

PCTEST

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY

Applicant Name:

LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 1000 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States Date of Testing: 01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020 Test Site/Location: PCTEST, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.: 1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF Date of Issue: 02/18/2020

FCC ID:

ZNFV600AM

APPLICANT:

LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Scope of Test: Application Type: FCC Rule Part(s): HAC Standard:

DUT Type: Model: Additional Model(s): Test Device Serial No.: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil) Certification CFR §20.19(b) ANSI C63.19-2011 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05 285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03 Portable Handset LM-V600AM LMV600AM, V600AM *Pre-Production Sample* [S/N: 00158, 00141, 00273]

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T4 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Randy Ortanez President



FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 1 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 1 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	22
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	27
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	32
9.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	33
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	45
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	46
12.	TEST DATA	47
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	73
14.	CONCLUSION	80
15.	REFERENCES	81
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	83

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 2 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 2 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		· · ·		REV 3.5.M

^{© 2020} PCTEST All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any part, form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTEST. If you have any questions about this international copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this report or assembly of contents thereof, please contact INFO@PCTEST.COM.

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

¹ FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dego 2 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 3 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID:	ZNFV600AM
Applicant:	LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.
	1000 Sylvan Avenue
	Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632
	United States
Model:	LM-V600AM
Additional Model(s):	LMV600AM, V600AM
Serial Number:	00158, 00141, 00273
HW Version:	Rev.1.0
SW Version:	V600AM06w_1219
Antenna:	Internal Antenna
DUT Type:	Portable Handset

I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pair of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B4 & B66. This pair of LTE bands has the same target power and shares the same transmission path. Since the supported frequency span for the smaller LTE band is completely covered by the larger LTE band, only the larger LTE band (LTE B66) was evaluated for hearing-aid compliance.

II. Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support HAC testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical, and thermal characteristics are within operational tolerances expected for production units. The serial numbers used for each test are indicated alongside the results in Section 9.

III. Accessory Testing

This device has been additionally evaluated with the dual display accessory. Since this accessory has no additional transmitters, only the overall worst-case standalone configuration was evaluated.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 4 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 4 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
	850	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice ¹	EFR
GSM	1900	10	163	Tes. WIT OF BT		EIK
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice ¹	NB AMR
UIVITS	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	700 (B12)					
	790 (B14)					
	850 (B5)					
LTE (FDD)	1700 (B4)	VD	Yes	Yes Yes: WIFI or BT VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ²	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR Google Duo: OPUS	
	1700 (B66)					000510 0001 01 00
	1900 (B2)					
	2300 (B30)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ²	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR Google Duo: OPUS
	850 (n5)					
NR (FDD)	1700 (n66)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	1900 (n2)					
	2450					
	5200 (U-NII 1)					
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	Yes	Yes: GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	VoWIFI ² , Google Duo ²	VoWIFI: NB AMR, WB AMR Google Duo: OPUS
	5500 (U-NII 2C)					doogle buo. 0103
	5800 (U-NII 3)					
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	N/A	N/A
			Notes:			
				evel in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-20	, , ,	tation.
0	DT = Digital Data - Not intended for Voice Services 2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02 /D = CMRS and/or IP Voice over Data Transport					
	b - Civins and/or in Voice over Data transport					

Table 2-1 ZNFV600AM HAC Air Interfaces

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕞 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege E of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 5 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES 3.


I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

Axial and Radial Field Intensity


All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be \geq -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

Frequency Response

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.



Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz



Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 6 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 6 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

Signal Quality

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Catagony	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1 Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 7 of 07
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 7 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:





Figure 4-1 Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil



T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 9 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 8 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer:	TEM
Accuracy:	± 0.83 cm/meter
Minimum Step Size:	0.1 mm
Maximum speed	6.1 cm/sec
Line Voltage:	115 VAC
Line Frequency:	60 Hz
Material Composite:	Delrin (Acetal)
Data Control:	Parallel Port
Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z):	45 x 31.75 x 47 cm
Dimensions:	36" x 25" x 38"
Operating Area:	36" x 49" x 55"
Reflections:	< -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)




Figure 4-3 RF Near-Field Scanner

III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer:	ITU-T
Active Frequency Range:	100 Hz – 8 kHz
Stimulus Type:	Male and Female, no spaces
Single Sample Duration:	20.96 seconds
Activity Level:	100%





Figure 4-4 Spectral Characteristic of full P.50




Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 0 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 9 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

ABM1 Measurement Block Diagram:



IV. Test Procedure

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
 - Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
 - b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
 - c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 4-1)
 - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
 - b. ABM1 Validation

The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_{c} = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^{3}}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^{3}}}$$

Where H_c = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter

N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.13m; R=10.193Ω and using V=29mV:

$$H_{c} = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.029}{10.193})}{0.13 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^{3}}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 29mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of -10 dB(A/m) in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at -10dB(A/m). This was verified to be within \pm 0.5 dB of the -10dB(A/m) value (see Pages 42 & 43).

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 10 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 10 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M




Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:


ABM2 Frequency Response Validation				
	HBI, A -	HBI, A -		
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.	
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)		
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010	
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007	
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007	
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007	
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005	
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005	
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005	
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002	
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001	
800	0.071	0.070	0.001	
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003	
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003	
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002	
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004	
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004	
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008	
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017	
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022	
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029	
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025	

Table 4-1 ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 11 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 11 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		-		REV 3.5.M


01/16/2020

ABM2 Frequency Response Validation (LISTEN)



ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and Aweighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:




The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter

Table 4-2 ABM2 Power Sum Validation					
WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)		
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16		
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06		
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11		
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12		
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12		
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14		


FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 10 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 12 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

© 2020 PCTEST All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any part, form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including part microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTEST. If you have any questions about this international copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this report I microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTES contents thereof, please contact INFO@PCTEST.COM.

results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.



- 3. Measurement Test Setup
 - a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
 - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
 - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
iDEN TM	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕞 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 15 01 07
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M


01/16/2020

- ii. See Section 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.
- c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
 - i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.
- d. WD Radio Configuration Selection
 - i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5 and 7. NR configuration information can be found in Section 7. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6 and 7.)
 - ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.
- 4. Signal Quality Data Analysis
 - a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
 - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.
 - b. Frequency Response
 - i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
 - ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
 - iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.
 - c. Signal Quality Index
 - i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
 - ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
 - iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 14 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 14 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

V. Test Setup



Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessibility of RF ports with battery installed.

VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 15 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 15 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes.

Table 4-3 Center Channels and Freq	uencies								
Test frequencies & associated o	hannels								
Channel	Frequency (MHz)								
Cellular 850									
190 (GSM)	836.60								
4183 (UMTS)	836.60								
AWS 1750									
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40								
PCS 1900	PCS 1900								
661 (GSM)	1880								
9400 (UMTS)	1880								

Table 4 2

2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band according to Table 7-5 was additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. LTE TDD Band 41 was additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation as well. See Tables 9-4 to 9-10 as well as Tables 9-18 to 9-19 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

3. 5G (NR) Modes

The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band according to Table 7-9 was evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. See Table 9-20 for NR bandwidths and channels.

4. WIFI

The middle channel for each IEEE 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 9-11 to 9-15 as well as Tables 9-21 to 9-25 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 10 01 07
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-14 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 17 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 17 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

^{01/16/2020}

5. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1 Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level^{*}. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

* http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new_interpretations.htm

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 19 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 18 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

II. DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. The effects of modulation and RB configuration were found to be independent of band and bandwidth; therefore, only one band and bandwidth were used for this investigation. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

Band	Frequency	Channel	Bandwidth	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1	ABM2	SNNR
	[MHz]		[MHz]		IND OIZE		[dB(A/m)]	[dB(A/m)]	[dB]
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	0	11.63	-56.10	67.73
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	25	11.53	-55.91	67.44
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	49	11.53	-55.74	67.27
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	0	11.54	-57.58	69.12
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	12	11.55	-57.63	69.18
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	25	11.57	-57.77	69.34
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	50	0	11.37	-55.64	67.01
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	11.57	-50.80	62.37
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	25	11.41	-51.72	63.13
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	49	11.57	-51.02	62.59
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	0	11.57	-57.00	68.57
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	12	11.47	-56.89	68.36
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	25	11.72	-55.60	67.32
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	50	0	11.52	-57.26	68.78
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	0	11.59	-51.26	62.85
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	25	11.81	-50.96	62.77
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	49	11.79	-50.87	62.66
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	0	11.54	-57.45	68.99
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	12	11.22	-56.67	67.89
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	25	11.66	-57.01	68.67
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	50	0	11.44	-57.06	68.50
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	1	0	11.88	-57.08	68.96
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	1	25	11.59	-57.35	68.94
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	1	49	11.46	-57.13	68.59
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	25	0	11.59	-57.23	68.82
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	25	12	11.82	-57.28	69.10
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	25	25	11.63	-55.42	67.05
12	707.5	23095	10	256QAM	50	0	11.50	-57.22	68.72

Table 5-1
VoLTE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 10 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 19 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

2. Codec Configuration


An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

	AMR Codec Investigation – Volite over IMS											
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel					
ABM1 (dBA/m)	12.53	11.55	13.37	13.35								
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-51.02	-51.04	-51.00	-50.80	Axial	Band 12 10MHz	23095					
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		23093					
S+N/N (dB)	63.55	62.59	64.37	64.15								

 Table 5-2

 AMR Codec Investigation – VoLTE over IMS

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 20 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 20 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		· ·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length $T_f = 307200 \cdot T_s = 10 \text{ ms}$, where T_s is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length $30720 \cdot T_s = 1 \text{ ms}$, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192 \cdot Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	0	Subframe number						0	Calculated Transmission Duty Cycle (%)		
		-	1	2	3	4	5	6		ð	9	
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

Table 5-3 Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures

a. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

	Fower class 5 volte over INS Sinisk by OL-DL configuration												
Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	11.75	-44.20	55.95				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	11.60	-43.75	55.35				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	11.64	-43.92	55.56				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	11.78	-47.06	58.84				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	11.64	-47.06	58.70				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	11.74	-46.75	58.49				
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	11.68	-44.17	55.85				

Table 5-4 Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

b. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 1 was used to evaluate Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 01 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 21 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		· ·		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

6. VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1 Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level². The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

² FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 22 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 22 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

II. DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each IEEE 802.11 standard:

	IEEE 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration										
Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]					
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	1	8.35	-48.87	57.22					
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	2	8.41	-48.54	56.95					
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	8.43	-48.61	57.04					
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	11	8.30	-48.92	57.22					

Table 6-1 IEEE 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

 Table 6-2

 IEEE 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]				
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	6	8.10	-49.76	57.86				
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	9	8.05	-48.24	56.29				
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	12	7.94	-49.02	56.96				
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	18	8.12	-50.67	58.79				
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	24	8.23	-50.26	58.49				
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	36	8.24	-49.82	58.06				
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	48	7.91	-50.16	58.07				
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	54	8.03	-50.83	58.86				

Table 6-3 IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	BPSK	0	8.21	-46.54	54.75		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	1	7.87	-48.67	56.54		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	2	8.18	-49.23	57.41		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16QAM	3	7.84	-50.49	58.33		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16QAM	4	7.68	-51.03	58.71		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	5	8.18	-51.03	59.21		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	6	8.47	-50.55	59.02		
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	7	7.92	-51.00	58.92		
IEEE 802.11ac	20	40	256QAM	8	7.87	-50.98	58.85		

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset	e Handset	
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	BPSK	0	7.91	-48.04	55.95		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	QPSK	1	7.86	-48.40	56.26		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	QPSK	2	7.93	-48.43	56.36		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	16QAM	3	7.95	-48.91	56.86		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	16QAM	4	7.75	-49.08	56.83		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	64QAM	5	7.80	-49.31	57.11		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	64QAM	6	7.55	-48.75	56.30		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	64QAM	7	7.48	-48.69	56.17		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	256QAM	8	7.89	-49.54	57.43		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	256QAM	9	7.95	-48.87	56.82		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	1024QAM	10	7.99	-49.33	57.32		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	20	40	1024QAM	11	7.51	-49.54	57.05		

Table 6-4 IEEE 802.11ax SU 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

 Table 6-5

 IEEE 802.11ax RU 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	RU Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	0	7.76	-47.99	55.75
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	8	7.76	-48.23	55.99
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	37	7.77	-48.18	55.95
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	40	8.10	-47.82	55.92
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	53	7.56	-48.24	55.80
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	54	7.71	-48.36	56.07
I EEE 802.11ax RU	20	40	BPSK	0	61	7.49	-47.98	55.47

Table 6-6 IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	BPSK	0	8.08	-47.12	55.20
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	1	8.02	-49.53	57.55
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	2	7.85	-49.92	57.77
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16QAM	3	7.99	-49.86	57.85
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16QAM	4	8.08	-49.57	57.65
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	5	8.23	-50.60	58.83
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	6	7.60	-50.30	57.90
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	7	8.07	-51.06	59.13
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256QAM	8	7.93	-50.79	58.72
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256QAM	9	7.67	-49.90	57.57

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 24 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 24 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		· ·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	BPSK	0	7.94	-47.65	55.59		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	QPSK	1	7.39	-48.12	55.51		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	QPSK	2	7.52	-48.40	55.92		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	16QAM	3	7.48	-48.40	55.88		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	16QAM	4	7.89	-48.31	56.20		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	64QAM	5	7.93	-48.29	56.22		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	64QAM	6	7.93	-48.77	56.70		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	64QAM	7	7.76	-49.08	56.84		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	256QAM	8	7.77	-48.77	56.54		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	256QAM	9	7.41	-48.85	56.26		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	1024QAM	10	7.86	-49.44	57.30		
IEEE 802.11ax SU	40	38	1024QAM	11	7.51	-48.88	56.39		

Table 6-7 IEEE 802.11ax SU 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

Table 6-8 IEEE 802.11ax RU 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	RU Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	0	7.70	-48.36	56.06		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	17	7.48	-48.53	56.01		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	37	7.48	-47.19	54.67		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	44	8.03	-47.75	55.78		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	53	7.97	-48.22	56.19		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	56	7.54	-47.85	55.39		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	61	7.49	-48.09	55.58		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	62	7.64	-48.37	56.01		
I EEE 802.11ax RU	40	38	QPSK	1	65	7.50	-47.33	54.83		

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset	rtable Handset	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

2. Codec Configuration


•

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

	AMR Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS												
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel					
ABM1 (dBA/m)	9.21	8.23	9.35	9.82									
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-49.25	-49.21	-49.06	-47.98	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6					
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass		2.4002		0					
S+N/N (dB)	58.46	57.44	58.41	57.80									

Table 6-9
AMR Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 26 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 26 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M	

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

7. OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 75kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation³. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

Note: The green highlighted text is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

II. DUT Configuration for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration for each applicable data mode was used for these investigations. The 6kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

Codec In	vestigatio	on – OTT	VoIP (ED	GE)	
Codec Setting:	75kbps 6kbps		Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	23.53	23.99			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-30.27	-29.27	Axial	190	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	190	
S+N/N (dB)	53.80	53.26			

Table 7-1	
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EDGE)	

³ FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>«PCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 27 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 27 01 07
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

^{© 2020} PCTEST All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any part, form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTEST. If you have any questions about this international copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this report or assembly of contents thereof, please contact INFO@PCTEST.COM.

Codec Inv	vestigatio	on – OTT	VoIP (HS	PA)		
Codec Setting:	75kbps 6kbps		Orientation	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	24.15	23.96				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-56.72	-56.49	Axial	4183		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	4183		
S+N/N (dB)	80.87	80.45				

Table 7-2


Table 7-3 Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (LTE)

			<u>(-·-/</u>			
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	23.50	23.34				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-51.99	-51.97	Axial	Band 66	132322	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	AXIAI	20MHz	132322	
S+N/N (dB)	75.49	75.31				

Table 7-4 Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Journal and Annual A												
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel						
ABM1 (dBA/m)	23.66	23.43										
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-45.44	-44.94	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6						
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.40HZ		0						
S+N/N (dB)	69.10	59.10 68.37										

- •
- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.II.G .



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 29 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 28 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M	

01/16/2020

2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 14 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE bands:

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]				
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	23.54	-49.81	73.35				
14	793.0	23330	10	16QAM	1	0	23.25	-49.21	72.46				
5	836.5	20525	10	16QAM	1	0	23.52	-48.98	72.50				
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	23.29	-51.82	75.11				
2	1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	23.46	-51.77	75.23				
30	2310.0	27710	10	16QAM	1	0	23.35	-53.60	76.95				

Table 7-5 OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

3. LTE FDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation for OTT VolP

LTE FDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE FDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-6 were determined from Table 7-5 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

Table 7-6 LTE FDD SNNR for OTT VoIP Uplink Carrier Aggregation

				PCC							SCC						
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL) Channel	PCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL) Channel	SCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
CA_5B	LTE B5	10	20525	836.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B5	5	20453	829.3	16QAM	1	24	22.97	-50.09	73.06

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CALEST.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 20 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 29 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

4. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (NR)

An investigation was performed to determine the waveform, modulation, and RB configuration to be used for testing. Due to equipment limitations, the over-all worst-case ABM1 from LTE OTT VoIP was used with the ABM2 measured for each NR radio configuration. CP-OFDM 16QAM, 1RB, 1RB offset was determined to be the worst-case configuration for the handset and will be used for full testing in Section 9.

NR OTT VOIP SNNR by Radio Configuration (CP-OFDM)										
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	23.03	-47.52	70.55
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	53	23.03	-47.43	70.46
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	104	23.03	-46.38	69.41
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	50	0	23.03	-49.31	72.34
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	50	28	23.03	-49.26	72.29
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	50	56	23.03	-49.37	72.40
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	100	0	23.03	-49.24	72.27
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	23.03	-46.29	69.32
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	53	23.03	-46.55	69.58
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	104	23.03	-46.84	69.87
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	50	0	23.03	-49.29	72.32
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	50	28	23.03	-48.69	71.72
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	50	56	23.03	-49.04	72.07
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	100	0	23.03	-49.03	72.06
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	23.03	-48.13	71.16
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	23.03	-47.63	70.66
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	23.03	-47.51	70.54
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	50	0	23.03	-49.45	72.48
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	50	28	23.03	-49.56	72.59
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	50	56	23.03	-49.11	72.14
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	100	0	23.03	-49.38	72.41
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	23.03	-48.92	71.95
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	53	23.03	-48.74	71.77
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	104	23.03	-48.70	71.73
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	50	0	23.03	-49.18	72.21
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	50	28	23.03	-49.25	72.28
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	50	56	23.03	-49.43	72.46
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	100	0	23.03	-49.52	72.55

Table 7-7
NR OTT VolP SNNR by Radio Configuration (CP-OFDM)

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset	Page 30 of	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

NR OT I VOIP SNNR by Radio Configuration (DFT-S-OFDM)									ABM2	CNIND
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	[dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	1	1	23.03	-50.23	73.26
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	1	53	23.03	-49.60	72.63
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	1	104	23.03	-49.54	72.57
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	50	0	23.03	-49.84	72.87
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	50	28	23.03	-49.70	72.73
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	50	56	23.03	-49.80	72.83
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	Pi/2 BPSK	100	0	23.03	-50.00	73.03
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	23.03	-48.81	71.84
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	53	23.03	-48.82	71.85
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	104	23.03	-48.64	71.67
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	0	23.03	-49.89	72.92
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	28	23.03	-49.59	72.62
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	56	23.03	-48.61	71.64
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	100	0	23.03	-49.50	72.53
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	23.03	-47.14	70.17
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	53	23.03	-48.17	71.20
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	104	23.03	-47.24	70.27
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	0	23.03	-49.63	72.66
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	28	23.03	-49.62	72.65
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	56	23.03	-49.34	72.37
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	100	0	23.03	-49.33	72.36
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	23.03	-47.49	70.52
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	23.03	-48.00	71.03
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	23.03	-47.70	70.73
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	0	23.03	-49.46	72.49
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	28	23.03	-49.34	72.37
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	56	23.03	-49.19	72.22
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	100	0	23.03	-49.42	72.45
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	23.03	-48.45	71.48
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	53	23.03	-47.81	70.84
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	104	23.03	-47.62	70.65
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	0	23.03	-49.16	72.19
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	28	23.03	-48.94	71.97
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	56	23.03	-49.28	72.31
n5	836.5	167300	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	100	0	23.03	-49.50	72.53

Table 7-8 NR OTT VoIP SNNR by Radio Configuration (DFT-s-OFDM)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case NR band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. NR n5 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different NR bands:

	OTT VoIP (NR) SNNR by Band										
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]	
n5	836.5	167300	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	23.03	-46.76	69.79	
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	23.03	-47.02	70.05	
n2	1880.0	376000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	23.03	-46.83	69.86	

	Tab	le 7-9	
OTT VoIP	(NR)	SNNR	by Band

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 21 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 31 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

I. UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB (thick, purple data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:





Figure 8-1 UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-1Codec Investigation - UMTS

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	12.83	12.81	12.61					
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-57.98	-58.42	-59.03	Axial	9400			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai				
S+N/N (dB)	70.81	71.23	71.64					

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast

TPC="All 1s"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 22 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 32 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

9. T-COIL TEST SUMMARY

Freq. Response Magnetic FCC SNNR Margin Intensity Verdict Verdict Margin fro	
FCC Lim	t C63.19-2011
C63.19 Section 8.3.2 8.3.1 8.3.4 (dB)	• Rating
Avial Radial Avial Radial Avial Radial	
GSM Cellular PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -26,11	Τ4
PCS PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -20.11	14
EDGE Cellular PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS 24 00	Τ4
(OTT VOIP) PCS PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -31.90	14
Cellular PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
UMTS AWS PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -47.77	Τ4
PCS PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
Cellular PASS NA PASS PASS PASS	
HSPA (OTT VoIP) AWS PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -52.25	Τ4
PCS PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
B12 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
B14 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
LTE FDD B5 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -35.02	TA
LTE FDD B66 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -35.02	Τ4
B2 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
B30 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP) B14 PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -46.25	Т4
LTE TDD B41 (PC3) PASS NA PASS PASS PASS -31.80	Τ4
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP) B41 (PC3) PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS -42.17	Τ4
NR FDD (OTT VoIP) n5 NA NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -42.20	Τ4
IEEE 802.11b PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11g PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
WLAN IEEE 802.11n PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -15.43	Т4
IEEE 802.11ac PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -13.43	14
IEEE 802.11ax SU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11ax RU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11b PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11g PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
WLAN IEEE 802.11n PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -29.72	Т4
(OTT VoIP) IEEE 802.11ac PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	14
IEEE 802.11ax SU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11ax RU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11a PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11n PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
U-NII IEEE 802.11ac PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -24.33	Τ4
IEEE 802.11ax SU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11ax RU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11a PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11n PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
U-NII IEEE 802.11ac PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS -28.62	Т4
IEEE 802.11ax SU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	
IEEE 802.11ax RU PASS NA PASS PASS PASS PASS	

Table 9-1 Consolidated Tabled Results

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 22 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 33 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

I. Raw Handset Data

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		128	None	00158	23.19	-27.15		2.00	50.34	20.00	-30.34	T4		
		190	None	00158	23.24	-27.15		2.00	50.39	20.00	-30.39	T4		
	Axial	251	None	00158	23.14	-25.88	-59.40	2.00	49.02	20.00	-29.02	T4	1.8, 4.2	
GSM850		251	Dual Display - Open	00158	23.05	-25.16		2.00	48.21	20.00	-28.21	T4		
GSIMOSU		251	Dual Display - Closed	00158	15.75	-30.36		2.00	46.11	20.00	-26.11	T4		
		128	None	00158	15.73	-33.40			49.13	20.00	-29.13	T4		
	Radial	190	None	00158	15.71	-32.55	-61.56	N/A	48.26	20.00	-28.26	T4	2.0, 3.2	
		251	None	00158	15.70	-31.76			47.46	20.00	-27.46	T4		
		512	None	00158	23.03	-30.42		2.00	53.45	20.00	-33.45	T4		
	Axial	661	None	00158	23.20	-30.56	-59.40	2.00	53.76	20.00	-33.76	T4	1.8, 4.2	
GSM1900		810	None	00158	22.90	-30.06		2.00	52.96	20.00	-32.96	T4		
G3W1900		512	None	00158	15.70	-37.23			52.93	20.00	-32.93	T4		
	Radial	661	None	00158	15.71	-37.49	-61.56	N/A	53.20	20.00	-33.20	T4	2.0, 3.2	
		810	None	00158	15.73	-36.92			52.65	20.00	-32.65	T4		

