

United States Senate
WASHINGTON, DC 20510

April 10, 2017

The Honorable Ajit Pai
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Pai:

Enclosed please find a copy of a letter we received from Dairyland Power Cooperative regarding a waiver the FCC granted to Higher Ground to operate 50,000 mobile earth terminals for satellite communications. While Dairyland Power Cooperative finds the goals of Higher Ground to be laudable, it has concerns that the service Higher Ground is proposing could cause interference and interruption of the microwave links that carries all of the crucial communications used to maintain the integrity and reliability of Dairyland's portion of the electrical grid. We ask that the concerns of Dairyland Power Cooperative be given all due consideration.

Thank you for your time and prompt attention to this matter. Please do not hesitate to contact our Washington, D.C. offices if we can help facilitate communication in resolving this matter.

Sincerely,



Charles E. Grassley
United States Senator



Joni K. Ernst
United States Senator



Brett Kilbourne
Vice President and Deputy General Counsel
Direct Line: 202.833.6807
E-mail: brett.kilbourne@utc.org

September 6, 2016

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ex Parte

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation, Higher Ground LLC Blanket License Application for C-band
Mobile Earth Terminals IBFS File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The Utilities Technology Council ("UTC") provides the following written *ex parte* presentation in support of the Petition to Deny and the Opposition filed against the above-referenced application and waiver request of Higher Ground LLC, which seeks to operate a nationwide mobile network in the 5925-6425 MHz band (6 GHz band).¹ As more fully described below, the 6 GHz band is allocated for private operational fixed services and is heavily used by utilities to support mission critical voice and data communications.

UTC is concerned that the proposal by Higher Ground threatens to cause significant harmful interference to incumbent utility operations in the 6 GHz band, undermining the reliability of mission critical communications that ensure the safe, secure and reliable delivery of essential energy and water services. Further, UTC agrees with the concerns of the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition (FWCC) and CenturyLink that:

- 1) Higher Ground should pursue a petition for rulemaking rather than a waiver for authorization from the FCC to operate its mobile network;
- 2) Higher Ground has not justified its need to use the 6 GHz band and that there are other bands that would be more suitable;
- 3) Higher Ground has not demonstrated that it would not cause harmful interference to incumbent microwave operations in the 6 GHz band; and
- 4) Higher Ground has not demonstrated that the potential for interference can be mitigated and that the resulting interference would be traceable by incumbent fixed microwave systems.²

Therefore, UTC supports the Petition to Deny and the Opposition, and it urges the Commission to proceed, if at all, through a rulemaking proceeding, instead of considering the application and the waiver request by Higher Ground.

¹ See Petition to Deny of the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition (hereinafter "FWCC"), IBFS File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357 (Sept. 11, 2015); Comments of CenturyLink in Opposition to Application, IBFS File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357 (Sept. 10, 2015).

² *Id.*

I. Introduction and Background

Established in 1948, UTC is the trade association for the communications and information technology interests of the nation's electric, gas and water utilities, pipeline companies and other critical infrastructure industries.³ Its members include large investor-owned utilities who serve millions of customers in multi-state service territories, and smaller municipal and cooperatively-organized utilities who may serve only a few thousand customers in remote areas and communities across the country. These members all use communications in support of their core electric, gas and water services. These communications systems are extensive and diverse, including wireline and wireless technologies – including microwave communications systems in the 6 GHz band.

Utilities use the 6 GHz band for a variety of mission critical operations to support the safe, secure and reliable delivery of essential electric, gas and water services. These systems need to meet high standards of performance and service reliability. Any failure of their operations can have severe and widespread consequences for public and worker safety, as well as operational integrity and security. The microwave systems serve as the backbone for a variety of utility applications, such as supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) networks that utilities use to monitor and control substations and valves, as well as security and transfer-trip protection circuits that protect against external threats and isolate faults on the grid. These microwave systems also support voice applications, including utility nuclear emergency telecommunications systems. These microwave systems are used for both primary and redundant communications. As such, interference to these 6 GHz microwave systems is a major concern for utilities and the millions of customers they serve.

