

NR-NRCSDPL-J0008 (Rev-) April 18th, 2018

1424 K St Northwest Lower Level Washington, D.C. 20005

Jenny Barna Spire Global 575 Florida St. Suite 150 San Francisco, CA 94110

Re: OA-9 Above International Space Station Satellite Deployment Approval

Dear Jenny,

On behalf of NanoRacks, I would like to inform you (as demonstrated in the attached Jettison Authorization Form JA-045) that the International Space Station Program and NASA **are committed to proceeding forward with the intent to deploy** the four LEMUR satellites from the OA-9 Cygnus Cargo Resupply Vehicle after unberthing from the ISS. The deployment altitude of these four satellites shall be no less than 45 kilometers above the ISS orbit, with a target of 470-480km. The final payload orbit should be as close to co-elliptic with the ISS as possible.

The ability to accomplish this is dependent upon the completion of the required work to enable certification of flight readiness and the availability of sufficient propulsion capability. The actual availability of propulsive capacity will not be known until after launch and berthing of the OA-9 vehicle, however, if the launch and rendezvous profile are executed as planned, there should be sufficient margin to accomplish the deployment at the specified above-station altitude – this is the baseline plan.

Should you or other parties receiving this memo have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at the information below. We look forward to a successful mission.

Kind Regards,

Henry Martin Senior Mission Manager NanoRacks, LLC (859) 559-7322 hbmartin@nanoracks.com

1.	Reference
Νi	ımber:

	nternationa ettison Autl			Page: 1 of 2		
2. Board: Space Station Control Board (SSCB) ISS Mission Management Team (IMMT) Multilateral Systems Engineering and Integration Control Board (MSEICB)						
Title: OA9 external NRCSD CubeSats	integration Control Bi	Daru (INISEICB)				
 5. The following items have been approved for jettison by the general partnership of the International Space Station Program, as agreed to by the International Partner Program Managers or their MSEICB designees. a) Lemur-2 (4 Satellites) b) AeroCube 12 A/B (2 Satellites) 						
6. Initiator Name:	Organization/C	ompany:		Phone:		
Charles Gray	ОМ			281-244-8525		
7. NASA Responsible POC:	Organization:		Phone:			
Charles Gray	ОМ			281-244-8525		
3. Flight Effectivity: OA9 EVA (if applicable): N/A						
9. Requirements from PPD 1011, Multilateral ISS and ISS Visiting Vehicle Jettison Policy (see PPD 1011 Rev B for complete list of criterion)						
 3.1-1 – The jettison candidate(s) shall be trackable by the Space Surveillance Network (SSN). Expedited Approval Criteria: Candidate has metallic cross sectional area ≥ 100 cm² on three orthogonal sides. 3.1-2 – Jettison candidate has demonstrated that risk of on-orbit fragmentation has been controlled. 3.2-1 – Analysis has demonstrated that jettison candidate will not contact any ISS structure during jettison. Expedited Approval Criteria: Jettison is planned to occur from a location and in a direction which has been previously approved for jettison, utilizing a jettison/deployment method that has been previously analyzed and approved. 3.2-2 – Jettisoned object demonstrates safe relative motion with the ISS. Expedited Approval Criteria: Candidate pre-activation and operational Ballistic Number (BN) meet the following criteria: 						
	Deploy dV (m/s)	BN (kg/m²)				
< 0.5 ≤ 100						
≥ 0.5 ≤ 120						
 3.2-3 – Candidates with systems capable of modifying or adding energy into the candidate's orbit have demonstrated that they do not pose a collision hazard with ISS or visiting vehicles. Expedited Approval Criteria: Jettison candidate does not have systems capable of modifying or adding energy into the candidate's orbit. 						
3.3-1 – Jettison shall be scheduled such that the on any visiting vehicle operations.	re is sufficient time to	determine the jet	tisoned object's orbital par	rameters and assess effects		
If the above criteria cannot be met, see blocks 1 Unlettison Rationale (must fall into one or more of the						

Σ	3.2-3 – Candidates with systems capable of modifying or adding energy into the candidate's orbit have demonstrated that they do not pose a
	collision hazard with ISS or visiting vehicles.

The above chical cannot be mot, see blocks in and iz for exception to the policy.	
10. Jettison Rationale (must fall into one or more of the following categories)	7
 ☐ Items that pose a safety issue for the ISS or for return onboard a visiting vehicle (contamination, materials, degradation, etc.) ☐ Items that negatively impact ISS utilization, return or on-orbit stowage manifests ☐ Items that represent an EVA timeline savings ☑ Items that are designed for jettison 	786
An exception is granted to the following requirement(s):	
WA .	•

12.	Rationale	for	the	exception	
-----	-----------	-----	-----	-----------	--

N/A

1.	Reference
Ni	ımber:

JA-045

International Space Station Jettison Authorization Form

⊃age:	2	of	2

	13. Submiti	ting Signatures				
13a. Initiator					25	
Print Name:	Charles Gray			Phor	ie:	281-244-8525
Signature:	Chela Gras			Date	•	4/18/18
13b. NASA Res				•		- In facility
Print Name:	Adam Baker			Phor	e:	281-483-2747
Signature:	At M			Date	•	4/18/1
	14. Concurre	ence Signatures				
14a. ISS Safety	y Review Panel:					
Print Name:				Phon	e:	
Signature:				Date:		**************************************
14b. Multilatera	al Systems Engineering & Integration Office:					
Print Name:	Jeff Arend			Phon	e:	281-244-7038
Signature:				Date:		
15. Internation	nal Partner	Appro	ve o	f Deci:	sion	Dissenting Opinion
Roscosmos		Y	\boxtimes	N		
Canadian Space	Agency	Υ	\boxtimes	N		
European Space	Agency	Υ	\boxtimes	N		
Japan Aerospace	Exploration Agency	Υ	\boxtimes	N		
Agenicia Spatiale	e Italiano	Υ	\boxtimes	N		
Official Poll Taker		Date		4/	14/1	<u>18</u>