

United States

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY

Applicant Name: LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 1000 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 Date of Testing: 12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020 Test Site/Location: PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.: 1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF

Date of Issue: 1/21/2020

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)

Application Type: Class II Permissive Change

FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §20.19(b)
HAC Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

DUT Type: Portable Handset

Model: LG L555DL

Additional Model(s): LG-L555DL, LM-K500UM, LM-K500QM, LM-K500QM5, LM-

K500MM, LM-K500UL, LM-K500VPP, LM-K500QM6, LGL555DL, LMK500UM, LMK500QM, LMK500QM5, LMK500QM6,LMK500MM, LMK500UL, LMK500VPP, L555DL, K500UM, K500QM, K500QM5,

K500QM6, K500MM, K500UL, K500VPP

Test Device Serial No.: Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 14636]

Class II Permissive Change(s): See FCC Change Document

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.







FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 1 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 1 of 86

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	22
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	25
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	28
9.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	30
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	43
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	44
12.	TEST DATA	45
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	74
14.	CONCLUSION	81
15.	REFERENCES	82
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	84

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 2 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 2 of 86

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.




Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

¹ FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 3 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 3 01 60

2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL

Applicant: LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.

1000 Sylvan Avenue

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

United States

Model: LG L555DL

Additional Model(s): LG-L555DL, LM-K500UM, LM-K500QM, LM-K500QM5, LM-

K500MM, LM-K500UL, LM-K500VPP, LM-K500QM6, LGL555DL, LMK500UM, LMK500QM, LMK500QM5, LMK500QM6, LMK500VPP,

L555DL, K500UM, K500QM, K500QM5, K500QM6, K500MM,

K500UL, K500VPP

Serial Number: 14636 HW Version: Rev.1.0

SW Version: L555DL08f_FCC2
Antenna: Internal Antenna
DUT Type: Portable Handset

I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B5 & B26, B4 & B66, and B2 & B25. Each pair of LTE bands has the same target power and shares the same transmission path. Since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B26, B66, and B25) were evaluated for hearing-aid compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 4 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 4 of 86

Table 2-1 **ZNFL555DL HAC Air Interfaces**

				LOCODE TIAO All Illicitud		
Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
	835	1/0	V	Vers MIEL en DT	CMDC V-11	E) (DC
CDMA	1900	VO	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice ¹	EVRC
	EvDO	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	850	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice ¹	EFR
GSM	1900	VO	163	res. Will of Bi	CIVINS VOICE	LIN
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice ¹	NB AMR
Civits	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	680 (B71)		Yes³		r'es³	
	700 (B12)					Volte: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	780 (B13)					
	850 (B5)					
LTE (FDD)	850 (B26)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ²	
	1700 (B4)		163			
	1700 (B66)					
	1900 (B2)					
	1900 (B25)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ²	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	2450					
	5200 (U-NII 1)					
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	Yes	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, or LTE	VoWIFI ² , Google Duo ²	VoWIFI: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	5500 (U-NII 2C)					Google Duo. OF03
	5800 (U-NII 3)					
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, or LTE	N/A	N/A
DT = Digital Dat	Fype Transport //O = Voice Only T = Digital Data - Not intended for Voice Services //D = CMRS and/or IP Voice over Data Transport INDEED Transport Notes: 1. Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE Interpretation. 2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02 3. LTE B71, while outside the scope of ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, was additionally tested according to the existing Hambert and ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations.					

procedures with currently available test equipment.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga F of 06
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 5 of 86

3. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

Axial and Radial Field Intensity

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be \geq -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

Frequency Response

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.




Figure 3-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz




Figure 3-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds
-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 6 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage o or oo

Signal Quality

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Catagory	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1 Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PETEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 7 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage / 01 00

4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 9 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 8 of 86

II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size: 0.1 mm

Maximum speed 6.1 cm/sec

Line Voltage: 115 VAC

Line Frequency: 60 Hz

Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal)

Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

Dimensions: 36" x 25" x 38" Operating Area: 36" x 49" x 55"

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)




Figure 4-3 RF Near-Field Scanner

III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer: ITU-T

Active Frequency Range: 100 Hz – 8 kHz

Stimulus Type: Male and Female, no spaces

Single Sample 20.96 seconds

Duration:

Activity Level: 100%




Figure 4-4
Spectral Characteristic of full P.50





Figure 4-5
Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 0 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 9 of 86



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

IV. **Test Procedure**

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
 - Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
 - "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
 - Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 4-1)
 - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
 - b. ABM1 Validation

The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H_c = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.08m; R=10.2Ω and using V=18mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.018}{10.2})}{0.08 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 18mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of -10 dB(A/m) in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at -10dB(A/m). This was verified to be within ± 0.5 dB of the -10dB(A/m) value (see Page 41).

