

October 15, 2004

DAVID S. KER (202) 416-6742

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Thomas S. Tycz Chief, Satellite Division Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 6-A665 Washington, D.C. 20554

File# See Cap Noblest FAX
(202) 429 4609

Call Sign NA Grant Date Och 21, 20
(or other identifier)

From NA To: NA
International Bureau Approved

Extension request approved

F.MAII

Re: Lockheed Martin Corp., Application for Authority to Launch and Operate Geostationary Orbit Satellites in the Radionavigation Satellite Service at 79° W.L. (File Nos. SAT-LOA-19990427-00045, SAT-AMD-20030730-00149, Call Sign: S2370), 71° E.L. (File Nos. SAT-LOA-19990427-00049, SAT-AMD-20030730-00152, Call Sign: S2374), and 131.8° E.L. orbital locations (File Nos. SAT-LOA-19990427-00050, SAT-AMD-20030730-00153, Call

Sign: S2375).

Dear Mr. Tycz:

On behalf of Lockheed Martin Corporation ("Lockheed Martin"), we are hereby requesting a one-week extension of time in order to respond to your October 8, 2004 letter, in which you requested additional information concerning the tracking, telemetry and control ("TT&C") stations and operations for the above-referenced satellites. A brief extension of the current deadline is appropriate under the circumstances set forth below.

Lockheed Martin has been asked to provide TT&C information with respect to three RNSS satellites no later than October 22, 2004, just one week from today and two weeks from the date of the letter. This abbreviated response period represents a very tight timeframe within which to prepare a sufficient response. Unfortunately, during this brief window, Lockheed Martin's senior director of regulatory affairs, lead program engineer and principal outside regulatory counsel will also be away from their offices for all or part of the time, and will not be fully available to assist in the preparation of the necessary response.

For these reasons, Lockheed Martin respectfully requests an additional week, through and including Friday, October 29, 2004, within which to submit its answers to the questions posed in the October 8th letter. This brief extension is appropriate in order to permit the company adequate time to prepare a complete response to the FCC's inquiries.