (“SpaceX Authorization”); *Space Exploration Holdings, LLC*, 34 FCC Rcd. 2526 (IB 2019) (“SpaceX Modification”). These authorizations anticipate that Kaband spectrum would be used for gateway communications.

² First SpaceX Modification, ¶ 1.

we provide technical information to supplement the information provided on Form 312. To support its ambitious timetable for launching satellites and deploying broadband services, SpaceX Services requests that the Commission grant the requested license as expeditiously as possible.

II. SPECTRUM SHARING ISSUES

Under the Commission’s spectrum allocations and the plan adopted for the Ka-band in particular,³ SpaceX Services will need to share with a variety of other systems operating in its bands. Below we demonstrate that the proposed Cape Canaveral Gateway will comply with all relevant sharing requirements.

A. Uplink Bands

The 27.5-28.35 GHz band has been designated for use by the Upper Microwave Flexible Use Service (“UMFUS”) on a primary basis, with a secondary designation for FSS. The Technical Appendix attached hereto demonstrates that the Cape Canaveral Gateway will satisfy the criteria set forth in Section 25.136(a)(4) of the Commission’s rules such that it may operate without providing additional interference protection to terrestrial UMFUS systems operating in the band.⁴

FSS is primary throughout the 28.35-29.1 GHz band, with NGSO designated as secondary to GSO in the 28.35-28.6 GHz portion and NGSO designated as primary in the 28.6-29.1 GHz portion. FSS is co-primary with Mobile Satellite Service (“MSS”) in the 29.5-30.0 GHz band, with NGSO designated as secondary to GSO.⁵

³ See *Update to Parts 2 and 25 Concerning Non-Geostationary, Fixed-Satellite Service Systems and Related Matters*, 32 FCC Rcd. 7809, App. B (2017) (“*NGSO Update Order*”).

⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 25.146(a)(4).

⁵ See *NGSO Updated Order*, App. B.

The Comsearch Report submitted with this application confirms that SpaceX Services has coordinated with existing terrestrial licensees in these bands in compliance with the Commission’s rules and can operate without causing harmful interference to any such deployments. SpaceX Services makes no claim of interference protection from U.S.-licensed GSO FSS systems in the 28.35-28.6 GHz and 29.5-30.0 GHz bands. In addition, SpaceX Services will comply with the applicable equivalent power flux-density (“EPFD”) limits set forth in Article 22 and Resolution 76 of the ITU Radio Regulations, which the Commission has found to be sufficient to protect GSO systems against harmful interference.⁶ SpaceX has demonstrated that its NGSO system will comply with these EPFD limits, and doing so is a condition of its Authorization.⁷

B. Downlink Bands

The Commission has allocated the 17.8-18.3 GHz band on a primary basis to the terrestrial fixed service (“FS”) and on a secondary basis for FSS. The 18.3-18.6 GHz band is allocated on a primary basis to FSS, with NGSO secondary to GSO and subject to international EPFD limits.

The 18.8-19.3 GHz band is allocated to FSS on a primary basis, with NGSO designated as primary.

SpaceX has previously demonstrated that its NGSO system will protect terrestrial fixed stations in the 17.8-18.6 GHz and 18.8-19.3 GHz bands, in compliance with a condition placed on its license.⁸ Moreover, the Comsearch report submitted with this application confirms that there

⁶ See, e.g., *Amendment of Parts 2 and 25 of the Commission's Rules to Permit Operation of NGSO FSS Systems Co-Frequency with GSO and Terrestrial Systems in the Ku-Band Frequency Range*, 16 FCC Rcd. 4096, ¶ 77 (2000) (concluding that implementation of EPFD limits “will adequately protect GSO FSS networks”); 47 C.F.R. § 25.289 (NGSO satellite systems that comply with EPFD limits will be deemed not to cause unacceptable interference to any GSO network). SpaceX believes that the EPFD limits designed to protect GSO FSS systems will also protect GSO MSS systems in the band.

⁷ See SpaceX Authorization, ¶¶ 40(b), (d), and (e); 47 C.F.R. § 25.115(f)(1) (incorporating certification requirement in 47 C.F.R. § 25.146(a)(2)).