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 00

c. Frequency Response Validation
The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was a

The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 11 of 06
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 11 of 86







Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 12 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 12 01 00





Figure 4-10
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

- 3. Measurement Test Setup
 - a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
 - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
 - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
iDEN™	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 13 01 00

- ii. See Sections 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.

c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)

 The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.

d. WD Radio Configuration Selection

- i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for CDMA and UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Sections 5 and 7. WIFI configuration information can be found in Sections 6 and 7.)
- ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.

4. Signal Quality Data Analysis

- a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
 - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.

b. Frequency Response

- i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
- ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
- iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.

c. Signal Quality Index

- i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
- ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
- iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 14 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 14 of 86

V. Test Setup




Figure 4-13
Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 15 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 15 01 66

VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes.

Table 4-3
Center Channels and Frequencies

	Octive Guarmers and Frequencies			
Test frequencies & associated channels				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)			
Secondary Cellular 8	20			
564 (CDMA)	820.10			
Cellular 850				
384 (CDMA)	836.52			
190 (GSM)	836.60			
4183 (UMTS)	836.60			
AWS 1750				
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40			
PCS 1900				
600 (CDMA)	1880			
661 (GSM)	1880			
9400 (UMTS)	1880			

2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case bands according to each of Table 7-6 and 7-7 were additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 9-5 to 9-12 as well as Tables 9-20 to 9-21 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

3. WIFI

The middle channel for each IEEE 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 9-13 to 9-16 as well as Tables 9-22 to 9-25 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 00

IX. **Test Flow**

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-14 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 17 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 17 01 00

5. **VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION**

I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1 Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 18 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 00

^{*} http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new_interpretations.htm

II. **DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing**

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. The effects of modulation and RB configuration were found to be independent of band and bandwidth; therefore, only one band and bandwidth were used for this investigation. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

> Table 5-1 **VoLTE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration**

				IO OITITIT D	,	- cg			
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	0	2.39	-41.71	44.10
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	25	2.48	-41.23	43.71
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	49	2.33	-41.80	44.13
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	0	2.50	-41.50	44.00
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	12	2.41	-41.57	43.98
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	25	2.41	-41.10	43.51
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	50	0	2.49	-41.62	44.11
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	2.38	-40.79	43.17
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	25	2.42	-41.03	43.45
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	49	2.34	-41.54	43.88
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	0	2.39	-40.96	43.35
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	12	2.36	-42.37	44.73
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	25	2.54	-41.97	44.51
12.0	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	50	0	2.42	-42.22	44.64
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	0	2.56	-42.52	45.08
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	25	2.38	-43.12	45.50
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	49	2.45	-42.77	45.22
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	0	2.40	-42.31	44.71
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	12	2.33	-42.68	45.01
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	25	2.48	-42.60	45.08
12.0	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	50	0	2.34	-42.78	45.12

2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

> Table 5-2 AMR Codec Investigation - VolTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	1.32	3.05	3.26	3.04			132322	
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-44.90	-45.16	-45.19	-45.45	Avial	Band 66 20MHz BW		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axial			
S+N/N (dB)	46.22	48.21	48.45	48.49				

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 10 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 19 of 86

Table 5-3 **EVS Codec Investigation - VoLTE over IMS**

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	5.76	5.77	3.29	2.13	4.17	2.67		Band 66 20MHz BW	132322
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-42.53	-42.67	-42.34	-42.18	-42.33	-42.44	Axial		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	48.29	48.44	45.63	44.31	46.50	45.11			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"




Figure 5-2 **Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram**

3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length $T_f = 307200 \cdot T_s =$ 10 ms, where T_s is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length 30720 · T_s = 1 ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192 · Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

> Table 5-4 **Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures**

Uplink-downlink	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	Subframe number									Calculated Transmission	
configuration		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 20 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 20 of 86

a. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 2 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-5
Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	2.82	-38.46	41.28
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	2.72	-38.45	41.17
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	2.82	-38.35	41.17
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	2.74	-39.73	42.47
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	2.78	-39.88	42.66
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	2.71	-40.05	42.76
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	2.87	-38.40	41.27

^{*} Note: Due to multiple UL-DL configuration settings being equal for the worst-case SNNR, the configuration with the higher ABM2 result was chosen for testing.

b. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 2 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 2, configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 2 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-6
Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	2.78	-38.67	41.45
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	2.70	-38.19	40.89
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	2.78	-39.89	42.67
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	2.90	-39.64	42.54
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	2.80	-39.62	42.42

Note: LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 only supports UL-DL configurations 1-5, not 0 or 6.

c. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 2 was used to evaluate both Power Class 3 and Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 21 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 21 01 00

6. VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1
Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level². The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

² FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

1 00 Office of Engineeri	ng and recimology RDD, 20	3070 D02 1-0011 TC3tilly 101 OWITCH	voo, ocpicilibei 10, 2	2017
FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 22 01 00

DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing II.

