



FCC SAR TEST REPORT

Report No: STS1805317H01

Issued for

TCL TECHNOLOGY ELECTRONICS(HUIZHOU) CO., LTD.
SEC 37,ZHONGKAI HIGH-TECH DEVELOPMENT ZONE
HUIZHOU City GUANGDONG Province China 516006.

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Product Name: | SBM-U-BW,WBUW |
| Brand Name: | SAMSUNG, WiSilica |
| Model Name: | SLP-B211BWUXWW, WBUW |
| Series Model: | N/A |
| FCC ID: | ZVA-IOT-S-SBM-U-B |
| Test Standard: | ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1 |
| | FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093) |
| | IEEE 1528: 2013 |
| Max. Report SAR (1g): | Body: 0.573 W/kg |

Any reproduction of this document must be done in full. No single part of this document may be reproduced without permission from STS, All Test Data Presented in this report is only applicable to presented Test sample.





Test Report Certification

Applicant's name: TCL TECHNOLY ELECTRONICS(HUIZHOU) CO., LTD.
 Address: SEC 37,ZHONGKAI HIGH-TECH DEVELOPMENT ZONE
 HUIZHOU City GUANGDONG Province China 516006.
Manufacture's Name.....: TCL TECHNOLY ELECTRONICS(HUIZHOU) CO., LTD.
 Address: SEC 37,ZHONGKAI HIGH-TECH DEVELOPMENT ZONE
 HUIZHOU City GUANGDONG Province China 516006.

Product description

Product name.....: SBM-U-BW,WBUW
 Brand name: SAMSUNG, WiSilica
 Model name: SLP-B211BWUXWW, WBUW
 Series Model: N/A

Standards.....: ANS/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992
 FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
 IEEE 1528: 2013

The device was tested by Shenzhen STS Test Services Co., Ltd. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in KDB 865664 The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Date of Test:
 Date (s) of performance of tests: 04 June 2018 & 03 July 2018
 Date of Issue: 04 July 2018
 Test Result.....: **Pass**

Testing Engineer : *Aaron Bu.*

 (Aaron Bu)

Technical Manager : *John Zou*

 (John Zou)

Authorized Signatory : *Vita Li*

 (Vita Li)





Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1.General Information | 4 |
| 1.1 EUT Description | 4 |
| 1.2 Test Environment | 5 |
| 1.3 Test Factory | 5 |
| 2.Test Standards And Limits | 6 |
| 3. SAR Measurement System | 7 |
| 3.1 Definition Of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) | 7 |
| 3.2 SAR System | 7 |
| 4. Tissue Simulating Liquids | 10 |
| 4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check | 10 |
| 5. SAR System Validation | 12 |
| 5.1 Validation System | 12 |
| 5.2 Validation Result | 12 |
| 6. SAR Evaluation Procedures | 13 |
| 6.1 SAR Scan General Requirements | 13 |
| 6.2 SAR Measurement Procedure | 14 |
| 6.3 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures | 14 |
| 7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch | 15 |
| 7.1 SAR test exclusion consider table | 16 |
| 8. EUT Test Position | 17 |
| 8.1 Hotspot mode exposure position condition | 17 |
| 8.2 USB connector Orientations Implemented on Laptop Computers | 17 |
| 8.3 Simple Dongle Test Procedures | 17 |
| 8.4 Dongles with Swivel or Rotating Connectors | 18 |
| 9. Uncertainty | 19 |
| 9.1 Measurement Uncertainty | 19 |
| 9.2 System validation Uncertainty | 21 |
| 10. Conducted Power Measurement | 23 |
| 10.1 Test Result | 23 |
| 10.2 Tune-up Power | 23 |
| 10.3 SAR Test Exclusions Applied | 24 |
| 11. EUT And Test Setup Photo | 25 |
| 11.1 EUT Photo | 25 |
| 11.2 Setup Photo | 28 |
| 12. SAR Result Summary | 32 |
| 12.1 Body and Hotspot SAR | 32 |
| 13. Equipment List | 34 |
| Appendix A. System Validation Plots | 35 |
| Appendix B. SAR Test Plots | 39 |
| Appendix C. Probe Calibration And Dipole Calibration Report | 41 |



1. General Information

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

1.1 EUT Description

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| Product Name | SBM-U-BW,WBUW | | |
| Brand Name | SAMSUNG, WiSilica | | |
| Model Name | SLP-B211BWUXWW, WBUW | | |
| Series Model | N/A | | |
| FCC ID | ZVA-IOT-S-SBM-U-B | | |
| Model Difference | Only difference in brand name | | |
| Device Category | Portable | | |
| Product stage | Production unit | | |
| RF Exposure Environment | General Population / Uncontrolled | | |
| Hardware Version | N/A | | |
| Software Version | N/A | | |
| Frequency Range | WLAN 802.11b/g/n(HT20/40):2412~2462MHz Bluetooth:2402~ 2480MHz | | |
| Max. Reported SAR(1g): (Limit:1.6W/kg) | Band | Mode | Body (W/kg) |
| | DTS | WLAN | 0.573 |
| | DTS | Bluetooth ^{Note} | 0.133 |
| 1-g Sum SAR | | | 0.706 |
| FCC Equipment Class | Part 15 Spread Spectrum Transmitter (DSS) Digital Transmission System (DTS) | | |
| Operating Mode: | WLAN: 802.11 b/g/n(HT20/40); BLE | | |
| Antenna Specification: | BT,WLAN: PCB Antenna | | |
| DTM Mode: | Not Support | | |
| Note: 1. Bluetooth SAR was estimated 2. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power | | | |



1.2 Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the SAR laboratory:

| Items | Required |
|------------------|----------|
| Temperature (°C) | 18-25 |
| Humidity (%RH) | 30-70 |

1.3 Test Factory

Shenzhen STS Test Services Co., Ltd.

Add. : 1/F., Building B, Zhuoke Science Park, No.190, Chongqing Road,
Fuyong Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

CNAS Registration No.: L7649

FCC Registration No.: 625569

IC Registration No.: 12108A

A2LA Certificate No.: 4338.01





2.Test Standards And Limits

| No. | Identity | Document Title |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 47 CFR Part 2 | Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations |
| 2 | ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 | IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz |
| 3 | IEEE Std. 1528-2013 | Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques |
| 4 | FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06 | Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies |
| 5 | FCC KDB 447498 D02 v02r01 | SAR Procedures for Dongle Xmtr |
| 6 | FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 | SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz |
| 7 | FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 | RF Exposure Reporting |
| 8 | FCC KDB 248227 D01 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02 | SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices |

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

| Whole-Body | Partial-Body | Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.4 | 8.0 | 20.0 |

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

| Whole-Body | Partial-Body | Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.08 | 1.6 | 4.0 |

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

Population/Uncontrolled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

| |
|---|
| <p>NOTE</p> <p>GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE</p> <p>PARTIAL BODY LIMIT</p> <p>1.6 W/kg</p> |
|---|

3. SAR Measurement System

3.1 Definition Of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$


SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue,
ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

3.2 SAR System

MVG SAR System Diagram:



Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2.1 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 14/16 EP309 with following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Tip Diameter: 5 mm
- Length of Individual Dipoles: 4.5 mm
- Maximum external diameter: 8 mm
- Distance between dipole/probe extremity: 8 mm (repeatability better than +/- 2.7mm)
- Probe linearity: $0 \pm 2.27\%$ ($\pm 0.10\text{dB}$)
- Axial Isotropy: $< 0.10\text{ dB}$
- Spherical Isotropy: $< 0.10\text{ dB}$
- Calibration range: 400 MHz to 3 GHz for head & body simulating liquid.
- Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°



Figure 1-MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

3.2.2 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.




Figure-SN 32/14 SAM115



Figure-SN 32/14 SAM116

3.2.3 Device Holder



The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of ± 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.



4. Tissue Simulating Liquids

4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Head Tissue

| Frequency (MHz) | cellulose | DGBE | HEC | NaCl | Preventol | Sugar | X100 | Water | Conductivity | Permittivity |
|-----------------|-----------|------|-----|------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | σ | ϵ_r |
| 750 | 0.2 | / | / | 1.4 | 0.2 | 57.0 | / | 41.1 | 0.89 | 41.9 |
| 835 | 0.2 | / | / | 1.4 | 0.2 | 57.9 | / | 40.3 | 0.90 | 41.5 |
| 900 | 0.2 | / | / | 1.4 | 0.2 | 57.9 | / | 40.3 | 0.97 | 41.5 |
| 1800 | / | 44.5 | / | 0.3 | / | / | 30.45 | 55.2 | 1.4 | 40.0 |
| 1900 | / | 44.5 | / | 0.3 | / | / | 30.45 | 55.2 | 1.4 | 40.0 |
| 2000 | / | 44.5 | / | 0.3 | / | / | / | 55.2 | 1.4 | 40.0 |
| 2450 | / | 44.9 | / | 0.1 | / | / | / | 55.0 | 1.80 | 39.2 |
| 2600 | / | 45.0 | / | 0.1 | / | / | / | 54.9 | 1.96 | 39.0 |

