



# SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# CETIS,INC.

FCC: 4975 N. 30th Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80919, USA

IC: 4975 N. 30th Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80915, United States Of America

FCC ID: ZTU-NDC2210S

IC: 2191C-IRD9210

Report Type: Product Type: Original Report VOIP Phone Report Number: RSZ191120002-SA **Report Date:** 2020-03-04 Alvin Huang Reviewed By: Lab Manager Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

**Note:** This report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by A2LA\* or any agency of the Federal Government. This report may contain data that are not covered by the A2LA accreditation and are marked with an asterisk "\(\psi\)".

BACL is not responsible for the authenticity of any test data provided by the applicant. Data included from the applicant that may affect test results are marked with an asterisk '\*'. Customer model name, addresses, names, trademarks etc. are not considered data.

This report cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested. This report is valid only with a valid digital signature. The digital signature may be available only under the Adobe software above version 7.0.

| Attestation of Test Results                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | <b>EUT Description</b>  | UT Description VOIP Phone  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Tested Model  | NDC2210S   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Multiple Models   | NDC2205S/NDC2110S/NDC2105S   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUT<br>Information                          | FCC ID  | ZTU-NDC2210S   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | IC  | 2191C-IRD9210  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Serial Number   | 19112000210  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Test Date   | 2020-01-13   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MODE Max. SAR Level(s) Reported(W/kg) Limit |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DECT  | 1g Head SAR   | 0.02   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices  IEEE 1528:2013  IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicable                                  | RSS-102 Issue 5 March 2015 Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radio communication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standards                                   | IEC 62209-2:2010  Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices-Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | KDB 648474 D04 Ha<br>KDB 865664 D01 SA  | neral RF Exposure Guidance v06.<br>ndset SAR v01r03.<br>R measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04<br>Exposure Reporting v01r02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in **FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093** and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 37

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

| DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY   | 4  |
|---|----|
| EUT DESCRIPTION   | 5  |
| TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION   | 5  |
| REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES  | 6  |
| SAR LIMITS  | 7  |
| FACILITIES  | 8  |
| DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM  | 9  |
| EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION  |    |
| EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION   | 15 |
| SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION   |    |
| LIQUID VERIFICATIONSYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION   |    |
| EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY   |    |
| TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR  CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION  EAR/TILT POSITION             |    |
| TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS TEST DISTANCE FOR SAR EVALUATION SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE | 21 |
| CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT  | 23 |
| PROVISION APPLICABLE  TEST PROCEDURE  MAXIMUM TARGET AVERAGE OUTPUT POWER  TEST RESULTS:  DECT:                 |    |
| ANTENNAS LOCATION   | 24 |
| SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS   | 25 |
| SAR TEST DATA   |    |
| SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY   | 32 |
| APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY  | 33 |
| APPENDIX B EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS   | 35 |
| APPENDIX C PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES   | 36 |
| ADDENDIV D DIDOLE CALIDDATION CEDTIFICATES  | 27 |

# **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

| Revision Number | Revision Number Report Number |                 | Date of Revision |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 1.0             | RSZ191120002-SA               | Original Report | 2020-03-04       |  |

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 37

# **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of *CETIS,INC*. and their product *VOIP Phone*, Model: *NDC2210S*, FCC ID: *ZTU-NDC2210S*; IC: *2191C-IRD9210* or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Note: This series products model: NDC2205S/NDC2110S/NDC2105S and NDC2210S are electrically identical, the difference among them is only model name due to marketing purpose. Model NDC2210S was selected for fully testing, the detailed information can be referred to the declaration which was stated and guaranteed by the applicant.

