

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

b mobile HK Limited

Flat 18; 14/F Block 1; Golden Industrial Building;16-26 Kwai Tak Street; Kwai Chung; New Territories; Hong Kong.

FCC ID: ZSW-10-007

Report Type: Product Type: Original Report Mobile Phone long tiathou **Test Engineer:** Terry XiaHou **Report Number:** RSZ160811001-20 **Report Date:** 2016-08-26 Wilson then Wilson Chen **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the equipment described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

| Attestation of Test Results | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Company Name | b mobile HK Limited | | | | |
| | EUT Description | ion Mobile Phone | | | | |
| EUT Information | FCC ID | ZSW-10-007 | | | | |
| Into mucion | Model Number | Tested model: K360 | | | | |
| | Test Date | 2016-08-12 | | | | |
| Frequency | 1 | Max. SAR Level(s) Reported | Limit(W/Kg) | | | |
| GSM 850 | | 0.104 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.148 W/kg 1g Body SAR | | | | |
| PCS 1900 | | 0.094 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.188 W/kg 1g Body SAR | 1.6 | | | |
| Simultaneous | | 0.231 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.315 W/kg 1g Body SAR | | | | |
| | ANSI / IEEE C95.1: 2005 IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds,3 kHz to 300 GHz. ANSI / IEEE C95.3: 2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,100 kHz—300 GHz. | | | | | |
| Applicable Standards | FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific | | | | | |
| | KDB procedures KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06. KDB 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r03. KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04 KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02 | | | | | |

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 75

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY | 4 |
|--|----|
| EUT DESCRIPTION | 5 |
| TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION | 5 |
| REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES | 6 |
| SAR LIMITS | 7 |
| FACILITIES | 8 |
| POWER REFERENCE MEASUREMENT | 9 |
| Area ScanZoom Scan | |
| POWER DRIFT MEASUREMENT | |
| Z-SCAN | |
| EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION | 20 |
| EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION | 20 |
| SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION | 21 |
| Liquid Verification | |
| SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATIONSAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA | |
| | |
| EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY | |
| TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR | |
| EAR/TILT POSITION | |
| TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS | 29 |
| SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE. | |
| TEST METHODOLOGY | |
| CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT | |
| PROVISION APPLICABLE | |
| TEST PROCEDURE | |
| TEST RESULTS: | |
| SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS | 33 |
| SAR TEST DATA | 33 |
| SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION | 35 |
| APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY | 41 |
| APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES | 43 |
| APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES | 54 |
| APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS | 72 |
| LIQUID DEPTH | |

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

| Revision Number | Report Number | Description of Revision | Date of Revision |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 0 | RSZ160811001-20 | Original Report | 2016-08-26 |

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 75

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of b mobile HK Limited and their product, FCC ID: ZSW-10-007, Model: K360 or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Technical Specification

| Product Type | Portable | |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Exposure Category: | Population / Uncontrolled | |
| Antenna Type(s): | Internal Antenna | |
| Body-Worn Accessories: | Headset | |
| Face-Head Accessories: | None | |
| Multi-slot Class: | None | |
| Operation Mode: | GSM Voice and Bluetooth | |
| | GSM 850 : 824-849 MHz(TX) ; 869-894 MHz(RX) | |
| Frequency Band: | PCS 1900: 1850-1910 MHz(TX); 1930-1990 MHz(RX) | |
| | Bluetooth:2402-2480MHz | |
| | GSM 850 : 32.74 dBm | |
| Conducted RF Power: | PCS 1900: 29.27 dBm | |
| | Bluetooth3.0: 4.70 dBm | |
| Dimensions (L*W*H): | 114 mm (L) × 48 mm (W) × 15 mm (H) | |
| Power Source: | e: 3.7 V _{DC} Rechargeable Battery | |
| Normal Operation: | Head and Body-worn | |

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 75

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 75

SAR Limits

FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

| | SAR (W/kg) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS | (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) | | |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 | | |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue) | 1.60 | 8.0 | | |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0 | 20.0 | | |

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

| | SAR (W/kg) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| EXPOSURE LIMITS | (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) | | |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 | | |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue) | 2.0 | 10 | | |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0 | 20.0 | | |

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 75

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 75

DASY4 SAR Evaluation Procedure

Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. By default, the Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 4mm. This distance can be modified by the user, but cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 2.7mm for an ES3DV3 probe type).


Area Scan


The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids.

The scanning area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the Area Scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid settings can be edited by a user.

When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE 1528-2013, IEC 62209-1:2006 and IEC 62209-2:2010 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

After measurement is completed, all maxima and their coordinates are listed in the Results property page. The maximum selected in the list is highlighted in the 3-D view. For the secondary maxima returned from an Area Scan, the user can specify a lower limit (peak SAR value), in addition to the Find secondary maxima within x dB condition. Only the primary maximum and any secondary maxima within x dB from the primary maximum and above this limit will be measured.





Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 75

Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default Zoom Scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.


Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement job measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

Z-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the section reference point, to any defined user point or to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z axis of the anchor location establishes the Z axis of the grid.



SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 75

Description of Test System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the fourth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:

Report No: RSZ160811001-20




The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than $\pm 0.02mm$. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3 SN: 3036 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure with accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than ± 0.25 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 75

Measurement System Diagram



- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld smart phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing system validation.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 75

System Components

- DASY4 Measurement Server
- Data Acquisition Electronics
- Probes
- Light Beam Unit
- Medium
- SAM Twin Phantom
- Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom
- System Validation Kits
- Robot

DASY4 Measurement Server

The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



Report No: RSZ160811001-20

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pin out and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.



Probes

The DASY system can support many different probe types.

Dosimetric Probes: These probes are specially designed and calibrated for use in liquids with high permittivities. They should not be used in air, since the spherical isotropy in air is poor (±2 dB). The dosimetric probes have special calibrations in various liquids at different frequencies.

Free Space Probes: These are electric and magnetic field probes specially designed for measurements in free space. The z-sensor is aligned to the probe axis and the rotation angle of the x-sensor is specified. This allows the DASY system to automatically align the probe to the measurement grid for field component measurement. The free space probes are generally not calibrated in liquid. (The H-field probes can be used in liquids without any change of parameters.)

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 75

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Temperature Probes: Small and sensitive temperature probes for general use. They use a completely different parameter set and different evaluation procedures. Temperature rise features allow direct SAR evaluations with these probes.

ES3DV3 Probe Specification

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection System Built-in shielding against static charges Calibration In air from 150 MHz to 3.7 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 450 MHz, 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz (accuracy \pm 8%) Frequency 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: \pm 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity ± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)

 \pm 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic 5 mW/g to > 100 mW/g;


Range Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$

Surface ± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids

Detection over diffuse reflecting surfaces. Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm Application General dosimetric up to 3 GHz




Photograph of the probe

Compliance tests of smart phones

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3 designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY3 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped when reaching the maximum.



Inside view of ES3DV3 E-field Probe

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 75

E-Field Probe Calibration Process

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [6] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [7] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Data Evaluation

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

| Probe parameters: - Sensitivity - Conversion factor - Diode compression point | Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2 ConvFi dcpi | |
|---|--|--|
| Device parameters: - Frequency - Crest factor | f cf | |
| Media parameters: - Conductivity - Density | σ ρ | |

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
Ui = input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 75

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E – field
probes :
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H – fieldprobes:
$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i =x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field probes

ConF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

 a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

Ei = electric field strenggy of channel i in V/m

H_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/meter] or [Siemens/meter]

 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1, to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

Light Beam Unit

The light beam switch allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, so that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip. The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 75

Medium

Parameters

The parameters of the tissue simulating liquid strongly influence the SAR in the liquid. The parameters for the different frequencies are defined in the corresponding compliance standards (e.g., IEC 62209-1:2005, IEC62209-2:2010, IEEE 1528-2013).

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

| Frequency | Head 7 | Гissue | Body | Tissue |
|-----------|--------|---------|------|---------------|
| (MHz) | εr | O'(S/m) | εr | O (S/m) |
| 150 | 52.3 | 0.76 | 61.9 | 0.80 |
| 300 | 45.3 | 0.87 | 58.2 | 0.92 |
| 450 | 43.5 | 0.87 | 56.7 | 0.94 |
| 835 | 41.5 | 0.90 | 55.2 | 0.97 |
| 900 | 41.5 | 0.97 | 55.0 | 1.05 |
| 915 | 41.5 | 0.98 | 55.0 | 1.06 |
| 1450 | 40.5 | 1.20 | 54.0 | 1.30 |
| 1610 | 40.3 | 1.29 | 53.8 | 1.40 |
| 1800-2000 | 40.0 | 1.40 | 53.3 | 1.52 |
| 2450 | 39.2 | 1.80 | 52.7 | 1.95 |
| 3000 | 38.5 | 2.40 | 52.0 | 2.73 |
| 5800 | 35.3 | 5.27 | 48.2 | 6.00 |

Parameter measurements

Several measurement systems are available for measuring the dielectric parameters of liquids:

- The open coax test method (e.g., HP85070 dielectric probe kit) is easy to use, but has only moderate acuracy. It is calibrated with open, short, and deionized water and the calibrations a critical process.
- The transmission line method (e.g., model 1500T from DAMASKOS, INC.) measures the transmission and reflection in a liquid filled high precision line. It needs standard two port calibration and is probably more accurate than the open coax method.
- The reflection line method measures the reflection in a liquid filled shorted precision lined. The method is not suitable for these liquids because of its low sensitivity.
- The slotted line method scans the field magnitude and phase along a liquid filled line. The evaluation is straight forward and only needs a simple response calibration. The method is very accurate, but can only be used in high loss liquids and at frequencies above 100 to 200MHz. Cleaning the line can be tedious.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 75

SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm). It has three measurement areas:

- · Left hand
- Right hand
- Flat phantom

The phantom table comes in two sizes: A 100 x 50 x 85 cm (L x W x H) table for use with free standing robots (DASY4 professional system option) or as a second phantom and a 100 x 75 x 85 cm(L x W x H) table with reinforcements for table mounted robots (DASY4 compact system option).



The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. Only one device holder is necessary if two phantoms are used (e.g., for different liquids) A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during o_-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Water-sugar based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid if the system is not used, otherwise the parameters will change due to water evaporation.
- Glycol based liquids should be used with care. As glycol is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom and the phantom should be dried when the system is not used (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the phantom's compatibility.

Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source in 5mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of $\pm 20\%$. An accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions, in which the devices must be measured, are defined by the standards.

The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.





SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 75

The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity "=3 and loss tangent _=0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

System Validation Kits

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. For that purpose a well-defined SAR distribution in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom is produced.

System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder. Dipoles are available for the variety of frequencies between 300MHz and 6 GHz (dipoles for other frequencies or media and other calibration conditions are available upon request).

The dipoles are highly symmetric and matched at the center frequency for the specified liquid and distance to the flat phantom (or flat section of the SAM-twin phantom). The accurate distance between the liquid surface and the dipole center is achieved with a distance holder that snaps on the dipole.

Robot

The DASY4 system uses the high precision industrial robots RX60L, RX90 and RX90L, as well as the RX60BL and RX90BL types out of the newer series from Stäubli SA (France). The RX robot series offers many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance-free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchronous motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)

For the newly delivered DASY4 systems as well as for the older DASY3 systems delivered since 1999, the CS7MB robot controller version from Stäubli is used. Previously delivered systems have either a CS7 or CS7M controller; the differences to the CS7MB are mainly in the hardware, but some procedures in the robot software from Stäubli are also not completely the same. The following descriptions about robot hardand software correspond to CS7MB controller with software version 13.1 (edit S5). The actual commands, procedures and configurations, also including details in hardware, might differ if an older robot controller is in use. In this case please also refer to the Stäubli manuals for further information.



SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 75

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information

| Equipment | Model | Calibration Date | Calibration Due Date | S/N |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Robot | RX60BL | N/A | N/A | F02/5S01A1/A/01 |
| Robot Controller | CS7MBs&p RX60BL | N/A | N/A | F02/5S01A1/C/01 |
| DASY4 Test Software | DASY4, V4.5 Build 19 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Data Acquistion Electronics | DAE3 | 2015-08-17 | 2016-08-17 | 456 |
| E-Field Probe | ES3DV3 | 2015-08-20 | 2016-08-20 | 3036 |
| Dipole, 835MHz | ALS-D-835-S-2 | 2014-10-08 | 2017-10-08 | 180-00558 |
| Dipole,1900MHz | ALS-D-1900-S-2 | 2014-10-09 | 2017-10-09 | 210-00710 |
| Dipole Spacer | ALS-DS-U | N/A | N/A | 250-00907 |
| Device holder/Positioner | MD4HHTV5 | N/A | N/A | SD 000 H01 KA |
| SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom | Twin SAM | N/A | N/A | Tp-1218 |
| Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Head | ALS-TS-835-H | Each Time | / | 270-01002 |
| Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Body | ALS-TS-835-B | Each Time | / | 270-02101 |
| Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Head | ALS-TS-1900-H | Each Time | / | 295-01103 |
| Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Body | ALS-TS-1900-B | Each Time | / | 295-02102 |
| Directional couple | DC6180A | N/A | N/A | 0325849 |
| Power Amplifier | 5S1G4 | N/A | N/A | 71377 |
| Attenuator | 3dB | N/A | N/A | 5402 |
| Dielectric probe kit | HP85070B | 2016-06-13 | 2017-06-13 | US33020324 |
| Network analyzer | 8752C | 2016-06-03 | 2017-06-03 | 3410A02356 |
| Synthesized Sweeper | HP 8341B | 2016-06-03 | 2017-06-03 | 2624A00116 |
| UNIVERSAL RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER | CMU200 | 2015-11-23 | 2016-11-23 | 106891 |
| EMI Test Receiver | ESCI | 2016-06-13 | 2017-06-13 | 101746 |


Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 75

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

| Frequency | Liquid | Liquid | Liquid Parameter | | Target Value | | Delta (%) | |
|-----------|--------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----|
| 1 | Туре | ε _r | O'(S/m) | ε _r | O'(S/m) | $\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$ | ΔΟ (S/m) | (%) |
| 824.2 | Head | 41.22 | 0.9 | 41.50 | 0.90 | -0.675 | 0.000 | ±5 |
| 824.2 | Body | 55.29 | 0.96 | 55.20 | 0.97 | 0.163 | -1.031 | ±5 |
| 836.6 | Head | 41.43 | 0.91 | 41.50 | 0.90 | -0.169 | 1.111 | ±5 |
| 830.0 | Body | 55.34 | 0.96 | 55.20 | 0.97 | 0.254 | -1.031 | ±5 |
| 848.8 | Head | 41.53 | 0.91 | 41.50 | 0.90 | 0.072 | 1.111 | ±5 |
| 040.0 | Body | 55.37 | 0.97 | 55.20 | 0.97 | 0.308 | 0.000 | ±5 |
| 1950.2 | Head | 41.10 | 1.40 | 40.00 | 1.40 | 2.750 | 0.000 | ±5 |
| 1850.2 | Body | 53.43 | 1.51 | 53.30 | 1.52 | 0.244 | -0.658 | ±5 |
| 1000.0 | Head | 40.08 | 1.39 | 40.00 | 1.40 | 0.200 | -0.714 | ±5 |
| 1880.0 | Body | 53.33 | 1.49 | 53.30 | 1.52 | 0.056 | -1.974 | ±5 |
| 1000.0 | Head | 40.11 | 1.39 | 40.00 | 1.40 | 0.275 | -0.714 | ±5 |
| 1909.8 | Body | 53.33 | 1.51 | 53.30 | 1.52 | 0.056 | -0.658 | ±5 |


^{*}Liquid Verification was performed on 2016-08-12.

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 75

System Accuracy Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



System Accuracy Check Results

| Date | Frequency Band | Liquid Type | Measured SAR (W/Kg) | | Target Value (W/Kg) | Delta (%) | Tolerance (%) |
|------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 925 | Head | 1g | 0.952*10 | 9.773 | -2.589 | ±10 |
| 2016-08-12 | 835 | Body | 1g | 1.004*10 | 9.736 | 3.122 | ±10 |
| 2010-08-12 | 1900 | Head | 1g | 3.758*10 | 39.481 | -4.815 | ±10 |
| | 1900 | Body | 1g | 3.880*10 | 39.715 | -2.304 | ±10 |

Note:

The power inputed to dipole is 0.1 Watt, the SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power by multiplying 10 times.

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 75

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen) DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Program Name: 835 MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.93$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 41.37$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

835 Head system check /Area Scan (91x141x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.13 mW/g

835 Head system check /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 38.15 V/m; Power Drift = -0.112 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.53 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.952 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.682 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.994 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 75

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen) DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Program Name: 835 MHz Body

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.78$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(6.00, 6.00, 6.00); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: Dummy DAE – SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015

- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

835 Body system check /Area Scan (91x141x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.03 mW/g


835 Body system check /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 36.37 V/m; Power Drift = -0.123 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.512 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.004 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.657 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.20 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 75

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)
DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Program Name: 1900MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz; $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 40.95$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

1900 head system check/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.87 mW/g


1900 head system check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 61.37 V/m; Power Drift = -0.032 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.720 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.758 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.989 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.02 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 75

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)
DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Program Name: 1900MHz Body

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz; $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 40.95$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(4.56, 4.56, 4.56); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

1900 Body system check/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.113 mW/g

1900 Body system check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 61.25 V/m; Power Drift = -0.082 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.073 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.870 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.120 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.13 mW/g




SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 75


EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ½ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 75

Cheek/Touch Position

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

Cheek / Touch Position



Ear/Tilt Position


With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 75

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

Ear /Tilt 15° Position



Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.



Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 75

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

Test methodology

KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06.

KDB 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r03.

KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04

KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 75

CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through sufficient attenuation.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20



Radio Configuration

The power measurement was configured by the Wireless Communication Test Set CMU200 for all Radio configurations.