Body Tissue

| Frequency (MHz) | cellulose | DGBE | HEC | NaCl | Preventol | Sugar | X100 | Water | Conductivity | Permittivity |
|-----------------|-----------|------|-----|------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | σ | ϵ_r |
| 750 | 0.2 | / | / | 0.9 | 0.1 | 47.2 | / | 51.7 | 0.96 | 55.5 |
| 835 | 0.2 | / | / | 0.9 | 0.1 | 48.2 | / | 50.8 | 0.97 | 55.2 |
| 900 | 0.2 | / | / | 0.9 | 0.1 | 48.2 | / | 50.8 | 1.05 | 55.0 |
| 1800 | / | 29.4 | / | 0.4 | / | / | 30.45 | 70.2 | 1.52 | 53.3 |
| 1900 | / | 29.4 | / | 0.4 | / | / | 30.45 | 70.2 | 1.52 | 53.3 |
| 2000 | / | 29.4 | / | 0.4 | / | / | / | 70.2 | 1.52 | 53.3 |
| 2450 | / | 31.3 | / | 0.1 | / | / | / | 68.6 | 1.95 | 52.7 |
| 2600 | / | 31.7 | / | 0.1 | / | / | / | 68.2 | 2.16 | 52.3 |

Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms

| Frequency | ϵ_r | | σ S/m | |
|-----------|--------------|------|-----------------|------|
| | Head | Body | Head | Body |
| | 300 | 45.3 | 58.2 | 0.87 |
| 450 | 43.5 | 56.7 | 0.87 | 0.94 |
| 900 | 41.5 | 55.0 | 0.97 | 1.05 |
| 1450 | 40.5 | 54.0 | 1.20 | 1.30 |
| 1800 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 1.40 | 1.52 |
| 2450 | 39.2 | 52.7 | 1.80 | 1.95 |
| 3000 | 38.5 | 52.0 | 2.40 | 2.73 |
| 5800 | 35.3 | 48.2 | 5.27 | 6.00 |

**LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

| Date | Ambient condition | | Body Simulating Liquid | | Parameters | Target | Measured | Deviation [%] | Limited [%] |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|---------------|--------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| | Temp. [°C] | Humidity [%] | Frequency | Temp. [°C] | | | | | |
| 2018-06-04 | 23.4 | 47 | 2450 MHz | 23.1 | Permittivity: | 52.7 | 51.73 | -1.84 | ± 5 |
| | | | | | Conductivity | 1.95 | 1.90 | -2.44 | ± 5 |

| Date | Ambient condition | | Body Simulating Liquid | | Parameters | Target | Measured | Deviation [%] | Limited [%] |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|---------------|--------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| | Temp. [°C] | Humidity [%] | Frequency | Temp. [°C] | | | | | |
| 2018-07-03 | 22.8 | 46 | 2450 MHz | 22.5 | Permittivity: | 52.7 | 52.08 | -1.18 | ± 5 |
| | | | | | Conductivity | 1.95 | 1.87 | -4.10 | ± 5 |




5. SAR System Validation

5.1 Validation System

Each MVG system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the MVG software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system validation setup is shown as below.



5.2 Validation Result

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %.

| Freq.(MHz) | Power(mW) | Tested Value (W/Kg) | Normalized SAR (W/kg) | Target(W/Kg) | Tolerance(%) | Date |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 2450 Body | 100 | 5.443 | 54.43 | 52.4 | 3.87 | 2018-06-04 |

| Freq.(MHz) | Power(mW) | Tested Value (W/Kg) | Normalized SAR (W/kg) | Target(W/Kg) | Tolerance(%) | Date |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 2450 Body | 100 | 5.625 | 56.25 | 52.4 | 7.35 | 2018-07-03 |

Note: The tolerance limit of System validation $\pm 10\%$.



6. SAR Evaluation Procedures

6.1 SAR Scan General Requirements

Probe boundary effect error compensation is required for measurements with the probe tip closer than half a probe tip diameter to the phantom surface. Both the probe tip diameter and sensor offset distance must satisfy measurement protocols; to ensure probe boundary effect errors are minimized and the higher fields closest to the phantom surface can be correctly measured and extrapolated to the phantom surface for computing 1-g SAR. Tolerances of the post-processing algorithms must be verified by the test laboratory for the scan resolutions used in the SAR measurements, according to the reference distribution functions specified in IEEE Std 1528-2013.

| | | ≤3GHz | >3GHz | |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------|
| Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface | | 5±1 mm | $\frac{1}{2} \delta - \ln(2) \pm 0.5$ mm | |
| Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location | | 30°±1° | 20°±1° | |
| Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx Area , Δy Area | | ≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm | 3–4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm | |
| | | When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be ≤ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device. | | |
| Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δx Zoom , Δy Zoom | | ≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm ≥ 3 GHz: ≤ 5 mm* | 3–4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm* 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm* | |
| Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface | uniform grid: Δz Zoom (n) | ≤ 5 mm | 3–4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm | |
| | | | 4–5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm | |
| | graded grid | Δ z Zoom (1): between 1st two points closest to phantom surface | ≤ 4 mm | 3–4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm |
| | | | 5–6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm | 4–5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm |
| | Δ z Zoom (n>1): between subsequent points | ≤ 1.5·Δz Zoom (n-1) | | |
| Minimum zoom scan volume | x, y, z | ≥30 mm | 3–4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm | |
| | | | 4–5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm | |
| | | | 5–6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm | |
| Note: 1. δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details. 2. * When zoom scan is required and the reported SAR from the area scan based 1-g SAR estimation procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz. | | | | |



6.2 SAR Measurement Procedure

The following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.