⁸ See First SpaceX Modification, ¶ 29.

should be no additional limitations placed on operations of the Cape Canaveral Gateway. Similarly, SpaceX has demonstrated that its NGSO system will comply with the relevant EPFD limits in the 18.3-18.6 GHz band, which the Commission considers sufficient to protect GSO networks from unacceptable interference.⁹

III. GRANT OF THIS APPLICATION WOULD SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST

Granting this application would serve the public interest by helping to speed broadband deployment throughout the United States by authorizing the ground-based component of SpaceX's satellite system. U.S. and worldwide demand for broadband services and Internet connectivity continues to increase with escalating requirements for speed, capacity, and reliability and ongoing adaptations for usage. The volume of traffic flowing over the world's networks has exploded, with one report estimating that annual global Internet protocol traffic reached 1.5 zettabytes in 2017 – meaning that approximately 1,500 billion gigabytes of data were exchanged worldwide that year.¹⁰

Yet, as the Commission has recognized, many communities across the United States and the world still lack access to reliable broadband connectivity, preventing them from fully participating in economic, social, and civic activities.¹¹ To help close this digital divide, SpaceX

⁹ See SpaceX Authorization, ¶ 9.

¹⁰ See Cisco Visual Networking Index: Forecast and Methodology, 2017-2022, at 1, CISCO (Nov. 26, 2018), <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/white-paper-c11-741490.html>.

¹¹ See, e.g., *Inquiry Concerning the Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Capability to All Americans in a Reasonable and Timely Fashion*, 33 FCC Rcd. 1660, ¶ 50 (2018) (noting that “over 24 million Americans still lack fixed terrestrial broadband at speeds of 25 Mbps/3 Mbps,” and that “the gap in rural and Tribal America remains notable: 30.7 percent of Americans in rural areas and 35.4 percent of Americans in Tribal lands lack access to fixed terrestrial 25 Mbps/3 Mbps broadband”). Internationally, the disparities between broadband access and absence are even greater, with 4.2 billion people (or 57% of the world's population) offline. See BROADBAND COMMISSION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT, “Open Statement from the Broadband Commission for Sustainable Development to the UN High-Level Political Forum (HLPF)” (July 11, 2016), <http://broadbandcommission.org/Documents/publications/HLPF-July2016.pdf>. See also BROADBAND COMMISSION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT, “The State of Broadband 2015,” at 8 (Sep. 2015),

is designing, constructing, and deploying an innovative, cost-effective and spectrum-efficient satellite system capable of delivering robust broadband service to customers around the world. SpaceX has already secured U.S. authority for the space station components of its NGSO system. This application takes the next step by seeking authority for one of the gateway earth stations that will connect the satellite system to the terrestrial Internet. Accordingly, an expeditious grant of this application would serve the public interest.

Respectfully submitted,

SPACE X SERVICES, INC.

By: /s/ Patricia Cooper Patricia
Cooper
Vice President of Satellite Government
Affairs
David Goldman
Director, Satellite Policy

William M. Wiltshire
Paul Caritj
HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS LLP
1919 M Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
202-730-1300 tel
202-730-1301 fax

Counsel to SpaceX

SPACE EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGIES CORP.
1155 F Street, NW
Suite 475
Washington, DC 20004
202-649-2700 tel
202-649-2701 fax

<http://www.broadbandcommission.org/Documents/reports/bb-annualreport2015.pdf> (“A large body of evidence has now been amassed that affordable and effective broadband connectivity is a vital enabler of economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection.” (footnotes omitted)).

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

In this Technical Appendix, SpaceX Services provides additional information on the proposed operations of its gateway earth station to supplement the data provided in Schedule B to Form 312 filed with this narrative application.¹²

A. Minimum Elevation Angle

SpaceX Service's gateway earth stations will communicate only with those SpaceX satellites that are visible on the horizon above a minimum elevation angle. In the very early phases of constellation deployment and as SpaceX first initiates service, this angle may be as low as 25 degrees, but this will return to 40 degrees as the constellation is deployed more fully and more satellites are in view of a given gateway site. For purposes of this application, SpaceX Services has supplied the lower angle in order to capture the full potential range of service.

