

VINSON & ELKINS L.L.P.
THE WILLARD OFFICE BUILDING
1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1008
TELEPHONE (202) 639-6500
FAX (202) 639-6604
www.velaw.com

Gregory C. Staple Direct Dial 202-639-6744 Direct Fax 202-879-8944 gstaple@velaw.com

April 21, 2003

## Via Hand Delivery

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 RECEIVED

APR 2 1 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Pocoiveo

APR 2 3 2003

Policy Branch
International Bureau

Re:

TMI Communications and Company Limited Partnership

File No

189-SAT-L03-97

IBFS Nos.

SAT-LOI-19970926-00161 SAT-AMD-20001103-60158

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Transmitted herewith, on behalf of TMI Communications and Company Limited Partnership (TMI), pursuant to Section 1.65 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §1.65, are five copies of a newly discovered Canadian Government document of decisional significance to the pending March 10, 2003 Application for Review of TMI and its affiliate, TerreStar Networks, Inc. (TerreStar). That Application seeks reversal of the International Bureau's February 7, 2003 Order canceling TMI's letter of intent (LOI) authorization to provide Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) in the 2 GHz band because TMI allegedly had failed to meet the initial construction milestone by entering into a non-contingent satellite manufacturing contract.

The attached document is a letter from Jan Skora, Director General, Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Regulatory Branch, Industry Canada, to Mr. Ted H. Ignacy, Vice President, Finance, TMI. It states that TMI "has met the requirements of condition 6.2 of our [Industry Canada] approval in principle" – the Canadian construction milestone – and "have demonstrated that TMI is bound to a contractual agreement for the construction of the proposed satellite."

Although the letter is dated August 23, 2002, TMI did not locate this correspondence in its files until April 9, 2003 whereupon it was provided to the undersigned counsel. Without any knowledge of the contents of the foregoing letter, TMI's prior statements to the Bureau and the Commission regarding Industry Canada's review of the construction milestones in its Canadian authorization were incomplete and potentially misleading.

In particular, on February 6, 2003, in its Opposition to Petition to Deny, at p. 13, TMI stated that it had submitted two interrelated satellite construction contracts between TMI,

239203\_1.DOC

Page 2 April 21, 2003

TerreStar, and Space Systems/Loral (Loral) in satisfaction of the construction contract milestone (*i.e.*, condition 6.2) in its Canadian authorization. TMI advised: "Industry Canada has not stated that the arrangement is non-compliant with the conditions in TMI's approval-in-principle, and TMI and TerreStar have consequently moved forward with the construction of the MSS system based upon said agreements . . ." [footnote omitted].

Similarly, on March 12, 2003, in TMI's Application For Review (filed jointly with TerreStar), the Commission was advised (also at p. 13):

"To comply with the contract milestone in its Canadian license (a 'signature of contract for the first of two satellites by July 14, 2002'), TMI submitted to Industry Canada the same interrelated contracts between TMI, TerreStar and Loral that were submitted to the FCC. However, unlike the FCC, it is not the practice of Industry Canada to issue a notice advising the public that a satellite grantee has met an applicable milestone. In Canada, satellite grantees are typically assumed to have met their milestones, unless they are notified to the contrary by Industry Canada. TMI has not received any such notice from Industry Canada. In fact, to TMI's knowledge, Industry Canada is fully satisfied with the submissions that TMI has filed in connection with its Canadian authorization in principle and it has not advised TMI of any concerns or defects with TMI's filings to date." [footnote omitted]

Though the foregoing statements are still accurate, TMI now recognizes that they are incomplete and may have raised unjustified questions in the mind of the Bureau and the Commission regarding Industry Canada's oversight of the milestones in TMI's approval in principle. However, the affirmative statements made by Industry Canada in the enclosed letter ("you have met the requirements of condition 6.2 [and] have demonstrated that TMI is bound to a contractual agreement for the construction of the proposed satellite") plainly resolve any such questions.

The Industry Canada letter also leaves no doubt that, as of July 17, 2002 – the date of the satellite manufacturing contract milestone in TMI's FCC authorization – TMI had already satisfied the analogous Canadian milestone condition.