\*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: 19112000210 (Assigned by BACL, Shenzhen). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2019/11/22

# **Technical Specification**

| Product Type           | Portable                                       |
|------------------------|--|
| Exposure Category:     | Population / Uncontrolled                      |
| Antenna Type(s):       | Internal Antenna                               |
| Body-Worn Accessories: | None   |
| Face-Head Accessories: | None   |
| Modulation:            | GFSK   |
| Frequency Band:        | DECT: 1921.536-1928.448 MHz;                   |
| Conducted RF Power:    | Peak power: 13.69 dBm                          |
| Power Source:          | DC 3.6V*3 AAA rechargeable battery for handset |
| Normal Operation:      | Head   |

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 37

# REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

# CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 37

### **SAR Limits**

#### **FCC Limit**

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

|  | SAR (W/kg)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS  | (General Population /<br>Uncontrolled Exposure<br>Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) |  |  |  |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)             | 0.08   | 0.4  |  |  |  |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)             | 1.60   | 8.0  |  |  |  |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0  | 20.0   |  |  |  |

#### **CE Limit**

|  | SAR (W/kg)                                     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS  | (General Population /<br>Uncontrolled Exposure | (Occupational /<br>Controlled Exposure |  |  |  |
|  | Environment)                                   | Environment)                           |  |  |  |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)             | 0.08   | 0.4                                    |  |  |  |
| Spatial Peak<br>(averaged over any 10 g of<br>tissue)      | 2.0  | 10                                     |  |  |  |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0  | 20.0                                   |  |  |  |

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 37

# **FACILITIES**

The Test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect test data is located on the 6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China.

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

The lab has been recognized as the FCC accredited lab under the KDB 974614 D01 and is listed in the FCC Public Access Link (PAL) database, FCC Registration No.: 342867, the FCC Designation No.: CN1221.


The lab has been recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada to test to Canadian radio equipment requirements, the CAB identifier: CN0023.

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 37

# **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**


These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY6 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the Fifth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA



### **DASY6 System Description**

The DASY6 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 37

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli TX=RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal application, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the DASY52 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

#### **DASY6 Measurement Server**

The DASY6 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400 MHz Intel ULV Celeron, 128 MB chip-disk and 128 MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronics box, as well as the 16-bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY6 I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluations of field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements, and handles safety operations. The PC operating system cannot interfere with these time-critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with an expansion port, which is reserved for future applications. Please note that this expansion port does not have a standardized pinout, and therefore only devices provided by SPEAG can be connected. Connection of devices from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

#### **Data Acquisition Electronics**

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consist of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder with a control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information, as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of both the DAE4 as well as of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 37

#### **EX3DV4 E-Field Probes**

| Frequency        | 10 MHz to > 6 GHz<br>Linearity: ±0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)   |
|------------------|---|
| Directivity      | ±0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis)<br>±0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)   |
| Dynamic<br>Range | 10 $\mu W/g$ to > 100 mW/g<br>Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (noise: typically < 1 $\mu W/g$ )   |
| Dimensions       | Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm  |
| Application      | High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%. |
| Compatibility    | DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI  |

#### **SAM Twin Phantom**

The SAM Twin Phantom (shown in front of DASY6) is a fiberglass shell phantom with shell thickness 2 mm, except in the ear region where the thickness is increased to 6 mm. The phantom has three measurement areas: 1) Left Head, 2) Right Head, and 3) Flat Section. For larger devices, the use of the ELI-Phantom (shown behind DASY6) is required. For devices such as glasses with a wireless link, the Face Down Phantom is the most suitable (between the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms).

When the phantom is mounted inside allocated slot of the DASY6 platform, phantom reference points can be taught directly in the DASY5 V5.2 software. When the DASY6 platform is used to mount the

Phantom, some of the phantom teaching points cannot be reached by the robot in DASY5 V5.2. A special tool called P1a-P2aX-Former is provided to transform two of the three points, P1 and P2, to reachable locations. To use these new teaching points, a revised phantom configuration file is required. In addition to our standard broadband liquids, the phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:



Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA



Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.

DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).

Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom. Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the SAM Twin phantom.

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 37

#### **ELI Phantom**

The ELI phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209-2 and the use of all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized for performance and can be integrated into a SPEAG standard phantom table. A cover is provided to prevent evaporation of water and changes in liquid parameters. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always
  cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid
  parameters due to water evaporation.
- DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for
  most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not
  in use (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom.


Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to \_fill the ELI phantom.