Utilities have significant systems in the 6 GHz band. The vast majority of the thousands of licenses in the band are held by utilities, and these licensed systems have many more links that traverse extensive areas. Utilities rely heavily on this band because it not only provides backhaul capacity, but it is also well-suited for the large geographic areas that utilities need to serve, providing point-to-point communications between and among critical utility assets. In addition to the sheer magnitude of these networks, it is important for the Commission to recognize that many utilities migrated to the 6 GHz band after the FCC reallocated the 2 GHz microwave band for Personal Communications Service (PCS) and Mobile Satellite Services (MSS). Having been forced to relocate already, utilities should not be displaced by Higher Ground's proposed mobile use of the band. Finally, utilities lack reasonable alternatives to these microwave systems to serve rural areas, which is exactly where Higher Ground intends to operate. As such, the 6 GHz band serves as an essential source of communications and is heavily used by the utility industry.

II. The Application and Waiver by Higher Ground is Procedurally Improper and Substantively Defective and Should be Denied.

Higher Ground attempts to achieve through a rule waiver what it should request through a petition for rulemaking. That said, its request fails to meet the requirements for a rule waiver because Higher Ground has not demonstrated that the underlying purpose of the rule would be frustrated by its application here, and it has failed to show that there are unique circumstances at issue or that an rules represent an undue burden, such that the public interest would be served by waiver of the rule.

Higher Ground has requested waivers of the Commission's coordination rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 25.130(b), 25.203(c), and 101.103, based on low power operations of its transmitter and an automated frequency

³ See www.utc.org.

coordination analysis system described in the technical exhibit included in its application. Higher Ground also has requested a waiver of 47 C.F.R. § 2.106, the Table of Allocations, to permit mobile earth station operations as an application within the Fixed Satellite Service allocation. Both of these waiver requests should be denied.

As the FWCC aptly stated in its petition, the purpose of the microwave coordination rules is “not just to coordinate initial licensing, but also to provide licensees with the ability to pinpoint a potential interfering station at a fixed location.”⁴ Higher Ground suggests that the coordination requirements can be waived because it will coordinate its mobile operations through a database that will remotely and automatically control the transmissions of its hand-held Satpaq devices to avoid causing harmful interference to incumbent microwave operations in the band. In support of this assertion, Higher Ground describes the underlying assumptions and algorithms upon which the database would function. As the FWCC has pointed out, some of the assumptions are flawed, which raises questions about the performance of the database.

The FWCC argues, and UTC agrees, that prior coordination in accordance with the rules is necessary to mitigate the potential for interference. That has proven effective in coordinating Earth Stations on Vessels (ESVs) with offshore and coastal microwave facilities that operate in the 6 GHz band.⁵ It also reflects the fact that coordinating systems in the 6 GHz band can be difficult and is not something that should be expected to be effectively conducted through a database. Moreover, the process of prior coordination helps to enable licensees to determine the source of interference, should it occur. The process suggested by Higher Ground would frustrate the underlying purpose of the rule by making it more difficult for licensees to determine if interference is being caused by the proposed mobile operations, which would be made even more difficult by the intermittent and mobile nature of the interference that would likely be caused by Higher Ground’s network.

In addition to frustrating the underlying purpose of the rule, Higher Ground’s waiver request fails to show any unique circumstances and/or undue burden that would justify the Commission’s granting a waiver. As the FWCC has shown, Higher Ground does not explain why it is necessary to use this band, such that the restriction against mobile operation in the 6 GHz band should be waived. Instead, this appears to be a matter of convenience or preference for Higher Ground. Its argument largely rests on the assertion that the public interest would be served if Higher Ground were able to offer services using this band without having to comply with the rules requiring prior coordination of operations. This argument is misplaced for two reasons. First and foremost, the public interest would not be served by causing interference to incumbent microwave systems, many of which support mission critical communications by utilities and others. Second, the threat of interference is much greater here than is the case with ESVs, because there are many more devices that would be operational in Higher Ground’s network and they would operate in closer proximity to incumbent microwave systems than the ESVs, which only operate in proximity to microwave systems in coastal and offshore areas. As such, the facts weigh against a waiver, not in support of one. The circumstances are not unique, the public interest would not be served, and there is no undue burden that would justify a waiver in the case of Higher Ground’s application. Higher Ground’s waiver request should be denied for these reasons, as well.

⁴ Petition to Deny of the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition against the application by Higher Ground, File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357 at 4 (filed Sept. 15, 2015).

⁵ *Procedures to Govern the Use of Satellite Earth Stations on Board Vessels in the 5925- 6425 MHz/3700-4200 MHz Bands and 14.0-14.5 GHz/11.7-12.2 GHz Bands*, Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 674 (2005) (“ESV Order”).