Table 9-2 Raw Data Results for GSM

Table 9-3 Raw Data Results for UMTS

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		4132	None	00158	13.19	-60.30		2.00	73.49	20.00	-53.49	T4	
	Axial	4183	None	00158	13.20	-60.60	-59.40	2.00	73.80	20.00	-53.80	T4	1.8, 4.2
UMTS V		4233	None	00158	13.17	-60.10		2.00	73.27	20.00	-53.27	T4	
UNITS V		4132	None	00158	6.46	-61.32			67.78	20.00	-47.78	T4	
	Radial	4183	None	00158	6.43	-61.68	-61.56	N/A	68.11	20.00	-48.11	T4	2.0, 3.2
		4233	None	00158	6.44	-61.49	1		67.93	20.00	-47.93	T4	
	Axial	1312	None	00158	13.20	-60.43		2.00	73.63	20.00	-53.63	T4	
		1412	None	00158	13.17	-60.44		2.00	73.61	20.00	-53.61	T4	1.8, 4.2
LIMTO N/		1513	None	00158	13.19	-57.90	1	2.00	71.09	20.00	-51.09	T4	
UMTS IV		1312	None	00158	6.40	-61.37			67.77	20.00	-47.77	T4	
	Radial	1412	None	00158	6.47	-61.61	-61.56	N/A	68.08	20.00	-48.08	T4	2.0, 3.2
		1513	None	00158	6.47	-61.46	1		67.93	20.00	-47.93	T4	
		9262	None	00158	13.16	-57.87		2.00	71.03	20.00	-51.03	T4	
	Axial	9400	None	00158	13.14	-57.98	-59.40	2.00	71.12	20.00	-51.12	T4	1.8, 4.2
		9538	None	00158	13.06	-57.59	1	2.00	70.65	20.00	-50.65	T4	
UMTS II		9262	None	00158	6.45	-61.69			68.14	20.00	-48.14	T4	
	Radial	9400	None	00158	6.47	-61.80	-61.56	N/A	68.27	20.00	-48.27	T4	2.0, 3.2
Radial	9538	None	00158	6.46	-61.69			68.15	20.00	-48.15	T4	1	

Table 9-4 Raw Data Results for LTE B12

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	23095	None	00158	11.77	-50.18		1.30	61.95	20.00	-41.95	T4	
Axial	5MHz	23095	None	00158	11.73	-50.01	-59.40	1.21	61.74	20.00	-41.74	T4	1.8, 4.2	
	Axiai	3MHz	23095	None	00158	11.76	-50.23	-59.40	1.18	61.99	20.00	-41.99	T4	1.0, 4.2
LTE Band		1.4MHz	23095	None	00158	11.53	-50.63		1.17	62.16	20.00	-42.16	T4	
12		10MHz	23095	None	00158	4.87	-52.28			57.15	20.00	-37.15	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	23095	None	00158	4.79	-52.09	-61.56	N/A	56.88	20.00	-36.88	T4	2.0. 3.2
	Radiai	3MHz	23095	None	00158	4.57	-51.95	-01.50	IN/A	56.52	20.00	-36.52	T4	2.0, 3.2
		1.4MHz	23095	None	00158	4.47	-52.76]		57.23	20.00	-37.23	T4	

Table 9-5Raw Data Results for LTE B14

Mod	e Orienta	tion	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Asia	Axial	10MHz	23330	None	00158	11.57	-50.56	-59.40	1.21	62.13	20.00	-42.13	T4	1.8, 4.2
LTE B	LTE Band 14		5MHz	23330	None	00158	11.82	-50.77	-59.40	1.14	62.59	20.00	-42.59	T4	1.0, 4.2
14		10MHz	23330	None	00158	4.66	-51.84	-61.56	N/A	56.50	20.00	-36.50	T4	2.0. 3.2	
	Raul	Radial	5MHz	23330	None	00158	4.84	-52.14	-01.30	IN/A	56.98	20.00	-36.98	T4	2.0, 3.2

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 24 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 34 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

Table 9-6 Raw Data Results for LTE B5

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	20525	None	00158	11.69	-49.37		1.17	61.06	20.00	-41.06	T4	
		5MHz	20625	None	00158	11.64	-49.16]	1.21	60.80	20.00	-40.80	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	20525	None	00158	11.59	-49.31	-59.40	1.32	60.90	20.00	-40.90	T4	1.8, 4.2
		5MHz	20425	None	00158	11.55	-49.14	0.14 0.52 0.52	1.21	60.69	20.00	-40.69	T4	1.0, 4.2
		3MHz	20525	None	00158	11.63	-49.52		1.31	61.15	20.00	-41.15	T4	
LTE Band 5		1.4MHz	20525	None	00158	11.85	-50.52		1.18	62.37	20.00	-42.37	T4	
LIE Ballu 5		10MHz	20600	None	00158	4.58	-52.57			57.15	20.00	-37.15	T4	
		10MHz	20525	None	00158	4.58	-50.44	1		55.02	20.00	-35.02	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	20450	None	00158	4.55	-51.07	-61.56	N/A	55.62	20.00	-35.62	T4	
	radiai	5MHz	20525	None	00158	4.69	-50.57	-01.50	N/A	55.26	20.00	-35.26	T4	2.0, 3.2
		3MHz	20525	None	00158	4.86	-50.52			55.38	20.00	-35.38	T4	
		1.4MHz	20525	None	00158	4.57	-50.99			55.56	20.00	-35.56	T4	1

Table 9-7 Raw Data Results for LTE B66

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	132322	None	00158	11.89	-52.07		1.35	63.96	20.00	-43.96	T4	
		15MHz	132322	None	00158	11.63	-52.55		1.24	64.18	20.00	-44.18	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	132322	None	00158	11.64	-52.73	-59.40	1.18	64.37	20.00	-44.37	T4	1.8, 4.2
	Axiai	5MHz	132322	None	00158	11.55	-52.53	-59.40	1.33	64.08	20.00	-44.08	T4	1.0, 4.2
		3MHz	132322	None	00158	11.63	-52.77		1.18	64.40	20.00	-44.40	T4	
LTE Band		1.4MHz	132322	None	00158	11.78	-52.95		1.21	64.73	20.00	-44.73	T4	
66		20MHz	132322	None	00158	4.49	-55.27	7		59.76	20.00	-39.76	T4	
		15MHz	132322	None	00158	4.60	-55.71			60.31	20.00	-40.31	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	132322	None	00158	4.83	-55.66	-61.56	N/A	60.49	20.00	-40.49	T4	2.0, 3.2
	Raulai	5MHz	132322	None	00158	4.74	-55.82	-01.50	INVA	60.56	20.00	-40.56	T4	2.0, 3.2
		3MHz	132322	None	00158	4.63	-55.86			60.49	20.00	-40.49	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	None	00158	4.80	-56.40			61.20	20.00	-41.20	T4	

Table 9-8Raw Data Results for LTE B2

								-						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	18900	None	00158	11.67	-52.67		1.18	64.34	20.00	-44.34	T4	
		15MHz	18900	None	00158	11.88	-53.05		1.29	64.93	20.00	-44.93	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	18900	None	00158	11.65	-52.98	-59.40	1.32	64.63	20.00	-44.63	T4	1.8, 4.2
	Axiai	5MHz	18900	None	00158	11.86	-53.00	0	1.29	64.86	20.00	-44.86	T4	1.0, 4.2
		3MHz	18900	None	00158	11.63	-53.34		1.31	64.97	20.00	-44.97	T4	
LTE Band 2		1.4MHz	18900	None	00158	11.60	-52.91		1.19	64.51	20.00	-44.51	T4	
LIE Band 2		20MHz	18900	None	00158	4.55	-55.78	-		60.33	20.00	-40.33	T4	
		15MHz	18900	None	00158	4.61	-55.74			60.35	20.00	-40.35	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	18900	None	00158	4.79	-56.20	-61.56	N/A	60.99	20.00	-40.99	T4	20.22
	Radiai	5MHz	18900	None	00158	4.53	-56.60	-01.00	IN/A	61.13	20.00	-41.13	T4	2.0, 3.2
		3MHz	18900	None	00158	4.90	-56.11			61.01	20.00	-41.01	T4	1
		1.4MHz	18900	None	00158	4.50	-55.96			60.46	20.00	-40.46	T4	

Table 9-9 Raw Data Results for LTE B30

								-						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Axial	10MHz	27710	None	00158	11.48	-54.28	50.40	1.25	65.76	20.00	-45.76	T4	1.8, 4.2
LTE Band	Axiai	5MHz	27710	None	00158	11.62	-53.97	-59.40	1.19	65.59	20.00	-45.59	T4	1.0, 4.2
30		10MHz	27710	None	00158	4.80	-57.57	-61.56	N/A	62.37	20.00	-42.37	T4	2.0. 3.2
	Raulai	5MHz	27710	None	00158	4.41	-56.75	-01.50	INVA	61.16	20.00	-41.16	T4	2.0, 3.2

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 25 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 35 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