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each IEEE 802.11 standard:

> Table 6-1 IEEE 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	1	-1.14	-45.05	43.91
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	2	-1.11	-45.00	43.89
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	-1.09	-45.04	43.95
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	11	-1.14	-45.15	44.01

Table 6-2 IEEE 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

						
Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate	ABM1	ABM2	SNNR
			[Mbps]	[dB(A/m)]	[dB(A/m)]	[dB]
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	6	-1.11	-46.30	45.19
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	9	-1.13	-46.45	45.32
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	12	-1.09	-46.46	45.37
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	18	-1.14	-46.48	45.34
IEEE 802.11g	6	16-QAM	24	-1.09	-45.65	44.56
IEEE 802.11g	6	16-QAM	36	-1.07	-45.85	44.78
IEEE 802.11g	6	64-QAM	48	-1.21	-46.62	45.41
IEEE 802.11g	6	64-QAM	54	-1.10	-46.58	45.48

Table 6-3 IFFF 802.11n/ac 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

IEEE 802.1111/ac 20MH2 BW SINK by Radio Configuration							
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	BPSK	0	-1.10	-45.29	44.19
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	1	-1.00	-46.13	45.13
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	2	-1.11	-45.26	44.15
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	3	-1.17	-45.59	44.42
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	4	-1.15	-45.73	44.58
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	5	-1.09	-45.36	44.27
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	6	-1.06	-45.55	44.49
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	7	-1.02	-45.51	44.49
IEEE 802.11ac	20	40	256-QAM	8	-1.02	-47.26	46.24

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 22 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 23 of 86

Table 6-4
IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

	TELE 002.1 III/ac 40MHz BW SMMX by Nadio Configuration							
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	BPSK	0	-1.24	-45.75	44.51	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	1	-1.09	-45.64	44.55	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	2	-1.05	-45.23	44.18	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	3	-1.16	-45.37	44.21	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	4	-1.09	-45.81	44.72	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	5	-1.10	-46.32	45.22	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	6	-1.16	-46.58	45.42	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	7	-1.13	-45.80	44.67	
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	8	-1.16	-46.83	45.67	
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	9	-1.09	-46.56	45.47	

2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:


Table 6-5
AMR Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-1.05	-0.56	-0.29	-0.46		0.4011	IEEE 802.11b	6
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-44.62	-44.10	-44.23	-44.00	Asia			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axial	2.4GHz		
S+N/N (dB)	43.57	43.54	43.94	43.54				

Table 6-6
EVS Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	0.96	0.85	-0.07	-1.20	0.72	-0.17			2.4GHz IEEE 802.11b	6
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-43.52	-43.13	-44.07	-44.64	-43.91	-44.71	Axial	2.4GHz		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai			
S+N/N (dB)	44.48	43.98	44.00	43.44	44.63	44.54				

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 24 01 00

7. OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION

Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing I.

1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 75kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation³. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

Note: The green highlighted text is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

II. **DUT Configuration for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing**

1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration for each applicable data mode was used for these investigations. The 6kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

Table 7-1 Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EvDO)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	13.77	13.82			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.81	-47.60	Axial	600	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	61.58	61.42			

³ FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 25 01 60

Table 7-2 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (EDGE)

O G G G G	υ Ε,				
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	13.59	13.52			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-34.14	-31.92	Axial	661	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	47.73	45.44			

Table 7-3 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (HSPA)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	13.83	13.75			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.16	-47.05	Axial	9400	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	60.99	60.80			


Table 7-4 Codec Investigation - OTT VolP (LTE)

CU	Codec investigation – OTT voir (LTE)							
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	13.60	13.66			23095			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-43.57	-43.07	Axial	Band 12				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	10MHz				
S+N/N (dB)	57.17	56.73						

Table 7-5 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	13.71	13.51				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-38.76	-38.54	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 000 44h	6
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.4GN2	IEEE 802.11b	6
S+N/N (dB)	52.47	52.05				

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.II.H



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 20 01 00

2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 66 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE bands:

