

555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
Tel: +1.202.637.2200 Fax: +1.202.637.2201
www.lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

November 20, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING IN IBFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES
Abu Dhabi Milan
Barcelona Moscow
Beijing Munich
Boston New Jersey
Brussels New York
Chicago Orange County
Doha Paris
Dubai Riyadh
Düsseldorf Rome
Frankfurt San Diego
Hamburg San Francisco
Hong Kong Shanghai
Houston Silicon Valley
London Singapore
Los Angeles Tokyo
Madrid Washington, D.C.

Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation, Inmarsat Hawaii Inc., IBFS File No. SES-LIC-20120426-00397; SES-AMD-20120823-00781; Call Sign E120072

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 18, 2014, Peter Hadinger and Chris Murphy of Inmarsat, Inc. (“Inmarsat”), Giselle Creeser of GC Consulting Inc., and John Janka and Elizabeth Park of Latham & Watkins LLP, met with Jose Albuquerque, Karl Kensinger, Stephen Duall, Kathryn Medley, Alyssa Roberts, Kal Krautkramer, Chip Fleming and Paul Blais of the International Bureau. Separately, Miriam Murphy of Inmarsat, Mr. Murphy, Ms. Creeser and Mr. Janka met with Renee Gregory from Chairman Wheeler’s office. During each meeting, the Inmarsat representatives discussed the above-referenced application for a gateway antenna located in Lino Lakes, Minnesota, and market access for the Inmarsat-5 F2 satellite (“I5F2”) at 55° W.L. (the “Application”).

Specifically, Inmarsat provided a status update on the expected January launch of I5F2 and reiterated the analysis set forth in the Application and in its Opposition to Iridium’s Petition to Deny.¹ Namely, there is no dispute regarding the technical compatibility of Inmarsat’s proposed operations in the 19.4-19.6 GHz and 29.1-29.25 GHz band segments, and there is no technical reason not to provide Inmarsat the waiver required to allow it to operate on a non-interference basis in these band segments in the United States. In fact, Inmarsat’s proposed operations at Lino Lakes would occur within the very same spectrum sharing “envelope” within which I5F2’s operations otherwise would be conducted without regard to the Application. Moreover, facilitating spectrum sharing on a non-harmful interference basis in underutilized spectrum, and in the absence of any showing of technical incompatibility, is fully consistent with

¹ Inmarsat Hawaii Inc., Opposition to Petition to Deny of Iridium Satellite LLC, File Nos. SES-LIC-20120426-00397; SES-AMD-20120823-00781, Call Sign E120072, at 5-8 (filed Oct. 9, 2012).

LATHAM & WATKINS^{LLP}

Commission policy. Inmarsat urged the Commission to grant the Application expeditiously given the imminent launch of I5F2.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

John P. Janka
Elizabeth R. Park

cc: Renee Gregory
Jose Albuquerque
Karl Kensinger
Stephen Duall
Kathryn Medley
Alyssa Roberts
Kal Krautkramer
Chip Fleming
Paul Blais

Donna Bethea Murphy, Iridium
Joseph Godles, Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright