OPEO PC JLOVSKY AND OHN FERRIS

Boston Washington

New Haven

Reston

New York

DEC 28 2001

PETICE OF THE SECRETARY

www.mintz.com 202 434 7400 fax 202 434 7300 Washington, D.C. 20004 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Russ Taylor

rtaylor@mintz.com Direct dial 202-661-8717

December 28, 2001

BY HAND

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Magalie Roman Salas Office of the Secretary Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Control of International Section 214 Authorizations Notification of Pro Forma Transfer of CoreComm Holdco, Inc. - Pro Forma Transferee; CoreComm Limited - Pro Forma Transferor;

Dear Ms. Salas:

§ 63.24(b) (2000). No FCC application processing fee is associated with this notification filing. control, covering the International Section 214 authorizations specified at Exhibit A. 47 C.F.R. Enclosed herewith are an original and one copy of a notification of pro forma transfer of

If you have any questions concerning this submission, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Russ Taylor

Enclosures

CERTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PRO FORMA TRANSFER OF CONTROL

nature, the Applicant does not seek prior FCC approval, but instead notifies the FCC of its actions pursuant to section 63.24(b) of the FCC's rules.^{1/} the Licensees to CoreComm Holdco, Inc. ("Transferee") (Licensees, the Transferor and the Transferee are collectively referred to herein as the "Applicant"). Transferee is a pre-existing specified at Exhibit A. (the "Licensees"). wholly-owned subsidiary of Transferor. Because the Applicant's recapitalization is pro forma in "Commission") of the pro forma transfer of control of the Section 214 authorization holders December 28, 2001, the Licensees' ("Transferor") will reorganize in a debt-restructuring transaction and convey ultimate control of The attached letter notifies the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC" or ultimate parent corporation CoreComm Limited 47 C.F.R. § 1.948(d) (2000). In particular, on Friday

pro forma in nature primarily because the Applicant's beneficial ownership and control will remain largely unchanged. For example, the Transferor's three largest shareholders will constitute three of the four largest shareholders of the Transferee. The Transferor's largest recapitalization that will primarily involve the conversion of debt and preferred stock of the Transferor into common stock of the Transferee. The Applicant believes that the transaction is Debra Buruchian, will increase their stakes from approximately 9% of Transferor's voting stock to approximately 11% of Transferee's voting stock. 4/ Finally, the one holder of greater than 10% and will hold approximately 34% of the Transferee's voting stock, an amount that remains nonshareholder, Michael Karp, currently holds approximately 3% of the Transferor's voting stock The transaction is based on the Applicant's need to restructure its finances to ensure its continued viability as a provider of telecom services. 21 The Applicant's restructuring is a of the Transferee's voting stock that was not a holder of greater than 10% of Transferor's voting controlling. 3/ Further, the Transferor's two remaining largest shareholders, Thomas Gravina and The Transferor's largest

¹/ 47 C.F.R. § 63.24(b) (2000).

transaction in greater financial detail. Form 8-K, to the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 18, 2001, describing the The Transferor is publicly-traded (NASDAQ: COMM), and submitted a current report,

remained non-controlling. sales. Thus, depending on the value of the Transferor's share price, and Karp's voluntary stock sales, his holdings in the Transferor can fluctuate greatly between 5-20%, but have always 21% of the Transferor's voting stock, but has reduced his percentage of ownership through stock lieu of interest payments. As recently as October 1, 2001, for example, Karp held approximately Karp is a current debt-holder of the Transferor and receives common stock on a biannual basis in The increase in Karp's percentage of ownership is actually more modest than it appears.

shareholders; each is believed to act according to their individual interests stock of the Transferor. Further, the Applicant is not aware of any privity between its largest Prior to the transaction, no other entities held more than ten percent (10%) of the voting

will be no new party obtaining a controlling voting interest in the Applicant. Booth American is a current debt-holder and preferred shareholder in the Transferor. Thus, there stock will be Booth American, which will hold a non-controlling 20% interest. In any case,

remain under the control of its larger shareholders and management, the Applicant's transaction should be considered to result in only a *pro forma* transfer of control. 5/ customers will not change as a result of the recapitalization. Thus, because the Applicant will not already been passed on by the Commission. Further, the services provided to the Applicant's or board that would convey de facto or de jure control to a new party whose qualifications have In addition to the foregoing, there are no planned changes in the Applicant's management

are, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct. 47 C.F.R. § 1.16 (2000). result in a change of the Applicant's ultimate control. I hereby certify that the above-stated facts The current recapitalization, together with all previous pro forma transactions, does not

Respectfully submitted,

CORECOMM LIMITED CORECOMM HOLDCO, INC

Richard Lubasch

By:

Senior Vice President & General Counse.

5/

See Metromedia Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 98 FCC 2d 300, 306 (1984).

Exhibit A

214 Authorization Holder	FCC File No.
ATX Licensing, Inc.	ITC-T/C-20000620-00364
CoreComm Newco, Inc.	ITC-ASG-19990402-00215
CoreComm Newco, Inc.	ITC-ASG-19990402-00238
CoreComm Newco, Inc.	ITC-214-19980501-00290
CoreComm Incorporated	ITC-97-021(TC)
Corecomm Newco, Inc.	ITC-T/C-19980806-00542