Table 9-10	
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3	

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	41490	None	00141	11.54	-43.54		1.23	55.08	20.00	-35.08	T4	
		20MHz	41055	None	00141	11.54	-45.01		1.34	56.55	20.00	-36.55	T4	
		20MHz	40620	None	00141	11.42	-43.68		1.25	55.10	20.00	-35.10	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	40185	None	00141	11.71	-44.55	-59.40	1.11	56.26	20.00	-36.26	T4	1.8, 4.2
	Axiai	20MHz	39750	None	00141	11.85	-42.55	-59.40	1.22	54.40	20.00	-34.40	T4	1.0, 4.2
		15MHz	40620	None	00141	11.63	-44.05		1.17	55.68	20.00	-35.68	T4	
		10MHz	40620	None	00141	11.55	-44.46		1.25	56.01	20.00	-36.01	T4	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	None	00141	11.39	-44.05		1.21	55.44	20.00	-35.44	T4	
41		20MHz	40620	None	00141	4.69	-47.88			52.57	20.00	-32.57	T4	
		15MHz	41490	None	00141	4.73	-47.81			52.54	20.00	-32.54	T4	
		15MHz	41055	None	00141	4.35	-47.59			51.94	20.00	-31.94	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	None	00141	4.40	-47.64	-61.56	N/A	52.04	20.00	-32.04	T4	
	radiai	15MHz	40185	None	00141	4.77	-48.31	-01.50	IN/A	53.08	20.00	-33.08	T4	2.0, 3.2
		15MHz	39750	None	00141	4.40	-47.40			51.80	20.00	-31.80	T4	1
		10MHz	40620	None	00141	4.55	-48.27			52.82	20.00	-32.82	T4	1
		5MHz	40620	None	00141	4.60	-47.88			52.48	20.00	-32.48	T4	1

Table 9-11 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	8.36	-48.40	-59.40	1.35	56.76	20.00	-36.76	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11b	Radial	6	None	00158	1.55	-45.62	-62.30	N/A	47.17	20.00	-27.17	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	7.90	-48.55	-59.40	1.52	56.45	20.00	-36.45	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11g	Radial	6	None	00158	1.56	-46.80	-62.30	N/A	48.36	20.00	-28.36	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	8.16	-48.95	-59.40	1.11	57.11	20.00	-37.11	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11n	Radial	6	None	00158	0.85	-46.64	-62.30	N/A	47.49	20.00	-27.49	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	7.79	-48.24	-59.32	1.26	56.03	20.00	-36.03	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11ac	Radial	6	None	00158	0.92	-49.37	-62.30	N/A	50.29	20.00	-30.29	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	7.59	-48.79	-59.32	1.18	56.38	20.00	-36.38	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11ax SU	Radial	6	None	00158	0.51	-42.75	-62.30	N/A	43.26	20.00	-23.26	T4	2.0, 3.2
		1	None	00158	7.66	-46.98		0.88	54.64	20.00	-34.64	T4	
	Axial	6	None	00158	7.88	-46.79	-59.32	1.30	54.67	20.00	-34.67	T4	1.8, 4.2
		11	None	00158	7.51	-46.47		1.38	53.98	20.00	-33.98	T4	
IEEE		1	None	00158	0.99	-40.52			41.51	20.00	-21.51	T4	
802.11ax RU		1	Dual Display - Open	00158	0.93	-42.25			43.18	20.00	-23.18	T4	
	Radial	1	Dual Display - Closed	00158	-6.51	-41.94	-62.30	N/A	35.43	20.00	-15.43	T4	2.0, 3.2
		6	None	00158	0.92	-41.83			42.75	20.00	-22.75	T4	
		11	None	00158	1.34	-41.10			42.44	20.00	-22.44	T4	

Table 9-12Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	7.88	-47.59	-59.40	1.26	55.47	20.00	-35.47	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11a															
002.11a	Radial	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	1.26	-47.00	-62.30	N/A	48.26	20.00	-28.26	T4	2.0, 3.2

Table 9-13 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11n

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	8.00	-47.95	-59.40	1.29	55.95	20.00	-35.95	T4	1.8.4.2
IEEE		20MHz	1	40	None	00158	8.14	-46.82		1.20	54.96	20.00	-34.96	T4	1.0, 4.2
802.11n															
002.1111	Radial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	0.98	-46.98	-46.98 -44.98 -62.30	-62.30 N/A -	47.96	20.00	-27.96	T4	2.0. 3.2
		20MHz	1	40	None	00158	1.29	-44.98			46.27	20.00	-26.27	T4	2.0, 3.2

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 26 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 36 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST		<u>.</u>		REV 3.5.M	

01/16/2020

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	8.11	-50.03	-59.40	1.30	58.14	20.00	-38.14	T4	1.8, 4.2
IEEE	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	8.03	-50.41	-59.40	1.20	58.44	20.00	-38.44	T4	1.0, 4.2
802.11ac															
002.11ac	Radial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	0.88	-50.28	-62.30	N/A	51.16	20.00	-31.16	T4	2.0. 3.2
	Raulai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	1.04	-50.09	-02.30	INVA	51.13	20.00	-31.13	T4	2.0, 3.2

Table 9-14 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac

Table 9-15Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ax

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	7.48	-48.18	-59.32	1.14	55.66	20.00	-35.66	T4	1.8.4.2
IEEE	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	7.86	-48.07	-05.02	1.37	55.93	20.00	-35.93	T4	1.0, 4.2
802.11ax															
SU	Radial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	0.84	-44.84	-62.30	N/A	45.68	20.00	-25.68	T4	2.0, 3.2
	Radiai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	0.95	-44.75	-02.30	INVA	45.70	20.00	-25.70	T4	2.0, 3.2
		40MHz	1	38	None	00158	7.53	-46.98		1.18	54.51	20.00	-34.51	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	None	00158	7.57	-47.47		1.36	55.04	20.00	-35.04	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	None	00158	7.46	-48.62		1.41	56.08	20.00	-36.08	T4	
		20MHz	2A	52	None	00158	7.70	-47.03		1.47	54.73	20.00	-34.73	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	2A	56	None	00158	7.40	-46.85	-59.32	1.38	54.25	20.00	-34.25	T4	1.8.4.2
	Axiai	20MHz	2A	64	None	00158	7.47	-47.86	-09.32	1.45	55.33	20.00	-35.33	T4	1.0, 4.2
		40MHz	2C	118	None	00158	7.74	-48.53		1.43	56.27	20.00	-36.27	T4	1
		20MHz	2C	120	None	00158	7.95	-48.46		1.41	56.41	20.00	-36.41	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	None	00158	7.81	-48.43		1.38	56.24	20.00	-36.24	T4	1
IEEE 802.11ax		20MHz	3	157	None	00158	8.02	-46.62		1.32	54.64	20.00	-34.64	T4	
802.11ax RU															
Ro		40MHz	1	38	None	00158	0.59	-44.01			44.60	20.00	-24.60	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	None	00158	0.67	-44.20			44.87	20.00	-24.87	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	None	00158	0.71	-43.68			44.39	20.00	-24.39	T4	1
		20MHz	2A	56	None	00158	1.37	-43.70			45.07	20.00	-25.07	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	2C	118	None	00158	0.93	-44.10	-62.30	N/A	45.03	20.00	-25.03	T4	2.0, 3.2
		20MHz	2C	120	None	00158	1.03	-44.12	1		45.15	20.00	-25.15	T4	1
		40MHz	3	151	None	00158	0.46	-43.87	1		44.33	20.00	-24.33	T4	1
		40MHz	3	159	None	00158	0.35	-44.07			44.42	20.00	-24.42	T4	1
		20MHz	3	157	None	00158	1.03	-43.69			44.72	20.00	-24.72	T4	1

Table 9-16 Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
EDGE850	Axial	190	None	00158	23.80	-29.78	-59.32	1.26	53.58	20.00	-33.58	T4	1.8, 4.2
EDGE050	Radial	190	None	00158	14.89	-37.01	-61.56	N/A	51.90	20.00	-31.90	T4	2.0, 3.2
EDGE1900	Axial	661	None	00158	23.94	-32.48	-59.32	1.50	56.42	20.00	-36.42	T4	1.8, 4.2
EDGE1900	Radial	661	None	00158	15.15	-40.11	-61.56	N/A	55.26	20.00	-35.26	T4	2.0, 3.2

 Table 9-17

 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VolP)

