Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 12-68

January 19, 2012

Mrs. Liz Karr
Clear Channel Satellite Services
76 Inverness Dr. East, Suite B
Englewood, CO 80112

Call Sign: E010074
File No.: SES-LIC-20111019-01241

Dear Mrs. Karr:

On October 19, 2011, Clear Channel Satellite Services (Clear Channel) filed the above-captioned application for a new license for earth station E010074 in Englewood, Colorado to replace its license that expired on May 7, 2011. For the reason explained below, we dismiss the application without prejudice to refiling.[1]

In response to Question 15 in Schedule B, Clear Channel indicates that Antenna Id CCSS37150C complies with Sections 25.209(a)and (b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.209(a) and (b). However, our review of the submitted antenna gain patterns indicates that this antenna exceeds the gain envelope in Sections 25.209(a) and (b) for angle θ between 1.5 and 7.0 degrees. While we recognize that we previously licensed this antenna (see IBFS File No. SES-MOD-20060420-00694), this license expired, and the new application does not include antenna gain patterns that comply with Sections 25.209(a) and (b). Clear Channel did not request waivers of Sections 25.209(a) and (b). Therefore, we dismiss the application without prejudice to refiling.

If you choose to refile an application for a license for this earth station, please consider that earth stations that do not meet the antenna gain pattern requirements may still be licensed if:

(1) the proposed earth station antenna is listed on the FCC's List of Approved Non-Routine Earth Station Antennas at http://transition.fcc.gov/ib/sd/nresa/# and will offer the same kinds of services as the previously authorized antenna and will operate with the same or substantially similar parameters; or

[1] If Clear Channel refiles an application identical to the one dismissed, with the exception of supplying the corrected information, it need not pay an application fee. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.1111(d).

(2) the proposed earth station complies with the applicable off-axis effective isotropic radiated power (eirp) envelope set forth in Section 25.218 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.218, as demonstrated by data submitted in accordance with Section 25.115(h) Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.115(h); or

(3) the proposed earth station complies with the requirements set forth in Section 25.220 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 25.220, for non-conforming earth station operations.

Sincerely,

Paul E. Blais
Chief, Systems Analysis Branch
Satellite Division
International Bureau