

Morgan Lewis

Ulises R. Pin
Leetal Weiss

ulises.pin@morganlewis.com
leetal.weiss@morganlewis.com

July 28, 2026

VIA ICFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
45 L Street NE
Washington, DC 20554

Re: SCL-RWL-20251118-00073; SCL-AMD-20260416-00059; SCL-AMD-20260728-00103 – Supplement and Amendment to Application for Modification and Renewal of MAYA-1.2 Cable System

SECOND SUPPLEMENT

Dear Ms. Dortch:

As requested by Commission staff, Columbus Networks Limited (d.b.a. "Liberty Networks"), A.Sur Net, Inc. ("A.Sur Net"), and Cable and Wireless (Cayman Islands) Limited ("C&W CI") (collectively, with Liberty Networks and A.Sur Net, "Liberty Networks Licensees"), Ufinet Costa Rica S.A. ("Ufinet"), and Empresa Hondureña de Telecomunicaciones Hondutel ("Hondutel") (collectively, "Applicants"), supplement the record in the above-captioned proceeding regarding the application for modification and renewal of the MAYA-1.2 Cable System.

- 1. The April 27, 2026 supplement states, "T8 (Venezuela) have been retired" (Supplement at 2). The cable landing license granted in 1999, stated, "[t]he Joint Applicants state that there is a possibility of including a landing point in Venezuela, and further state that the Joint Applicants will notify the Commission in the event Venezuela is added as a landing point to MAYA-1." We are unable, however, to find a record that the Commission was notified of the addition of Venezuela as a landing point or that the cable landing license was modified to include Venezuela as a landing point.**

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
United States

T +1.202.739.3000
F +1.202.739.3001

- a. **Could you please confirm the date that the landing point in Venezuela was added to the Maya-1 cable system? Could you please also confirm the date that segment T8 was retired?**

Response: The MAYA-1 cable system was *not* modified to include Venezuela. As stated in the cable landing license granted in 1999, "If an additional landing point is added, T8 will comprise the land segment to Venezuela". The reference in the April 27, 2026 Supplement regarding "T8 (Venezuela) have been retired" (Supplement at 2), was to advise the Commission of segment retirements including T8 which was designed for a potential landing point for MAYA-1 in Venezuela that did not occur.

- o **Could you please confirm if the Commission was notified of the new landing point in Venezuela? Was a modification application previously filed for the addition of the landing point in Venezuela?**

Response: As stated in Question 1(a), the MAYA-1 cable system was *not* modified to include Venezuela.

2. **Could you please provide clarifications in response to our previous questions about the equity and voting interests held in subsegments T1, T2, and T3? We seek to confirm whether the response to Question 20 in the ICFS form is consistent with the narrative attachment that was filed with the renewal application. If the information in the ICFS form requires correction, please amend the form accordingly.**

According to the narrative attachment, "Segment T includes the cable stations and related equipment" (Attachment at 3). The narrative attachment states, "Segment T consists of the following subsegments: T1 comprises the land segment at Hollywood, Florida, United States; T2 comprises the land segment at Puerto Cortes, Honduras; and T3 comprises the land segment at Half Moon Bay, Cayman Islands. Each subsegment of Segment T is owned by the terminal party" (*id.*).

- a. **Given the description above, could you please explain why Question 20 identifies T2 as a segment route from Hollywood, FL to Puerto Cortes, Honduras, and T3 as a segment route from Hollywood, FL to Half Moon Bay, Cayman Islands?**

Response: The Applicants have revised Question 20 to state that T2 is from Puerto Cortes, Honduras to Puerto Cortes, Honduras. The Applicants have revised T3 to state that it is from Half Moon Bay, Cayman Islands to Half Moon Bay, Cayman Islands.

- b. **Could you please explain why the response to Question 20 indicates that A.Sur Net, Inc. holds 18.7% equity and voting interests in T1 (which is identified in the amended ICFS form as a segment from Hollywood, FL to Hollywood, FL)? According to the narrative attachment, A.Sur Net, Inc. will control and AT&T Inc. owns T1 (Attachment at 9).**

Response: A.Sur Net, Inc.'s ("A.Sur Net") 18.7% equity and voting interest in T1 in Question 20 demonstrates A.Sur Net's equity and voting interest in the cable system. AT&T owns the cable landing station and associated terrestrial facilities in Hollywood, Florida but such facilities will be under the control of A.Sur Net (Attachment at 10). AT&T has no equity or voting interest in the cable system. AT&T's ownership in the cable landing station, which is used for TI, is provided in Question 15.

- c. **Could you please explain why the response to Question 20 indicates that Empresa Hondureña de Telecomunicaciones Hondutel (Hondutel) holds 12.5% equity and voting interests in T2? According to the narrative attachment, Hondutel will own and control T2 (Attachment at 9).**

Response: Hondutel holds 12.5% equity and voting interest in the cable system. The response identifies Hondutel's equity and voting interest in the cable system for the T2 segment route. Hondutel's ownership in the cable landing station, which is used for T2, is provided in Question 15.

- d. **Could you please explain why the response to Question 20 indicates that Cable and Wireless (Cayman Islands) Limited (C&W CI) holds 4.3% equity and voting interests in T3? According to the narrative attachment, C&W CI will own and control T3 (Attachment at 9).**

- e. **Response:** C&W CI holds 4.3% equity and voting interest in the cable system. The response identifies C&W CI's equity and voting interest in the cable system for the T3 route. C&W CI's ownership in the cable landing station, which is used for T3, is provided in Question 15.

3. **In Question 15 of the ICFS form, could you please amend column (f) in row #2 to indicate "AT&T Enterprises, LLC (ownership)" and "A.Sur Net (control)" of the cable landing station in Hollywood, Florida? Currently, the table indicates that "AT&T Inc." (rather than "AT&T Enterprises, LLC") holds 100% equity interests and 100% voting interests in the cable landing station. Based on the renewal application and the request for Special Temporary Authority (SCL-STA-20260411-00057), we understand that AT&T Enterprises, LLC owns and A.Sur Net will control the cable landing station in Hollywood, Florida.**

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
July 28, 2026
Page 4

Response: Applicants amended the form to note that AT&T Enterprises, LLC owns the cable landing station in Hollywood, Florida and A.Sur Net controls the cable landing station and associated terrestrial facilities for the MAYA-1.2 landing but does not have equity or voting interest in the cable landing station.

- 4. In Question 4.a of the Supplement A form that was submitted on behalf of Cable and Wireless (Cayman Islands) Limited (ending in -00002), could you please update row #2 to reflect "holding company" in lieu of "holding companu"?**

Response: Row #2 has been updated to reflect "holding company".

Please contact the undersigned for any further questions regarding the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ulises R. Pin

Ulises R. Pin
Leetal Weiss

Counsel for Applicants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Leetal Weiss, certify that consistent with 47 C.F.R. § 1.767(j), I have served copies of the foregoing Second Supplement to the MAYA-1.2 cable system, by overnight this 28th day of July, 2026, to the following:

U.S. Coordinator, EB/CIP
U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street NW
Washington, DC 20520-5818

Office of Chief Counsel/NTIA
U.S. Department of Commerce
1401 Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Defense Information Systems Agency
ATTN: GC/DO1
6910 Cooper Avenue
Fort Meade, MD 20755-7088

/s/ Leetal Weiss
Leetal Weiss