III. Higher Ground's Request Should Be Addressed in a Rulemaking, if the Commission Decides Not to Deny the Application and Waiver.

UTC agrees with the FWCC and other comments in opposition to Higher Ground's application and waiver that the relief it seeks is more appropriately addressed in the context of a rulemaking. "[T]he choice between rulemaking and adjudication lies in the first instance within the [agency's] discretion," and the Commission should choose to conduct a rulemaking here because Higher Ground seeks a fundamental change in the rules which could have dramatic and widespread impact on incumbent operations in the 6 GHz band.⁶ As the Supreme Court has ruled, "an agency must proceed by rulemaking if it seeks to change the law and establish rules of widespread application."⁷ Higher Ground's application is not a minor request that could be considered administrative in nature, such that a waiver could be considered appropriate. Higher Ground requests relief that would fundamentally change the nature of the use of the 6 GHz band, and would affect incumbent licensees nationwide. Higher Ground's proposal for mobile operations in the 6 GHz band without prior coordination is a change in the rules that would have widespread effect, not an exception that would have a limited impact.

To the extent that the Commission considers Higher Ground's proposal, it should proceed through a rulemaking so that interested parties have sufficient notice and an opportunity to comment, and to provide the Commission with a sufficient record upon which to base its decision. While Higher Ground claims that a rulemaking "would serve no purpose other than to cause unnecessary delay,"⁸ in reality, a rulemaking would serve an important and essential purpose, namely, to protect the interests of the parties that would be affected by Higher Ground's proposed operations. Some of the comments on the record have remarked that they only recently were notified about Higher Ground's application and waiver.⁹ These comments underscore the need to conduct a rulemaking, so that other parties may be made aware of Higher Ground's application and have a sufficient opportunity to comment on it. Finally, the fact that Higher Ground is the first to propose such a network does not mean that others will not attempt to operate a similar network of their own. Thus, the Commission should conduct a rulemaking to consider fairly the interests of incumbent licensees, applicants and potential users of the band, not just the interests of Higher Ground.

IV. Higher Ground's Proposed Mobile Operations Threatens to Cause Widespread Harmful Interference to Mission Critical Microwave Communications in the 6 GHz Band.

UTC has serious concerns with the substantive claims made by Higher Ground in this proceeding and, therefore, urges the Commission to deny its application and waiver, and, instead, to proceed through a rulemaking, if at all. Specifically, UTC agrees with FWCC and other comments on the record that question whether the database has been sufficiently tested to reliably and accurately perform as claimed by Higher Ground. As CenturyLink pointed out in its comments, Higher Ground proposes to rely on transmission logs to determine the source of interference that it may be causing; "[y]et it remains questionable – and untested – that transmission logs will be sufficient to identify a device that may be

⁶ *NLRB v. Bell Aerospace Co.*, 416 U.S. 267, 294 at ¶¶29-38 (1974).

⁷ *Ford Motor Co. v. FTC*, 673 F.2d 1008, 1009 (9th Cir. 1981) ("[A]n agency must proceed by rulemaking if it seeks to change the law and establish rules of widespread application.").

⁸ Consolidated Opposition of Higher Ground LLC, File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357 at 6 (filed Sept. 23, 2015).

⁹ Letter from Al Burton, Director Federal Regulatory Affairs, Frontier Communications to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC in response to IBFS File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357, Call Sign E150095 at 2 (filed Aug. 22, 2016).

causing interference.”¹⁰ UTC also agrees with CenturyLink that Higher Ground has proposed an impractical process for remedying interference that would force incumbent licensees to attempt to trace the source of the interference based upon the bandwidth of the signal. This would require the incumbent licensee to conduct engineering tests and have specialized training in order to isolate the signal from Higher Ground operations.¹¹

Moreover, the technical submission in support of Higher Ground’s application is faulty and makes false assumptions about incumbent utility operations. In addition to the concerns about the database and its capabilities described above, Higher Ground’s assumptions about the performance standards to suppress off-axis antenna gain underestimates the potential for its operations to cause interference to incumbent microwave systems in the band.¹² There are also a host of other technical issues associated with Higher Ground’s application, including lack of transparency, conflicting incentives, lack of recourse, adjacent channel interference, errors in the ULS database upon which Higher Ground relies, insufficient modelling, differential fading, and reliance on phone sensors to turn transmissions on and off. All of these issues need to be thoroughly addressed, as well.