6.3 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01 quoted below.

When the 1-g SAR of the highest peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit, additional zoom scans are required for other peaks within 2 dB of the highest peak that have not been included in any zoom scan to ensure there is no increase in SAR.

7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch

It is a SBM-U-BW,WBUW, support WLAN mode.





7.1 SAR test exclusion consider table

According with FCC KDB 447498 D01, appendix A, <SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100MHz ~ 6GHz and ≤50mm > table, this device SAR test configurations consider as following:

| Band | Test position configurations | | | | |
|------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| | Vertical-Front | Vertical- Back | Horizontal-Up | Horizontal-Down | Top edge |
| WLAN | <5mm | <5mm | <5mm | <5mm | <5mm |
| | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

Note:

1. maximum power is the source-based time-average power and represents the maximum RF output power among production units.
2. per KDB 447498 D01, for larger devices, the test separation distance of adjacent edge configuration is determined by the closest separation between the antenna and the user.
3. per KDB 447498 D01, standalone SAR test exclusion threshold is applied; if the distance of the antenna to the user is <5mm, 5mm is user to determine SAR exclusion threshold
4. per KDB 447498 D01, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distance ≤50mm are determined by:

$$[(\text{max.power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, Mw}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] * [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0 \text{ for 1-g SAR and } \leq 7.5 \text{ for 10-g extremity SAR}$$

$$f(\text{GHz}) \text{ is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison}$$
 For <50mm distance, we just calculate mW of the exclusion threshold value(3.0)to do compare
5. per KDB 447498 D01, at 100 MHz to 6GHz and for test separation distances >50mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following
 - a)[threshold at 50mm in step 1]+(test separation distance -50mm)*(f (MHz)/150)]mW, at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz
 - b) [threshold at 50mm in step1]+(test separation distance -50mm) *10]mW at > 1500MHz and ≤6GHz
6. Per KDB 447498 D02, RMC 12.2kbps setting is used to evaluate SAR. If HSDPA/ HSUPA/DC-HSDPA output power is<0.25db higher than RMC 12.2Kbps,or reported SAR with RMC 12.2kbps setting is ≤1.2W/Kg, HSDPA/HSUPA/DC-HSDPA SAR evaluation can be excluded.
7. Per KDB 248227 D01, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion 8.for each frequency band ,testing at higher data rates and higher order modulations is not required when the maximum average output power for each of each of these configurations is less than 1/4db higher than those measured at the lower data rate than 11b mode ,thus the SAR can be excluded.


8. EUT Test Position

According to KDB 447498 D02, USB connector orientations on laptop computers, which is tested for SAR compliance in body-worn accessory and other use configurations described in the following subsections.


8.1 Hotspot mode exposure position condition

For handsets that support hotspot mode operations, with wireless router capabilities and various web browsing function, the relevant hand and body exposure condition are tested according to the hotspot SAR procedures in KDB 941225. A test separation distance of 10 mm is required between the phantom and all surface and edges with a transmitting antenna located within 25 mm from that surface or edge.

When form factor of a handset is smaller than 9cm x 5cm, a test separation distance of 5mm (instead of 10mm) is required for testing hotspot mode. When the separate distance required for body-worn accessory testing is larger than or equal to that tested for hotspot mode, in the same wireless mode and for the same surface of the phone, the hotspot mode SAR data may be used to support body-worn accessory SAR compliance for that particular configuration(surface).



8.2 USB connector Orientations Implemented on Laptop Computers



Note: These are USB connector orientations on laptop computers; USB dongles have the reverse configuration for plugging into the corresponding laptop computers.

