



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

April 16, 2014

DA 14-512

Mr. Enrique Amezcua  
X2nSat  
1310 Redwood Way  
Petaluma, CA 94954

[enrique@x2nsat.com](mailto:enrique@x2nsat.com)

Call Sign: E130177  
File No.: SES-LIC-20130926-00838

Dear Mr. Amezcua:

On September 26, 2013, X2nSat refiled the above-captioned application<sup>1</sup> for a new VSAT network that includes a fixed earth station, located in Petaluma, CA, and three types of remote earth stations.<sup>2</sup> All earth stations would access the SES-2 (Call Sign S2826) satellite at the 87° W.L. orbital location, from points within CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands in the 11.7-12.2 GHz (space-to-Earth) and 14.0-14.5 GHz (Earth-to-space) frequency bands. Pursuant to Section 25.112(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112(a)(1), we dismiss the application, without prejudice to re-filing.<sup>3</sup>

Section 25.112 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112, requires the Commission to return, as unacceptable for filing, any earth station application that is not substantially complete, contains internal inconsistencies, or does not substantially comply with the Commission's rules. For the reason set forth below, we find X2nSat's application is deficient and subject to dismissal.

In the Commission's previous dismissal letter, we requested that, for the 0.75-meter Newtec model MDM2200 antenna, X2nSat submit as part of any re-filed application information related to antenna performance. X2nSat was required to submit information related to any one of the three antenna performance certification methods below:

1. the antenna manufacturer's certification that demonstrates compliance with Section 25.209(a) and (b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § § 25.209(a) and (b) regarding antenna performance standards; or
2. the certifications listed in Section 25.220(d)(1)(i-iv) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.220(d)(1)(i-iv); or,

---

<sup>1</sup> This application is a re-filing of application SES-LIC-20130903-00775 that was dismissed as defective without prejudice to re-filing in FCC letter, DA 13-1973, released September 24, 2013.

<sup>2</sup> The three types of remote antennas are: 1.8-meter Skyware Global antennas; 1.2-meter Skyware Global antennas, and 0.75-meter Newtec model MDM2200 antennas.


<sup>3</sup> If X2nSat re-files an application identical to the application dismissed, with the exception of supplying the corrected information, it need not pay an application fee. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.1111(d).

3. the call sign and specific application file number in which this type of antenna has been previously approved.<sup>4</sup>

X2NSat failed to submit any of the required information.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 25.112(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.112(c), and Section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegations of authority, 47 C.F.R. § 0.261, we dismiss X2nSat's above-captioned application without prejudice to re-filing.

Sincerely,



Paul E. Blais  
Chief, Systems Analysis Branch  
Satellite Division  
International Bureau

---

<sup>4</sup> *Fifth Report and Order*, 20 FCC Rcd at 5666 (para. 59). The Commission maintains a list of approved non-routine antennas at <http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sd/nresa/#>.