

# **ATTACHMENT A**

## **Technical Information to Supplement Schedule S**

### **A.1 Scope**

This attachment contains the information required by § 25.114(c) and other sections of Part 25 of the Commission's rules that cannot be entered into the Schedule S form.

### **A.2 General Description of Overall System Facilities, Operations and Services**

The ECHOSTAR-23 satellite will operate at the 109.9° W.L. nominal orbital location and will provide Broadcasting-Satellite Service ("BSS") service to the contiguous United States ("CONUS"), Alaska, Hawaii and Puerto Rico. The satellite's peak downlink EIRP is 59.4 dBW. Feeder link transmissions can be received by spot beams centered over EchoStar BSS Corporation's feeder link earth station facilities located in Cheyenne, WY and Gilbert, AZ.

The ECHOSTAR-23 satellite operates within the 17.3-17.8 GHz BSS feeder uplink band (ITU Appendix 30A) and the 12.2-12.7 GHz BSS downlink band (ITU Appendix 30). The channel center frequencies are identical to those prescribed by the ITU's Region 2 BSS Plan. Full frequency re-use is achieved through the use of dual orthogonal polarizations.

Spacecraft Telemetry, Tracking and Control ("TT&C") functions will take place from FCC-authorized, fully redundant TT&C earth station and satellite control facilities located in Cheyenne, WY, Gilbert, AZ, Blackhawk, SD, and Mount Jackson, VA. TT&C transmissions occur at the edges of the 17.3-17.8 GHz uplink band and 12.2-12.7 GHz downlink band.

### **A.3 Predicted Space Station Antenna Gain Contours**

The ECHOSTAR-23 satellite's antenna gain contours for the receive and transmit beams are embedded in the associated Schedule S submission. The beams used for TT&C operations have gain contours that vary by less than 8 dB below peak across the surface of the visible Earth. Therefore, gain contours for these beams (beams CMDR, CMDL and TLM) have not been included in the associated Schedule S form.

### **A.4 TT&C Characteristics**

The information provided in this section complements that provided in the associated Schedule S form.

The ECHOSTAR-23 TT&C sub-system provides for communications during on-station operations, as well as during spacecraft emergencies. For on-station emergencies, the TT&C signals are received and transmitted by the satellite using a combination of wide-angle antennas on the satellite that create a near omni-directional gain pattern. For normal on-station operation, the TT&C signals are received and transmitted by the satellite using large-coverage horn antennas.

There are two primary command receivers: one operating at 17.791 GHz and the other at 17.305 GHz. In addition, there is one "flex" receiver that can be tuned in 500 kHz steps anywhere within the 17.300 - 17.305 GHz and 17.305-17.310 GHz bands by ground command. Telemetry operates either at 12.207 GHz or 12.208 GHz. There is also a flex transmitter that can be tuned in 500 kHz steps anywhere within the 12.200 - 12.205 GHz and 12.205-12.210 GHz bands by ground command.

A summary of the TT&C subsystem is given in Table A.4-1.

**Table A.4-1: Summary of the TT&C Subsystem**

Command/Ranging Frequencies	17,791 MHz (RHCP) 17,305 MHz (LHCP) 17,300 - 17,305 MHz (LHCP) 17,305 - 17,310 MHz (LHCP)
Uplink Flux Density (Threshold)	-93 dBW/m <sup>2</sup> (Command) -83 dBW/m <sup>2</sup> (Ranging)
Telemetry/Ranging Frequencies	12,207 MHz (LHCP) 12,208 MHz (LHCP) 12,200 - 12,205 MHz (LHCP) 12,205 - 12,210 MHz (LHCP)
Maximum Downlink EIRP	14.8 dBW

## **A.5 Orbital Debris Mitigation Plan**

### **A.5.1 Spacecraft Hardware Design**

Maxar is the manufacturer of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite. Maxar has determined that no debris will be released by the spacecraft throughout its remaining lifetime.

To protect the spacecraft from small body collisions, including debris less than one centimeter in diameter, the design of the ECHOSTAR-23 spacecraft allows for individual faults without losing the entire spacecraft. All critical components are built within the structure and shielded from external influences. Items that cannot be built within the spacecraft nor shielded (such as antennas) are either redundant or are able to withstand impact. The ECHOSTAR-23 spacecraft can be controlled through both the large-coverage horn antenna and the wide-angle antennas. The likelihood of all antennas being damaged during a small body collision is minimal. The wide-angle antennas on the spacecraft are similar to open waveguides that point towards the Earth (there is one set on each side of the spacecraft; either set could be used to successfully de-orbit the spacecraft). These wide-angle antennas would continue to operate even if struck and bent.

The ECHOSTAR-23 satellite has separate TT&C and propulsion subsystems that are necessary for end-of-life disposal. The spacecraft TT&C system is extremely rugged with regard to

meteoroids smaller than 1 cm, by virtue of its redundancy, shielding, separation of components and physical characteristics. The command receivers and decoders and telemetry encoders and transmitters are located within a shielded area and are redundant and physically separated. A single rugged thruster and shielded propellant tank provides the energy for orbit raising. Otherwise, there are no single points of failure in the system.

### **A.5.2 Accidental Explosion Assessment**

Maxar has reviewed failure modes for all equipment to assess the possibility of an accidental explosion onboard the spacecraft. In order to ensure that the spacecraft does not explode on orbit, the satellite controller will take specific precautions. All batteries and fuel tanks are monitored for pressure or temperature variations. Alarms in the Satellite Control Center (“SCC”) inform controllers of any variations. Additionally, long-term trending analysis will be performed to monitor for any unexpected trends.

Operationally, batteries will be operated utilizing the manufacturer’s automatic recharging scheme. Doing so will ensure that charging terminates normally without building up additional heat and pressure. As this process occurs wholly within the spacecraft, it also affords protection from command link failures.

In order to protect the propulsion system, fuel tanks will all be operated in a blow down mode. At the completion of orbit raising, the pressurant will be isolated from the fuel system. This will cause the pressure in the tanks to decrease over the life of the spacecraft. This will also protect against a pressure valve failure that might otherwise cause the fuel tanks to become over pressurized.

In order to ensure that the spacecraft has no explosive risk after it has been successfully de-orbited, all stored energy onboard the spacecraft will be removed. Upon successful de-orbit of the spacecraft, all propulsion lines and latch valves will be vented and left open. All battery chargers will be turned off and batteries will be left in a permanent discharge state. These steps will ensure

that no buildup of energy can occur resulting in an explosion in the years after the spacecraft is de-orbited.

### **A.5.3 Safe Flight Profiles**

In considering current and planned satellites that may have a station-keeping volume that overlaps the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite, EchoStar BSS Corporation has reviewed the lists of FCC-licensed satellite networks that are located within  $\pm 0.15$  degrees of  $109.9^\circ$  W.L., as well as those that are currently under consideration by the FCC. In addition, submissions to the ITU for a network located within  $\pm 0.15$  degrees of  $109.9^\circ$  W.L. have also been reviewed.

There are currently three satellites that operate within the  $110^\circ$  W.L. cluster, as follows:

- ECHOSTAR-10 at  $110.2^\circ$  W.L.
- DIRECTV 5 at  $110.1^\circ$  W.L.
- ECHOSTAR-11 at  $110.0^\circ$  W.L.

All three satellites operate with an east-west station-keeping of  $\pm 0.05$  degrees. By locating the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite at  $109.9^\circ$  W.L., and maintaining an east-west station-keeping tolerance of  $\pm 0.05$  degrees, there will be no overlap of station-keeping volume with any of these three satellites, and hence no risk of collision.

There are no pending applications before the Commission for a satellite to be located at an orbital location in the immediate vicinity of  $109.9^\circ$  W.L. With respect to ITU networks, EchoStar BSS Corporation is not aware of any satellite with an overlapping station-keeping volume with the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite that is the subject of an ITU filing and that is either in orbit or progressing towards launch.