8.3 Simple Dongle Test Procedures

Test all USB orientations [see figure below: (A) Horizontal-Up, (B) Horizontal-Down, (C) Vertical-Front, and (D) Vertical-Back] with a device-to-phantom separation distance of 5 mm or less, according to KDB Publication 447498 D01 requirements. These test orientations are intended for the exposure conditions found in typical laptop/notebook/netbook or tablet computers with either horizontal or vertical USB connector configurations at various locations in the keyboard section of the computer. Current generation portable host computers should be used to establish the required SAR measurement separation distance. The same test separation distance must be used to test all frequency



bands and modes in each USB orientation. The typical Horizontal-Up USB connection (A), found in the majority of host computers, must be tested using an appropriate host computer. A host computer with either Vertical-Front (C) or Vertical-Back (D) USB connection should be used to test one of the vertical USB orientations. If a suitable host computer is not available for testing the Horizontal-Down (B) or the remaining Vertical USB orientation, a high quality USB cable, 12 inches or less, may be used for testing these other orientations. It must be documented that the USB cable does not influence the radiating characteristics and output power of the transmitter.

8.4 Dongles with Swivel or Rotating Connectors

A swivel or rotating USB connector may enable the dongle to connect in different orientations to host computers. When the antenna is built-in within the housing of a dongle, a swivel or rotating connector may allow the antenna to assume different positions. The combination of these possible configurations must be considered to determine the SAR test requirements. When the antenna is located near the tip of a dongle, it may operate at closer proximity to users in certain connector orientations where dongle tip testing may be required.

The 5 mm test separation distance used for testing simple dongles has been established based on the overall host platform (laptop/notebook/netbook) and device variations, and varying user operating configurations and exposure conditions expected for a peripheral device. The same test distance should generally apply to dongles with swivel or rotating connectors. The procedures described for simple dongles should be used to position the four surfaces of the dongle at 5 mm from the phantom to evaluate SAR. At least one of the horizontal and one of the vertical positions should be tested using an applicable host computer. If the antenna is within 1 cm from the tip of the dongle (the end without the USB connector), the tip of the dongle should also be tested at 5 mm perpendicular to the phantom. For antennas located within 2.5 cm from the USB connector and if the dongle can be positioned at 45° to 90° from the horizontal position [(A) or (B)], testing in one or more of these configurations may need to be considered. A KDB inquiry should be submitted to determine the applicable test configurations.



9. Uncertainty

9.1 Measurement Uncertainty

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528: 2013. This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2.

| NO | Source | Tol(%) | Prob. Dist. | Div. k | ci (1g) | ci (10g) | 1gUi | 10gUi | Veff |
|---------------------|---|--------|-------------|------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|------|
| Measurement System | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Probe calibration | 5.8 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.8 | 5.8 | ∞ |
| 2 | Axial isotropy | 3.5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | $(1-cp)^{1/2}$ | $(1-cp)^{1/2}$ | 1.43 | 1.43 | ∞ |
| 3 | Hemispherical isotropy | 5.9 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{C_p}$ | $\sqrt{C_p}$ | 2.41 | 2.41 | ∞ |
| 4 | Boundary effect | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| 5 | Linearity | 4.7 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.71 | 2.71 | ∞ |
| 6 | System Detection limits | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| 7 | Readout electronics | 0.5 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 | ∞ |
| 8 | Response time | 0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ∞ |
| 9 | Integration time | 1.4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| 10 | Ambient noise | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| 11 | Ambient reflections | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| 12 | Probe positioner mech. restrictions | 1.4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| 13 | Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell | 1.4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| 14 | Max.SAR evaluation | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ∞ |
| Test sample related | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Device positioning | 2.6 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 11 |



| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----|---|------|------|--------|--------|----------|
| 16 | Device holder | 3 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7 |
| 17 | Drift of output power | 5.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.89 | 2.89 | ∞ |
| Phantom and set-up | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Phantom uncertainty | 4.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.31 | 2.31 | ∞ |
| 19 | Liquid conductivity (target) | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.95 | 1.78 | 5 |
| 20 | Liquid conductivity (meas) | 4 | N | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.92 | 1.04 | 5 |
| 21 | Liquid Permittivity (target) | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.95 | 1.78 | ∞ |
| 22 | Liquid Permittivity (meas) | 5.0 | N | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 1.15 | 1.30 | ∞ |
| Combined standard | | | RSS | $U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 U_i^2}$ | | | 10.63% | 10.54% | |
| Expanded uncertainty (P=95%) | | $U = k U_c, k=2$ | | | | | 21.26% | 21.08% | |