The DASY6 system uses the high-precision industrial robots TX60L, TX90XL, and RX160L from St•aubli SA (France). The TX robot family - the successor of the well-known RX robot family - continues to offer the features important for DASY6 applications:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchrony motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

The robots are controlled by the Staubli CS8c robot controllers. All information regarding the use and maintenance of the robot arm and the robot controller is provided



Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA



12 of 37

# Calibration Frequency Points for EX3DV4 E-Field Probes SN: 7520 Calibrated: 2019/09/26

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

| Calibration Frequency | Frequency | Range(MHz) | Conversion Factor |       |       |  |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Point(MHz)            | From      | То         | X                 | Y     | Z     |  |
| 150 Head              | 100       | 200        | 12.14             | 12.14 | 12.14 |  |
| 750 Head              | 650       | 810        | 9.87              | 9.87  | 9.87  |  |
| 850 Head              | 810       | 935        | 9.71              | 9.71  | 9.71  |  |
| 1750 Head             | 1650      | 1810       | 8.62              | 8.62  | 8.62  |  |
| 1900 Head             | 1810      | 1920       | 8.17              | 8.17  | 8.17  |  |
| 2000 Head             | 1920      | 2100       | 8.15              | 8.15  | 8.15  |  |
| 2300 Head             | 2200      | 2399       | 7.86              | 7.86  | 7.86  |  |
| 2450 Head             | 2399      | 2500       | 7.57              | 7.57  | 7.57  |  |
| 2600 Head             | 2500      | 2700       | 7.37              | 7.37  | 7.37  |  |
| 5250 Head             | 5140      | 5360       | 5.51              | 5.51  | 5.51  |  |
| 5600 Head             | 5490      | 5700       | 4.85              | 4.85  | 4.85  |  |
| 5800 Head             | 5700      | 5910       | 5.00              | 5.00  | 5.00  |  |

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 15mm 2 step integral, with 1.5mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

## **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the DASY5 software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m³ is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10g cube is 21.5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 7 x7 x 7 (5mmx5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30 mm in the X & Y & Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 37

# **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEC 62209-1:2016

# Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Table A.3 - Dielectric properties of the head tissue-equivalent liquid

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

| Frequency | Relative permittivity | Conductivity (σ) |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------|
| MHz       | $\varepsilon_{\rm r}$ | S/m              |
| 300       | 45,3                  | 0,87             |
| 450       | 43,5                  | 0,87             |
| 750       | 41,9                  | 0,89             |
| 835       | 41,5                  | 0,90             |
| 900       | 41,5                  | 0,97             |
| 1 450     | 40,5                  | 1,20             |
| 1 500     | 40,4                  | 1,23             |
| 1 640     | 40,2                  | 1,31             |
| 1 750     | 40,1                  | 1,37             |
| 1 800     | 40,0                  | 1,40             |
| 1 900     | 40,0                  | 1,40             |
| 2 000     | 40,0                  | 1,40             |
| 2 100     | 39,8                  | 1,49             |
| 2 300     | 39,5                  | 1,67             |
| 2 450     | 39,2                  | 1,80             |
| 2 600     | 39,0                  | 1,96             |
| 3 000     | 38,5                  | 2,40             |
| 3 500     | 37,9                  | 2,91             |
| 4 000     | 37,4                  | 3,43             |
| 4 500     | 36,8                  | 3,94             |
| 5 000     | 36,2                  | 4,45             |
| 5 200     | 36,0                  | 4,66             |
| 5 400     | 35,8                  | 4,86             |
| 5 600     | 35,5                  | 5,07             |
| 5 800     | 35,3                  | 5,27             |
| 6 000     | 35,1                  | 5,48             |

NOTE For convenience, permittivity and conductivity values at those frequencies which are not part of the original data provided by Drossos et al. [33] or the extension to 5 800 MHz are provided (i.e. the values shown in italics). These values were linearly interpolated between the values in this table that are immediately above and below these values, except the values at 6 000 MHz that were linearly extrapolated from the values at 3 000 MHz and 5 800 MHz.