GSM

Function: Menu select > GSM Mobile Station > GSM 850/1900

Press Connection control to choose the different menus

Press RESET > choose all the reset all settings Connection: Press Signal Off to turn off the signal and change settings

Network Support $> \widetilde{GSM} + \text{only}$

MS Signal

> 33 dBm for GSM 850

> 30 dBm for PCS 1900

BS Signal:Enter the same channel number for TCH channel (test channel) and BCCH channel

Frequency Offset >+ 0 Hz

Mode > BCCH and TCH

BCCH Level > -85 dBm (May need to adjust if link is not stabe)

BCCH Channel >choose desire test channel [Enter the same channel number for TCH channel (test channel)

and BCCH channel]

Channel Type > Off

P0 > 4 dB

TCH > choose desired test channel

Hopping >Off

AF/RF: Enter appropriate offsets for Ext. Att. Output and Ext. Att. Input Connection: Press Signal on to turn on the signal and change settings

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 75

Maximum Output Power among production units

| Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm) | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Mode/Band | Channel | | | | |
| Iviode/Baild | Low | Middle | High | | |
| GSM 850 | 32.80 | 32.80 | 32.80 | | |
| PCS 1900 | 29.30 29.30 29.30 | | | | |
| Bluetooth | 4.80 4.80 4.80 | | | | |

Test Results:

GSM:

| D d | Frequency | Conducted Output Power | | | | |
|----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Band | (MHz) | Meas. Power (dBm) | Meas. Power (W) | | | |
| | 824.2 | 32.74 | 1.879 | | | |
| GSM 850 | 836.6 | 32.71 | 1.866 | | | |
| | 848.8 | 32.55 | 1.799 | | | |
| PCS 1900 | 1850.2 | 29.23 | 0.838 | | | |
| | 1880.0 | 29.27 | 0.845 | | | |
| | 1909.8 | 29.26 | 0.843 | | | |

Note:

- Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMU200) was used for the measurement of GSM peak and average output power for active timeslots.
 For GSM voice, 1 timeslot has been activated with power level 5 (850 MHz band) and 0 (1900 MHz
- 2. For GSM voice, 1 timeslot has been activated with power level 5 (850 MHz band) and 0 (1900 MHz band).

Bluetooth

| Mada | Channel | Channel frequency | Conducted Output Power | | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--|
| Mode | No. | (MHz) | (dBm) | (mW) | |
| | 0 | 2402 | 4.70 | 2.951 | |
| BDR(GFSK) | 39 | 2441 | 4.31 | 2.698 | |
| | 78 | 2480 | 3.51 | 2.244 | |

EDR(

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 75

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature: 21-24

33 of 75 **SAR Evaluation Report**

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

PCS Band:


| EUT | Frequency | Test Mode | Power | Max. Meas. | Max. Rated | 1g SAR (W/Kg) | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|------|
| Position | (MHz) | | Drift (dB) | Power (dBm) | Power (dBm) | Scaled Factor | Meas. SAR | Scaled SAR | Plot |
| | 1850.2 | GSM | -0.188 | 29.23 | 29.30 | 1.016 | 0.087 | 0.088 | / |
| Left Head Cheek | 1880.0 | GSM | 0.047 | 29.27 | 29.30 | 1.007 | 0.093 | 0.094 | 3# |
| | 1909.8 | GSM | -0.005 | 29.26 | 29.30 | 1.009 | 0.082 | 0.083 | / |
| | 1850.2 | GSM | / | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| Left Head Tilt | 1880.0 | GSM | 0.042 | 29.27 | 29.30 | 1.007 | 0.055 | 0.055 | / |
| | 1909.8 | GSM | / | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| | 1850.2 | GSM | / | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| Right Head Cheek | 1880.0 | GSM | 0.069 | 29.27 | 29.30 | 1.007 | 0.079 | 0.080 | / |
| | 1909.8 | GSM | / | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| | 1850.2 | GSM | / | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| Right Head Tilt | 1880.0 | GSM | -0.080 | 29.27 | 29.30 | 1.007 | 0.052 | 0.052 | / |
| | 1909.8 | GSM | / | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| Body-Back-Headset (5mm) | 1850.2 | GSM | -0.002 | 29.23 | 29.30 | 1.016 | 0.162 | 0.165 | / |
| | 1880.0 | GSM | -0.103 | 29.27 | 29.30 | 1.007 | 0.187 | 0.188 | 4# |
| | 1909.8 | GSM | 0.092 | 29.26 | 29.30 | 1.009 | 0.155 | 0.156 | / |

Note:

- 1 .When the 1-g SAR is \leq 0.8W/Kg, testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. The EUT transmit and receive through the same antenna while testing SAR.
- 3. According to IEEE 1528-2013, the middle channel is required to be tested first.
- 4. KDB 447498D01- When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is $> \frac{1}{2}$ dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel must be used.
- 5. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 75

SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION



Left

Simultaneous Transmission:

| Description of Simultaneo | Antennas Distance | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|----------|------|
| Transmitter Combination | Simultaneous? | Hotspot? | (mm) |
| GSM + Bluetooth | √ | × | 45 |

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

| Mode | Position | Frequency (MHz) | P _{avg} (dBm) | P _{avg} (mW) | Distance (mm) | Calculated value | Threshold (1-g) | SAR Test Exclusion |
|-----------|----------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Bluetooth | Head | 2480 | 4.80 | 3.02 | 0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | Yes |
| Bluetooth | Body | 2480 | 4.80 | 3.02 | 5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | Yes |

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* \leq 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- 1. f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- 2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.
- 3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.
- 4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 75

Standalone SAR estimation:

| Mode | Frequency (GHz) | Distance (mm) | P _{avg} (dBm) | P _{avg} (mW) | Estimated _{1-g} (W/kg) |
|---------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BT Head | 2.48 | 0 | 4.80 | 3.02 | 0.127 |
| BT Body | 2.48 | 5 | 4.80 | 3.02 | 0.127 |

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

When standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance,mm)]·[$\sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$] W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR.