> Table 7-6 OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

			• • ,	,		,			
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	0	13.50	-44.47	57.97
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	13.57	-43.30	56.87
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	13.52	-43.73	57.25
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	13.26	-43.83	57.09
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	13.34	-43.50	56.84
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	0	13.33	-44.16	57.49

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE TDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE TDD bands:

> Table 7-7 OTT VoIP (LTE TDD) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
41 (PC3)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	13.46	-38.61	52.07
41 (PC2)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	13.42	-37.48	50.90

3. LTE TDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation for OTT VoIP

LTE TDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE TDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-8 were determined from Table 7-7 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

> Table 7-8 LTE TDD SNNR for OTT VolP Uplink Carrier Aggregation

								ton opinik carrier riggroganen									
				PCC							SCC						
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL/DL) Channel	PCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL/DL) Channel	SCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
CA_41C (PC3)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	13.23	-38.91	52.14
CA_41C (PC2)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	13.31	-38.03	51.34

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 27 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 27 of 86

8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

I. CDMA Test Configurations

Radio Configuration 1, Service Option 3 (thick, green data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset due to vocoder gating from the EVRC logic. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between operational field service options and radio configurations for a CDMA2000 handset:





Figure 8-1
CDMA Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-1 FCC 3G ABM Measurements for ZNFL555DL (CDMA)

Configuration:	RC1/SO3	RC3/SO3	RC4/SO3	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	1.34	1.42	1.04			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-44.59	-49.38	-49.03	Axial	384	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	45.93	50.80	50.07			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Power Control Bits = "All Up"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 20 01 00

II. **UMTS Test Configurations**

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB (thick, purple data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:




Figure 8-3 **UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise**

Table 8-2 **Codec Investigation - UMTS**

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	3.34	3.27	3.10			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-49.32	-49.61	-49.69	Axial	9400	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	52.66	52.88	52.79			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC="All 1s"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 29 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 29 01 00

9. T-COIL TEST SUMMARY

Table 9-1
Consolidated Tabled Results

_			Jiisona	ateu i	abled F	Courts)		
		-	esponse rgin	_	netic / Verdict		SNNR dict	Margin from FCC Limit	C63.19-2011
		8.3	3.2	8.3	3.1	8.3	3.4	(dB)	Rating
C63.19	9 Section	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	, ,	
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
CDMA	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-23.85	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
EVDO	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-34.35	T4
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
GSM	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-6.67	Т3
EDGE	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	04.00	T.
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-24.90	T4
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
UMTS	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-28.00	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
HSPA (OTT VoIP)	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-37.45	T4
(011 7011)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B71	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-21.00	Т4
LIEFDD	B26	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-21.00	14
	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B25	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-35.47	Т4
LTE TDD	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-10 03	T4
LIE IUU	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-19.93	14
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP)	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-29.84	T4
	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-18.90	T4
	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN (OTT VoIP)	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-29.52	T4
(2)	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-22.17	T4
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII (OTT VoIP)	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-34.33	T4
, , ,	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 20 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 30 of 86

I. **Raw Handset Data**

Table 9-2 **Raw Data Results for CDMA**

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		476	1.41	-46.49		1.83	47.90	20.00	-27.90	T4	
	Axial	564	1.23	-46.15	-62.02	1.84	47.38	20.00	-27.38	T4	1.8, 1.4
Secondary		684	1.36	-45.68		1.85	47.04	20.00	-27.04	T4	
Cellular		476	-7.99	-55.33			47.34	20.00	-27.34	T4	
	Radial	564	-7.98	-55.57	-62.97	N/A	47.59	20.00	-27.59	T4	2.2, 0.6
		684	-7.94	-55.61			47.67	20.00	-27.67	T4	
		1013	1.39	-44.72		1.88	46.11	20.00	-26.11	T4	
Cellular	Axial	384	1.17	-44.17	-62.02	1.87	45.34	20.00	-25.34	T4	1.8, 1.4
		777	1.50	-42.44		1.84	43.94	20.00	-23.94	T4	
Celiulai		1013	-8.18	-54.95		N/A	46.77	20.00	-26.77	T4	
	Radial	384	-7.77	-54.35	-62.97		46.58	20.00	-26.58	T4	2.2, 0.6
		777	-7.99	-53.54			45.55	20.00	-25.55	T4	
		25	1.50	-43.98		1.82	45.48	20.00	-25.48	T4	
	Axial	600	1.42	-45.18	-62.02	1.77	46.60	20.00	-26.60	T4	1.8, 1.4
PCS		1175	1.36	-43.24		1.77	44.60	20.00	-24.60	T4	
FCS		25	-7.78	-51.63			43.85	20.00	-23.85	T4	
	Radial	600	-8.03	-53.57	-62.97	N/A	45.54	20.00	-25.54	T4	2.2, 0.6
		1175	-7.82	-52.93			45.11	20.00	-25.11	T4	

