PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & CARRISON LLP

1015 L STREET. NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5694 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300 FACSIMILE (202) 223-7420

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1948-1991) RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956) SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995) (1927-1950) LOUIS S. WEISS JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

202-223-7340 WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

202-223-7440 WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

pspector@paulweiss.com

1265 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10019-0064 TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000 FACSIMILE (212) 757-3990

62, RUE DU FAUBOURG SAINT-HONORÉ 75008 PARIS, FRANCE TELEPHONE (33 1) 53 43 14 14 FACSIMILE (33 1) 53 43 00 23

FUKOKU SEIMEL BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101 FACSIMILE (81-3) 3597-6120

> ORIENTAL PLAZA, TOWER E3 SUITE 1205 NO. I EAST CHANG AN AVENUE DONG CHENG DISTRICT BEIJING, 100738 PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 8518-2766 FACSIMILE (86-10) 8518-2760/61

12TH FLOOR, HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING 3A CHATER ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2536-9933 FACSIMILE (852) 2530-9622

> ALDER CASTLE IO NOBLE STREET LONDON EC2V 7JU. U.K. TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600 FACSIMILE (44 20) 7367 1650

> > June 2, 2004

PARTNERS RESIDENT IN WASHINGTON

DALE M. SARRO JOSEPH J. SIMONS PHILLIP L. SPECTOR STUART G. STEINGOLD HENK BRANDS DA PATRICK S. CAMPBELL JC KENNETH A. GALLO PH ROBERT P. PARKER ST WARREN B. RUDMAN, OF COUNSEL

PARTNERS NOT RESIDENT IN WASHINGTON

WARREN B. RUDMAN, OF COI PARTNERS NOT RESIDENT IN MARK H. ALBERT* MARK H. ALBERT* ROBERT A. ATKINS* JOHN F. BAUGHMAN* LYNN B. BAYARD* DANIEL J. BELLER MITCHELL L. BERG* MITCHELL L. BERG* MITCHELL J. BELLER MITCHELL S. BERGHAN BRUCE BIRENBOIM JOHN F. BREGLIOS MICHARD J. BRONSTEIN* JOHN F. BREGLIOS MICHARD J. BRONSTEIN* DUGLAS A. CIFU* LEWIS R. CLAYTON JAY COMEN RUSSELL E. COLWELL* RUSSEL E. COLWELL* RUSSER ALL P. HUMENBAM ANDREW J. FEETR* RUSSER ANDREW G. GOODEN* BRUCE A. GUTENPLAN* GAINES GWAITERTY ANDREW G. GOROON* BRUCE A. GUTENPLAN* GUNES MUNERS MICHAELS JOHNSON MEREDITH J. KARER RUSSER *NOT AN ACTVE MEMBER OF T

RUBEN KRAIEM* DANIEL J. KRAMER* DAVID K. LAKHDHIR JOHN E. LANGE* DANIEL J. LEFFELL* MARTIN LONDON JEFFREY D. MARELL* JOHN E. LANGE* DANIEL J. LEFFELL* MARTIN LONDON JEFFREY D. MARELL* MARCO V. MASOTTI* EDWIN S. MAYNARD* TOBY S. MAYNARD* TOBY S. MAYNARD* JOHN E. NATHAN* KEVIN J. O'BRIEN* ALEX YOUNG K. OH* JOHN J. O'BRIEN* ALEX YOUNG K. OH* JOHN J. O'NEIKER* MARK F. POMERANTZ* VALERIE E. RADWANER* CAREY R. RAMOS MICHAEL B. REEDE* CARL L. REISHER* WALTER REMAN* SIDNEY S. ROSENBERG* SIDNEY S. ROSENBERG* SIDNEY S. ROSENBERG* ANDREW N. ROSENBERG* MICHAEL B. SCHIMER* JAMES H. SCHMERS* MICHAEL J. SEGAL* STEPPEN J. SHIMSHAK* MICHAEL J. SEGAL* MICHAEL J. SEGAL* MICHAEL J. SEGAL* ANDAN SYNNOTT* ROBENT F. TARNOFSKY* JUDITH R. THOYER* MARK A. UNDERBERG* MARKA. UNDERBERG* MARKA. UNDERBERG* MARKA. UNDERBERG* MOSES SIL STEVEN SIM MARILYN SC AIDAN SYNH NOBYN F. T DANIEL J. T MARK A. UI MARIA A. UI MARIA A. UI THEODORE STEVEN L. LISA YANO V. WELLS, JR. JORDAN E. YARETT

*NOT AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE DC BAR.

By Hand

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, N.W., TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Received JUN 2 9 2004

Policy Branch International Bureau

Re: Inmarsat Ventures Limited, File No. SAT-MSC-20040210-00027

Dear Ms. Dortch:

SES AMERICOM, Inc. ("SES AMERICOM") submits this letter in response to a May 19, 2004, letter that Intelsat filed with the Federal Communications Commission (the "Commission") in the above-referenced proceeding.¹

It is perhaps not surprising that Intelsat -- having "withdrawn" its longplanned initial public offering ("IPO") of equity and announced that it intends to "explore" an "acquisition," presumably through a private equity transaction² -- has decided now to support Inmarsat's ORBIT Act efforts,³ seeking to force the "round

¹ Letter from Jennifer D. Hindin, Counsel for Intelsat, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission (the "Intelsat Letter").