								(0111)					
Mode	Orientation	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
HSPA V	Axial	4183	None	00158	23.40	-56.91	-59.32	1.29	80.31	20.00	-60.31	T4	1.8, 4.2
HSPA V	Radial	4183	None	00158	14.83	-57.42	-61.56	N/A	72.25	20.00	-52.25	T4	2.0, 3.2
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	None	00158	23.83	-57.03	-59.32	1.31	80.86	20.00	-60.86	T4	1.8, 4.2
HSPAIV	Radial	1412	None	00158	15.24	-57.59	-61.56	N/A	72.83	20.00	-52.83	T4	2.0, 3.2
HSPA II	Axial	9400	None	00158	23.64	-56.77	-59.32	1.24	80.41	20.00	-60.41	T4	1.8, 4.2
HSPAI	Radial	9400	None	00158	15.16	-59.09	-61.56	N/A	74.25	20.00	-54.25	T4	2.0, 3.2

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 27 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 37 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

кеv 3.5.М 01/16/2020

				I CO W I		Jound		- 017(\						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Axial	10MHz	23330	None	00158	23.41	-49.08	-59.32	1.45	72.49	20.00	-52.49	T4	1.8. 4.2
LTE Band	Axiai	5MHz	23330	None	00158	23.37	-49.67	-59.32	1.18	73.04	20.00	-53.04	T4	1.8, 4.2
14	Radial	10MHz	23330	None	00158	15.02	-51.23	-61.56	N/A	66.25	20.00	-46.25	T4	2.0. 3.2
	Raulai	5MHz	23330	None	00158	14.78	-52.49	-01.50	IN/A	67.27	20.00	-47.27	T4	2.0, 3.2

Table 9-18 Raw Data Results for LTE B14 (OTT VoIP)

Table 9-19 Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	None	00141	23.17	-42.37		1.26	65.54	20.00	-45.54	T4	
		15MHz	41490	None	00141	23.03	-42.55		1.39	65.58	20.00	-45.58	T4	
		15MHz	41055	None	00141	23.13	-42.82		1.21	65.95	20.00	-45.95	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	None	00141	23.22	-42.14	-59.32	1.31	65.36	20.00	-45.36	T4	1.8, 4.2
	Andi	15MHz	40185	None	00141	23.41	-42.68	-33.32	1.54	66.09	20.00	-46.09	T4	1.0, 4.2
		15MHz	39750	None	00141	23.15	-41.54		1.23	64.69	20.00	-44.69	T4	
		10MHz	40620	None	00141	23.19	-43.01		1.39	66.20	20.00	-46.20	T4	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	None	00141	23.20	-42.52		1.42	65.72	20.00	-45.72	T4	
41		20MHz	40620	None	00141	15.05	-47.91			62.96	20.00	-42.96	T4	
		15MHz	41490	None	00141	14.87	-48.07			62.94	20.00	-42.94	T4	
		15MHz	41055	None	00141	15.11	-48.39			63.50	20.00	-43.50	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	None	00141	15.12	-47.64	-61.56	N/A	62.76	20.00	-42.76	T4	2.0, 3.2
	Raulai	15MHz	40185	None	00141	14.86	-48.22	-01.50	IN/A	63.08	20.00	-43.08	T4	2.0, 3.2
		15MHz	39750	None	00141	14.84	-47.33			62.17	20.00	-42.17	T4	
		10MHz	40620	None	00141	15.00	-48.33			63.33	20.00	-43.33	T4	1
		5MHz	40620	None	00141	15.07	-48.30			63.37	20.00	-43.37	T4	

Table 9-20 Raw Data Results for NR n5 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	167800	None	00273	23.03	-48.72		71.75	20.00	(dB) -51.75	T4	
		20MHz	167300	None	00273	23.03	-46.16		69.19	20.00	-49.19	T4	
	Asial	20MHz	166800	None	00273	23.03	-48.30	-60.87	71.33	20.00	-51.33	T4	10.40
	Axial	15MHz	167300	None	00273	23.03	-49.12	-60.87	72.15	20.00	-52.15	T4	1.8, 4.2
		10MHz	167300	None	00273	23.03	-47.51		70.54	20.00	-50.54	T4	
NR n5		5MHz	167300	None	00273	23.03	-47.69		70.72	20.00	-50.72	T4	
NIX IIS		20MHz	167800	None	00273	14.78	-47.56		62.34	20.00	-42.34	T4	
		20MHz	167300	None	00273	14.78	-47.71		62.49	20.00	-42.49	T4	
	Destat	20MHz	166800	None	00273	14.78	-47.42	00.00	62.20	20.00	-42.20	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	167300	None	00273	14.78	-48.16	-62.30	62.94	20.00	-42.94	T4	2.0, 3.2
		10MHz	167300	None	00273	14.78	-48.73		63.51	20.00	-43.51	T4]
		5MHz	167300	None	00273	14.78	-48.92		63.70	20.00	-43.70	T4	

Table 9-21 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

			1.0	n Bata	1 COULIE								
Mode	Orientation	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	23.55	-44.92	-59.32	1.32	68.47	20.00	-48.47	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11b	Radial	6	None	00158	15.00	-37.21	-62.30	N/A	52.21	20.00	-32.21	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	23.04	-47.89	-59.32	1.37	70.93	20.00	-50.93	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11g	Radial	6	None	00158	15.58	-45.43	-62.30	N/A	61.01	20.00	-41.01	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	23.25	-47.74	-59.32	1.36	70.99	20.00	-50.99	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11n	Radial	6	None	00158	15.26	-45.21	-62.30	N/A	60.47	20.00	-40.47	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	23.55	-44.14	-59.32	1.19	67.69	20.00	-47.69	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11ac	Radial	6	None	00158	14.04	-45.39	-62.30	N/A	59.43	20.00	-39.43	T4	2.0, 3.2
IEEE	Axial	6	None	00158	23.08	-44.04	-59.32	1.37	67.12	20.00	-47.12	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11ax SU	Radial	6	None	00158	14.70	-38.22	-62.30	N/A	52.92	20.00	-32.92	T4	2.0, 3.2
		1	None	00158	23.14	-43.15		1.47	66.29	20.00	-46.29	T4	
	Axial	6	None	00158	22.93	-43.12	-59.32	1.36	66.05	20.00	-46.05	T4	1.8, 4.2
IEEE		11	None	00158	22.85	-45.25		1.39	68.10	20.00	-48.10	T4	
802.11ax RU		1	None	00158	15.62	-34.10			49.72	20.00	-29.72	T4	
	Radial	6	None	00158	15.29	-35.65	-62.30	N/A	50.94	20.00	-30.94	T4	2.0, 3.2
		11	None	00158	15.64	-37.20			52.84	20.00	-32.84	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u> PCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Demo 29 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 38 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a (OTT VoIP)	

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	22.85	-44.72	-59.32	1.37	67.57	20.00	-47.57	T4	1.8, 4.2
802.11a															
002.11a	Radial	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	15.21	-38.62	-62.30	N/A	53.83	20.00	-33.83	T4	2.0, 3.2

Table 9-23 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11n (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	22.97	-45.97	-59.32	1.32	68.94	20.00	-48.94	T4	1.8, 4.2
	Aniai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	22.85	-43.53	-39.32	1.18	66.38	20.00	-46.38	T4	1.0, 4.2
IEEE 802.11n															
002.1111	Radial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	15.69	-42.65	-62.30	N/A	58.34	20.00	-38.34	T4	2.0. 3.2
	Raulai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	15.11	-39.79	-02.30	INVA	54.90	20.00	-34.90	T4	2.0, 3.2

Table 9-24 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	23.11	-47.87	-59.32	1.30	70.98	20.00	-50.98	T4	1.8, 4.2
IEEE	Axidi	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	23.02	-46.16	-09.02	1.40	69.18	20.00	-49.18	T4	1.0, 4.2
802.11a															
002.114	Radial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	15.34	-43.75	-62.30	N/A	59.09	20.00	-39.09	T4	2.0. 3.2
	Raulai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	14.57	-43.63	-02.30	INVA	58.20	20.00	-38.20	T4	2.0, 3.2

