

Categories of Services for 214 Applications
(Streamlined/Non-streamline)

- LIMITED/GLOBAL RESALE SERVICE
- LIMITED/GLOBAL FACILITIES-BASED SERVICE
- LIMITED/GLOBAL FACILITIES-BASED/RESALE SERVICE
- INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES-BASED SERVICE
- INTERCONNECTED PRIVATE LINE RESALE SERVICE
- INMARSAT AND MOBILE SATELLITE SERVICE
- INTERNATIONAL SPECIAL PROJECT
- SWITCHED RESALE SERVICE
- TRANSFER OF CONTROL
- ASSIGNMENT OF LICENSE
- PRO FORMA TRANSFER/ASSIGNMENT
- SPECIAL TEMPORARY AUTHORITY
- SUBMARINE CABLE LANDING LICENSE

Description of Application: _____

FCG/MELLOW™

MAY 16 2000

ARNOLD & PORTER

555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1206

(202) 942-5000
FACSIMILE: (202) 942-5999

PHILIP W. HORTON
(202) 942-5787
INTERNET: Philip_Horton@apporter.com

NEW YORK

DENVER

LOS ANGELES

LONDON

May 16, 2000

Federal Communications Commission
International Bureau - Telecommunications
P.O. Box 358115
Pittsburgh, PA 15251-5115

Re: Application for Authority, Pursuant to Section 214 of the
Communications Act of 1934, as Amended, for Global Resale Authority

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed for filing please find an original and five copies of the application of Pacific Telesis Mobile Services for authority pursuant to Section 214 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214, and Section 63.18 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 63.18, for authority to provide global international resale services. Also enclosed is a check payable to the Federal Communications Commission in the amount of \$780.00 for the prescribed filing fee.

Please note that Pacific Telesis Mobile Services is requesting streamlined processing of this Section 214 application, pursuant to Section 63.12 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 63.12.

As indicated in the application, please direct questions or correspondence concerning this application to:

Wayne Watts
Vice President and Assistant General Counsel
SBC Communications Inc.
175 E. Houston
San Antonio, TX 78205
210-351-3476 (voice)
210-351-3257 (facsimile)

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,


Philip W. Horton

Enclosures

READ INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY
BEFORE PROCEEDING

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
REMITTANCE ADVICE

APPROVED BY OMB

3060-0589

(1) LOCKBOX # 358115

PAGE NO. 1 OF 1

SPECIAL USE
FCC USE ONLY

SECTION A - PAYER INFORMATION

(2) PAYER NAME (if paying by credit card, enter name exactly as it appears on your card)

Arnold & Porter

(3) TOTAL AMOUNT PAID (dollars and cents)

\$ 780.00

(4) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 1
555 Twelfth Street, NW

(5) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2

(6) CITY
Washington

(7) STATE
DC

(8) ZIP CODE
20004

(9) DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)
(202) 942-5520

(10) COUNTRY CODE (if not in U.S.A.)

IF PAYER NAME AND THE APPLICANT NAME ARE DIFFERENT, COMPLETE SECTION B
IF MORE THAN ONE APPLICANT, USE CONTINUATION SHEETS (FORM 159-C)

SECTION B - APPLICANT INFORMATION

(11) APPLICANT NAME (if paying by credit card, enter name exactly as it appears on your card)
Pacific Televis Mobile Services

(12) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 1
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A

(13) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2

(14) CITY
Dallas

(15) STATE
TX

(16) ZIP CODE
75252

(17) DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)
(972) 733-8310

(18) COUNTRY CODE (if not in U.S.A.)

COMPLETE SECTION C FOR EACH SERVICE. IF MORE BOXES ARE NEEDED, USE CONTINUATION SHEETS (FORM 159-C)

SECTION C - PAYMENT INFORMATION

(19A) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID

(20A) PAYMENT TYPE CODE (PTC)

(21A) QUANTITY

(22A) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLOCK 2A

FCC USE ONLY

(23A) FCC CODE 1

C U T

1

\$ 780.00

FCC USE ONLY

(19B) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID

(20B) PAYMENT TYPE CODE (PTC)

(21B) QUANTITY

(22B) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLOCK 2B

FCC USE ONLY

(23B) FCC CODE 1

\$

FCC USE ONLY

(19C) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID

(20C) PAYMENT TYPE CODE (PTC)

(21C) QUANTITY

(22C) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLOCK 2C

FCC USE ONLY

(23C) FCC CODE 1

\$

FCC USE ONLY

(19D) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID

(20D) PAYMENT TYPE CODE (PTC)