Table 9-3 **Raw Data Results for GSM**

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		128	2.03	-25.91		1.15	27.94	20.00	-7.94	Т3	
	Axial	190	1.98	-24.69	-62.02	1.16	26.67	20.00	-6.67	Т3	1.8, 1.4
GSM850		251	2.01	-24.90		1.24	26.91	20.00	-6.91	T3	
GSIVIOSU	Radial	128	-7.56	-38.88			31.32	20.00	-11.32	T4	
	Radial	190	-7.56	-38.64	-62.97	-62.97 N/A		20.00	-11.08	T4	2.2, 0.6
	rtadiai	251	-7.54	-38.95			31.41	20.00	-11.41	T4	
		512	2.00	-29.21		1.29	31.21	20.00	-11.21	T4	
	Axial	661	2.01	-29.06	-62.02	1.26	31.07	20.00	-11.07	T4	1.8, 1.4
GSM1900		810	2.02	-27.79		1.25	29.81	20.00	-9.81	T3	
G3W11900		512	-7.56	-42.67			35.11	20.00	-15.11	T4	
	Radial	661	-7.52	-43.14	-62.97	N/A	35.62	20.00	-15.62	T4	2.2, 0.6
		810	-7.55	-41.95			34.40	20.00	-14.40	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 31 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 31 01 00

Table 9-4 Raw Data Results for UMTS

			ABM1	ABM2	Ambient Noise	Frequency	S+N/N	FCC Limit	Margin from	C63.19-2011	Test
Mode	Orientation	Channel	[dB(A/m)]	[dB(A/m)]	[dB(A/m)]	Response Margin (dB)	(dB)	(dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Rating	Coordinates
		4132	3.31	-48.19		1.98	51.50	20.00	-31.50	T4	
	Axial	4183	3.32	-48.29	-62.02	2.00	51.61	20.00	-31.61	T4	1.8, 1.4
UMTS V		4233	3.30	-49.00		1.97	52.30	20.00	-32.30	T4	
OWITO V		4132	-6.36	-54.56			48.20	20.00	-28.20	T4	
	Radial	4183	-6.13	-55.11	-62.97	N/A	48.98	20.00	-28.98	T4	2.2, 0.6
		4233	-6.14	-54.44			48.30	20.00	-28.30	T4	
		1312	3.34	-49.45	-62.02	1.97	52.79	20.00	-32.79	T4	
	Axial	1412	3.34	-49.33		1.95	52.67	20.00	-32.67	T4	1.8, 1.4
UMTS IV		1513	3.31	-49.30		1.95	52.61	20.00	-32.61	T4	
OWISIV		1312	-6.09	-54.35			48.26	20.00	-28.26	T4	
	Radial	1412	-6.10	-55.24	-62.97	N/A	49.14	20.00	-29.14	T4	2.2, 0.6
		1513	-6.13	-54.13			48.00	20.00	-28.00	T4	
		9262	3.46	-48.41		1.94	51.87	20.00	-31.87	T4	
	Axial	9400	3.40	-48.72	-62.02	1.95	52.12	20.00	-32.12	T4	1.8, 1.4
UMTS II		9538	3.38	-48.90	02.02	1.96	52.28	20.00	-32.28	T4	
OWISH		9262	-6.02	-55.89			49.87	20.00	-29.87	T4	
	Radial	9400	-6.04	-55.13	-62.97	N/A	49.09	20.00	-29.09	T4	2.2, 0.6
		9538	-6.07	-55.13			49.06	20.00	-29.06	T4	

Table 9-5 Raw Data Results for LTE B71

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		20MHz	133297	2.71	-42.59		1.83	45.30	20.00	-25.30	T4		
Axial	15MHz	133297	2.79	-41.81	-62.02	2.00	44.60	20.00	-24.60	T4	1.8, 1.4		
	Axiai	10MHz	133297	2.61	-42.01	-02.02	1.75	44.62	20.00	-24.62	T4	1.0, 1.4	
I TE Band 71		5MHz	133297	2.65	-42.57		1.98	45.22	20.00	-25.22	T4		
LIE Ballu / I	LTE Band 71	20MHz	133297	-6.79	-49.58			42.79	20.00	-22.79	T4		
Ra	Radial	15MHz	133297	-6.75	-50.49	-62.97	-62.97 N/A	62.07	43.74	20.00	-23.74	T4	2.2, 0.6
	radiai	10MHz	133297	-6.64	-49.82			IWA	43.18	20.00	-23.18	T4	2.2, 0.6
		5MHz	133297	-6.83	-51.05			44.22	20.00	-24.22	T4		