Finally, UTC agrees that the transient nature of the proposed operations would exacerbate the potential for interference to incumbent utility systems and make it extremely difficult for utilities to detect, identify, isolate and remedy. As a practical matter, utilities would be subject to intermittent interference at various times and locations, as the Higher Ground devices transmit at different times and locations. This interference could prevent utilities from being able to control and monitor their operations, which could result in outages and other potentially catastrophic impacts. It will be far too late to correct the interference after it has happened as Higher Ground suggests; it needs to be avoided beforehand – the very purpose of frequency coordination. Similarly, this interference could prevent utilities from being able to communicate with personnel in the field and in substations, nuclear power plants and other critical assets, which would threaten safety and reliability. Again, this is an unacceptable risk for utilities.

¹⁰ CenturyLink Reply in Opposition, File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357 at 3-4 (filed Sept. 28, 2015)(also questioning “[h]ow does the fixed link operator know how to quickly identify if a SatPaq device is the cause of the interference and where does it go to address that?”)

¹¹ *Id.* at 4.

¹² Petition to Deny by the FWCC at 10 (stating that “[w]hile HG describes its Figure A-8 pattern as typical, FWCC believes that in fact only about 40% of 6 GHz antennas suppress the off-axis gain to this ultra-high performance level. Most antennas are at lower performance levels, including about 40% that are either standard performance (only meeting Category B1) or improved-performance (just meeting Category A).”)

Conclusion

For all of these reasons, UTC urges the Commission to deny the application and request for waiver by Higher Ground to operate a mobile nationwide system in the 6 GHz band. The application is procedurally defective because it fails to provide a sufficient basis for the waiver that it requests from the restrictions against mobile operations in the 6 GHz band and the general requirement for prior coordination of operations in the band. Moreover on the substantive issues, Higher Ground's operations would threaten to cause widespread interference to incumbent microwave systems in the band that would be impractical to mitigate. The public interest would not be served, because the utility industry and other critical infrastructure industries rely on the 6 GHz band to ensure the safe, reliable and effective delivery of essential services to the public at large. The reliability of these mission critical communications must not be jeopardized by harmful interference from Higher Ground's proposed operations. There are other bands that Higher Ground could use, and it has not demonstrated unique circumstances or any undue burden that would support its use of the 6 GHz band. It is purely arguing from convenience, not necessity. Moreover, the public interest clearly weighs in favor of protecting utility communications systems in the 6 GHz band.

Thank you for your help in this matter. If there are any questions concerning this matter, please let me know.

Respectfully,



Brett Kilbourne

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Higher Ground LLC)	File No. SES-LIC-20150616-00357
)	
Application for a Blanket License to)	Call Sign E150095
Operate C-band Mobile Earth Terminals)	

**REPLY OF THE NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION
TO THE CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW
OF HIGHER GROUND LLC**

The National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (“NRECA”), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 1.115(d) of the Commission’s rules, hereby submits its reply to the Consolidated Opposition to Applications for Review (“Opposition”) filed by Higher Ground LLC (“Higher Ground”).

In January, the Commission issued an Order approving a waiver request filed by Higher Ground that sought authority to deploy up to 50,000 mobile satellite earth stations nationwide in the 5925-6425 MHz band (“6 GHz Band”).¹ Four parties – Enterprise Wireless Alliance, Utilities Technology Council, Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition, and APCO International – filed Applications for Review, seeking full Commission review and reconsideration of the Order. On March 6, 2017, Higher Ground filed the Opposition.

INTRODUCTION

NRECA is the national service organization for more than 900 not-for-profit rural electric cooperatives that provide electric energy to approximately 42 million people in 47 states or approximately 12 percent of electric customers. Rural electric cooperative infrastructure covers

¹ In the Matter of Higher Ground LLC, Order and Authorization, IBFS File No.: SES-LIC-20150616-00357, DA 17-80 (*rel.* Jan. 18, 2017)(“Order”).

75% of the land mass of the United States. NRECA's members include approximately 65 Generation and Transmission ("G&T") cooperatives and 840 Distribution cooperatives. Rural electric cooperatives were formed to provide safe, reliable and affordable electric service to their member-owners at the lowest reasonable cost. Electric cooperatives rely on robust voice and data communications to run their systems to provide reliable, safe and affordable electric service to their member owners. Rural electric cooperatives are dedicated to improving the communities in which they serve; management and staff of rural electric cooperatives are active in rural economic development efforts. Electric cooperatives are private, non-profit entities that are owned and governed by the members to whom they deliver electricity.