(21D) QUANTITY

(22D) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLOCK 2D

FCC USE ONLY

(23D) FCC CODE 1

\$

FCC USE ONLY

SECTION D - TAXPAYER INFORMATION (REQUIRED)

(24) COMPLETE THE BLOCK ONLY IF APPLICANT MADE IN-THE-STATE PAYMENTS FROM PAYER NAME IN 2A

PAYER TIN

0 5 3 0 2 0 8 6 0 5

APPLICANT TIN

0 9 4 3 2 1 2 3 1 2

SECTION E - CERTIFICATION

(27) CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Mary Dixon

(PRINT NAME)

, Certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing and supporting information

are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE

Mary Dixon

(28) MASTERCARD/VISA ACCOUNT NUMBER

SECTION F - CREDIT CARD PAYMENT INFORMATION

EXPIRATION DATE

MASTERCARD

MONTH YEAR

VISA

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DATE

I hereby authorize the FCC to charge my VISA or MASTERCARD for the service(s) listed below (if item is described).

SEE PUBLIC BURDEN ESTIMATE ON REVERSE

FCC FORM 159 JULY 1997 (REVISED)

and Arizona (“Pacific Telesis Service Area”). In addition, Pacific Telesis provides international resale services to its wireless customers.

SBC, Pacific Telesis’ parent corporation, has ownership interests in several foreign carriers. SBC’s interest in carriers in South Africa, Switzerland, Hungary, Norway, the Netherlands, Denmark, Germany, Canada and Lithuania rise to the level of a foreign affiliation under the Commission’s international section 214 regulations. See 47 C.F.R. § 63.09(e).

II. PUBLIC INTEREST CONSIDERATIONS

Grant of this application will serve the public interest, convenience and necessity by enabling Pacific Telesis to provide international service through the resale of the international services of authorized U.S. common carriers. Pacific Telesis’ provision of international services will benefit consumers by increasing competition, lowering prices and increasing the service options available to the public. For these reasons, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Application.

III. OTHER INFORMATION PROVIDED PURSUANT TO SECTION 63.18 OF THE COMMISSION’S RULES

The Applicant provides the following information in compliance with subsections (a) through (p) of Section 63.18 of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 63.18(a)-(p), and in support of the Applicant’s request.

(a) The name, address and telephone number of the Applicant are:

Pacific Telesis Mobile Services
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, TX 75252
(972) 733-8310

(b) Pacific Telesis is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California.

(c) The name, title, address and telephone number of each officer and other contact person to whom correspondence concerning this application is to be addressed is as follows:

Pacific Telesis Mobile Services
Carol Tacker
17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A
Dallas, TX 75252

(972) 733-2005 (voice)
(972) 733-2021 (facsimile)

with a copy to

Philip Horton
Arnold & Porter
555 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

(202) 942-5787 (voice)
(202) 942-5999 (facsimile)

(d) Pacific Telesis has not previously received authority under Section 214 of the Communications Act, as amended, to provide international telecommunications services.

(e) Pacific Telesis is applying for global resale authority under Section 63.18(e)(2) of the Commission's Rules. Pacific Telesis requests Section 214 authority to operate as a resale carrier pursuant to § 63.18(e)(2) and certifies that it will comply with the terms and conditions contained in §§ 63.21 and 63.23 of the Commission's rules.

(f) No response required.

(g) The applicant is not seeking facilities-based authority under Section 63.18(e)(4) of the Commission's Rules. Therefore, Section 63.18(g) requires no response from the applicant.

(h) Pacific Telesis is wholly-owned by SBC, a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware. SBC's principal businesses consist of local exchange, wireless and directory publishing services provided by the operating subsidiaries of SBC. No entity beneficially owns more than 10 percent of SBC. SBC's address is as follows:

SBC Communications Inc.
175 East Houston Street
San Antonio, TX 78205

(i) Pacific Telesis hereby certifies that it is affiliated, as that term is defined in

Section 63.09(e) of the Commission's Rules, with the following foreign carriers:

1. Telkom South Africa Ltd. ("Telkom S.A.") (South Africa). A consortium formed between Telekom Malaysia Berhad and SBC owns 30 percent of Telkom S.A. SBC owns 60% of the consortium. Before the acquisition by this consortium, Telkom S.A. was a completely state-owned company. Telkom S.A. is the incumbent telecommunications carrier in South Africa.