Table 9-6 Raw Data Results for LTE B12

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	23095	2.25	-40.53		1.78	42.78	20.00	-22.78	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	23095	2.24	-42.27	-62.02	1.95	44.51	20.00	-24.51	T4	1011
	Axiai	3MHz	23095	2.42	-41.38	-62.02	2.00	43.80	20.00	-23.80	T4	1.8, 1.4
LTE Band 40	I TE Band 12	1.4MHz	23095	2.31	-41.76		1.55	44.07	20.00	-24.07	T4	
LIE Ballu 12	LTE Band 12	10MHz	23095	-6.35	-51.03			44.68	20.00	-24.68	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	23095	-6.73	-50.80	-62.97	-62.97 N/A	44.07	20.00	-24.07	T4	2.2. 0.6
	Radiai	3MHz	23095	-6.75	-50.70			IN/A	43.95	20.00	-23.95	T4
		1.4MHz	23095	-6.58	-52.27			45.69	20.00	-25.69	T4	

Table 9-7 Raw Data Results for LTE B13

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	10MHz	23230	2.76	-41.51	-62.02	1.81	44.27	20.00	-24.27	T4	1.8, 1.4
LTE Band 13		5MHz	23230	2.59	-42.40	-02.02	1.90	44.99	20.00	-24.99	T4	1.0, 1.4
LIE Ballu 13	Radial	10MHz	23230	-6.67	-50.69	-62.97	N/A	44.02	20.00	-24.02	T4	2.2, 0.6
	Radiai	5MHz	23230	-6.81	-51.68	-62.97	IN/A	44.87	20.00	-24.87	T4	2.2, 0.6

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 00

Table 9-8 Raw Data Results for LTE B26

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates				
		15MHz	26865	2.37	-41.51		1.86	43.88	20.00	-23.88	T4					
		10MHz	26865	2.57	-41.91] [1.89	44.48	20.00	-24.48	T4					
	Axial	5MHz	26865	2.33	-42.63	-62.02	1.70	44.96	20.00	-24.96	T4	1.8, 1.4				
		3MHz	26865	2.29	-42.57		1.76	44.86	20.00	-24.86	T4					
LTE Band 26	TE Bond 26	1.4MHz	26865	2.37	-43.14		1.82	45.51	20.00	-25.51	T4					
LIE Ballu 26		15MHz	26865	-6.67	-50.43			43.76	20.00	-23.76	T4					
		10MHz	26865	-6.74	-50.07	-62.97	-62.97	-62.97				43.33	20.00	-23.33	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	26865	-6.57	-51.26					N/A	44.69	20.00	-24.69	T4	2.2, 0.6	
		3MHz	26865	-6.65	-51.37			44.72	20.00	-24.72	T4					
		1.4MHz	26865	-6.63	-52.40			45.77	20.00	-25.77	T4					

Table 9-9 Raw Data Results for LTE B66

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		20MHz	132322	2.38	-42.08		1.90	44.46	20.00	-24.46	T4		
		15MHz	132322	2.43	-40.47		1.84	42.90	20.00	-22.90	T4		
	Axial -	10MHz	132322	2.29	-41.40	62.02	1.79	43.69	20.00	-23.69	T4	1.8, 1.4	
		5MHz	132322	2.25	-42.04	-62.02	1.72	44.29	20.00	-24.29	T4	1.0, 1.4	
		3MHz	132322	2.24	-42.32		1.96	44.56	20.00	-24.56	T4		
LTE Band CC	TE Bond 66	1.4MHz	132322	2.33	-41.85		1.83	44.18	20.00	-24.18	T4		
LIE Ballu 66	LTE Band 66	20MHz	132322	-6.80	-49.05			42.25	20.00	-22.25	T4		
		15MHz	132322	-6.72	-48.90			42.18	20.00	-22.18	T4		
	Radial	10MHz	132322	-6.60	-50.99	-62.97	N/A	44.39	20.00	-24.39	T4	2.2, 0.6	
	Radiai	5MHz	132322	-6.61	-49.76		-62.97 N	IV/A	43.15	20.00	-23.15	T4	2.2, 0.6
		3MHz	132322	-6.70	-49.31				42.61	20.00	-22.61	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	-6.88	-50.57			43.69	20.00	-23.69	T4		