Based on the preceding, EchoStar BSS Corporation seeks to locate the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite at  $109.9^\circ$  W.L. and operated with an east-west station-keeping tolerance of  $\pm 0.05^\circ$ . EchoStar BSS Corporation concludes there is no requirement to physically coordinate the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite with another satellite operator at the present time.

#### A.5.4 Post Mission Disposal Plan

At the end of the operational life of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite, EchoStar BSS Corporation will maneuver the satellite to a disposal orbit with a minimum perigee of 340 km above the normal GSO operational orbit. This proposed disposal orbit altitude exceeds the minimum required by § 25.283, which is calculated below.

The input data required for the calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Total Solar Pressure Area "A"} = 129.2 \text{ m}^2$$

$$\text{"M"} = \text{Dry Mass of Satellite} = 2557.2 \text{ kg}$$

$$\text{"C}_R\text{"} = \text{Solar Pressure Radiation Coefficient} = 2$$

Using the formula given in § 25.283, the Minimum Disposal Orbit Perigee Altitude is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 36,021 \text{ km} + 1000 \times C_R \times A/m \\ &= 36,021 \text{ km} + 1000 \times 2 \times 129.2/2559 \\ &= 36,122 \text{ km} \\ &= 336 \text{ km above GSO (35,786 km)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the designed disposal orbit of 340 km above GSO exceeds the required minimum by a margin of 4 km. Maneuvering the satellite to the disposal orbit will require 12.35 kg of propellant, and this quantity of fuel, taking account of all fuel measurement uncertainties, will be reserved to perform the final orbit raising maneuvers.

EchoStar BSS Corporation will apply all available propellant accounting methodologies to track propellant usage. For the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite, these methodologies include the bookkeeping method, the pressure-volume-temperature (“PVT”) method, and the propellant depletion gauge operations (“PDGO”) method.

The bookkeeping method, whereby the estimated propellant used during a thruster-firing event is subtracted from the beginning of life propellant mass, will be applied after every thruster-firing

event. The PVT method, which uses current state pressure and temperature telemetry received from the satellite to estimate the remaining propellant, will be applied once a month. The PDGO method uses propellant temperature measurements taken while tank heaters are activated to determine more accurately the amount of oxidizer and fuel in tanks at the end of mission life. The PDGO method will be applied annually until propellant analysis shows 60 kg or less propellant remaining, after which the PDGO method will be applied after every north-south station-keeping maneuver. Combined, these methods will ensure the necessary amount of fuel is reserved to perform deorbit procedures as well as maximize fuel depletion when the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite reaches its disposal orbit.

## **A.6 Interference Analyses**

The analyses of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network with respect to the limits in Annex 1 to Appendices 30 and 30A are given in Appendices 1 and 2 to this document. The analyses performed for the various Appendix 30/30A limits showed that no networks are affected except for three Region 2 BSS networks where the OEPM limits are exceeded. Each of these three non-operational networks is discussed below:

- The Netherlands' NSS-BSS 114.5W network at 114.5° W.L. is deemed to be affected. We can find no evidence that this network is under construction or scheduled for launch. This network itself needs to be coordinated with numerous USA BSS networks, including those assigned to EchoStar BSS Corporation.
- The Netherlands' NSS-BSS 47.5W network at 47.5° W.L. is deemed to be affected. We can find no evidence that this network is under construction or scheduled for launch. Given the large orbital separation and the small OEPM degradation, coordination should be straight forward, if ultimately necessary.
- France's F-SAT-BSS-113W network at 113° W.L. is deemed to be affected. We can find no evidence that this network is under construction or scheduled for launch. This network

itself needs to be coordinated with numerous USA BSS networks, including those assigned to EchoStar BSS Corporation.

---



**CERTIFICATION OF PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARING  
ENGINEERING INFORMATION**

I hereby certify that I am the technically qualified person responsible for preparation of the engineering information contained in this application, that I am familiar with Part 25 of the Commission's rules, that I have either prepared or reviewed the engineering information submitted in this application and that it is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

/s/

-----  
Stephen D. McNeil  
Telecomm Strategies Canada, Inc.  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada  
(613) 270-1177

## APPENDIX 1 TO ATTACHMENT A

### Analysis of ANNEX 1 of Appendix 30

**1 Limits for the interference into frequency assignments in conformity with the Regions 1 and 3 Plan or with the Regions 1 and 3 List or into new or modified assignments in the Regions 1 and 3 List**

Does not apply to the Region 2 Plan.

