

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

1875 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1238
Tel: 202 303 1000
Fax: 202 303 2000

RECEIVED

JUN - 8 2006

June 8, 2006

Via Hand Delivery

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Combined Application for Consent to the Transfer of Control of
Telecomunicaciones de Puerto Rico, Inc., Holder of Domestic and
International Section 214 Authorizations, from Verizon Communications
Inc. to América Móvil, S.A. de C.V.

File No. **11C-T/C-20060510-00269**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to the request of the staff of the International Bureau, América Móvil, S.A. de C.V. (“América Móvil”), by its undersigned counsel, hereby supplements the information provided in the above-referenced application by stating the following:

In response to Question 17 on the International Section 214 Authorization Application for Transfer of Control, América Móvil certified that it agreed to comply with the “dominant carrier safeguards in Section 63.10 (c) & (e) of the Commission’s rules in the provision of international service” between the United States and Mexico, Brazil, Guatemala, Nicaragua, El Salvador. In the narrative accompanying that question, América Móvil noted that several of its affiliates are presumed by the Commission to possess market power in those markets. América Móvil wrote: “[w]ith respect to the U.S.-Mexico, U.S.-Guatemala, U.S.-Nicaragua, and U.S.-El Salvador routes . . . América Móvil will comply with the Commission’s rules applicable to carriers affiliated with foreign carriers that the Commission considers dominant under its rules” América Móvil inadvertently did not include the U.S.-Brazil route in this sentence, though it did acknowledge earlier in the narrative that its affiliate Embratel is presumed to possess market power in Brazil, and it did list the Brazil route on the Application as one of the routes for which it agrees to comply with “dominant carrier safeguards.” This omission was inadvertent. With regard to the U.S.-Brazil route, América Móvil will comply with the Commission’s rules applicable to

carriers affiliated with foreign carriers that the Commission considers dominant under its rules, without prejudice to America Móvil's right to seek reclassification of Embratel in the future.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned should you have any questions regarding this submission.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Jones

Daniel K. Alvarez*

WILKIE FARR & GALLAGHER, LLP

1875 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

202-303-1141

Counsel for América Móvil, S.A. de C.V.

* Admitted to Practice in Virginia

cc: Susan O'Connell
David Krech