DISCUSSION

Higher Ground requested – and was granted – a waiver from the Commission to operate up to 50,000 mobile satellite earth stations nationwide in the 6 GHz band. This band is allocated on a co-primary basis for use by fixed service licensees. These fixed service operators include public safety organizations, critical infrastructure entities, and other wireless providers that collectively operate nearly 60,000 point-to-point microwave links in the 6 GHz band. Many of NRECA's members rely on private, licensed point-to-point microwave networks operating in this band for voice and data communications associated with the management of electric transmission and distribution grids, including protective relaying and other applications having low latency requirements.

NRECA and its members are concerned that Higher Ground's operations will cause harmful interference to these 6 GHz networks because Higher Ground has failed to demonstrate its mobile satellite earth stations will adequately protect incumbent fixed service licensees in the 6 GHz band. The source of interference caused by Higher Ground's mobile operations will

prove difficult to identify in real-world operating environments and challenging to resolve. The concern is even more acute for NRECA and its members because Higher Ground claims its service will be particularly useful in areas unserved by terrestrial commercial cellular service.² These are the same geographic regions in which NRECA members operate their networks, thereby increasing the likelihood that interference from Higher Ground's operations will disproportionately – and negatively – impact the point-to-point microwave networks of NRECA's members. The record in this proceeding lacks sufficient assurances that Higher Ground's operations will prevent interference to NRECA's members' microwave systems in the 6 GHz band. Accordingly, NRECA urges the Commission to reconsider its approval of Higher Ground's waiver request or, at a minimum, place additional conditions on Higher Ground's operations to ensure incumbent 6 GHz licensees are adequately protected and can promptly identify harmful interference caused by Higher Ground's operations.

The Commission placed several conditions on Higher Ground's operations, including requiring Higher Ground to: maintain an activity log, cease operations in the event of a database outage, provide written notice of changes in interference algorithms, provide a direct point of contact for shutdown requests, and provide a competent and responsive contact to work jointly towards resolution of harmful interference.³ The Commission also required Higher Ground to make its coordination data available to any fixed service operator upon request.⁴ NRECA urges the Commission to go one step further and ensure incumbent licensees and applicants receive prior notice in advance of Higher Ground's operations in a given area.

² See, Order at ¶¶ 3 and 11.

³ Order at ¶29.

⁴ Order at ¶36.

The Order relieves Higher Ground of the FCC's frequency coordination obligations,⁵ including requirements that Higher Ground notify and receive responses from potentially impacted licensees, permittees, and applicants.⁶ NRECA is not calling for Higher Ground to follow formal frequency coordination requirements for each of its 50,000 mobile satellite earth stations. However, the FCC should require Higher Ground to operate more closely to the agency's prior coordination rules and provide notice to potentially impacted 6 GHz licensees and applicants before deploying mobile satellite earth stations in a service area. NRECA further requests that Higher Ground provide a monthly log of interference instances to impacted licensees. Interference instances include when Higher Ground terminates or modifies its operations due to detected interference.

These are not onerous requirements. Higher Ground currently relies on a database that is derived from the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS"), which contains a record of all 6 GHz licensees and applicants by geographic area. The ULS database also includes contact information for all licensees and applicants in the 6 GHz band. Higher Ground accesses this database before deploying each of its mobile satellite earth stations in a service area to determine which 6 GHz channel(s) are available for use by the company's mobile satellite earth stations in a location.⁷ The Commission should require Higher Ground to also ascertain the contact information from ULS for all co-channel and adjacent channel licensees in the area when it accesses its database to determine channel availability. Higher Ground should use this contact information to notify all nearby co-channel and adjacent channel users of the company's planned

⁵ 47 C.F.R. §101.103.

⁶ 47 C.F.R. §101.103(d)(2)(i).

⁷ Order at ¶6.

operations before deploying mobile satellite earth stations in that area. The contact information can also be used to provide the log of licensees impacted by Higher Ground's operations.

The principle benefit of requiring prior notice is to alert incumbent licensees to Higher Ground's proposed operations in their service area. Such prior notice will allow licensees to monitor their networks and work directly with Higher Ground to quickly and efficiently resolve any interference issues. Periodically providing an interference log to impacted licensees will also promote cooperation between Higher Ground and incumbent licensees in the 6 GHz band.

