

ORIGINAL

WILKINSON) BARKER) KNAUER) LLP

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

2300 N STREET, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20037
TEL 202.783.4141
FAX 202.783.5851
www.wbklaw.com
STEPHEN L. GOODMAN
202-383-3429
sgoodman@wbklaw.com

October 15, 2004

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments of SWE-DISH regarding the Amendment to the Application of AvL Technologies, File No. SES-AMD-20040903-01302

Dear Ms. Dortch:

SWE-DISH Satellite Communications, Inc. (“SWE-DISH”), pursuant to Section 25.154(a) of the Commission’s Rules, hereby comments on the above-referenced amendment filed by AvL Technologies (“AvL”).¹ As a general matter, SWE-DISH has little in the way of objections to the amendment *per se*, particularly in light of the fact that nothing significant appears to have been changed by the amendment. As far as SWE-DISH can tell, the amendment (i) changes a response to a question with regard to AvL’s status as a representative of a foreign government from a “not applicable” to a “no”; and (ii) some relatively minor changes in power limits. SWE-DISH’s review of the amendment, however, was made more difficult because the description submitted by AvL did not make clear what the proposed changes were, and created additional confusion by referring to attachments that apparently were not included in the amendment.

¹ AvL’s amendment appeared on Public Notice in Report No. SES-00640, September 15, 2004. Despite the fact that SWE-DISH had previously filed a Petition to Deny against the AvL application (so that the underlying application proceeding is “restricted”), SWE-DISH was not served with a copy of AvL’s application. Presumably the amendment is a communications directed to the merits of this proceeding, and thus a “presentation” under the Commission’s Rules. To the extent there will be other communications between AvL and the Commission going to the merits of AvL’s applications (or to the extent that any such previous communications transpired), SWE-DISH expects to be served with copies of the documents and expects that the Commission’s database will also reflect any such communications.