2. diAx Holding AG ("diAx") (Switzerland). SBC, through a joint venture, owns an indirect 40 percent interest in diAx, a relatively new, full-service Swiss telecommunications carrier, with far less than 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in Switzerland. In the Commission decision approving the merger of SBC and Ameritech Corporation ("Ameritech"),¹ the Commission determined that diAx lacks market power in Switzerland, and that SBC and its subsidiaries are entitled to non-dominant carrier treatment on the U.S.-Switzerland route.²

3. MATAV Rt (Hungary). MagyarCom, a consortium formed indirectly between Ameritech, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SBC, and Deutsche Telekom, owns approximately 60 percent of MATAV Rt., the incumbent

¹ In re Ameritech Corp. and SBC Communications Inc., 14 FCC Rcd. 14,712 (Oct. 8, 1999) ("SBC/Ameritech Order").

² Id. at ¶ 533.

telecommunications operator in Hungary. Through its interest in MagyarCom, Ameritech, and therefore SBC, holds a non-controlling, 29.8 percent interest in MATAV.

4. BEN Netherlands B.V. (“BEN Netherland”)(the Netherlands). Through its interests in Tele Danmark and Belgacom S.A., Ameritech owns an indirect, non-controlling interest in BEN Netherland. (Ameritech’s interest in Belgacom S.A. does not constitute an affiliation as defined in Section 63.09(e) of the Commission’s rules.) BEN Netherland provides GSM 1800 wireless services in the Netherlands, and has far less than a 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in the Netherlands. In the SBC/Ameritech Order, the Commission held that BEN Netherland does not possess market power in the Netherlands, and that SBC subsidiaries are entitled to non-dominant regulation on the U.S.-Netherlands route.³

5. Tele Danmark A/S (“Tele Danmark”)(Denmark). Ameritech holds, through an indirect subsidiary, a 41.6 percent, *de facto* controlling interest in Tele Danmark. Tele Danmark is a full service telecommunications carrier in Denmark authorized to provide, among other services, local exchange and international telecommunications services to the public.

6. NetCom GSM (“NetCom”)(Norway). Ameritech owns a 19.6 percent interest in NetCom. Tele Danmark owns 20.4 percent of NetCom. Therefore, Ameritech has an effective interest of approximately 28.1 percent in NetCom. NetCom is a wireless carrier with less than 50 percent market share of the local access and transport markets in Norway.

7. Talkline GmbH (“Talkline”)(Germany and the Netherlands). Talkline is wholly-owned by Tele Danmark. Consequently, Ameritech holds an indirect controlling interest in Talkline. Talkline is authorized to provide mobile communications services in Germany and resold cellular service in the Netherlands. Talkline has far less than a 50 percent market share of the international transport and local access markets in Germany and the Netherlands. In the SBC/Ameritech Order, the Commission held that Talkline lacks market power in Germany and the Netherlands, and that SBC subsidiaries are entitled to regulation as non-dominant carriers along the U.S.-Germany and U.S.-Netherlands routes.⁴

8. UAB Mobilios Telekomunikacijos (“Bite”)(Lithuania). Bite is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tele Danmark. Consequently, Ameritech holds an indirect, controlling interest in Bite. Bite is authorized to provide wireless services in

³ Id. at ¶ 537.

⁴ Id.

Lithuania, and has far less than a 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in Lithuania. In the SBC/Ameritech Order, the Commission held that Bite lacks market power in Lithuania, and that SBC subsidiaries are entitled to regulation as non-dominant carriers on the U.S.-Lithuania route.⁵

9. Ameritech Communications International, Inc. (“ACII”) (Canada). ACII is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Ameritech. As a consequence, SBC holds a controlling interest in ACII. Last year, ACII received authorization to provide international telecommunications services in Canada, and therefore became a foreign carrier, as that term is defined in Section 63.09(d). ACII has a minuscule share of the international transport and local access market in Canada. On June 30, 1999, Ameritech Communications, Inc. and Ameritech Mobile Communications, Inc. (subsidiaries of SBC) notified the Commission that: (1) ACII (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ameritech Communications, Inc.) had become a foreign carrier in Canada, (2) ACII lacks market power in Canada, and (3) ACI and AMCI therefore are entitled to non-dominant treatment along the U.S.-Canada route.⁶ The Commission apparently agrees that ACII lacks market power in Canada because the Commission has not imposed dominant carrier status on ACI and AMCI along the U.S.-Canada route.⁷

10. ETTele Ost (“ETO”) (Norway). Tele Danmark owns a 51 percent interest of ETO, which provides competitive fixed network, broadband and internet services in Norway. ETO currently serves a minuscule share of the Norwegian market. SBC recently notified the Commission of its affiliation with ETO.⁸ Consequently, the Commission has not yet considered whether ETO possesses market power in Norway. Nevertheless, as SBC pointed out in its notification, due to its low share of the Norwegian market, ETO does not have market power and SBC is entitled to a presumption of non-dominance along the U.S.-Norway route.⁹

⁵ Id. at ¶ 538.

