EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



WILKINSON) BARKER) KNAUER LLP

Received

JUN U 7 2004

Policy Branch International Bureau 2300 N STREET, NW

SUITE 700

WASHINGTON, DC 20037

TEL 202.783.4141

FAX 202.783.5851

www.wbklaw.com KATHRYN A. ZACHEM

202-383-3344

kzachem@wbklaw.com

June 1, 2004

RECEIVED

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th Street, SW Room TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

JUN - 1 2004

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re:

Loral/Intelsat Order – File Nos. SAT-ASG-20030728-00138, SAT-ASG-20030728-00139 – Notice of Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On Friday, May 28, 2004, representatives of Lockheed Martin Corporation (Jennifer A. Warren, Senior Director, Trade and Regulatory Affairs, and the undersigned), met with Sheryl J. Wilkerson, Legal Advisor to Chairman Michael K. Powell, and Bethany Smocer, intern to Sheryl Wilkerson, Office of Chairman Michael K. Powell.

We summarized the FCC's statutory authority under the ORBIT Act to grant, in the first instance, and renew an STA for "additional services" as set forth in Attachment I hereto.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

thryn A. Zachem

cc:

Sheryl J. Wilkerson Bethany Smocer

THE FCC HAS STATUTORY AUTHORITY UNDER THE ORBIT ACT TO GRANT AND RENEW AN STA FOR "ADDITIONAL SERVICES"

SEC. 602. INCENTIVES; LIMITATION ON EXPANSION PENDING PRIVATIZATION.

- (a) LIMITATION. -- [1] Until INTELSAT, Inmarsat, and their successors or separate entities are privatized in accordance with the requirements of this title, INTELSAT, Inmarsat and their successor or separate entities respectively, shall not be permitted to provide additional services. [2] The Commission shall take all necessary measures to implement this requirement, including denial by the Commission of licensing for such services.
- Section 602(a) of ORBIT addresses the conditions under which Intelsat can offer "additional services." That section requires only that Intelsat be (1) "privatized" and (2) "in accordance with the requirements of this title."
- Section 621(1) of ORBIT requires "privatization" to have occurred as of April 1, 2001 (extended to July 18, 2001), and the FCC already has found Intelsat to be privatized. See Intelsat Extension Order 16 FCC Rcd 18185 ¶ 1 (2001); FCC Report to Congress, 18 FCC Rcd 12525, 12527 (2003).
 - "Privatization" requires independence, corporatization and elimination of privileges and immunities, but not an IPO. As the FCC confirmed (*Intelsat Compliance Order*, 16 FCC Rcd 12280, 12303 ¶¶ 72, 76 (2001)), the IPO requirement is a condition subsequent to the privatization date.
 - The provision of additional services does not require Intelsat to be "fully privatized," that is, having had an IPO. "Fully privatized" is a separate statutory term found in Sections 2 and 681(a)(8) of ORBIT and is not used in Section 602(a).
- The phrase "in accordance with the requirements of this title" also should not be read to impose obligations earlier than required by the Act. ORBIT requires Intelsat to take a series of steps, not immediately or all at once. The first step is privatization. Then, Section 621(5) contemplates that a successor entity, already privatized, conduct an IPO. Because the IPO date has been extended until June 30, 2005 (which the FCC has statutory authority to extend until December 2005), Intelsat will continue to be in compliance (and thus be "in accordance with") "the requirements of this title" even following the September 13th expiration date of the STA.
- Congress, the courts and the Commission all treat "in accordance with" and "consistent with" as interchangeable. Therefore, it follows that that the phrase "in accordance with" just like the phrase "consistent with" confers the FCC with flexibility and discretion when