Table 9-25		
Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ax (OTT Vo	P)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Accessory	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	22.99	-43.08	-59.32	1.43	66.07	20.00	-46.07	T4	1.8, 4.2
IEEE	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	23.42	-43.56	-39.32	1.28	66.98	20.00	-46.98	T4	1.0, 4.2
802.11ax															
SU	Radial	40MHz	1	38	None	00158	13.92	-37.70	-62.30	N/A	51.62	20.00	-31.62	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	None	00158	15.26	-35.34	-62.30	N/A	50.60	20.00	-30.60	T4	2.0, 3.2
														•	
		40MHz	1	38	None	00158	23.26	-43.22		1.29	66.48	20.00	-46.48	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	None	00158	23.07	-43.42		1.28	66.49	20.00	-46.49	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	1	40	None	00158	22.78	-43.03		1.14	65.81	20.00	-45.81	T4	1
		20MHz	1	48	None	00158	22.62	-46.65		1.33	69.27	20.00	-49.27	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	None	00158	22.62	-44.09	-59.32	1.22	66.71	20.00	-46.71	T4	1.8, 4.2
		20MHz	2A	56	None	00158	22.57	-43.49	-39.32	1.25	66.06	20.00	-46.06	T4	1.0, 4.2
		40MHz	2C	118	None	00158	23.07	-43.97		1.01	67.04	20.00	-47.04	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	None	00158	22.84	-43.53		1.25	66.37	20.00	-46.37	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	None	00158	22.94	-43.22		1.53	66.16	20.00	-46.16	T4	
IEEE		20MHz	3	157	None	00158	23.09	-42.94		1.44	66.03	20.00	-46.03	T4	
802.11ax															
RU		40MHz	1	38	None	00158	14.09	-36.47			50.56	20.00	-30.56	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	None	00158	14.08	-35.73			49.81	20.00	-29.81	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	None	00158	14.35	-34.49			48.84	20.00	-28.84	T4	
		20MHz	2A	52	None	00158	14.42	-34.55			48.97	20.00	-28.97	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	2A	56	None	00158	14.20	-34.42	-62.30	N/A	48.62	20.00	-28.62	T4	2.0, 3.2
	raulai	20MHz	2A	64	None	00158	13.92	-35.03	-02.50		48.95	20.00	-28.95	T4	2.0, 3.2
		40MHz	2C	118	None	00158	14.39	-35.66			50.05	20.00	-30.05	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	None	00158	14.33	-35.34			49.67	20.00	-29.67	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	None	00158	14.19	-35.87			50.06	20.00	-30.06	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	None	00158	14.45	-36.93			51.38	20.00	-31.38	T4	

П. **Test Notes**

A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- 3. Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled while testing 2G/3G/4G/5G modes.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled while testing WIFI modes.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T4).

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕞 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 20 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 39 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M	


- 8. The overall worst-case configuration was additionally evaluated with the dual display accessory for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- B. GSM
 - 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
 - 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);
- C. UMTS
 - 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
 - 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);
- D. LTE FDD
 - 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
 - 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 5 at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 5 at 10MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- E. LTE TDD
 - 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - 3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
 - 4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
 - 5. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 3) at 20MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 3) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- F. WIFI
 - 1. Radio Configuration
 - a. IEEE 802.11b: DSSS, 2Mbps
 - b. IEEE 802.11g/a: BPSK, 9Mbps
 - c. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, MCS 0
 - d. IEEE 802.11ax SU 20MHz: BPSK, MCS 0
 - e. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, MCS 0
 - f. IEEE 802.11ax SU 40MHz: QPSK, MCS 1
 - 2. RU Index
 - a. IEEE 802.11ax RU 20MHz: 61
 - b. IEEE 802.11ax RU 40MHz: 37
 - 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
 - 4. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11ax RU is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
 - 5. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11ax RU 20MHz (U-NII 2A) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. IEEE 802.11ax RU 40MHz (U-NII 3) is the worstcase for the Radial probe orientation.
- G. OTT VoIP
 - 1. Vocoder Configuration: 6kbps
 - 2. EDGE Configuration
 - a. MCS Index: 7
 - b. Number of TX slots: 2
 - 3. HSPA Configuration:
 - a. Release: 6
 - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 40 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 40 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M	


- 4. LTE FDD Configuration:
 - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - c. LTE Band 14 was the worst-case band from Table 7-5 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
 - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 14 at 10MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations, however, LTE Band 14 at 10MHz only supports one channel therefore low and high channels were not evaluated.
- 5. LTE TDD Configuration:
 - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - c. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
 - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 3) at 15MHz is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 6. NR Configuration
 - a. Power Configuration: TxAGC is set such that the DUT operates at max power.
 - b. Radio Configuration: CP-OFDM, 16QAM, 1RB, 1 RB Offset
 - c. Due to equipment limitations, ABM1 measurements were not possible. Therefore, the overall worst-case LTE OTT VoIP ABM1 was combined with NR ABM2 measurements to obtain SNNR values. Additionally, Frequency Response measurements were not possible due to equipment limitations.
 - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. NR n5 at 20MHz is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 7. WIFI Configuration:
 - a. Radio Configuration
 - i. IEEE 802.11b: DSSS, 2Mbps
 - ii. IEEE 802.11g/a: BPSK, 9Mbps
 - iii. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, MCS 0
 - iv. IEEE 802.11ax SU 20MHz: BPSK, MCS 0
 - v. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, MCS 0
 - vi. IEEE 802.11ax SU 40MHz: QPSK, MCS 1
 - b. RU Index
 - i. IEEE 802.11ax RU 20MHz: 61
 - ii. IEEE 802.11ax RU 40MHz: 37
 - c. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11ax RU is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
 - d. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11ax RU 20MHz (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. IEEE 802.11ax RU 20MHz (U-NII 2A) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 41 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 41 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M	

III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Item	Target	Target Result					
Axial							
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.852	PASS				
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-59.40	PASS				
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS				

 Table 9-26

 Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 01/20/2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 40 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 42 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M	

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.852	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-59.32	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.983	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-61.56	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS


Table 9-27Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 01/27/2020

Table 9-28Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 02/03/2020

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.926	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-60.87	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.985	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-62.30	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 42 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 43 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020



ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays V.

> Figure 9-1 T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dego 44 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 44 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)					17.7%	0.71	
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level					35.3%	1.31	

Table 10-1 Uncertainty Estimation Table

Notes:

1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.

2. All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in

NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 45 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 45 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

11. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 11-1 Equipment List

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Control Company	4040	Temperature / Humidity Monitor	2/28/2018	Biennial	2/28/2020	150761911
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	2655082910
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	0899-PS150
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	23792992
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	2/4/2020	Annual	2/4/2021	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	5/17/2019	Annual	5/17/2020	128635
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	8/14/2019	Annual	8/14/2020	140144
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	5/10/2018	Biennial	5/10/2020	21053
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	9/19/2018	Biennial	9/19/2020	TEM-1123
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	9/19/2018	Biennial	9/19/2020	TEM-1129
TEM	Helmholtz Coil	Helmholtz Coil	10/10/2018	Biennial	10/10/2020	SBI 1052
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕞 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 46 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 46 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

12. TEST DATA

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 47 af 07
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 47 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 40 of 07
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 48 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 40 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 49 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 50 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 50 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 51 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 51 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		÷		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 50 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 52 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

01/23/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM850
- Channel: 251
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: Dual Display Closed



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 55 01 67
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

01/23/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM1900
- Channel: 810
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



0.0

20.0

Tolerance curves Aligned Data

Maximum

Minimum

Aligned Response - P.50 2 dB

ABM2

SNNR

PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dego 54 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 54 of 87
2 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

-30.05 dB

52.96 dB



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS V
- Channel: 4233
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: None



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 55 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 55 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

• Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS IV
- Channel: 1513
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: None





PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 50 01 67
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS II
- Channel: 9538
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: None



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 57 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 57 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE FDD Band 5
- Bandwidth: 5MHz
- Channel: 20425
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: None



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 59 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 58 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00141

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE TDD Band 41
- ٠ Bandwidth: 20MHz
- Channel: 39750 ٠

-12.5--15.0-300


Results ABM1

ABM2

SNNR

Aligned Response - P.50

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice •



1k

~

Minimum

Maximum

Minimum

[Hz]

11.85 dB

-42.54 dB

54.4 dB

1.22 dB

3k

-18.0

0

20 Tolerance curves Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 50 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 59 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

01/27/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: 2.4GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11ax RU
- Channel: 11
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: None





PCTEST 2019

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 87
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 00 01 07
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

01/27/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

.