B. UMFUS Coordination

1. Section 25.136(a)(4)(i)

Section 25.136(a)(4) of the Commission's rules defines four elements that, if met, permit an earth station licensee to operate without providing additional interference protection to terrestrial systems in the Upper Microwave Flexible Use Service ("UMFUS") operating in the 27.5-28.35 GHz band.¹³ As demonstrated below, the proposed Cape Canaveral Gateway satisfies these criteria, and the Commission should authorize this earth station without requiring additional protection for future UMFUS systems.

2. Section 25.136(a)(4)(ii)

¹² To the extent relevant, SpaceX Services hereby incorporates the technical information submitted with SpaceX's space station applications. See IBFS File Nos. SAT-LOA-20161115-00118, SAT-LOA-20170726-00110, and SAT-MOD-20190830-00087.

¹³ See 47 C.F.R. § 25.146(a)(4).

Section 25.136(a)(4)(ii) provides that an earth station operator need not provide interference protection to future UMFUS systems if, in a UMFUS license area with a population greater than 450,000 people, no more than 0.1% of the population will be within the earth station's PFD contour that is equal to or exceeds $-77.6 \text{ dBm/m}^2/\text{MHz}$. The Cape Canaveral Gateway is located in Brevard County, which has a population of approximately 543,376 people.¹⁴ As demonstrated below, the Cape Canaveral Gateway's PFD contour contains far fewer than the allowed 543 people.

In order to conduct a technical analysis to determine the region around the Cape Canaveral Gateway site that would fall within the PFD contour defined by Section 25.136(a)(4), SpaceX used the Visualyse Professional software tool created by Transfinite Systems Ltd., which implements the standard ITU-R Rec. P.452.16 propagation model.¹⁵ Because each of the eight antennas of the Cape Canaveral Gateway would track a different SpaceX satellite, SpaceX first determined the worst-case aggregate EIRP density toward the horizon to be -11.7 dBW/MHz without shielding, or -17.7 dBW/MHz after installing site shielding capable of 6 dB of attenuation.¹⁶ Next, SpaceX defined a reference antenna at 10 meters height above ground with 50.24 dBi gain pointed toward the Cape Canaveral Gateway. This is necessary because Visualyse performs its calculations using receive power density rather than PFD. The 50.24 dBi gain effectively converts the receive power density value to a PFD value so that Visualyse can display the results in terms of PFD.¹⁷ SpaceX

¹⁴ See QuickFacts XX, XX, United States Census Bureau, <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/brevardcountyflorida>

¹⁵ Information on this software can be found at <https://www.transfinite.com/content/professional>.

¹⁶ This value is based on eight earth station antennas with -1 dBi gain toward the horizon, each operating at -22.7 dBW/MHz EIRP density toward the horizon, based on -19.7 dBW/MHz input power density. SpaceX notes that the earth station input power spectral density used for this calculation is 3.9 dB lower than the maximum provided in the application since the latter includes maximum uplink power control for rain events.

¹⁷ This is the gain of a meter square antenna at 27.5 GHz ($G = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(4\pi/\lambda^2)$), where $\lambda=1.09 \text{ cm}$ at 27.5 GHz). This is a physical quantity corresponding to an antenna with one-meter square effective area, necessary to convert Visualyse's calculation in terms of receive power density into power flux density.

also loaded information on the surrounding terrain at a 3-arc second resolution (approximately 90 meters by 90 meters) from NASA’s SRTM Digital Terrain Elevation Data profile, and ran the analysis both with and without including the effect of the 6 dB of radiofrequency shielding that SpaceX will install surrounding the site. Table 1 below summarizes the Cape Canaveral Gateway parameters used for the analysis.