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 37

# EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

# **Equipments List & Calibration Information**


| Equipment                          | Model           | S/N           | Calibration<br>Date  | Calibration<br>Due Date |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| DASY5 Test Software                | DASY52 52.10.2  | N/A           | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| DASY6 Measurement Server           | DASY6 6.0.31    | N/A           | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Data Acquisition Electronics       | DAE4            | 1561          | 2019/9/13            | 2020/9/13               |
| E-Field Probe                      | EX3DV4          | 7520          | 2019/9/26            | 2020/9/26               |
| Mounting Device                    | MD4HHTV5        | SD 000 H01 KA | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| SAM Twin Phantom                   | SAM-Twin V8.0   | 1962          | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| ELI Phantom                        | ELI V8.0        | 2092          | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Dipole,1900MHz                     | ALS-D-1900-S-2  | 210-00710     | 2017/9/20            | 2020/9/20               |
| Simulated Tissue Liquid Head       | HBBL600-10000V6 | 180622-2      | Each Time            |                         |
| Simulated Tissue Liquid Body       | MBBL600-6000V6  | 180611-1      | Each Time            |                         |
| Network Analyzer                   | 8753D           | 3410A08288    | 2019/04/25 2020/04/2 |                         |
| Dielectric Assessment Kit          | DAK-3.5         | 1248          | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Anritsu Signal Generator           | 68369B          | 4114          | 2019/07/09           | 2020/07/09              |
| Power Meter                        | E4419B          | GB39511341    | 2019/06/23           | 2020/06/23              |
| Power Amplifier                    | 5S1G4           | 71377         | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Directional Coupler                | 4242-10         | 3307          | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Attenuator                         | 3dB             | 5402          | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Attenuator                         | 10dB            | AU 3842       | NCR                  | NCR                     |
| Digital Radio communication tester | CMD 60          | 830861/029    | 2019/6/24            | 2020/6/24               |

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 37

# SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

# **Liquid Verification**



Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

# **Liquid Verification Results**

| Frequency | Liquid | Liquid Parameter  Target Value  Delta (%) |          |                   | _        |                          |              | Tolerance |
|-----------|--------|---|----------|-------------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| (MHz)     |        | £ <sub>r</sub>                            | O' (S/m) | $\epsilon_{ m r}$ | O' (S/m) | $\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$ | ΔΟ΄<br>(S/m) | (%)       |
| 1900      | Head   | 39.688                                    | 1.371    | 40                | 1.4      | -0.78                    | -2.07        | ±5        |
| 1921.536  | Head   | 40.266                                    | 1.393    | 40                | 1.4      | 0.66                     | -0.5         | ±5        |
| 1924.992  | Head   | 39.538                                    | 1.396    | 40                | 1.4      | -1.16                    | -0.29        | ±5        |
| 1928.448  | Head   | 39.772                                    | 1.404    | 40                | 1.4      | -0.57                    | 0.29         | ±5        |

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification above was performed on 2020-01-13.

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 37

# **System Accuracy Verification**


Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

The spacing distances in the **System Verification Setup Block Diagram** is given by the following:

- a)  $s = 15 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm for } 300 \text{ MHz} \le f \le 1000 \text{ MHz};$
- b)  $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm} \text{ for } 1000 \text{ MHz} < f \le 3000 \text{ MHz};$
- c)  $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$  for 3 000 MHz  $< f \le 6$  000 MHz.

# **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



### **System Accuracy Check Results**

| Date       | Frequency<br>Band<br>(MHz) | Liquid<br>Type | Input<br>Power<br>(mW) | S  | asured<br>SAR<br>V/kg) | Normalized<br>to 1W<br>(W/kg) | Target<br>Value<br>(W/Kg) | Delta<br>(%) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 2020/01/13 | 1900                       | Head           | 100                    | 1g | 4.15                   | 41.5                          | 42.14                     | -1.519       | ±10           |

<sup>\*</sup>The SAR values above are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 37

#### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

#### System Performance 1900 MHz Head

### DUT: Dipole 1900MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; Serial: 210-00710

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.371$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.688$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7520; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17) @ 1900 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1561; Calibrated: 9/13/2019

Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

# Head 1900MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (81x121x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.75 W/kg

### Head 1900MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Reference Value = 53.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.11 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.26 W/kg

# SAR(1 g) = 4.15 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.08W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.28 W/kg



0 dB = 4.28 W/kg = 6.31 dBW/kg


SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 37


# **EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY**

# Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 37

#### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

(or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

#### **Cheek / Touch Position**



#### **Ear/Tilt Position**

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":


- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point is by 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tilt/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 37

# Ear /Tilt 15° Position

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA



# Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

#### **Test Distance for SAR Evaluation**

For this case the EUT(Equipment Under Test) is set 0mm away from the phantom, the test distance is 0mm.