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: 5GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11ax RU (U-NII 2A)
- Bandwidth: 20MHz
- Channel: 56
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
 - Accessory: None



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 61 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 61 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

01/27/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- VoIP Application: Google Duo
- Mode: EDGE850
- Channel: 190
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- Accessory: None





PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 62 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 62 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M	



DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM850
- Channel: 251
- · Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 62 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 63 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM1900
- Channel: 810
- Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 64 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 64 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS V
- Channel: 4132 .
- Accessory: None •

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2019

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 65 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 65 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS IV
- Channel: 1312 .
- Accessory: None •

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 66 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 66 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		-		REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS II
- Channel: 9262
- · Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2019

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 67 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 67 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE FDD Band 5
- · Bandwidth: 10MHz
- Channel: 20525
- Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 60 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 68 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

01/30/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00141

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE TDD Band 41
- · Bandwidth: 15MHz
- Channel: 39750
- Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 60 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 69 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

2/10/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: 2.4GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11ax RU
- Channel: 1
- Accessory: Dual Display Closed

Noise Spectrum

SNNR



35.43 dB

Minimum

20.0

PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 70 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 70 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

02/07/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: 5GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11ax RU (U-NII 3)
- Bandwidth: 40MHz
- Channel: 151
- · Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum



PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕞 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 71 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 71 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020

02/10/2020



PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFV600AM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00158

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- VolP Application: Google Duo
- Mode: 5GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11ax RU (U-NII 2A)
- Channel: 56
- · Accessory: None

Noise Spectrum

SNNR



48.62 dB

20.0

Minimum

PCTEST 2020

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 70 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 72 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

01/16/2020


13. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 72 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 73 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M
				01/16/2020

TT ODC		libration Lal	Duraturies	mc.	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
Cert	ificate	of Ca	librat	tion	
		for			
	A VI A	L T COIL PROBE			
	Manufactured	by: TEM C	ONSULTING LP		
	Model No: Serial No:	AXIAL TEM-1	T COIL PROBE 123		
	Calibration R	ecall No: 29156			
		Submitted By:			
	Customer:	Andrew Harwell	ug Loh		11.00
	Company: Address:	PCTest Engineeri 6660-B Dobbin Re	oad		
		Columbia	MD	21045	
submitter.	ration Laboratories P	t met the following spe	AL T C TEM C	return to the	
	ibration, the instrume			V aA 12/4/2019	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in this found to be.		12/4/2019	
With	in (X)				
	-	e attached Report of C ibrated item listed abo			
West Caldwell Calib	ration Laboratories' c	alibration control syst 540-1, IEC Guide 25,	em meets the requ		
10012-1 WILL-31D-4	3002A, ANSI/INCOL L	540-1, 120 Guiue 23,	150 7001;2006 af	u 190 17049,	
					Ĩ
Note: With this Certificat	e, Report of Calibration is	included.	Approved by: F	2	
Calibration Date:	19-Sep-18		Felix Christoph	er (QA Mgr.)	
Certificate No:	29156 ⁻²				
QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01		ificate Page 1 of 1	ISO/IEC 17)25:2005	Ĩ
	West Caldwell Calibration			N	
JA .				0.000 /	West St.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dego 74 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 74 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

HCATEMC_TEM-1123_Sep-19-2018



Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 75 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 75 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		·		REV 3.5.M

REV 3.5.M

HCATEMC_TEM-1123_Sep-19-2018

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Enginering Lab for Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1123

Test	Function	Tolera	Tolerance		Measured values			
				Before	Out	Remarks		
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-59.89				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	dB					
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.03				
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00				
•			-6	-6.03				
			-12	-12.05				
	W ^{an} West - mana.		Hz					
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9				
			126	-17.9				
			158	-15.9				
		200	-13.9					
		251	-11.9					
			316	-9.9				
			398	-7.9				
			501	-6.0				
			631	-4.0				
			794	-2.0				
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0				
			1259	2.0				
			1585	4.0				
,		1995	5.9					
		2512	7.9					
		3162	9.9					
		3981	11.9					
		5012	13.9					
		6310	15.9					
		7943	18.0					
			10000	20.1				

Instruments used for a	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceablity No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/284413-14	25-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 2 of 2


FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 76 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 76 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M	

01/16/2020

West C	aldwell Ca	libratio	n Labora	tories Inc.	1000 1000 1000 100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100000000
Certi	ficate	of (Calih	ration	
	110400				
		for			Ĩ
	Manufactured	AL T COIL P l by:	TEM CONSUI		
	Model No: Serial No:		RADIAL T CO TEM-1129	DIL PROBE	
	Calibration R		29156		008 10 2020 10 2000 1000 1
	Customer:	Submitted B Andrew	-		- A
	Company:		Engineering Lab		
	Address:	6660-B D Columbia	obbin Road a	MD 21045	
National Institute of St	andards and Techno	ology or to ac	cepted values of	g standards traceable to the natural physical constants. n upon its return to the	
West Caldwell Calibra	tion Laboratories P	rocedure No.	RADIAL T T		
Upon receipt for Calib	ation, the instrume	nt was found	to be:	12/4/2018	
Within	(X)			12/4/2018	
tolerance of the indica				ion.	
	tion Laboratories' c	alibration con	ntrol system mee	ts the requirements, ISO	
10012-1 MIL-STD-456	62A, ANSI/NCSL Z	540-1, IEC Gi	uide 25, ISO 900)1:2008 and ISO 17025.	20, 200 00, 200 0000000000
					Š
Note: With this Certificate,	Report of Callbration is	included.	Appro	ved by: FC	
Calibration Date:	19-Sep-18		Felix	Christopher (QA Mgr.)	
Certificate No:	29156 -1			100 // 50 17005-0005	
QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01	Cert	ificate Page 1 o		ISO/IEC 17025:2005	Ř
	est Caldwell alibration				
	Laboratories			ACCREDITED	D A

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	CTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dega 77 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 77 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

HCRTEMC_TEM-1129_Sep-19-2018



 FCC ID: ZNFV600AM
 PCTEST
 HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT
 Approved by: Quality Manager

 Filename: 1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF
 Test Dates: 01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020
 DUT Type: Portable Handset
 Page 78 of 87

 © 2020 PCTEST
 REV 3.5.M

^{01/16/2020}

HCRTEMC_TEM-1129_Sep-19-2018

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Lab ^{for} Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1129

Function	Tolera	nce	Me	asured val	ues
had a fear an			Before	Out	Remarks
Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.37		
		dB			
Probe Level Linearity		6	6.03		
	Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
		-6	-6.03		
		-12	-12.05		
		Hz			
Probe Frequency Response			-20.0		
			-17.9		
		200	-14.0		
		251	-12.0		
			-10.0		
		398	-8.0		
		501	-6.0		
		631	-4.0		
		794	-2.0		
	Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
		1259	2.0		
		1585	4.0		
		1995	6.0		
		2512	7.9		
		3162	9.9		
		3981	11.9		
		5012	13.9		
		6310	15.9		
		7943	18.0		
		10000	20.1		
		Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. Probe Level Linearity Ref. (0 dB) Probe Frequency Response	Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. dBV/A/m Probe Level Linearity 6 6 Ref. (0 dB) 0 -6 -12 -12 -12 Probe Frequency Response 100 251 158 200 251 316 398 501 631 794 -794 Ref. (0 dB) 1000 1259 158 398 398 501 631 398 159 1585 1995 2512 3162 3981 15012 6310 7943	Before Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. dBV/A/m -60.37 Probe Level Linearity 6 6.03 Ref. (0 dB) 0 0.00 -6 -6.03 -12 -12 -12.05 -12 Probe Frequency Response 100 -20.0 126 -17.9 158 200 -14.0 251 200 -14.0 316 251 -12.0 316 316 -10.0 398 398 -8.0 501 501 -6.0 631 4.0 794 -2.0 Ref. (0 dB) 1000 0.0 1269 2.0 1585 4.0 1995 6.0 2512 7.9 3162 3981 11.9 5012 3981 11.9 5012 6310 15.9 7943 7943 18.0	Before Out Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. dBV/A/m -60.37 -60.37 Probe Level Linearity 6 6.03 -60.37 -60.37 Ref. (0 dB) 0 0.00 -60.37 -60.37 Probe Level Linearity 6 6.03 -60.37 -60.37 Probe Frequency Response 100 -20.0 -60.37 -12 Probe Frequency Response 100 -20.0 -126 -17.9 158 -15.9 -120 -14.0 -251 -12.0 158 -15.9 -200 -14.0 -251 -12.0 316 -10.0 -398 -8.0 -398 -8.0 5011 -6.0 631 -4.0 -20.0 -14.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 -20.0 <td< td=""></td<>

nstruments used for a	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
' HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/284413-14	25-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	<u>CAPCTEST</u>	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 70 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	29-14-R1.ZNF 01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020 Portable Handset			Page 79 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M

^{01/16/2020}

14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 90 of 97
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 80 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020

15. REFERENCES

- 1. ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- 2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- 3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- 4. FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- 6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- 7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- 8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, " IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.
- Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dege 01 of 07
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 81 of 87
© 2020 PCTEST		•		REV 3.5.M

- Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFV600AM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	🕒 LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dage 92 of 97	
1M1912300229-14-R1.ZNF	01/20/2020 - 02/10/2020	Portable Handset		Page 82 of 87	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M 01/16/2020	