⁶ Ameritech Communications, Inc. Certification of Status of its Affiliate, Ameritech Communications International, Inc. as a Foreign Carrier in Canada, FCC File Nos. ITC-96-441, ITC-96-272, ITC-97-298 (filed June 30, 1999); Ameritech Mobile Communications, Inc. Certification of Status of its Affiliate, Ameritech Communications International, Inc. as a Foreign Carrier in Canada, FCC File No. ITC-96-243 (filed June 30, 1999).

⁷ Foreign Participation Order, 12 FCC Rcd. 23891, ¶¶ 161-162 (1997).

⁸ Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc., et. al, Notification of Foreign Affiliation, FCC File No. FCN-NEW-20000120-00002 (public notice of notification, Report No. FCN-00014, Feb. 11, 2000).

⁹ Id. at 4-5.

Pacific Telesis hereby certifies that it is not a foreign carrier in any country.

(j) Pursuant to section 63.18(j) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 63.18(j), Pacific Telesis hereby certifies that: (1) it does not seek to provide international telecommunications services to any destination country in which Pacific Telesis is a foreign carrier or controls a foreign carrier, and (2) no foreign carriers own more than 25 percent of Pacific Telesis. Pacific Telesis further certifies that it seeks to serve certain destination countries in which SBC, an entity which own greater than 25 percent of Pacific Telesis, controls foreign carriers. These foreign carriers and destination countries are:

1. Denmark (Tele Danmark);
2. Germany (Talkline);
3. Netherlands (Talkline);
4. Lithuania (Bite);
5. Canada (ACII);
6. Norway (ETO);

(k) Pursuant to section 63.18(k) of the Commission's rules, for each of the destination countries listed in subsection (j) of this application, Pacific Telesis provides the following information:

- (1) Tele Danmark (Denmark). Denmark is a member of the World Trade Organization.
- (2) Talkline (Germany and the Netherlands). Both Germany and the Netherlands are members of the World Trade Organization. Talkline has far less than 50 percent market share of the international transport and local access markets in Germany and the Netherlands, and therefore is presumed not to have market power in Germany and the Netherlands.¹⁰ Additionally, as noted above, the

¹⁰ Foreign Participation Order, 12 FCC Rcd 23891, 23955-65, ¶¶ 150-70 (1997).

Commission has already concluded that Talkline lacks market power in Germany and the Netherlands.¹¹

(3) Bite (Lithuania). Lithuania is not a member of the World Trade Organization. However, Bite has far less than a 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in Lithuania, and therefore is presumed not to possess market power in any relevant market on the U.S.-Lithuania route.¹² For this reason, the Commission has already concluded that Bite lacks sufficient market power to affect competition adversely in the United States.

(4) ACII. (Canada). Canada is a member of the World Trade Organization. ACII is a nascent carrier with far less than 50 percent of the international transport and local access markets in Canada, and therefore is presumed not to possess market power in any relevant market on the U.S.-Canada route.¹³ In addition, as noted in section (i)(8) of this application, the Commission apparently already has concluded that ACII lacks market power in Canada.

(5) ETO (Norway). Norway is a member of the World Trade Organization. ETO is a nascent carrier with a miniscule share of the international transport and local access markets in Norway, and therefore is presumed not to possess market power in any relevant market on the U.S.-Norway route.¹⁴

(f) Pacific Telesis proposes to resell the international switched services of unaffiliated U.S. carriers for the purpose of providing global international communications services. In nine countries — South Africa, Switzerland, Hungary, Norway, the Netherlands, Denmark, Germany, Canada and Lithuania — Pacific Telesis has a foreign affiliation as defined by Section 63.09(e) of the Commission's Rules. Pursuant to Section 63.18(l) of those Rules, Pacific Telesis provides the following information with respect to the foreign carriers with which it has an affiliation and as to which it either satisfies Section 63.10(a)(3)

¹¹ SBC/Ameritech Order, at ¶ 537.

¹² Foreign Participation Order, 12 FCC Rcd 23891, 23955-65, ¶¶ 150-70 (1997).

¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Id.

of the Commission's Rules or files the quarterly traffic reports required by Section 43.61(c) of the Commission's Rules.

On all international routes Pacific Telesis will provide service solely via resale of the international switched services of an unaffiliated U.S. carrier. Since all of Pacific Telesis' foreign affiliated carriers, except Telkom S.A., Tele Danmark, and MATAV Rt, lack 50 percent market share in the international transport and the local access markets on the foreign end of the route, Pacific Telesis will satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Rules for all international communications services covered by this application, other than those to South Africa, Denmark and Hungary. With respect to those three countries, Pacific Telesis will file the quarterly traffic reports required by Section 43.61(c) of the Rules.

(m) Pursuant to Section 63.18(m) of the Commission's Rules, and in accord with the standards set forth in Section 63.10 of those Rules, Pacific Telesis requests that it be treated as non-dominant for the provision of the international communications services to South Africa, Switzerland, Hungary, Norway, the Netherlands, Denmark, Germany, Canada, and Lithuania, for the following reasons:

1. Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(4) for the provision of international communications service to South Africa through the resale of an unaffiliated U.S facilities-based carriers' international switched services.
2. diAx lacks 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in Switzerland and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
3. Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(4) for the provision of international communications service to Hungary through the resale of an unaffiliated U.S facilities-based carriers' international switched services
4. BEN lacks 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access market in the Netherlands and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section

- 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
5. NetCom is a wireless carrier which lacks 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access market in Norway and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
6. Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(4) for the provision of international communications service to Denmark through the resale of an unaffiliated U.S. facilities-based carriers' international switched services.
7. Talkline provides mobile communications services by connecting customers to different mobile operators' networks, and has far below 50 percent of the market share of the international transport and local access markets in Germany and the Netherlands and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
8. Bite provides only mobile wireless communications services and lacks 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in Lithuania and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
9. ACII received authorization to provide international telecommunications services in Canada last year, and therefore became a foreign carrier, as that term is defined in Section 63.09(d). ACII has a minuscule share of the international transport and local access market in Canada and lacks 50 percent market share in the international transport and local access markets in Canada and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
10. ETO, which provides competitive fixed network, broadband and internet services in Norway, has far below 50 percent of the market share of the international transport and local access markets in Norway and thus Pacific Telesis would satisfy Section 63.10(a)(3) of the Commission's Rules for all international communications services which are covered by this application.
- (n)* Pacific Telesis hereby certifies that it has not agreed to accept special concessions directly or indirectly from any foreign carrier with respect to any U.S. international route where the foreign carrier possesses market power on the foreign end of the route and will not enter into such agreements in the future.

(o) Pacific Telesis is not subject to a denial of Federal benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988. Attached hereto is a certification, pursuant to Sections 1.2001 through 1.2003 of the Commission's Rules (implementing the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. § 862), of Pacific Telesis.

(p) The Applicant requests streamlined processing of this application. This application qualifies for streamlined processing pursuant to Section 63.12 of the Commission's Rules because, although Pacific Telesis is affiliated with foreign carriers within the meaning of Section 63.09(e) in South Africa, Switzerland, Hungary, Norway, the Netherlands, Denmark, Canada, and Germany, these affiliated destination markets are World Trade Organization member countries and Pacific Telesis qualifies for a presumption of non-dominance under Section 63.10(a)(4) of the Commission's Rules as the international service which is the subject of this application would be provided solely through the resale of an unaffiliated U.S. facilities-based carrier's international switched services (either directly or indirectly through resale of another U.S. resale carrier's international switched services).

In addition, although Pacific Telesis is affiliated with a foreign carrier within the meaning of Section 63.09(e) in Lithuania, the Commission has previously found that Bite lacks market power in the destination market.¹⁵

¹⁵ Id. at ¶¶ 534-538.

IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, the applicant respectfully requests that the Commission grant this application.

Respectfully submitted,

PACIFIC TELESIS MOBILE SERVICES

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wayne White", written over a horizontal line.

DATE: 5/16/00


**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTIONS 1.2001-1.2003 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES**

Pursuant to Sections 1.2001-1.2003 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R.

§§ 1.2001-1.2003, Pacific Telesis hereby certifies that neither it, nor any of its officers or directors, nor any of the shareholders holding 5 percent or more of the outstanding stock or shares (voting and/or non-voting) of Pacific Telesis is subject to a denial of federal benefits that include FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. § 862.

PACIFIC TELESIS MOBILE SERVICES

By:



DATE: 5/16/00