**2 Limits to the change in the overall equivalent protection margin for frequency assignments in conformity with the Region 2 plan**

*With respect to § 4.2.3 c) of Article 4, an administration in Region 2 is considered as being affected if the overall equivalent protection margin<sup>28</sup> corresponding to a test point of its entry in the Region 2 Plan, including the cumulative effect of any previous modification to that Plan or any previous agreement, falls more than 0.25 dB below 0 dB, or, if already negative, more than 0.25 dB below the value resulting from:*

- the Region 2 Plan as established by the 1983 Conference; or*
- a modification of the assignment in accordance with this Appendix; or*
- a new entry in the Region 2 Plan under Article 4; or*
- any agreement reached in accordance with this Appendix. (WRC-03)*

Using the characteristics of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network, an MSPACE analysis was performed utilizing the Region 2 BSS Plan as contained in IFIC 2935. The results of the analysis for non-USA networks are contained in Annex 1 to this Appendix.

---

<sup>28</sup> For the definition of the overall equivalent protection margin, see § 1.11 of Annex 5.

**3 Limits to the change in the power flux-density to protect the broadcasting-satellite service in Regions 1 and 2 in the band 12.2-12.5 GHz and in Region 3 in the band 12.5-12.7 GHz**

*With respect to § 4.2.3 a), 4.2.3 b) or 4.2.3 f) of Article 4, as appropriate, an administration in Region 1 or 3 is considered as being affected if the proposed modification to the Region 2 Plan would result in exceeding the following power flux-density values, at any test point in the service area of its overlapping frequency assignments:*

$-147 \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 27 \text{ MHz}))$	<i>for <math>0^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 0.23^\circ</math></i>
$-135.7 + 17.74 \log \theta \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 27 \text{ MHz}))$	<i>for <math>0.23^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 2.0^\circ</math></i>
$-136.7 + 1.66 \theta^2 \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 27 \text{ MHz}))$	<i>for <math>2.0^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 3.59^\circ</math></i>
$-129.2 + 25 \log \theta \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 27 \text{ MHz}))$	<i>for <math>3.59^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 10.57^\circ</math></i>
$-103.6 \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 27 \text{ MHz}))$	<i>for <math>10.57^\circ \leq \theta</math></i>

*where  $\theta$  is the minimum geocentric orbital separation in degrees between the wanted and interfering space stations, taking into account the respective East-West station-keeping accuracies. (WRC-03)*

The GIMS PFD tool was used to assess compliance with this Section. Using the antenna gain contours and proposed power level of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite, the GIMS PFD tool showed that no administrations are affected. Therefore, the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network is compliant with this Section.

**4 Limits to the power flux-density to protect the terrestrial services of other administrations<sup>29, 30, 31</sup>**

*With respect to § 4.2.3 d) of Article 4, an administration in Region 1, 2 or 3 is considered as being affected if the consequence of the proposed modification to an existing assignment in the Region 2 Plan is to increase the power flux-density arriving on any part of the territory of that administration by more than 0.25 dB over that resulting from that frequency assignment in the Region 2 Plan at the time of entry into force of the Final Acts of the 1985 Conference. The same administration is considered as not being affected if the value of the power flux-density anywhere in its territory does not exceed the limits expressed below.*

---

<sup>29</sup> See § 3.18 of Annex 5.

<sup>30</sup> In the band 12.5-12.7 GHz in Region 1, these limits are applicable only to the territory of administrations mentioned in Nos. **5.494** and **5.496**.

<sup>31</sup> See Resolution **34**.

