# APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 3GHz

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertaint y (1-g) %	Standard Uncertaint y (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syste	em			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	(1-cp)	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.95	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.55	0.55
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
		Res	triction				
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
Test Sample Positioning	2.6	normal	1	1	1	2.6	2.6
Device Holder Uncertainty	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Drift of Output Power	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
		Phantor	n and Setu	ıp			
Phantom Uncertainty(shape & thickness tolerance)	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	2.6	normal	1	0.7	0.5	1.8	1.3
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	2.7	normal	1	0.6	0.5	1.6	1.4
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				9.1	8.8
Combined Uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				18.2	17.6

SAR Evaluation Report 111 of 160

# APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

# **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

Calibration File No.: 1427-1430

Client.: BACL Lab

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe
Record of Calibration
Head and Body
Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Model No.: E-020

Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5673

Calibrated: 8<sup>th</sup> August 2012 Released on: 9<sup>th</sup> August 2012

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 112 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

#### **Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<1000MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>1000MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- IEEE Standard 1528 (2003) including Amendment 1
   IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- EN 62209-1 (2006)
   Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models. instrumentation, and procedures-Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- IEC 62209-2 Ed. 1.0 (2010-03)
   Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz - 6 GHz)
- o TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- o D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- o D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Draft Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 113 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration with the exception frequency of 450 MHz .which was a new calibration

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  Relative Humidity: <60%

### **Primary Measurement Standards**

Instrument	Serial Number	Cal due date
Power meter Anritsu MA2408A	90025437	Nov.4, 2012
Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D	103555	Nov 4, 2012
Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB)	1944A10711	Sept. 14, 2012
Network Analyzer Anritsu MT8801C	MB11855	Feb. 8, 2013

#### **Secondary Measurement Standards**

Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2013

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 114 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

**Probe Summary** 

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E020

Serial Number: 500-00283

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Sensor Offset: 1.56

Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

\*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Sensitivity in Air

Diode Compression Point: 95 mV

SAR Evaluation Report 115 of 160

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Calibration Uncertainty	Tolerance Uncertainty for 5%*	Conversion Factor
450 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>43.98</mark>	0.9	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>
450 B	Body	<mark>57.07</mark>	0.92	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>
750 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
750 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
835 H	Head	<mark>42.35</mark>	<mark>0.938</mark>	<mark>3.5</mark>	3.4	<mark>6.6</mark>
835 B	Body	<mark>56.65</mark>	1.018	3.5	3.4	<mark>6.6</mark>
900 H	Head	<mark>41.35</mark>	0.98	3.5	3.4	<mark>6</mark>
900 B	<b>Body</b>	<mark>56.08</mark>	1.05	3.5	3.4	<mark>6</mark>
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1450 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
1500 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
1500 B	Body	X	X	X	X	Х
1640 H	Head	X	Х	Х	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1750 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1750 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
1800 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
1800 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
1900 H	Head	<mark>38.72</mark>	1.35	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<mark>5.2</mark>
1900 B	Body	<mark>51.62</mark>	<mark>1.48</mark>	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>2.7</mark>	<mark>5</mark>
2000 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
2100 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
2100 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
2300 H	Head	X	X	X	X	Х
2300 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
2450 H	<mark>Head</mark>	<mark>38.06</mark>	1.87	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<mark>4.9</mark>
2450B	<mark>Body</mark>	<mark>50.22</mark>	<mark>2.03</mark>	<b>3.5</b>	<mark>3.5</mark>	<mark>4.3</mark>
2600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	Х
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	Х	Х	X	X
3600 H	Head	X	Х	Х	Х	X
3600 B	Body	X	X	Х	Х	X
5200 H	Head	X	Х	Х	Х	X
5200 B	Body	X	Х	Х	X	X
5600 H	Head	X	Х	Х	X	X
5600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
5800 H	Head	X	X	Х	Х	X
5800 B	Body	X	Х	Х	X	X

Page 5 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 116 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5  $M\Omega$ .

#### **Boundary Effect:**

For a distance of 0.58mm the worst case evaluated uncertainty (increase in the probe sensitivity) is less than 2.1%.

#### NOTES:

\*The maximum deviation from the centre frequency when comparing the lower to upper range is listed.


Page 6 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 117 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Receiving Pattern Air**




Page 7 of 10


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 118 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Isotropy Error Air**





Isotropicity Tissue: 0.10 dB


Page 8 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 119 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

# **Dynamic Range**



Page 9 of 10


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 120 of 160

Division of APREL Inc.

# Video Bandwidth

#### **Probe Frequency Characteristics**



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz 1 dB Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 3 dB

#### **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2012.

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 121 of 160

Page 10 of 10

# APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Calibration File No: DC-1327 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5618

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole(Head and Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-835-S-2
Frequency: 835 MHz
Serial No: 180-00558

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August 2011 Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 122 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received in good condition and a re-calibration.