Table 9-10 Raw Data Results for LTE B25

				INAW	Data IN	esuits io		20				
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	26365	2.29	-39.84		1.90	42.13	20.00	-22.13	T4	
		15MHz	26365	2.46	-39.39		1.73	41.85	20.00	-21.85	T4	
		10MHz	26640	2.69	-41.91		1.89	44.60	20.00	-24.60	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	26365	2.23	-38.77	-62.02	1.80	41.00	20.00	-21.00	T4	1.8, 1.4
	Axiai	10MHz	26090	2.71	-41.44	-02.02	1.98	44.15	20.00	-24.15	T4	1.0, 1.4
		5MHz	26365	2.30	-40.60		1.87	42.90	20.00	-22.90	T4	
		3MHz	26365	2.29	-40.86		1.89	43.15	20.00	-23.15	T4	
LTE Band 25		1.4MHz	26365	2.49	-42.44		1.75	44.93	20.00	-24.93	T4	
LIE Ballu 25		20MHz	26365	-6.67	-50.66			43.99	20.00	-23.99	T4	
		15MHz	26615	-6.81	-50.14			43.33	20.00	-23.33	T4	
		15MHz	26365	-6.82	-48.67			41.85	20.00	-21.85	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	26115	-6.73	-50.90	-62.97	N/A	44.17	20.00	-24.17	T4	2.2, 0.6
	Radiai	10MHz	26365	-6.90	-49.82	-02.97	IN/A	42.92	20.00	-22.92	T4	2.2, 0.6
		5MHz	26365	-6.80	-51.08			44.28	20.00	-24.28	T4	
		3MHz	26365	-6.86	-50.91			44.05	20.00	-24.05	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	-6.81	-51.75			44.94	20.00	-24.94	T4	

Table 9-11 Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3

				Dutu I II		·	- · · · · · · ·	, O.aoo	•						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates			
		20MHz	40620	2.58	-38.23		1.89	40.81	20.00	-20.81	T4				
	Avial	15MHz	40620	2.57	-38.18	-62.02	1.79	40.75	20.00	-20.75	T4	1.8. 1.4			
Axial -	10MHz	40620	2.55	-38.20	-02.02	1.84	40.75	20.00	-20.75	T4	1.0, 1.4				
	5MHz	40620	2.60	-38.43		1.80	41.03	20.00	-21.03	T4					
LIE Banu 41	LTE Band 41	20MHz	40620	-6.79	-49.13	00.07	00.07		42.34	20.00	-22.34	T4			
		15MHz	40620	-6.74	-49.61			00.07	62.07	62.07	62.07	-62.97 N/A	NI/A	42.87	20.00
F	Naulai	10MHz	40620	-6.82	-49.34	-02.97	IN/A	42.52	20.00	-22.52	T4	2.2, 0.6			
		5MHz	40620	-6.90	-48.92	1		42.02	20.00	-22.02	T4				

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dog 22 of 06
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 33 of 86

Table 9-12
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2

	Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2												
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		20MHz	40620	2.75	-37.90		1.94	40.65	20.00	-20.65	T4		
		15MHz	40620	2.70	-38.01		1.88	40.71	20.00	-20.71	T4		
		10MHz	40620	2.59	-38.16		1.78	40.75	20.00	-20.75	T4		
	Axial	5MHz	41490	2.67	-37.26	-62.02	1.79	39.93	20.00	-19.93	T4	1.8, 1.4	
	Axiai	5MHz	41055	2.61	-37.89	-02.02	1.72	40.50	20.00	-20.50	T4	1.0, 1.4	
		5MHz	40620	2.58	-38.02		1.73	40.60	20.00	-20.60	T4		
		5MHz	40185	2.71	-37.74	1	1.76	40.45	20.00	-20.45	T4		
LTE Band 41		5MHz	39750	2.71	-38.62		1.71	41.33	20.00	-21.33	T4		
LIE Ballu 41		20MHz	40620	-6.79	-48.43			41.64	20.00	-21.64	T4		
		15MHz	41490	-6.57	-48.35			41.78	20.00	-21.78	T4		
		15MHz	41055	-6.85	-48.78			41.93	20.00	-21.93	T4		
	Dadial	15MHz	40620	-6.86	-48.20	-62.97	N/A	41.34	20.00	-21.34	T4	2.2, 0.6	
	Radial —	15MHz	40185	-6.79	-48.36	-02.97	IVA	41.57	20.00	-21.57	T4	2.2, 0.6	
		15MHz	39750	-6.66	-48.64			41.98	20.00	-21.98	T4		
		10MHz	40620	-6.64	-48.29		41.65	20.00	-21.65	T4			
		5MHz	40620	-6.81	-48.51			41.70	20.00	-21.70	T4		