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 37

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or radiating structures of the EUT, the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm, and the SAR distribution was determined by integrated grid of 1.5mm x 1.5mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points ( $10 \times 10 \times 10$ ) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 37


### CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

# **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

#### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through Connector.



Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

# **Maximum Target Average Output Power**

| Max Target Power(dBm) |         |        |      |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Mode/Band             | Channel |        |      |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Low     | Middle | High |  |  |  |  |
| DECT                  | 14.0    | 14.0   | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |

#### **Test Results:**

#### **DECT:**

| Mode | Frequency (MHz) | RF Output Peak<br>Power (dBm) | RF Output Peak<br>Power (W) |  |  |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
|      | 1921.536        | 13.63                         | 0.023                       |  |  |
| DECT | 1924.992        | 13.65                         | 0.023                       |  |  |
|      | 1928.448        | 13.69                         | 0.023                       |  |  |

#### Note:

- 1. Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMD60) was used for the measurement of DECT peak output power.
- 2. Duty Cycle=1/24 (From Radio report)
- 3. The EUT belongs to a low duty cycle device.
- 4. Per KDB 447498 D01, 1 Channel shall be tested; the middle channel was selected to test:


$$N_{\rm c} = Round \left\{ \left[ 100 \left( f_{\rm high} - f_{\rm low} \right) / f_{\rm c} \right]^{0.5} \times \left( f_{c} / 100 \right)^{0.2} \right\},\,$$

where  $f_{high}$  is the highest frequency in the band and  $f_{low}$ , is the lowest  $f_c$  is the center frequency in the band.

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 37

# **Antennas Location**

This two Antenna cannot transmit simultaneous.



Left

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Bottom

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 37

# SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

### **SAR Test Data**

# **Environmental Conditions**

| Temperature:       | 22.1-22.7 °C |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Relative Humidity: | 48-56 %      |
| ATM Pressure:      | 101.3 kPa    |
| Test Date:         | 2020-01-13   |

Testing was performed by Seven Liang, Yates Li.

| EUT              | Frequency | Tost | Test Meas. Mode Power (dBm) | Max.<br>Rated | 1g SAR (W/Kg), Limited=1.6W/kg |                  |              |               |                |      |
|------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|------|
| Position         | (MHz)     | Mode |                             | Power P       | Power (dBm)                    | Scaled<br>Factor | Meas.<br>SAR | Scaled<br>SAR | Correct<br>SAR | Plot |
| Head Left Cheek  | 1924.992  | GFSK | 13.65                       | 14.0          | 1.084                          | 0.012            | 0.01         | 0.01          | 1#             |      |
| Head Left Tilt   | 1924.992  | GFSK | 13.65                       | 14.0          | 1.084                          | 0.014            | 0.02         | 0.02          | 2#             |      |
| Head Right Cheek | 1924.992  | GFSK | 13.65                       | 14.0          | 1.084                          | 0.014            | 0.02         | 0.02          | 3#             |      |
| Head Right Tilt  | 1924.992  | GFSK | 13.65                       | 14.0          | 1.084                          | 0.014            | 0.02         | 0.02          | 4#             |      |

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

### Note:

- 1. When the SAR value is less than half of the limit, testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.
- 3. For modes that peak SAR is too low to evaluate, a SAR value 0.01 W/kg is considered as their Scaled SAR.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 37

# **Corrected SAR Evaluation**

62209-2 © IEC:2010

- 89 -

# Annex F

(normative)

#### SAR correction for deviations of complex permittivity from targets

#### F.2 SAR correction formula

From [13] and [14], a linear relationship was found between the percent change in SAR (denoted  $\Delta SAR$ ) and the percent change in the permittivity and conductivity from the target values in Table 1 (denoted  $\Delta \varepsilon_r$  and  $\Delta \sigma$ , respectively). This linear relationship agrees with the results of Kuster and Balzano [48] and Bit-Babik et al. [2]. The relationship is given by:

$$\Delta SAR = c_{\varepsilon} \Delta \varepsilon_{r} + c_{\sigma} \Delta \sigma \tag{F.1}$$

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

where

 $c_{\epsilon} = \partial(\Delta \text{SAR})/\partial(\Delta \epsilon)$  is the coefficients representing the sensitivity of SAR to permittivity where SAR is normalized to output power;

 $c_{\sigma} = \partial(\Delta \text{SAR})/\partial(\Delta \sigma)$  is the coefficients representing the sensitivity of SAR to conductivity, where SAR is normalized to output power.

