## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

1200 EIGHTEENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036

TEL 202.730.1300 FAX 202.730.1301 WWW.HARRISWILTSHIRE.COM

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

January 14, 2008

## **BY HAND DELIVERY**

HARRIS,

WILTSHIRE &

GRANNIS LLP

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

JAN 1 4 2008

FILED/ACCEPTED

Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL

,

Re: *Ex Parte Presentation File Nos. SAT-LOI-20050312-00062 and -00063* 

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On January 11, 2008, Stacy Fuller of The DIRECTV Group, Inc. ("DIRECTV") spoke by telephone with Wayne Leighton, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Tate, to discuss DIRECTV's pending Application for Review of the International Bureau's grant of authority to Spectrum Five, LLC ("Spectrum Five") to serve the U.S. market from foreign-licensed "tweener" satellites.

In this call, Ms. Fuller expressed DIRECTV's ongoing concern that Spectrum Five's proposed operations would disrupt DBS service received by millions of Americans. Although the International Bureau authorized Spectrum Five to operate on a non-interference basis in the absence of coordination with existing DBS operators, Spectrum Five has neither submitted the characteristics for a proposed non-interfering system nor *initiated* – much less completed – coordination with DIRECTV. Indeed, in the nearly three years since filing its applications, Spectrum Five has yet to even call DIRECTV to discuss coordination. Accordingly, neither DIRECTV nor the Commission has any basis upon which to determine whether Spectrum Five has devised an alternative method of operation that would not cause harmful interference to existing DBS services.

Ms. Fuller also argued that, if the Commission were to deny DIRECTV's Application for Review, it should at a minimum require Spectrum Five to demonstrate its ability to comply with the terms of its license. Specifically, Spectrum Five should be required to submit to the Commission for review and comment by interested parties a technical showing of how its satellite(s) would operate on a non-interference basis or in

## HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS LLP

Marlene H. Dortch January 14, 2008 Page 2 of 2

accord with any coordination agreements reached. Such a showing should be submitted within two years of licensing (*i.e.*, by November 29, 2008) so that the Commission and all interested parties have an opportunity to evaluate Spectrum Five's proposed operations well before construction of the satellite(s) has reached a point where any necessary design revisions could be claimed to impose an undue economic burden.

Sincerely yours,

Ullean M. Litesha

William M. Wiltshire Counsel for The DIRECTV Group, Inc.

cc: Wayne Leighton