

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
Tel: (202) 637-2200 Fax: (202) 637-2201
www.lw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES
Boston New York
Brussels Northern Virginia
Chicago Orange County
Frankfurt Paris
Hamburg San Diego
Hong Kong San Francisco
London Shanghai
Los Angeles Silicon Valley
Milan Singapore
Moscow Tokyo
New Jersey Washington, D.C.

Received

OCT 05 2005

Policy Branch
International Bureau

October 4, 2005

By Hand

Mr. Donald Abelson
Chief, International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20054

RECEIVED - FCC

OCT - 4 2005

Federal Communication Commission
Bureau / Office

Re: Request to Designate Proceeding as "Permit But Disclose"
EXPEDITED PROCESSING REQUESTED

Inmarsat Global Limited
FCC File No. SAT-PPL-20050926-00184

Dear Mr. Abelson:

Inmarsat Global Limited ("Inmarsat") respectfully requests that the Commission designate as "permit but disclose" the *ex parte* status of this proceeding, which involves Inmarsat's Petition for Declaratory Ruling to serve the U.S. with a 2 GHz MSS spacecraft. The Commission has discretion to modify the application of its *ex parte* rules in any particular proceeding where, as here, the public interest warrants doing so. 47 C.F.R. § 1.1200(a).

This matter is inextricably intertwined with two pending proceedings where the Commission is considering licensing "returned" 2 GHz MSS spectrum to TMI/TerreStar and ICO, IB Docket Nos. 05-220 and 05-221. Both of those proceedings (i) involve proposals to modify the satellite authorizations of other entities, and (ii) have been designated "permit but disclose" for *ex parte* purposes.¹ Inmarsat's submissions in those two proceedings discuss its general plans for the 2 GHz satellite network with respect to which authority is sought here, and those intentions are more specifically delineated here.

Therefore, the free exchange of views made possible by "permit but disclose" status would allow for a more complete record,² and would apply the same *ex parte* rules to

¹ *Comments Concerning Use of Portions of Returned 2 GHz Mobile Satellite Service Frequencies*, FCC 05-133, 05-134 (rel. June 29, 2005).


² *See, e.g., Public Notice*, Report No. SPB-136 (Aug. 25, 1998) (modifying *ex parte* status of contested TMI earth station application to "permit but disclose" to "assist the Commission in developing a complete record on which a well-reasoned decision can be made").

LATHAM & WATKINS^{LLP}

Inmarsat's 2 GHz request as those that apply to the pending requests of TMI/TerreStar and ICO for additional 2 GHz spectrum. Conducting this matter on a permit-but-disclose basis also would be consistent with the *ex parte* treatment of other requests for satellite-related authorizations that were related to pending rulemaking proceedings.³

Inmarsat respectfully seeks expedited grant of this request to allow it to continue the dialogue with the Commission that Inmarsat was able to have prior to TMI/TerreStar's October 3, 2005 letter indicating its intention to participate in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,


John P. Janka

cc (by hand):

Roderick K. Porter, Deputy Chief, International Bureau

Gregory C. Staple
Edward Price
VINSON & ELKINS
1455 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-1008
*Counsel for TMI Communications and
Company Limited Partnership*

Jonathan D. Blake
Kurt A. Wimmer
Matthew S. DelNero
COVINGTON & BURLING
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2401
Counsel for TerreStar Networks Inc.

³ See, e.g., Motion to Designate Proceedings as "Permit But Disclose," SAT-MOD-20031118-00333, SAT-AMD-20031118-00332 (filed Nov. 19, 2003, granted by grant stamp Dec. 2, 2003) (lifting "restricted" status of MSV satellite modification application in light of the related pending ATC rulemaking).