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion

Simultaneous SAR test exclusion considerations:

| Mode | Do sition | Reported | SAR (W/kg) | ΣSAR | |
|---------|-------------------|----------|------------|-----------|--|
| | Position | GSM | BT | < 1.6W/kg | |
| | Left Head Cheek | 0.104 | 0.127 | 0.231 | |
| | Left Head Tile | 0.056 | 0.127 | 0.183 | |
| GSM850 | Right Head Cheek | 0.088 | 0.127 | 0.215 | |
| | Right Head Tilt | 0.053 | 0.127 | 0.180 | |
| | Body-Back-Headset | 0.148 | 0.127 | 0.275 | |
| | Left Head Cheek | 0.094 | 0.127 | 0.221 | |
| | Left Head Tile | 0.055 | 0.127 | 0.182 | |
| PCS1900 | Right Head Cheek | 0.080 | 0.127 | 0.207 | |
| | Right Head Tilt | 0.052 | 0.127 | 0.179 | |
| | Body-Back-Headset | 0.188 | 0.127 | 0.315 | |

Conclusion:

ΣSAR < 1.6 W/kg therefore simultaneous transmission SAR with Volume Scans is **not** required.

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 75

SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)

Test Plot 1#:GSM 850 Left Cheek Middle Channel

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: K360

Communication System: 2G Band; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8 Medium parameters used: f = 836.6 MHz; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon r = 41.43$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

GSM850-head-left-Middle /Area Scan (91x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.136 mW/g

GSM850-head-left-Middle /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Reference Value = 4.372 V/m; Power Drift = -0.086 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.158 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.102 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.061 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.112 mW/g

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 75

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)

Test Plot 2#:GSM 850 Body-Back-Headset Middle Channel

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: K360

Communication System: 2G Band; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium parameters used: f = 836.6 MHz; $\sigma = 0.96 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 55.34$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(6.00, 6.00, 6.00); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456: Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

GSM850-gsm-back -mid/Area Scan (91x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.160 mW/g

GSM850-gsm-back -mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 7.73 V/m; Power Drift = 0.034 dBPeak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.192 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.145 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.087 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.153 mW/g

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 75

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)

Test Plot 3#:PCS 1900 Left Cheek Middle Channel

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: K360

Communication System: 2G Band; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.39 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 40.08$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

PCS 1900-head-left-mid /Area Scan (91x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.122 mW/g

PCS 1900-head-left-mid /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 4.567 V/m; Power Drift = 0.047 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.166 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.093 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.063 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.102 mW/g

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 75

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)

Test Plot 4#:PCS 1900 Body-Back-Headset Middle Channel

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: K360

Communication System: 2G Band; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8 Medium parameters used: f =1880 MHz; σ = 1.49 S/m; ϵ_r = 53.33; ρ = 1000 kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(4.56, 4.56, 4.56); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

PCS 1900-gsm-back -mid/Area Scan (91x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.223 mW/g

PCS 1900-gsm-back -mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 5.73 V/m; Power Drift = -0.103 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.310 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.187 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.092 mW/g

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.192 mW/g

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 75

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY4 measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

| | DA | ASY4 Un | certaint | y Budge | t | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| | A | Accordin | g to IEE | E 1528 | | | | |
| Error Description | Uncertainty | Prob. | Div. | (c i) | (c i) | Std. Unc. | Std. Unc. | (v i) |
| Effor Description | Value | Dist. | DIV. | 1g | 10g | (1g) | (10g) | veff |
| | | Measur | ement Sy | stem | | | | |
| Probe Calibration | \pm 6.0 % | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | \pm 6.0 % | \pm 6.0 % | |

SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 75

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 75

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

BACL

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object

ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5.