22 °C +/- 0.5°C Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

**Primary Measurement Standards** Instrument

Power meter Anritsu MA2408A Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C Secondary Measurement Standards

Signal Generator Agilent E4438C

Serial Number

245025437 103555 944A10711 1334746J

-506 MY55182336

Aug.8, 2012 Feb. 8, 2012

Cal due date

Nov.4, 2011

Nov 4, 2011

June 7, 2012

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 123 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	835 MHz	1.0417 U	-35.395dB	49.020 Ω
Body	835 MHz	1.1177 U	-25.424dB	55.435 Ω

#### System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	835 MHz	9.590	6.003	15.013
Body	835 MHz	9.684	6.263	14.23



3

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 124 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was new taken from stock.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} + /- 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $20 \,^{\circ}\text{C} + /- 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

#### **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

 Mechanical
 1%

 Positioning Error
 1.22%

 Electrical
 1.7%

 Tissue
 2.2%

 Dipole Validation
 2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 125 of 160

# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

# **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-35.395 dB	1.0417 U	49.020Ω
Body	-25.454 dB	1.1177 U	55.435Ω

# **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	41.78	0.92
Body Tissue 835MHz	56.37	0.95

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 126 of 160


5

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

# S11 Parameter Return Loss





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


6

SAR Evaluation Report 127 of 160


# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

# SWR

# Head








This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 128 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

0

SAR Evaluation Report 129 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011.

9

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 130 of 160

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

Calibration File No: DC-1331 Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5615

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 131 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

**Primary Measurement Standards** Instrument Serial Number Cal due date Power meter Anritsu MA2408A 245025437 Nov.4, 2011 Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D 103555 Nov 4, 2011 Aug.8, 2012 Feb. 8, 2012 Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 944A10711 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C 1334746J **Secondary Measurement Standards** Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 132 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

# **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 67.1 mm Height: 38.9 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.0417 U	-35.395dB	49.020 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.1177 U	-25.424dB	55.435 Ω

#### System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.648	20.311	73.365
Body	1900 MHz	39.769	20.176	75.866



3

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 133 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was new taken from stock.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 134 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

#### **Electrical Validation**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-29.360 dB	1.0732 U	47.869 Ω
Body	-22.799 dB	1.1566 U	48.022 Ω

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	38.4	1.43
Body Tissue 1900MHz	51.87	1.59

5


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 135 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### S11 Parameter Return Loss






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 136 of 160


Division of APREL Laboratories.

# SWR

# Head



# Body



7


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 137 of 160


Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**

#### Head



# Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 138 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011

9

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 139 of 160

# **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

Calibration File No: DC-1330 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5619

# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-2450-S-2
Frequency: 2450 MHz
Serial No: 220-00758

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011 Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 140 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# Conditions

Dipole 220-00758 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

**Primary Measurement Standards** Instrument Serial Number Cal due date Power meter Anritsu MA2408A 245025437 Nov.4, 2011 Nov 4, 2011 Aug.8, 2012 Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D 103555 Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 944A10711 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C 1334746J Feb. 8, 2012 **Secondary Measurement Standards** Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 141 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


Length: 52.4 mm Height: 30.3 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	2450 MHz	1.0459 U	-33.024 dB	48.533 Ω
Body	2450 MHz	1.1159 U	-25.235 dB	46.676 Ω

# **System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	2450 MHz	52.667	24.518	105.920
Body	2450 MHz	52.561	24.104	108.940



3

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 142 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 220-00758. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure

SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)" IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 2 *Draft*: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for handheld devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"

# Conditions

Dipole 220-00758 was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

### Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

4

SAR Evaluation Report 143 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

# **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
51.5 mm	30.4 mm	52.4 mm	30.3 mm

#### **Electrical Calibration**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-33.024 dB	1.0459 U	48.533 Ω
Body	-25.235 dB	1.1159 U	46.676 Ω

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 2450MHz	38.2	1.82
Body Tissue 2450MHz	51.74	1.96

5


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 144 of 160

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

# S11 Parameter Return Loss





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 145 of 160

6


Division of APREL Laboratories.

# SWR

# Head



# Body



7

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 146 of 160

## NCL Calibration Laboratories

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**





8

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 147 of 160

### **NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2011.


9

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 148 of 160

# **APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS**






**GSM: Body-worn Back-Headset Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 149 of 160






GSM & 3G: Body-Back Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 150 of 160

**GSM & 3G: Body-Front Setup Photo** 



GSM & 3G: Body-Left Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 151 of 160

GSM & 3G: Body-Right Setup Photo



**GSM & 3G: Body-Bottom Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 152 of 160

GSM & 3G: Left Head Touch Setup Photo



GSM & 3G: Left Head Tilt Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 153 of 160

GSM & 3G: Right Head Touch Setup Photo



GSM & 3G: Right Head Tilt Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 154 of 160

Wi-Fi: Body-Back Setup Photo



Wi-Fi: Body-Front Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 155 of 160

Wi-Fi: Body-Right Setup Photo



Wi-Fi: Body-Top Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 156 of 160

# **APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT – Front View** 



**EUT – Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 157 of 160

**EUT – Bottom View** 



**EUT – Uncovered View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 158 of 160

**EUT – Headset View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 159 of 160

### APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: R1DG120721001-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O\_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 160 of 160
- assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.
- [15] FCC OET KDB648474 Do1 SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple transmitters and Antennas.
- [16] FCC OET KDB248227 D01 SAR meas for 802.11a/b/g.

#### \*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 160 of 160