BEFORE THE

Federal Communications Commission MAY 2 8 1993

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of the Applications of)						
NORRIS SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.) :	File	Nos.			-P/L-9 -P-90	0
Application for Authority to Construct, Launch and Operate Communications Satellites in the Ka-Band)	RECEIVED					
satellites in the ka-band	,				2	19931	

To: The Commission

DOMESTIC FACILITIES DIVISION SATELLITE RADIO BRANCH

MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE OF TRW INC.'S OPPOSITION TO NORRIS SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

TRW Inc. ("TRW"), by its attorneys, hereby respectfully requests the Commission to accept its Opposition to the Petition Reconsideration ("Petition") filed by Norris Satellite Communications, Inc. ("Norris") in the above-captioned proceeding. Acceptance of TRW's Opposition is justified for two reasons: (1) Norris failed to serve its Petition on parties to the proceeding in violation of Sections 1.47 and 1.106(f) of the Commission's Rules; and (2) TRW's Opposition raises matters of substantial importance that relate directly to the public interest determination the Commission must make with respect to Norris' request for additional spectrum beyond that currently authorized under the Commission's Order and Authorization, 7 FCC Rcd 4289 (1992) ("Order").

its Order, the Commission authorized Norris to construct, launch and operate a domestic fixed-satellite system in the 19.7-20.2 GHz and 29.5-30.0 GHz bands. On August 7, 1992, Norris filed its Petition requesting the Commission to add 400 MHz

(i.e., 19.5-19.7 GHz and 29.3-29.5 GHz) to its authorization. However, because Norris' Petition was neither served on any party to the proceeding nor placed on <u>Public Notice</u> by the Commission, TRW was prevented from filing a timely response to Norris' request. In fact, TRW only recently became aware of Norris' Petition after it was served with copies of oppositions filed in the instant proceeding by Motorola Satellite Communications, Inc. 1 and Calling Communications Corporation. 1 TRW has prepared the attached Opposition to address Norris' Petition and to voice its extreme concern over Norris' request for additional spectrum in view of current circumstances. Accordingly, TRW respectfully urges the Commission to accept the accompanying Opposition and formally consider the pleading on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

TRW INC.

By Norman P. Leventhal

Raul R. Rodriguez

A.B. Cruz III

Leventhal, Senter & Lerman Suite 600 2000 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 429-8970

May 28, 1993

Its Attorneys

See Opposition of Motorola Satellite Communications, Inc., filed March 22, 1993.

See Opposition of Calling Communications Corporation, filed May 13, 1993.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Krantzman, hereby certify that true and correct copies of the foregoing document were sent by first-class, postage prepaid mail, this 28th day of May, 1993, to the following:

Wayne Hartke, Esquire Hartke & Hartke 7637 Leesburg Pike Falls Church, VA 22043

Cicily C. Holiday, Chief Satellite Radio Branch Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission Room 6324 2025 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Thomas Tycz, Deputy Chief Facilities Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission Room 6010 2025 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Lon C. Levin, Esquire American Mobil Satellite Corp. 4th Floor 1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Alfred M. Mamlet, Esquire Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Bruce D. Jacobs, Esquire Fisher, Wayland, Cooper & Leader Suite 800 1255 23rd Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Mitchell F. Brecher, Esquire Down & Cleary Suite 850 1275 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 Mr. Charles T. Force
Associate Administrator for
Space Operations
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration
400 Maryland Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20546

Sharon Krantzman