

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Skyнет Satellite Corporation	)	Call Sign S2764
	)	(File No. SAT-LOA-20080910-00174)
	)	
Application for Authority to Launch	)	
And Operate a 17/24 GHz	)	
Broadcasting-Satellite Service	)	
Space Station	)	

**REPLY COMMENTS OF SKYNET SATELLITE CORPORATION**

Skyнет Satellite Corporation (“Skyнет”) hereby replies to the Comments of SES Americom, Inc. (“SES”)<sup>1</sup> submitted with respect to Skyнет’s above-referenced application. For the reasons stated herein, Skyнет has no objection to SES’s proposal that Skyнет’s application be held in abeyance.

Under Section 25.262(b) of the Commission rules,<sup>2</sup> 17/24 GHz band space stations may operate at full power and with full interference protection, even if they are offset by up to one degree from the orbital locations specified in the FCC’s “grid,” so long as there is no licensed 17/24 GHz band space station or prior-filed application at an orbital location less than four degrees from the offset orbital location. Based on this provision, Skyнет applied to operate at full power and with full interference protection at 70° W.L., which is one degree from the grid location of 71° W.L.

As SES acknowledges, Skyнет had no reason to believe when it filed its application that there were any prior-filed 17/24 GHz band applications less than four degrees from 70° W.L. However, a fraction of a second before Skyнет’s filing for 70° W.L. was stamped as received at the FCC, SES’s application was stamped as received for its 17/24 GHz band application for 67.5° W.L., which is only 2.5° from Skyнет’s proposed orbital location.

SES has suggested that Skyнет’s application be held in abeyance to give Skyнет an opportunity, now that it is aware of SES’s application, to bring itself into

---

<sup>1</sup> Comments of SES Americom, Inc., SAT-LOA-20080910-00174 (Nov. 23, 2009).

<sup>2</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 25.262(b).

compliance with Section 25.262(b). Skynet has no objection to this proposal, and is undertaking a review of the engineering and associated factors to determine Skynet's best course.

Respectfully submitted,

SKYNET SATELLITE CORPORATION

By: /s/Joseph A. Godles  
Joseph A. Godles  
GOLDBERG, GODLES, WIENER & WRIGHT  
1229 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Its Attorneys

December 8, 2009

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of Skynet Satellite Corporation was sent by first class mail, this 8th day of December, 2009, to each of the following:

Daniel C.H. Mah  
Regulatory Counsel  
SES Americom, Inc.  
Four Research Way  
Princeton, NJ 08540

Karis A. Hastings  
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.  
555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004

/s/  
Jennifer Tisdale