NRECA and its members are concerned that Higher Ground's operations will cause harmful interference to existing 6 GHz networks and the concern is more acute for NRECA because Higher Ground's planned deployments may be concentrated in unserved, rural areas. Accordingly, NRECA urges the Commission to reconsider its approval of Higher Ground's waiver request and, at a minimum, place additional obligations on Higher Ground to protect – and cooperate with – incumbent 6 GHz licensees.

Respectfully submitted,

NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC
COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

March 21, 2017

Martha A. Duggan
Senior Director, Regulatory Affairs
National Rural Electric Cooperative Association
4301 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22203
(703) 907-5848
Martha.Duggan@NRECA.coop

By: _____ /s/
C. Douglas Jarrett
Wesley K. Wright
Partner
Keller and Heckman LLP
1001 G Street, NW, Suite 500 West
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 434-4180
Jarrett@khlaw.com

Counsel to NRECA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Beverly Harding, of the law firm of Keller and Heckman LLP, do hereby certify that on this 21st day of March 2017, a copy of the foregoing REPLY OF THE NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION TO THE CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW OF HIGHER GROUND LLC has been served by first class, postage prepaid, mail upon the following:

<p>Adam Krinsky, Esq. Wilkinson Barker Knauer LLP 1800 M Street, NW Suite 800N Washington, DC 20036 Counsel for Higher Ground LLC</p> <p>Michele C. Farquhar Hogan Lovells US LLP 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 Counsel to AAR</p> <p>Robert S. Reis President Higher Ground, LLC 2225 E. Bayshore Road, Suite 200 Palo Alto, CA 94303</p> <p>AJ Burton Director, Federal Regulatory Affairs Frontier Communications 1800 M Street, NW Suite 800N Washington, DC 20036</p> <p>Robert S. Koppel Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs, LLP 7300 Greensboro Drive Suite 1200 McLean, VA 22102 Counsel to Mimosa, Inc</p> <p>Pamila Gist Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs, LLP 7300 Greensboro Drive Suite 1200 McLean, VA 22102 Counsel to Pioneer Cellular</p>	<p>Attention: Dale Shaw TOPAZ Regional Wireless Cooperative c/o City of Mesa Communications Dept PO Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466</p> <p>Jeffrey L. Sheldon, Esq. Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby, LLP 2001 L Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20037 Counsel for Southern Services, Inc.</p> <p>Randy Thompson Communications Administrator City of Mesa Arizona PO Box 1466 Mesa AZ 85211-1466</p> <p>Vince Krog State Radio Engineer Office of Enterprise Technology Services 1177 Alakea Street, Room 201 Honolulu, HI 96813</p> <p>George Kizer President National Spectrum Management Association PO Box 528 Englewood, NJ 07631</p> <p>Brett Kilbourne Vice President & Deputy General Counsel Utilities Technology Council 1129 10th Street, NW, Suite 350 Washington, DC 20036</p>
---	--

Nebraska Public Power District
PO Box 608
York, NE 68467

Tiffany West Smink
CenturyLink
1801 California, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80202

Elizabeth R. Sachs
Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs, LLP
8300 Greensboro Drive
Suite 1200
McLean, VA 22102
Counsel to Enterprise Wireless Alliance

Jonathan Morgan
GMRS - Radio System Manager
City of Garland
1639 Commerce Street
Garland, TX 75040

Scott Gentry
Manager of Technical Services
Kenergy
P.O. Box 18
6402 Old Corydon Road
Henderson, KY 42419-0018

Jeffrey S. Cohen
Mark S. Reddish
APCO INTERNATIONAL
1426 Prince Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

David A. Felix, Executive Director
City of Phoenix
Regional Wireless Cooperative
200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1611

Susan H. Crandall
Associate General Counsel
Intelsat Corporation
7900 Tysons One Place
McLean, VA 22101

Ralph A. Haller, Chairman
National Public Safety Telecommunications
Council
8191 Southpark Lane, Suite 205
Littleton, CO 80120-4641

Mitchell Lazarus and Cheng-yi Liu
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.
1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22209
Counsel to the FWCC

/s/

Beverly Harding

BRIAN D. RUDE
Vice President, External and Member Relations



March 24, 2017

Honorable Chuck Grassley
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington DC 20510

Dear Senator Grassley,

Headquartered in La Crosse, Wisconsin, Dairyland Power Cooperative provides wholesale electricity to 24 primarily rural distribution cooperatives and 17 municipal utilities—power to over 600,000 people. Dairyland's service area encompasses 46,000 square miles across 62 counties in Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, and Illinois.