With respect to § 4.1.1 d) or § 4.2.3 d) of Article 4, an administration in Region 1, 2 or 3 is considered as being affected if the proposed new assignment in the Regions 1 and 3 List, or if the proposed new frequency assignment in the Region 2 Plan, would result in exceeding a power flux-density, for any angle of arrival, at any point on its territory, of:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 -148 \text{ dB(W/(m}^2 \cdot 4 \text{ kHz))} & \text{for } \theta \leq 5^\circ \\
 -148 + 0.5 (\theta - 5) \text{ dB(W(m}^2 \cdot 4 \text{ kHz))} & \text{for } 5^\circ < \theta \leq 25^\circ \\
 -138 \text{ dB(W/(m}^2 \cdot 4 \text{ kHz))} & \text{for } 25^\circ < \theta \leq 90^\circ
 \end{array}$$

where  $\theta$  represents the angle of arrival. (WRC-03)

The GIMS PFD tool was used to assess compliance with this Section. Using the antenna gain contours and proposed power levels of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite, the GIMS PFD tool showed that no administrations are affected. Therefore, the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network is compliant with this Section.

**5 (Not used.)**

**6 Limits to the change in the power flux-density of assignments in the Regions 1 and 3 Plan or List to protect the fixed-satellite service (space-to-earth) in the band 11.7-12.2 GHz<sup>32</sup> in Region 2 or in the band 12.2-12.5 GHz in Region 3, and of assignments in the Region 2 plan to protect the fixed-satellite service (space-to-earth) in the band 12.5-12.7 GHz in Region 1 and in the band 12.2-12.7 GHz in Region 3**

*With respect to § 4.2.3 e), an administration is considered as being affected if the proposed modification to the Region 2 Plan would result in an increase in the power flux-density over any portion of the service area of its overlapping frequency assignments in the fixed-satellite service in Region 1 or 3 of 0.25 dB or more above that resulting from the frequency assignments in the Region 2 Plan at the time of entry into force of the Final Acts of the 1985 Conference.*

*With respect to § 4.1.1 e) or 4.2.3 e) of Article 4, an administration is considered as not being affected if the proposed new or modified assignment in the Regions 1 and 3 List, or if a proposed modification to the Region 2 Plan, gives a power flux-density anywhere over any portion of the service area of its overlapping frequency assignments in the fixed-satellite service in Region 1, 2 or 3 of less than:*

---

<sup>32</sup> Including assignments operating under No. 5.485.

$-186.5 \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 40 \text{ kHz}))$	<i>for <math>0^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 0.054^\circ</math></i>
$-164.0 + 17.74 \log \theta \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 40 \text{ kHz}))$	<i>for <math>0.054^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 2.0^\circ</math></i>
$-165.0 + 1.66 \theta^2 \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 40 \text{ kHz}))$	<i>for <math>2.0^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 3.59^\circ</math></i>
$-157.5 + 25 \log \theta \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 40 \text{ kHz}))$	<i>for <math>3.59^\circ \leq \theta &lt; 10.57^\circ</math></i>
$-131.9 \text{ dB}(W/(m^2 \cdot 40 \text{ kHz}))$	<i>for <math>10.57^\circ \leq \theta</math></i>

where  $\theta$  is the minimum geocentric orbital separation in degrees between the wanted and interfering space stations, taking into account the respective East-West station-keeping accuracies.

The ITU's GIBC software tool was used to assess compliance with this Section. The results show that no administrations are affected and therefore the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network is compliant with this Section.

**7 Limits to the change in equivalent noise temperature to protect the fixed-satellite service (earth-to-space) in Region 1 from modifications to the Region 2 plan in the band 12.5-12.7 GHz**

*With respect to § 4.2.3 e) of Article 4, an administration of Region 1 is considered as being affected if the proposed modification to the Region 2 Plan would result in:*

- the value of  $\Delta T/T$  resulting from the proposed modification is greater than the value of  $\Delta T/T$  resulting from the assignment in the Region 2 Plan as of the date of entry into force of the Final Acts of the 1985 Conference; and*
- the value of  $\Delta T/T$  resulting from the proposed modification exceeds 6%, using the method of Appendix 8 (Case II). (WRC-03)*

From a review of the available ITU space network databases there are no assignments registered in the Earth-to-space direction in the frequency band 12.5-12.7 GHz. Therefore, no Region 1 space stations can be affected and hence the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network is compliant with this Section.