The values of  $c_{\epsilon}$  and  $c_{\sigma}$  have a simple relationship with frequency that can be described using polynomial equations. For the 1 g averaged SAR  $c_{\epsilon}$  and  $c_{\sigma}$  are given by

$$c_{\rm g} = -7,854 \times 10^{-4} \, f^3 + 9,402 \times 10^{-3} \, f^2 - 2,742 \times 10^{-2} \, f - 0,202 \, 6$$
 (F.2)

$$c_{\sigma} = 9.804 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 8.661 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 2.981 \times 10^{-2} f + 0.7829$$
 (F.3)

where

f is the frequency in GHz.

For the 10 g averaged SAR, the variables  $c_{\varepsilon}$  and  $c_{\sigma}$  are given by:

$$c_{\varepsilon} = 3,456 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 3,531 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 7,675 \times 10^{-2} f - 0,186 0$$
 (F.4)

$$c_{\sigma} = 4.479 \times 10^{-3} \, f^3 - 1.586 \times 10^{-2} \, f^2 - 0.197 \, 2f + 0.771 \, 7$$
 (F.5)

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 37

| Calibrate Date  | Liquid Type | Frequency<br>(MHz) | $\mathbf{C}_{\epsilon}$ | $\triangle \epsilon_{ m r}$ | $C_{\delta}$ | $\Delta_{\delta}$ | △SAR   |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|
|                 |             | 1900               | -0.226                  | -0.78                       | 0.594        | -2.07             | -1.053 |
| 2020/01/13 Head | 1921.536    | -0.226             | 0.66                    | 0.590                       | -0.5         | -0.444            |        |
|                 | 1924.992    | -0.226             | -1.16                   | 0.589                       | -0.29        | 0.091             |        |
|                 |             | 1928.448           | -0.226                  | -0.57                       | 0.589        | 0.29              | 0.3    |

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 37

#### **SAT Test Plots:**

#### Plot 1#

### DUT: VOIP Phone; Type: NDC2210S; Serial: 19112000210

Communication System: UID 0, DECT (0); Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.396 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.538$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7520; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17) @ 1924.99 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1561; Calibrated: 9/13/2019

• Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

**Head Left Cheek/DECT Mid/Area Scan (71x81x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0180 W/kg


Head Left Cheek/DECT Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 0.7310 V/m; Power Drift = 0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0160 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.012 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.011 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0143 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0143 W/kg = -18.45 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 37

#### Plot 2#

### DUT: VOIP Phone; Type: NDC2210S; Serial: 19112000210

Communication System: UID 0, DECT (0); Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.396 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.538$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7520; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17) @ 1924.99 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1561; Calibrated: 9/13/2019

Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

**Head Left Tilt/DECT Mid/Area Scan (71x81x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0157 W/kg


Head Left Tilt/DECT Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.967 V/m; Power Drift = 0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0190 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.014 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.014 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0149 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0149 W/kg = -18.27 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 37

#### Plot 3#

### DUT: VOIP Phone; Type: NDC2210S; Serial: 19112000210

Communication System: UID 0, DECT (0); Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.396$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.538$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Phantom section: Right Section

#### **DASY5** Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7520; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17) @ 1924.99 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1561; Calibrated: 9/13/2019

Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

**Head Right Cheek/DECT Mid/Area Scan (71x91x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0150 W/kg


Head Right Cheek/DECT Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 3.008 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0180 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.014 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.013 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0143 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0143 W/kg = -18.45 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 37

#### Plot 4#

### DUT: VOIP Phone; Type: NDC2210S; Serial: 19112000210

Communication System: UID 0, DECT (0); Frequency: 1924.99 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:24

Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 1924.99 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.396 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 39.538$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Phantom section: Right Section

#### DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7520; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17) @ 1924.99 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1561; Calibrated: 9/13/2019

Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

**Head Right Tilt/DECT Mid/Area Scan (71x101x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0367 W/kg


Head Right Tilt/DECT Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 3.041 V/m; Power Drift = 0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0330 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.014 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.013 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0145 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0145 W/kg = -18.39 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 37

# **SAR Measurement Variability**

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.80$  W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\ge 1.45$  W/kg ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is  $\ge 1.5$  W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

Note: The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

#### The Highest Measured SAR Configuration in Each Frequency Band

#### Head

| SAR probe calibration point | Frequency Band Freq.(MHz) |   | EUT Davidian | Meas. SA | Largest to |                       |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|
|                             |                           |   | EUT Position | Original | Repeated   | Smallest<br>SAR Ratio |
| /                           | /                         | / | /            | /        | /          | /                     |

#### Note:

- 1. Second Repeated Measurement is not required since the ratio of the largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is not > 1.20.
- 2. The measured SAR results **do not** have to be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance to determine if repeated measurements are required.
- 3. SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the **SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium** used for the device measurements...

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 37

# APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEEE1528-2013 SAR test

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

| Source of uncertainty                                | Tolerance/<br>uncertainty<br>±% | Probability<br>distribution | Divisor    | ci<br>(1 g) | ci<br>(10 g) | Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g) | Standard<br>uncertainty<br>±%, (10 g) |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Measurement system                                   |                                 |                             |            |             |              |                                 |                                       |  |  |  |
| Probe calibration                                    | 6.55                            | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1            | 6.6                             | 6.6                                   |  |  |  |
| Axial Isotropy                                       | 4.7                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1            | 2.7                             | 2.7                                   |  |  |  |
| Hemispherical Isotropy                               | 9.6                             | R                           | √3         | 0           | 0            | 0.0                             | 0.0                                   |  |  |  |
| Boundary effect                                      | 1.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 0.6                             | 0.6                                   |  |  |  |
| Linearity  | 4.7                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1            | 2.7                             | 2.7                                   |  |  |  |
| Detection limits                                     | 1.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1            | 0.6                             | 0.6                                   |  |  |  |
| Readout electronics                                  | 0.3                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1            | 0.3                             | 0.3                                   |  |  |  |
| Response time  | 0.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1            | 0.0                             | 0.0                                   |  |  |  |
| Integration time                                     | 0.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1            | 0.0                             | 0.0                                   |  |  |  |
| RF ambient conditions – noise                        | 1.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1            | 0.6                             | 0.6                                   |  |  |  |
| RF ambient conditions—reflections                    | 1.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 0.6                             | 0.6                                   |  |  |  |
| Probe positioner mech. Restrictions                  | 0.8                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 0.5                             | 0.5                                   |  |  |  |
| Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell      | 6.7                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 3.9                             | 3.9                                   |  |  |  |
| Post-processing                                      | 2.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 1.2                             | 1.2                                   |  |  |  |
|  |                                 | Test sample                 | e related  |             |              |                                 |                                       |  |  |  |
| Test sample positioning                              | 2.8                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1            | 2.8                             | 2.8                                   |  |  |  |
| Device holder uncertainty                            | 6.3                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1            | 6.3                             | 6.3                                   |  |  |  |
| Drift of output power                                | 5.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 2.9                             | 2.9                                   |  |  |  |
|  |                                 | Phantom ar                  | nd set-up  |             |              |                                 |                                       |  |  |  |
| Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances) | 4.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1            | 2.3                             | 2.3                                   |  |  |  |
| Liquid conductivity target)                          | 5.0                             | R                           | √3         | 0.64        | 0.43         | 1.8                             | 1.2                                   |  |  |  |
| Liquid conductivity meas.)                           | 2.5                             | N                           | 1          | 0.64        | 0.43         | 1.6                             | 1.1                                   |  |  |  |
| Liquid permittivity target)                          | 5.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6         | 0.49         | 1.7                             | 1.4                                   |  |  |  |
| Liquid permittivity meas.)                           | 2.5                             | N                           | 1          | 0.6         | 0.49         | 1.5                             | 1.2                                   |  |  |  |
| Combined standard uncertainty                        |                                 | RSS                         |            |             |              | 12.2                            | 12.0                                  |  |  |  |
| Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)       |                                 |                             |            |             |              | 24.3                            | 23.9                                  |  |  |  |