I am writing you today because the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has granted a waiver of its rules to a company called Higher Ground. This waiver allows Higher Ground to operate 50,000 mobile earth terminals for satellite communications. These terminals' intended use is text messaging, email, and *Internet of Things* in unserved, mainly rural networks. We consider this a laudable goal.

However, our microwave network carries all the crucial communications used to maintain the integrity and reliability of our portion of electrical grid. Interference and interruption of these microwave links by the service Higher Ground is proposing may greatly affect the safety and quality of power received by our members and the consumers we serve.

Access to the internet is important but supporting the electric grid that powers the most basic services for the health and well-being of Rural America *must* take precedence.

Dairyland is a member of the Utilities Technology Council (UTC), who filed an *ex parte* with the FCC in September (see attached). The document stated our objections and concerns with Higher Ground's application. Despite our objections, the FCC granted the application and rules waiver for Higher Ground on January 18, 2017. On behalf of its members, UTC has completed an *Application for Review* to file with the FCC. The main points of contention are:

- There is not sufficient basis for waiving the rules.
- The Order is contrary to the intent of Congress, as the previous Administration issued the ruling in its waning days, which addressed controversial and complex issues.
- The Order does not serve the public interest. The larger public interest is protecting the fixed microwave services and the vital data it carries to support critical utility services.

A Touchstone Energy® Cooperative 

3200 East Ave. S. • PO Box 817 • La Crosse, WI 54602-0817 • 608-787-1320 • 608-787-1420 fax • www.dairylandpower.com

Dairyland Power Cooperative is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

- The Order fundamentally changes longstanding rules by allowing mobile operations in a band that previously prohibited it and exempts Higher Ground from obtaining prior frequency coordination.
- Higher Ground's mobile operations threaten to cause widespread interference to fixed service microwave used by utilities across the country. The utilities use the microwave system to support mission critical voice and data communications, and to monitor and control SCADA systems to ensure the safe, reliable, and secure delivery of essential electric, gas, and water services.

The microwave communications provided in this frequency band provide the secure and reliable communications rural electric cooperatives require. Our microwave system provides numerous public services, such as:


- **Public Safety Communications**—Dairyland has an excellent relationship with our local and state public safety and government agencies who serve our consumers. We work cooperatively with many of them to share communications infrastructure.
- **Pilot Protection**—This alerts us to intermittent interruptions or outages on our grid. Interference on the microwave network increases the risk of prolonged outages for consumers. It is critical this system operates seamlessly for the stability of the electric grid and safety of the public.
- **Mobile Radio**—A reliable mobile radio network is extremely important during emergencies because other methods of communication are completely offline or can be so saturated they are unable to function. Coverage from our microwave network can be better in the more rural areas we serve, where cellular service is either unreliable, not available, or could be down in an emergency. Dairyland's mobile radio system carries our back-up communications system for emergencies.
- **Voice Connections**—Our microwave system carries voice connections to our transmission substations and communication sites. This provides redundancies for emergency communications at remote locations and is vital for our substations.
- In addition, many of our member cooperatives also use the microwave network for a reliable and secure connection to Dairyland to monitor their portions of the grid.

Should the Higher Ground waiver stay in place, it is possible Dairyland would have to build a parallel communications network to insure a reliable source of information for our consumers. This would require the construction of dozens of new towers, at a cost of around \$500,000 each. Dairyland would also have to update equipment at scores of existing sites to communicate with these new towers. The total costs for all of this could easily creep into the tens of millions of dollars.

Senator Grassley
Page 3
March 24, 2017

Our partners at UTC and in-state peers like WEC Energy Group share our concerns. The National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, our nation-wide trade group who represents over 900 electric cooperatives serving close to 50 million people has also engaged on this issue (see attached). We encourage you to engage the FCC and ask them to reconsider the waiver they have granted Higher Ground. We can all agree rural internet accessibility is important. However, the seamless operation of the electric grid must take precedence as it provides the core service the internet, health care, and all the most basic functions of our homes, farms, and businesses depend on. Please impress this upon the FCC and encourage them to reconsider and reverse the Higher Ground waiver.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brian Rude". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "B".

Brian Rude
Vice President
External & Member Relations

BDR:NSF:rjk

Enclosures