Table 1: Cape Canaveral Gateway Parameters	
Site location	Cape Canaveral, FL
County	Brevard County, FL
Antenna coordinates	28° 28’ 5.9” N, 80° 34’ 45.7” W
Simulation Frequency	27.5 GHz
Number of active antennas	8
Antenna sidelobe level toward horizon	-1 dBi
Extra site shielding	6 dB
Worst-case aggregate EIRP	-11.7 dBW/MHz (without site shielding) -17.7 dBW/MHz (after adding site shielding)

With these inputs and an omni-directional pattern, centered at the gateway site, SpaceX ran the Visualyse software implementing the ITU propagation model to develop a composite (eight antennas) PFD contour with a threshold of $-107.6 \text{ dBW/m}^2/\text{MHz}$ ¹⁸ at 10 meters above ground, as required under Section 25.136. It does so by moving the reference antenna in small steps all around the area surrounding the Cape Canaveral Gateway to measure the value of received power at each location step. The resulting contour can be exported as a .kml file for viewing with a range of GIS mapping software. Figure 1 below shows the PFD contour as calculated by Visualyse superimposed on Google Maps.¹⁹

¹⁸ This is equivalent to the $-77.6 \text{ dBm/m}^2/\text{MHz}$ value used in Section 25.136(a)(4).

¹⁹ Because SpaceX intends to surround the gateway site with 6 dB shielding, the shielded contour is used in determining population coverage.



Figure 1. PFD Contours for Cape Canaveral Gateway

This PFD contour can also be used with other data sources to determine the population that falls within the contour. For this purpose, SpaceX used two sources for input data. First, it used the most recent version of NASA’s Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center Gridded Population of the World (“GPWv4”).²⁰ The GPWv4 data is based on population counts collected at the most detailed spatial resolution available from the results of the 2010 U.S.

²⁰ See NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center, *Gridded Population of the World: Version 4*, <http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/collection/gpw-v4/maps/services>.

Census, which is also extrapolated to produce population estimates for 2020. By superimposing the PFD contour on the GPWv4 population distribution grid in Figure 2 below, SpaceX was able to integrate the population contained within the contour using projected 2020 total population with 30 arc-second resolution. Using this tool, the estimated population within the PFD contour is 308 people –much less than the 543-person limit established in Section 25.136(a)(4)(ii).




Figure 2. PFD Contour Projected Onto GPWv4 Population Grid

Second, SpaceX used data from the 2010 U.S. Census in order to confirm the analysis based on the NASA data above. Here again, SpaceX was able to project the PFD contour onto a

map of census blocks as shown in Figure 1 to determine which ones fall partially or totally within the contour, and then integrate the share of the population covered in each block to reach an aggregate figure.²¹ Using this approach, the estimated population within the PFD contour is 424 people – confirming compliance with the 543-person limit.

3. Section 25.136(a)(4)(iii)

Based on a search in Google Maps, there are no major event venues, urban mass transit routes, passenger railroads, cruise ship ports, or roads classified by the Federal Highway Administration guidelines as Interstate, Other Freeways and Expressways, or Other Principal Arterial within the Cape Canaveral Gateway’s PFD contour. Accordingly, the Cape Canaveral Gateway complies with the requirements of this subsection.

4. Section 25.136(a)(4)(iv)

The Comsearch Report submitted demonstrates that SpaceX completed coordination in compliance with the Commission’s rules.

C. Antenna Patterns

SpaceX will comply with a mask similar to the one in Section 25.209(a)(3) applicable to earth station antennas operating in the 24.75-25.25 GHz and 28.35-30.0 GHz bands with geostationary satellites but will improve that pattern to -3 dBi (rather than 0 dBi) beyond 25 degrees off-axis. The mask can be stated as follows:

$29-25\log_{10}\theta$	dBi	for $2^\circ \leq \theta \leq 7^\circ$.
8	dBi	for $7^\circ < \theta \leq 9.2^\circ$.
$32-25\log_{10}\theta$	dBi	for $9.2^\circ < \theta \leq 25^\circ$.
-3	dBi	for $25^\circ < \theta \leq 180^\circ$.

²¹ The following census block IDs fall partially or entirely within the PFD contour: 1200906886011, 120090686021, 120090686023, 120090699013, and 120099800001.

Consistent with Section 25.209(a)(3), “[t]his envelope may be exceeded by up to 3 dB in 10% of the range of θ angles from $\pm 7-180^\circ$, and by up to 6 dB in the region of main reflector spillover energy.”²² In addition, the half power beamwidth for the proposed antenna is 0.5 degrees at 30 GHz.

²² 47 C.F.R. § 25.209(a)(3).