QA CAL-25.v6

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date

August 20, 2015

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Primary Standards | 1D | Cal Date (Certificate No.) | Scheduled Calibration |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Power mater E44198 | GB41293874 | 01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128) | Mar-16 |
| Power sensor E4412A | MY41498087 | 01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128) | Mar-16 |
| Reference 3 dB Attenuator | SN: S5054 (3c) | 01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129) | Mar-16 |
| Reference 20 dB Attenuator | SN: S5277 (20x) | 01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132) | Mar-16 |
| Reference 30 dB Attenuator | SN: SS129 (30b) | 01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133) | Mar-16 |
| Reference Probe ES3DV2 | SN: 3013 | 30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3013 Dec14) | Dec-15 |
| DAE4 | SN: 660 | 14-Jan-15 (No. DAE4-660_Jan15) | Jan-16 |
| Secondary Standards | ID | Check Date (in house) | Scheduled Check |
| RF generator HP 8648C | US3642U01700 | 4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13) | In house check: Apr-16 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US37390585 | 18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14) | In house check: Oct-15 |

Calibrated by:

Name Jeton Kastrati Function Laboratory Technician

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory

Technical Manager

Issued: August 20, 2015

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 1 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 75

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SA5)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z sensitivity in free space
ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP diode compression point

CF crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal A, B, C, D modulation dependent linearization parameters

Polarization φ rotation around probe axis

Polarization 9 9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),

i.e., 9 = 0 is normal to probe axis

Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- EC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx,y,z: Assessed for E-field polarization 9 = 0 (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide).
 NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is
 implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included
 in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax.y.z; Bx.y.z; Cx.y.z; Cx.y.z; VRx.y.z; A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORMx (no uncertainty required).

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15 Page 2 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 75

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

ES3DV3 - SN:3036

August 20, 2015

Probe ES3DV3

SN:3036

Manufactured: Calibrated:

August 21, 2003 August 20, 2015

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems (Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 3 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 75

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

ES3DV3-SN:3036

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Basic Calibration Parameters

| | Sensor X | Sensor Y | Sensor Z | Unc (k=2) |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$ | 1.22 | 1.34 | 1.49 | ± 10.1 % |
| DCP (mV) ^B | 102.6 | 104.5 | 104.8 | |

Modulation Calibration Parameters

| UID | Communication System Name | | A dB | B dB√μV | С | D dB | VR mV | Unc [±] (k=2) |
|-----|---------------------------|---|---------|------------|-----|---------|----------|---------------------------|
| 0 | CW | X | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 207.4 | ±3.5 % |
| | | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | W | 222.8 | |
| | | Z | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | 226.3 | |

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 4 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 75

A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

ES3DV3-SN:3036

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

| f (MHz) ^C | Relative Permittivity ^F | Conductivity (S/m) ^F | ConvF X | ConvF Y | ConvF Z | Alpha ^G | Depth ^G (mm) | Unc (k=2) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| 150 | 52.3 | 0.76 | 7.06 | 7.06 | 7.06 | 0.05 | 1.20 | ± 13.3 % |
| 450 | 43.5 | 0.87 | 6.58 | 6.58 | 6.58 | 0.19 | 1.90 | ± 13.3 % |
| 750 | 41.9 | 0.89 | 6.13 | 6.13 | 6.13 | 0.25 | 2.28 | ± 12.0 % |
| 835 | 41.5 | 0.90 | 5.96 | 5.96 | 5.96 | 0.31 | 1.86 | ± 12.0 % |
| 1750 | 40.1 | 1.37 | 5.10 | 5.10 | 5.10 | 0.58 | 1.37 | ± 12.0 % |
| 1900 | 40.0 | 1.40 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 0.71 | 1.22 | ± 12.0 % |
| 2450 | 39.2 | 1.80 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 0.59 | 1.44 | ± 12.0 % |
| 3700 | 37.7 | 3.12 | 3.84 | 3.84 | 3.84 | 0.35 | 2.20 | ± 13.1 % |

 $^{^{\}rm C}$ Frequency validity above 300 MHz of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to \pm 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is \pm 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to \pm 110 MHz.

Falt frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to \pm 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to \pm 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than \pm 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below \pm 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 5 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 75

ES3DV3-SN:3036

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

| f (MHz) ^C | Relative Permittivity F | Conductivity (S/m) ^F | ConvF X | ConvF Y | ConvF Z | Alpha ^G | Depth ^G (mm) | Unc (k=2) |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| 150 | 61.9 | 0.80 | 6.82 | 6.82 | 6.82 | 0.08 | 1.20 | ± 13.3 % |
| 450 | 56.7 | 0.94 | 6.69 | 6.69 | 6.69 | 0.14 | 1.20 | ± 13.3 % |
| 750 | 55.5 | 0.96 | 6.10 | 6.10 | 6.10 | 0.40 | 1.64 | ± 12.0 % |
| 835 | 55.2 | 0.97 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 0.49 | 1.55 | ± 12.0 % |
| 1750 | 53.4 | 1.49 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 0.51 | 1.48 | ± 12.0 % |
| 1900 | 53.3 | 1.52 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 0.48 | 1.60 | ± 12.0 % |
| 2450 | 52.7 | 1.95 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 0.80 | 1.09 | ± 12.0 % |
| 3700 | 51.0 | 3.55 | 3.58 | 3.58 | 3.58 | 0.50 | 2.12 | ± 13.1 % |

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to \pm 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is \pm 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to \pm 110 MHz.