² "Intelsat Announces Decision to Withdraw Planned Initial Public Offering of Shares and Intention to Explore Strategic Alternatives," Intelsat Press Release, May 21, 2004.

³ See Letter from Jennifer D. Hindin, Counsel for Intelsat, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, May 13, 2004. Oddly, one week after telling FCC officials that they should "take expedited action to validate Inmarsat's approach to the IPO obligation," id., Intelsat told the Commission that Intelsat "takes no position" on Inmarsat's ORBIT Act compliance, as the issue is raised in the instant proceeding. Intelsat Letter at 1.

peg" of a private equity buyout into the "square hole" of the ORBIT Act's plain requirement that both companies conduct equity IPOs. But it is unfortunate that Intelsat has chosen to do so by casting aspersions on other parties raising legitimate issues about Inmarsat's approach to ORBIT Act compliance.

In its May 19 letter, Intelsat accused SES AMERICOM of an "inaccurate" characterization of recent public remarks by Intelsat's CEO, Mr. Conny Kullman.⁴ Intelsat did not dispute the accuracy of the quotations from Mr. Kullman provided by SES AMERICOM in a May 13, 2004, letter to the Commission;⁵ instead, Intelsat argued that SES AMERICOM had distorted the meaning of these quotations.⁶

SES AMERICOM does not have access to a full recording of Mr. Kullman's remarks, because Intelsat -- while purporting to value accuracy⁷ -- has declined to provide such a recording.⁸ Hence, SES AMERICOM must rely upon various media accounts of the remarks. The media unquestionably portraved Mr. Kullman as having contrasted the ORBIT Act's concept of an IPO with the transactions effectuated by Inmarsat.

For example, Communications Daily reported that Mr. Kullman "said Intelsat considers the ORBIT Act IPO requirement to be 'quite clear,' in comparison with Inmarsat which has asked the FCC to certify that a buyout of the company by private equity firms and a public debt offering are 'consistent with' the IPO requirement."⁹ Likewise, The Deal reported Mr. Kullman to have "said . . . that [Intelsat] is not currently in discussions to sell the company. He said that under the ORBIT Act an IPO is Intelsat's only choice if it wants to be able to offer a full line of services in the U.S."¹⁰ Based on these reports, it was entirely reasonable for SES AMERICOM to interpret Mr. Kullman's remarks to mean that he did not consider a private equity buyout to be an IPO for ORBIT Act purposes, that he did not believe a transaction other than an equity IPO would satisfy the ORBIT Act, and that Inmarsat's private equity buyout therefore did not comply with the ORBIT Act.

It is noteworthy that SES AMERICOM's interpretation of Mr. Kullman's remarks is shared by a reporter who listened to the conference call, and subsequently reviewed both SES AMERICOM's and Intelsat's accounts of the remarks.

7 See id.

4

9 COMMUNICATIONS DAILY, May 12, 2004, at 8.

Intelsat Letter at 2. 5 See Letter from Phillip L. Spector, Attorney for SES AMERICOM, Inc., to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

⁶ Intelsat Letter at 2.

⁸ SES AMERICOM asked Intelsat for the complete recording of Mr. Kullman's remarks so that SES AMERICOM could investigate Intelsat's allegations of inaccuracy. Intelsat declined the request.

¹⁰ THE DEAL, May 12, 2004.

Space News recently concluded, in an article comparing both accounts, that "Kullman appeared to say that Intelsat must proceed with an initial public offering (IPO) of stock to satisfy U.S. regulations."¹¹

SES AMERICOM recognizes that Intelsat has much at stake in matters of ORBIT Act compliance. Nonetheless, Intelsat should refrain from making unfounded accusations in the course of advocating its position. Moreover, the Commission should recognize that -- in light of Intelsat's withdrawal of its IPO and its exploration of private equity alternatives -- Intelsat is a highly interested party with respect to the matters at issue in the instant Inmarsat proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Phillip L. Spector Patrick S. Campbell Brett M. Kitt

Attorneys for SES AMERICOM, Inc.

cc: Parties on attached service list

11

Legal Questions Remain After Intelsat Decides Against IPO, SPACE NEWS, May 24, 2004.

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of June, 2004, I caused a copy of the foregoing letter to be served by first-class mail on the following:

Alan Auckenthaler Vice President & General Counsel Inmarsat, Inc. 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 200036

Gary M. Epstein John P. Janka Alexander D. Hoehn-Saric Latham & Watkins LLP 555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004-1304

Attorneys for Inmarsat Ventures Limited

William M. Behan Vice President, Washington Affairs John Deere Public Affairs Worldwide 1808 I Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Eliot J. Greenwald Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007

Attorney for Deere & Company

Alfred M. Mamlet Chun Hsiang Mah Steptoe & Johnson LLP 13330 Connecticut Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorneys for Stratos Mobile Networks, Inc. and Stratos Communications, Inc. Bruce A. Henoch Assistant General Counsel Telenor Satellite Services, Inc. 1101 Wootton Parkway, 10th Floor Rockville, MD 20852

Attorney for Telenor Satellite Services, Inc.

Lon C. Levin Vice President Mobile Satellite Ventures Subsidiary LLC 10802 Park Ridge Boulevard Reston, VA 20191

Bruce D. Jacobs David S. Konczal Shaw Pittman LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Attorneys for Mobile Satellite Ventures Subsidiary LLC

Jennifer D. Hindin Wiley Rein & Fielding, LLP 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Attorney for Intelsat

Lathleen Amold

Kathleen Arnold