

From: Ken Ryan [ken.ryan@skjeitelecom.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2007 3:24 PM
To: Eleanor Lott
Subject: RE: SES-LIC-20070809-01057, E070174

Attachments: Exhibit B 25.277 Acknowledgement Enlace.pdf; Radiation Hazard Analysis Enlace Revised 083107.pdf
Dear Ms. Lott:

I have attached an Exhibit B that says we are aware of the need to perform frequency coordination when using this C-band transportable. I have also attached a revised radiation hazard analysis with the 69.4 watts maximum RF power specified (I apologize for the mix-up I believe that the submitted radhaz did not consider the final earth station design parameters). I hope this provides you with the information you need. If you need any additional information please let me know.

Best regards,

Ken

Kenneth G. Ryan, P.E.
Skjei Telecom, Inc.
Main: 703.917.4020
Fax: 703.917.0098
Mobile: 703 919.0361
email: ken@skjeitelecom.com
<http://www.skjeitelecom.com/>

From: Eleanor Lott [mailto:Eleanor.Lott@fcc.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2007 2:53 PM
To: ken@skjeitelecom.com
Subject: Re: SES-LIC-20070809-01057, E070174

Hello Mr. Ken,

On your pending application above supplement information is needed for completion you need to submit a statement letter attachment regarding that you are award of the FCC rule 25.277 of the CFR for a SNG Truck you have freq coordination when in operation of your earth station, and also your FCC Form 312 schedule B question # E38 the Watts Power being 69.4 and on your Radiation Hazard Analysis you have 19.96 watts; why is there such a big different in the values of the two per staff engineer? Please respond back to the correct information in question.

Thanks
Eleanor M. Lott