DEC 1 6 1997

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

In the Ma	atter of)	
FINAL AN	NALYSIS COMMUNICATION SERVICES, INC.)) File Nos.	7-SAT-AMEND-98;
Launch as	on for Authority to Construct nd Operate a Non-Voice stationary Mobile Satellite System)))	25-SAT-P/LA-95
To:	The Chief, International Bureau		

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE LATE REPLY COMMENTS

Final Analysis Communication Services, Inc. ("Final Analysis"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 1.46(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.46(c), hereby respectfully requests the Commission to accept, for good cause shown, the attached late-filed Reply Comments submitted in response to Comments filed by Orbital Sciences Corporation ("ORBCOMM") on December 4, 1997, concerning Final Analysis's above-captioned amended application for authority to construct, launch and operate a non-voice, non-geostationary mobile satellite service ("NVNG MSS" or "Little LEO") system.

The filing deadline for the attached Reply Comments was December 15, 1997. On the afternoon of December 15, 1997, the computer system on which the Reply Comments were being edited failed, causing unforeseen delays in the preparation of the document for filing. The filing was delivered to the FCC Office of the Secretary at approximately 5:31 p.m. and was not accepted for filing.

Immediately upon realizing that the filing deadline had been missed, counsel for Final Analysis orally notified the Commission staff personnel responsible for acting on the attached Reply Comments as well as counsel for ORBCOMM that Final Analysis would be filing this Motion and the attached Reply Comments on the morning of December 16, 1997. Final

Analysis also served all parties as if the Reply Comments had been timely filed.

Because the attached Reply Comments is being filed as soon as possible on the next filing day, and in any event less than one workday after the filing deadline, the Opposition was served on all parties as if timely filed, and the Commission staff and counsel for ORBCOMM were promptly notified by telephone, Final Analysis respectfully submits that no prejudice to the interests of any party will be caused a result of the brief and unintentional delay. Moreover, the public interest would be served by considering the attached Reply Comments.

Accordingly, Final Analysis respectfully asks the Commission to grant this motion and to consider the attached Reply Conments as if timely filed.

FINAL ANALYSIS COMMUNICATION SERVICES, INC.

By:

Aileen A. Pisciotta
Peter A. Batacan
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-9600

Its Attorneys

Dated: December 16, 1997