EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

1200 EIGHTEENTH STREET, NW

TEL 202.730.1300 FAX 202.730.1301 WWW.HARRISWILTSHIRE.COM

WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis llp

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 5 2008

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

February 5, 2008

## **BY HAND DELIVERY**

ORIGINAL

Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: *Ex Parte Presentation File Nos. SAT-LOI-20050312-00062 and -00063*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Stacy Fuller and undersigned counsel on behalf of The DIRECTV Group, Inc. ("DIRECTV") spoke today with Bruce Gottlieb, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, to discuss DIRECTV's pending Application for Review of the International Bureau's grant of authority to Spectrum Five, LLC ("Spectrum Five") to serve the U.S. market from foreign-licensed "tweener" satellites.

In this discussion, DIRECTV expressed its ongoing concern that Spectrum Five's proposed operations would disrupt DBS service received by millions of Americans. Although the International Bureau authorized Spectrum Five to operate on a non-interference basis in the absence of coordination with existing DBS operators, Spectrum Five has neither submitted the characteristics for a proposed non-interfering system nor *initiated* – much less completed – coordination with DIRECTV. Indeed, in the nearly three years since filing its applications, Spectrum Five has yet to even call DIRECTV to discuss coordination. Accordingly, neither DIRECTV nor the Commission has any basis upon which to determine whether Spectrum Five has devised an alternative method of operation that would not cause harmful interference to existing DBS services.

DIRECTV also argued that, if the Commission were to deny DIRECTV's Application for Review, it should at a minimum require Spectrum Five to demonstrate its ability to comply with the terms of its license. Under its existing authorization, Spectrum Five need only provide the Commission the final characteristics of its beams and the general characteristics of its satellites within thirty days after meeting its critical design EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

1200 EIGHTEENTH STREET, NW

TEL 202.730.1300 FAX 202.730.1301 WWW.HARRISWILTSHIRE.COM

WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis llp

FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 5 2008

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

February 5, 2008

## **BY HAND DELIVERY**

ORIGINAL

Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: *Ex Parte Presentation File Nos. SAT-LOI-20050312-00062 and -00063*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Stacy Fuller and undersigned counsel on behalf of The DIRECTV Group, Inc. ("DIRECTV") spoke today with Bruce Gottlieb, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, to discuss DIRECTV's pending Application for Review of the International Bureau's grant of authority to Spectrum Five, LLC ("Spectrum Five") to serve the U.S. market from foreign-licensed "tweener" satellites.

In this discussion, DIRECTV expressed its ongoing concern that Spectrum Five's proposed operations would disrupt DBS service received by millions of Americans. Although the International Bureau authorized Spectrum Five to operate on a non-interference basis in the absence of coordination with existing DBS operators, Spectrum Five has neither submitted the characteristics for a proposed non-interfering system nor *initiated* – much less completed – coordination with DIRECTV. Indeed, in the nearly three years since filing its applications, Spectrum Five has yet to even call DIRECTV to discuss coordination. Accordingly, neither DIRECTV nor the Commission has any basis upon which to determine whether Spectrum Five has devised an alternative method of operation that would not cause harmful interference to existing DBS services.

DIRECTV also argued that, if the Commission were to deny DIRECTV's Application for Review, it should at a minimum require Spectrum Five to demonstrate its ability to comply with the terms of its license. Under its existing authorization, Spectrum Five need only provide the Commission the final characteristics of its beams and the general characteristics of its satellites within thirty days after meeting its critical design Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis llp

## FILED/ACCEPTED

FEB - 5 2008

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 1200 EIGHTEENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036

TEL 202.730.1300 FAX 202.730.1301

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

February 5, 2008

## **BY HAND DELIVERY**

Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: *Ex Parte Presentation File Nos. SAT-LOI-20050312-00062 and -00063*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Stacy Fuller and undersigned counsel on behalf of The DIRECTV Group, Inc. ("DIRECTV") spoke today with Bruce Gottlieb, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, to discuss DIRECTV's pending Application for Review of the International Bureau's grant of authority to Spectrum Five, LLC ("Spectrum Five") to serve the U.S. market from foreign-licensed "tweener" satellites.

In this discussion, DIRECTV expressed its ongoing concern that Spectrum Five's proposed operations would disrupt DBS service received by millions of Americans. Although the International Bureau authorized Spectrum Five to operate on a non-interference basis in the absence of coordination with existing DBS operators, Spectrum Five has neither submitted the characteristics for a proposed non-interfering system nor *initiated* – much less completed – coordination with DIRECTV. Indeed, in the nearly three years since filing its applications, Spectrum Five has yet to even call DIRECTV to discuss coordination. Accordingly, neither DIRECTV nor the Commission has any basis upon which to determine whether Spectrum Five has devised an alternative method of operation that would not cause harmful interference to existing DBS services.

DIRECTV also argued that, if the Commission were to deny DIRECTV's Application for Review, it should at a minimum require Spectrum Five to demonstrate its ability to comply with the terms of its license. Under its existing authorization, Spectrum Five need only provide the Commission the final characteristics of its beams and the general characteristics of its satellites within thirty days after meeting its critical design