

1776 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 PHONE 202.719.7000 202.719.7049 FAX

Virginia Office 7925 JONES BRANCH DRIVE SUITE 6200 McLEAN, VA 22102 PHONE 703.905.2800 703.905.2820 FAX

www.wrf.com

Wilev Rein & Fielding LLP

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

mi Burea

Fion: Ones

JUM 1 5 2004 RECEIVED

JUN - 7 2004

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Bert W. Rein 202.719.7080 brein@wrf.com

Received

ORIGINAL

JUN 2 1 2004

Hongy Branch International Bureau

DIRECTV Enterprises, LLC, Request for Special Temporary Authority to Re: Relocate DIRECTV 3 to 82° W.L. and To Conduct Telemetry, Tracking and Command ("TTAC") Operations for an Interim Period, File No. SAT-STA-20030903-00300

Dear Ms. Dortch:

445 12th Street, SW

Washington, DC 20554

June 7, 2004

Telesat Canada ("Telesat"), by its attorneys, pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"),<sup>1</sup> provides this notice of an oral ex parte presentation in the above-referenced proceeding in which DIRECTV Enterprises, LLC ("DIRECTV") seeks special temporary authority to relocate the DIRECTV 3 satellite to the Canadian 82° W.L. broadcast satellite service orbital location.

On June 4, 2004, Bert W. Rein of Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP, counsel to Telesat Canada ("Telesat"), had a phone conversation with Roderick Porter, Deputy Chief, International Bureau, FCC. The purpose of the call was to reiterate Telesat's immediate customer need for prompt grant of the long pending STA request.

During the call, the participants discussed the provision in Telesat's and DIRECTV's commercial arrangement that requires Telesat to return the DIRECTV 3 satellite to DIRECTV in the event that DIRECTV experiences two catastrophic failures with its satellite system. Telesat explained that this "repatriation" provision is of commercial, not regulatory, significance. Specifically, the parties decision to include in the commercial arrangement of a highly contingent return of the satellite from a Canadian orbital location to a U.S. orbital location should not impede with regulatory approval of the initial transfer where, as here, that transfer would be approvable without the "repatriation" provision.

47 C.F.R. §1.1206.

No. of Ocplas rec'd (). List ABCDE

1

## Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch June 7, 2004 Page 2

Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned counsel for Telesat. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bat R-

Bert W. Rein

cc: Roderick Porter, IB Karl Kensinger, IB