9.2 System validation Uncertainty

| NO | Source | Tol(%) | Prob. Dist. | Div. k | ci (1g) | ci (10g) | 1gUi | 10gUi | Veff |
|---------------------|---|--------|-------------|------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|------|
| : : : : : : : : : : | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Probe calibration | 5.8 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.8 | 5.8 | ∞ |
| 2 | Axial isotropy | 3.5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | $(1-cp)^{1/2}$ | $(1-cp)^{1/2}$ | 1.43 | 1.43 | ∞ |
| 3 | Hemispherical isotropy | 5.9 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{C_p}$ | $\sqrt{C_p}$ | 2.41 | 2.41 | ∞ |
| 4 | Boundary effect | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| 5 | Linearity | 4.7 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.71 | 2.71 | ∞ |
| 6 | System Detection limits | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| 7 | Modulation response | 0 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ∞ |
| 8 | Readout electronics | 0.5 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 | ∞ |
| 9 | Response time | 0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ∞ |
| 10 | Integration time | 1.4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| 11 | Ambient noise | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| 12 | Ambient reflections | 3.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| 13 | Probe positioner mech. restrictions | 1.4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| 14 | Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell | 1.4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| 15 | Max.SAR evaluation | 1.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ∞ |
| Dipole | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Deviation of experimental source from | 4 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | 4.00 | ∞ |



| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------------|-----|---|------|------|--------|--------|----------|
| 17 | Input power and SAR drift measurement | 5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.89 | 2.89 | ∞ |
| 18 | Dipole Axis to liquid Distance | 2 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | | | ∞ |
| Phantom and set-up | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Phantom uncertainty | 4.0 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.31 | 2.31 | ∞ |
| 20 | Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in position) | 2.0 | N | 1 | 1 | 0.84 | 2 | 1.68 | ∞ |
| 21 | Liquid conductivity (target) | 2 | N | 1 | 1 | 0.84 | 2.00 | 1.68 | ∞ |
| 22 | Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty) | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.95 | 1.78 | 5 |
| 23 | Liquid conductivity (meas) | 4 | N | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.92 | 1.04 | 5 |
| 24 | Liquid Permittivity (target) | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.95 | 1.78 | ∞ |
| 25 | Liquid Permittivity (temperature uncertainty) | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.95 | 1.78 | 5 |
| 26 | Liquid Permittivity (meas) | 5.0 | N | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 1.15 | 1.30 | ∞ |
| Combined standard | | | RSS | $U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 U_i^2}$ | | | 10.15% | 10.05% | |
| Expanded uncertainty (P=95%) | | $U = k U_c, k=2$ | | | | | 20.29% | 20.10% | |



10. Conducted Power Measurement

10.1 Test Result

WLAN

| Mode | Channel Number | Frequency (MHz) | Average Power (dBm) |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 802.11b | 1 | 2412 | 14.45 |
| | 6 | 2437 | 14.40 |
| | 11 | 2462 | 14.31 |
| 802.11g | 1 | 2412 | 14.38 |
| | 6 | 2437 | 14.13 |
| | 11 | 2462 | 14.23 |
| 802.11n(HT 20) | 1 | 2412 | 14.17 |
| | 6 | 2437 | 14.17 |
| | 11 | 2462 | 13.76 |
| 802.11n(HT 40) | 3 | 2422 | 14.17 |
| | 6 | 2437 | 14.10 |
| | 9 | 2452 | 14.12 |

BLE

| Mode | Channel Number | Frequency (MHz) | Average Power (dBm) |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| GFSK(1Mbps) | 0 | 2402 | 3.65 |
| | 19 | 2440 | 4.06 |
| | 39 | 2480 | 4.45 |

10.2 Tune-up Power

| Mode | WLAN(AVG) |
|---------------------|-----------|
| IEEE 802.11b | 14±1dBm |
| IEEE 802.11g | 14±1dBm |
| IEEE 802.11n(HT 20) | 14±1dBm |
| IEEE 802.11n(HT 40) | 14±1dBm |

| Mode | BLE(AVG) |
|------|----------|
| GFSK | 4±1dBm |



10.3 SAR Test Exclusions Applied

Per FCC KDB 447498D01, the 1-g SAR and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW})/(\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHZ})}] \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where:

- f(GHZ) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

$$\frac{\text{Max Power of Channel (mW)}}{\text{Test Separation Dist (mm)}} * \sqrt{\text{Frequency(GHz)}} \leq 3.0$$

Based on the maximum conducted power of **Bluetooth Body** (rounded to the nearest mW) and the antenna to user separation distance,

Bluetooth Body SAR was not required; $[3.162/5] * \sqrt{2.480} = 1.00 < 3.0$.