**Annex 1**

**ECHOSTAR-23 at 109.9° W.L. MSPACE Results**

<b>Admin</b>	<b>Orbital Position (°W)</b>	<b>Network</b>	<b>Max. OEPM Degradation (dB)</b>
<b>HOL</b>	<b>114.5</b>	<b>NSS-BSS 114.5W</b>	<b>1.960</b>
<b>HOL</b>	<b>47.5</b>	<b>NSS-BSS 47.5W</b>	<b>0.319</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>113.0</b>	<b>F-SAT-BSS-113W</b>	<b>4.506</b>

## APPENDIX 2 TO ATTACHMENT A

### Analysis of ANNEX 1 of Appendix 30A

1 (SUP - WRC-2000)

2 (SUP - WRC-2000)

3 **Limits to the change in the overall equivalent protection margin with respect to frequency assignments in conformity with the Region 2 feeder-link plan<sup>33</sup>** (WRC-2000)

*With respect to the modification to the Region 2 feeder-link Plan and when it is necessary under this Appendix to seek the agreement of any other administration of Region 2, except in cases covered by Resolution 42 (Rev.WRC-03), an administration is considered as being affected if the overall equivalent protection margin<sup>34</sup> corresponding to a test point of its entry in that Plan, including the cumulative effect of any previous modification to that Plan or any previous agreement, falls more than 0.25 dB below 0 dB, or, if already negative, more than 0.25 dB below the value resulting from:*

- the feeder-link Plan as established by the 1983 Conference; or*
- a modification of the assignment in accordance with this Appendix; or*
- a new entry in the feeder-link Plan under Article 4; or*
- any agreement reached in accordance with this Appendix except for Resolution 42 (Rev.WRC-03). (WRC-03)*

Using the characteristics of the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network, an MSPACE analysis was performed utilizing the Region 2 BSS Plan as contained in IFIC 2935. The results of the analysis for non-USA networks are contained in Annex 1 to this Appendix.

---

<sup>33</sup> With respect to § 3 the limit specified relates to the overall equivalent protection margin calculated in accordance with § 1.12 of Annex 3.

<sup>34</sup> For the definition of the overall equivalent protection margin, see § 1.11 of Annex 5 to Appendix 30.

**4 Limits to the interference into frequency assignments in conformity with the Regions 1 and 3 feeder-link Plan or with the Regions 1 and 3 feeder-link List or proposed new or modified assignments in the Regions 1 and 3 feeder-link list (WRC-03)**

Does not apply to the Region 2 Plan.

**5 Limits applicable to protect a frequency assignment in the bands 17.3-18.1 GHz (Regions 1 and 3) and 17.3-17.8 GHz (Region 2) to a receiving space station in the fixed-satellite service (earth-to-space)**

*An administration in Region 1 or 3 is considered as being affected by a proposed modification in Region 2, with respect to § 4.2.2 a) or 4.2.2 b) of Article 4, or an administration in Region 2 is considered as being affected by a proposed new or modified assignment in the Regions 1 and 3 feeder-link List, with respect to § 4.1.1 c) of Article 4, when the power flux-density arriving at the receiving space station of a broadcasting-satellite feeder-link would cause an increase in the noise temperature of the feeder-link space station which exceeds the threshold value of  $\Delta T/T$  corresponding to 6%, where  $\Delta T/T$  is calculated in accordance with the method given in Appendix 8, except that the maximum power densities per hertz averaged over the worst 1 MHz are replaced by power densities per hertz averaged over the necessary bandwidth of the feeder-link carriers. (WRC-03)*

The analysis shows that there are no affected Region 1 or Region 3 networks. Therefore, the ECHOSTAR-23 satellite network is compliant with this Section.

**6 Limits applicable to protect a frequency assignment in the band 17.8- 18.1 GHz (Region 2) to a receiving feeder-link space station in the fixed-satellite service (earth-to-space) (WRC-03)**

Does not apply to the Region 2 Plan.