Any questions regarding this letter should be directed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours

Gregory C. Staple

cc: Attached service list

300 Slater Street Ottawa, ON K1A 0C8

File: 6215-3-4

AUG 2 3 2002

Mr. Ted H. Ignacy Vice-President, Finance TMI Communications and Company, LP 1601 Telesat Court P.O. Box 9826 Ottawa, ON K1G 5M2

Dear Mr. Ignacy:

Thank you for your letter of July 25, 2002 providing evidence showing that TMI Communications and Company, LP satisfies condition 6.2 of our approval in principle for your 2 GHz mobile satellite system.

The Department has reviewed your submission and I am pleased to advise you that you have met the requirements of condition 6.2 of our approval in principle, namely that you have demonstrated that TMI is bound to a contractual agreement for the construction of the proposed satellite.

I now look forward to your submission of the semi-annual report as required per condition 15 of the approval-in-principle, including an update on the development of the Canadian-owned and controlled entity that will operate the satellite network leading to the eventual submission of information to the Department demonstrating eligibility to hold the licences for the network.

Yours sincerely,

Jan Skora

Director General

Radiocommunication and

Broadcasting Regulatory Branch

Canadä

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Ana Maria Ablaza, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing letter from Gregory Staple, counsel, TMI Communications, to Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, dated April 21, 2003, and the appended Industry Canada letter dated August 23, 2002 have been served this 21st day of April, 2003, by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, or by hand delivery (\*), on the following:

\*The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

\*The Honorable Michael Copps Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

\*The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

\*R. Paul Margie Legal Advisor Office of Commissioner Michael Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-A302 Washington D.C. 20554

\*Bryan Tramont Senior Legal Advisor Office of Chairman Michael K. Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B201 Washington, D.C. 20554 \*The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

\*The Honorable Kevin Martin Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

\*Jennifer Manner Legal Advisor Office of Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B115 Washington, D.C. 20554

\*Barry Ohlson Interim Legal Advisor Office of Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-C302 Washington, D.C. 20554

\*Donald Abelson, Chief International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-C750 Washington, D.C. 20554 \*Samuel L. Feder Legal Advisor Federal Communications Commission Office of Commissioner Kevin Martin 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-A204 Washington, D.C. 20554

\*John Rogovin General Counsel Office of General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-C750 Washington, DC 20554

\*David E. Horwitz Attorney Advisor Office of General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-A636 Washington, DC 20554

\*Neil A. Dellar Office of General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 8-C818 Washington, DC 20554

\*Howard Griboff
Attorney Advisor, Satellite Division
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-C467
Washington, DC 20554

\*Karl A. Kensinger Special Advisor, Satellite Division International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A663 Washington, DC 20554 \*John Muleta, Chief Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 3-C252 Washington, DC 20554

\*Edmond J. Thomas, Chief Office of Engineering and Technology Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 7-C153 Washington, DC 20554

\*Robert M. Pepper, Chief Office of Plans & Policy Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 7-C347 Washington, DC 20554

\*J. Breck Blalock Deputy Chief, Policy Division International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A764 Washington, DC 20554

\*Thomas S. Tycz Chief, Satellite Division International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A665 Washington, DC 20554

\*Christopher Murphy
Senior Legal Advisor
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-C750
Washington, DC 20554

\*Alexandra Field Senior Legal Advisor International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-C407 Washington, DC 20554

\*Richard B. Engelman Chief Engineer International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A668 Washington, DC 20554

Kathryn A. Zachem
L. Andrew Tollin
Craig E. Gilmore
Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP
2300 N Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for Wireless Carriers

Douglas I. Brandon AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20036 John T. Scott, III Charla M. Rath Cellco Partnership d/b/a/ Verizon Wireless 1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 400-W Washington, D.C. 20005

J.R. Carbonell
Carol L. Tacker
David G. Richards
Cingular Wireless LLC
5565 Glenridge Connector, Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30342

Jonathan Blake Gerard J. Waldron Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20044-7566 Counsel for TerreStar Networks, Inc.

Ana Maria Ablaza