Based on the maximum conducted power of **2.4 GHz WLAN Body** (rounded to the nearest mW) and the antenna to user separation distance,

2.4 GHz WLAN SAR was required; $[(31.623/5) * \sqrt{2.462}] = 9.92 > 3.0$.


11. EUT And Test Setup Photo

11.1 EUT Photo

Front side




Back side






Top side



Bottom side






Left side



Right side




11.2 Setup Photo


.Horizontal- Up side (separation distance is 5mm)




.Horizontal- Down side (separation distance is 5mm)




Vertical- Front (separation distance is 5mm)




Vertical- Back (separation distance is 5mm)



Body Top edge (separation distance is 5mm)



USB cable 15cm



Liquid depth (15 cm)





12. SAR Result Summary

12.1 Body SAR

Use cable:

| Band | Mode | Test Position | Ch. | Result 1g (W/Kg) | Power Drift(%) | Max.Turn-up Power(dBm) | Meas.Output Power(dBm) | Duty cycle(%) | Scaled SAR (W/Kg) | Meas. No. |
|------|---------|-----------------|-----|------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| WLAN | 802.11b | Vertical-Front | 1 | 0.451 | 1.52 | 15 | 14.45 | 100 | 0.512 | / |
| | | Vertical-Back | 1 | 0.505 | -1.36 | 15 | 14.45 | 100 | 0.573 | 1 |
| | | Horizontal-Down | 1 | 0.382 | 3.01 | 15 | 14.45 | 100 | 0.434 | / |
| | | Top-edge | 1 | 0.314 | -0.59 | 15 | 14.45 | 100 | 0.356 | / |

Use host:

| Band | Mode | Test Position | Ch. | Result 1g (W/Kg) | Power Drift(%) | Max.Turn-up Power(dBm) | Meas.Output Power(dBm) | Duty cycle(%) | Scaled SAR (W/Kg) | Meas. No. |
|------|---------|---------------|-----|------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| WLAN | 802.11b | Horizontal-Up | 1 | 0.455 | -3.65 | 15 | 14.45 | 100 | 0.516 | 2 |

Note:

- The test separation of all above table is 5mm.
- Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, the reported SAR is the measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance.
 - Tune-up scaling Factor = tune-up limit power (mW) / EUT RF power (mW), where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
 - For WWAN: Scaled SAR(W/kg)= Measured SAR(W/kg)*Tune-up Scaling Factor
- Per KDB 248227- When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg. (The highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power was **0.564** W/Kg for Body)
- When the user enables the personal Wireless router functions for the handsets, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the Wi-Fi transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions. The "Portable Hotspot" feature on the handset was NOT activated, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal.



Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission Evaluation:

Application Simultaneous Transmission information:

| Position | Simultaneous state |
|----------|---------------------|
| Body | 1. WLAN + Bluetooth |

NOTE:

1. For simultaneous transmission at head and body exposure position, 2 transmitters simultaneous transmission was the worst state.
2. Based upon KDB 447498 D01, BT SAR is excluded as below table.
3. If the test separation distance is <5mm, 5mm is used for excluded SAR calculation.
4. For minimum test separation distance $\leq 50\text{mm}$, Bluetooth standalone SAR is excluded according to $[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot \sqrt{f \text{ (GHz)}} / x] \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR
5. The reported SAR summation is calculated based on the same configuration and test position.
6. KDB 447498 / 4.3.2 (2) when standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:
 - a) $(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot \sqrt{f \text{ (GHz)}} / x \text{ W/kg}$ for test separation distances $\leq 50 \text{ mm}$; Where $x = 7.5$ for 1-g SAR, and $x = 18.75$ for 10-g SAR.
 - b) 0.4W/Kg for 1-g SAR and 1.0W/Kg for 10-g SAR, when the separation distance is $>50\text{mm}$.

| Estimated SAR | | Maximum Power | | Antenna to user(mm) | Frequency(GHz) | Stand alone SAR(1g) [W/kg] |
|---------------|------|---------------|-------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| | | dBm | mW | | | |
| BT | Body | 5 | 3.162 | 5 | 2.480 | 0.133 |

| Simultaneous Mode | Position | Mode | Max. 1-g SAR (W/kg) | 1-g Sum SAR (W/kg) |
|-------------------|----------|------|---------------------|--------------------|
| WLAN + Bluetooth | Body | BT | 0.133 | 0.706 |
| | | WLAN | 0.573 | |

Simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion is determined for each operating configuration and exposure condition according to the reported standalone SAR of each applicable simultaneous transmitting antenna.

When the sum of SAR 1g of all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit (SAR-1g 1.6 W/kg), the simultaneous transmission SAR is not required. When the sum of SAR 1g is greater than the SAR limit (SAR-1g 1.6 W/kg), SAR test exclusion is determined by the SPLSR.