Fat frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to \pm 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to \pm 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

Apha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than \pm 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below \pm 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15


Page 6 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 75

ES3DV3-- SN:3036

August 20, 2015

Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 7 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 75





SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 75

ES3DV3~ SN:3036

August 20, 2015

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (TEM cell , f_{eval}= 1900 MHz)






Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 9 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 75



SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 75

ES3DV3- SN:3036

August 20, 2015

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Other Probe Parameters

| Sensor Arrangement | Triangular |
|---|------------|
| Connector Angle (°) | 17.1 |
| Mechanical Surface Detection Mode | enabled |
| Optical Surface Detection Mode | disabled |
| Probe Overall Length | 337 mm |
| Probe Body Diameter | 10 mm |
| Tip Length | 10 mm |
| Tip Diameter | 4 mm |
| Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point | 2 mm |
| Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point | 2 mm |
| Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point | 2 mm |
| Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface | 3 mm |

Certificate No: ES3-3036_Aug15

Page 11 of 11

APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Calibration File No: DC-1599 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5779

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole(Head and Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-835-S-2 Frequency: 835 MHz Serial No: 180-00558

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 8th October 2014 Released on: 8th October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

ite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kaneta, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received with a damaged connection for a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11 C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

Electrical Specification

| Tissue | Frequency | SWR: | Return Loss | Impedance |
|--------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| Head | 835 MHz | 1.066 U | -30.344 dB | 49.001 Ω |
| Body | 835 MHz | 1.089 U | -28.118 dB | 53.117 Ω |

System Validation Results

| Tissue | Frequency | 1 Gram | 10 Gram | Peak |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| Head | 835 MHz | 9.773 | 6.174 | 14.713 |
| Body | 835 MHz | 9.736 | 6.297 | 14.513 |



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

3

SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was repaired prior to this calibration. The repair reliability depends upon correct usage of the dipole.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 75

NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

| APREL | APREL | Measured | Measured |
|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 161.0 mm | 89.8 mm | 162.2 mm | 89.4 mm |

Electrical Verification

| Tissue Type | Return Loss: | SWR: | Impedance: |
|-------------|--------------|---------|------------|
| Head | -30.344 dB | 1.066 U | 49.001Ω |
| Body | -28.118 dB | 1.089 U | 53.117 Ω 🗆 |

Tissue Validation

| | Dielectric constant, ε _r | Conductivity, o [S/m] |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Head Tissue 835MHz | 43.42 | 0.94 |
| Body Tissue 835MHz | 55.77 | 1.01 |

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

5


SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss

Head Tissue: Frequency Range 0.817 to 0.848 GHz



Body Tissue: Frequency Range 0.823 to 0.851 GHz




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR



Body




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014.

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 75

9

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Calibration File No: DC-1601 Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5779

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer. APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 9th October, 2014 Released on: 9th October, 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

uite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 63 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

Primary Measurement Standards

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 64 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

Electrical Specification

| Tissue | Frequency | SWR: | Return Loss | Impedance |
|--------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| Head | 1900MHz | 1.084 U | -27.92 dB | 52.247 Ω |
| Body | 1900MHz | 1.128 U | -24.40 dB | 52.618 Ω |

System Validation Results

| Г | Tissue | Frequency | 1 Gram | 10 Gram | Peak |
|---|--------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| Γ | Head | 1900 MHz | 39.481 | 20.44 | 73.364 |
| | Body | 1900 MHz | 39.715 | 20.552 | 73.565 |



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 65 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
 Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 66 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

| APREL | APREL | Measured | Measured |
|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 68.0 mm | 39.5 mm | 67.1mm | 38.9 mm |

Electrical Validation

| Tissue | Frequency | SWR: | Return Loss | Impedance |
|--------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| Head | 1900MHz | 1.084 U | -27.92 dB | 52.247 Ω |
| Body | 1900MHz | 1.128 U | -24.40 dB | 52.618 Ω |

Tissue Validation

| | Dielectric constant, ε _r | Conductivity, o [S/m] |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Head Tissue 1900MHz | 40.20 | 1.38 |
| Body Tissue 1900MHz | 52.63 | 1.46 |


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 67 of 75


Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.





Body: Frequency Range 1.869 to 1.931 MHz





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 68 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 69 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 70 of 75

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment


The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

SAR Evaluation Report 71 of 75

APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS





Left Head Touch Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 72 of 75

Left Head Tilt Setup Photo



Right Head Touch Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 75

Right Head Tilt Setup Photo



Body-worn Back Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 74 of 75

APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ160811001-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 75 of 75 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 75 of 75