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 37

# Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEC62209-2 SAR test

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

| Source of uncertainty  | Tolerance/<br>uncertainty<br>±% | Probability<br>distribution | Divisor    | ci<br>(1 g) | ci<br>(10<br>g) | Standard<br>uncertainty<br>±%, (1 g) | Standard<br>uncertainty<br>± %, (10 g) |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Measurement system   |                                 |                             |            |             |                 |                                      |  |  |  |
| Probe calibration  | 6.55                            | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1               | 6.6                                  | 6.6                                    |  |  |
| Axial Isotropy   | 4.7                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1               | 2.7                                  | 2.7                                    |  |  |
| Hemispherical Isotropy   | 9.6                             | R                           | √3         | 0           | 0               | 0.0                                  | 0.0                                    |  |  |
| Linearity  | 4.7                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 2.7                                  | 2.7                                    |  |  |
| Modulation Response  | 0.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 0.0                                  | 0.0                                    |  |  |
| Detection limits   | 1.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 0.6                                  | 0.6                                    |  |  |
| Boundary effect  | 1.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 0.6                                  | 0.6                                    |  |  |
| Readout electronics  | 0.3                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1               | 0.3                                  | 0.3                                    |  |  |
| Response time  | 0.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 0.0                                  | 0.0                                    |  |  |
| Integration time   | 0.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 0.0                                  | 0.0                                    |  |  |
| RF ambient conditions – noise  | 1.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1               | 0.6                                  | 0.6                                    |  |  |
| RF ambient conditions—reflections  | 1.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1               | 0.6                                  | 0.6                                    |  |  |
| Probe positioner mech. Restrictions  | 0.8                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 0.5                                  | 0.5                                    |  |  |
| Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell                              | 6.7                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 3.9                                  | 3.9                                    |  |  |
| Post-processing  | 2.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1               | 1.2                                  | 1.2                                    |  |  |
|  |                                 | Test sample                 | related    |             |                 |                                      |  |  |  |
| Device holder Uncertainty  | 6.3                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1               | 6.3                                  | 6.3                                    |  |  |
| Test sample positioning  | 2.8                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 1               | 2.8                                  | 2.8                                    |  |  |
| Power scaling  | 4.5                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 2.6                                  | 2.6                                    |  |  |
| Drift of output power  | 5.0                             | R                           | √3         | 1           | 1               | 2.9                                  | 2.9                                    |  |  |
|  |                                 | Phantom an                  | d set-up   |             |                 |                                      |  |  |  |
| Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)                         | 4.0                             | R                           | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1           | 1               | 2.3                                  | 2.3                                    |  |  |
| Algorithm for correcting SAR for deviations in permittivity and conductivity | 1.9                             | N                           | 1          | 1           | 0.84            | 1.1                                  | 0.9                                    |  |  |
| Liquid conductivity (meas.)  | 2.5                             | N                           | 1          | 0.64        | 0.43            | 1.6                                  | 1.1                                    |  |  |
| Liquid permittivity (meas.)  | 2.5                             | N                           | 1          | 0.6         | 0.49            | 1.5                                  | 1.2                                    |  |  |
| Temp. unc Conductivity   | 1.7                             | R                           | √3         | 0.78        | 0.71            | 0.8                                  | 0.7                                    |  |  |
| Temp. unc Permittivity   | 0.3                             | R                           | √3         | 0.23        | 0.26            | 0.0                                  | 0.0                                    |  |  |
| Combined standard uncertainty  |                                 | RSS                         |            |             |                 | 12.2                                 | 12.1                                   |  |  |
| Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)                               |                                 |                             | _          |             |                 | 24.5                                 | 24.2                                   |  |  |

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 37

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 37

# APPENDIX C PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

Please Refer to the Attachment.

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 37

# APPENDIX D DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Please Refer to the Attachment.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

Report No.: RSZ191120002-SA

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 37