13. Equipment List

| Kind of Equipment | Manufacturer | Type No. | Serial No. | Last Calibration | Calibrated Until |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2450MHz Dipole | MVG | SID2450 | SN 30/14 DIP2G450-335 | 2017.08.15 | 2020.08.14 |
| E-Field Probe | MVG | SSE5 | SN 14/16 EP309 | 2017.12.15 | 2018.12.14 |
| Dielectric Probe Kit | MVG | SCLMP | SN 32/14 OCPG67 | 2017.12.03 | 2018.12.02 |
| Antenna | MVG | ANTA3 | SN 07/13 ZNTA52 | N/A | N/A |
| Phantom1 | MVG | SAM | SN 32/14 SAM115 | 2014.09.01 | N/A |
| Phantom2 | MVG | SAM | SN 32/14 SAM116 | 2014.09.01 | N/A |
| Phone holder | MVG | N/A | SN 32/14 MSH97 | 2014.09.01 | N/A |
| Laptop holder | MVG | N/A | SN 32/14 LSH29 | 2014.09.01 | N/A |
| Network Analyzer | Agilent | 8753ES | US38432810 | 2018.03.08 | 2019.03.07 |
| Multi Meter | Keithley | Multi Meter 2000 | 4050073 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Signal Generator | Agilent | N5182A | MY50140530 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Wireless Communication Test Set | Agilent | 8960-E5515C | MY48360751 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Wireless Communication Test Set | R&S | CMW500 | 117239 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Power Amplifier | DESAY | ZHL-42W | 9638 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Power Meter | R&S | NRP | 100510 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Power Meter | Agilent | E4418B | GB43312526 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Power Sensor | R&S | NRP-Z11 | 101919 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| Power Sensor | Agilent | E9301A | MY41497725 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| 9dB Attenuator | Agilent | 99899 | DC-18GHz | 2018.05.09 | 2019.05.08 |
| 11dB Attenuator | Agilent | 8494B | DC-18GHz | 2018.05.09 | 2019.05.08 |
| 110dB Attenuator | Agilent | 8494B | DC-18GHz | 2018.05.09 | 2019.05.08 |
| Directional coupler | Narda | 4226-20 | 3305 | 2017.10.15 | 2018.10.14 |
| hygrothermograph | MiEO | HH660 | N/A | 2017.10.18 | 2018.10.17 |
| Thermograph | Elitech | RC-4 | S/N EF7176501537 | 2017.11.10 | 2018.11.09 |


Appendix A. System Validation Plots

System Performance Check Data (2450MHz Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)
 Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm
 Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
 Date of measurement: 2018-06-04
 Measurement duration: 14 minutes 23 seconds

Experimental conditions.




| | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Device Position | Validation plane |
| Band | 2450 MHz |
| Channels | - |
| Signal | CW |
| Frequency (MHz) | 2450 |
| Relative permittivity | 51.73 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.90 |
| Power drift (%) | -0.07 |
| Probe | SN 14/16 EP309 |
| ConvF | 5.24 |
| Crest factor: | 1:1 |



Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=0.00

| | |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 2.410247 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 5.443047 |

Z Axis Scan



System Performance Check Data (2450MHz Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm


Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2018-07-03

Measurement duration: 14 minutes 23 seconds

Experimental conditions.




| | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Device Position | Validation plane |
| Band | 2450 MHz |
| Channels | - |
| Signal | CW |
| Frequency (MHz) | 2450 |
| Relative permittivity | 52.08 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.87 |
| Power drift (%) | 2.6 |
| Probe | SN 14/16 EP309 |
| ConvF | 5.24 |
| Crest factor: | 1:1 |



Maximum location: X=-6.00, Y=-1.00

| | |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 2.405215 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 5.625466 |

Z Axis Scan




Appendix B. SAR Test Plots

Plot 1: DUT: SBM-U-BW,WBUW; EUT Model: SLP-B211BWUXWW, WBUW

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Test Date | 2018-06-04 |
| Probe | SN 14/16 EP309 |
| ConvF | 5.24 |
| Area Scan | dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm |
| Phantom | Validation plane |
| Device Position | Vertical- Back |
| Band | IEEE 802.11b ISM |
| Channels | Low |
| Signal | IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0) |
| Frequency (MHz) | 2412 |
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.70 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.95 |
| Variation (%) | -1.36 |

Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=-13.00
SAR Peak: 0.91 W/kg

| | |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.232029 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.504670 |




Plot 2: DUT: SBM-U-BW,WBUW; EUT Model: SLP-B211BWUXWW, WBUW

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Test Date | 2018-07-03 |
| Probe | SN 14/16 EP309 |
| ConvF | 5.24 |
| Area Scan | dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm |
| Phantom | Validation plane |
| Device Position | Horizontal-Up |
| Band | IEEE 802.11b ISM |
| Channels | Low |
| Signal | IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0) |
| Frequency (MHz) | 2412 |
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 52.70 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.95 |
| Variation (%) | -3.65 |

Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=-8.00
SAR Peak: 0.91 W/kg

| | |
|----------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.185951 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.455315 |





Appendix C. Probe Calibration And Dipole Calibration Report

Refer the appendix Calibration Report.

*****END OF THE REPORT*****