Table 9-13
Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

				all Duta	Nesulis	101 <u>21 7 0 1</u>	12 7711 1				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	-1.18	-43.05		1.31	41.87	20.00	-21.87	T4	
	Axial	6	-1.22	-44.78	-62.02	1.65	43.56	20.00	-23.56	T4	1.8, 1.4
IEEE		11	-1.15	-44.42		1.64	43.27	20.00	-23.27	T4	
802.11b		1	-10.34	-49.73			39.39	20.00	-19.39	T4	
	Radial	6	-10.18	-50.05	-62.97	N/A	39.87	20.00	-19.87	T4	2.2, 0.6
		11	-10.31	-49.21			38.90	20.00	-18.90	T4	
IEEE	Axial	6	-1.18	-46.01	-62.02	1.69	44.83	20.00	-24.83	T4	1.8, 1.4
802.11g	Radial	6	-10.22	-50.12	-62.97	N/A	39.90	20.00	-19.90	T4	2.2, 0.6
IEEE	Axial	6	-1.06	-47.19	-62.02	1.69	46.13	20.00	-26.13	T4	1.8, 1.4
802.11n	Radial	6	-10.02	-50.80	-62.97	N/A	40.78	20.00	-20.78	T4	2.2, 0.6

Table 9-14
Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a

Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
Axial	20MHz	1	40	-1.07	-47.73	-62.02	1.81	46.66	20.00	-26.66	T4	1.8, 1.4
Radial	20MHz	1	40	-10.28	-55.70	-62.97	N/A	45.42	20.00	-25.42	T4	2.2, 0.6
	Axial	Axial 20MHz	Axial 20MHz 1	Axial 20MHz 1 40	Orientation Bandwidth U-Nil Channel [dB(A/m)] Axial 20MHz 1 40 -1.07 a	Orientation Bandwidth U-Nill Channel [dB(A/m)] [dB(A/m)] Axial 20MHz 1 40 -1.07 -47.73	Orientation Bandwidth U-Nil Channel [dB(A/m)] [dB(A/m)] [dB(A/m)] Axial 20MHz 1 40 -1.07 -47.73 -62.02	Orientation Bandwidth U-NII Channel [dB(A/m)] ABM1 [dB(A/m)] ABM2 [dB(A/m)] Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)] Response [dB(A/m)] Response [dB(A/m)] Avial [dB(A/m)]<	Orientation Bandwidth U-NII Channel [dB(A/m)] ABM1 [dB(A/m)] ABM2 [dB(A/m)] Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)] Response [dB(A/m]] S*N/N Axial 20MHz 1 40 -1.07 -47.73 -62.02 1.81 46.66	Orientation Bandwidth U-NII Channel [dB(A/m)] ABM1 [dB(A/m)] Ambient Noise (dB(A/m)) Response (dB(A/m)) (dB) S+N/N (dB) FCC Limit (dB) Axial 20MHz 1 40 -1.07 -47.73 -62.02 1.81 46.66 20.00	Orientation Bandwidth U-NII Channel [dB(A/m)] ABM1 [dB(A/m)] ABM2 [dB(A/m)] Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)] Response [dB(A/m)] S+N/N (dB) FCC Limit (dB) Axial 20MHz 1 40 -1.07 -47.73 -62.02 1.81 46.66 20.00 -26.66	Orientation Bandwidth U-NII Channel [dB(A/m)] ABM1 [dB(A/m)] ABM2 [dB(A/m)] Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)] Response [dB(A/m)] S+N/N (dB) FCC Limit (dB) FCC Limit (dB) CC Limit (dB) CC Limit (dB) CC Limit (dB) CC Limit (dB) Asign Asign

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 24 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 34 of 86

Table 9-15 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11n

				tun Dut	u itosui	101 0	GIIZ WII		002.1111				
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	-1.21	-46.08		1.77	44.87	20.00	-24.87	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	-1.14	-45.94		1.70	44.80	20.00	-24.80	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	-1.07	-45.80		1.59	44.73	20.00	-24.73	T4	
		20MHz	2A	52	-1.17	-46.53		1.68	45.36	20.00	-25.36	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	2A	56	-1.13	-44.96	-62.02	1.66	43.83	20.00	-23.83	T4	1.8, 1.4
	Axiai	20MHz	2A	64	-1.14	-46.72	-02.02	1.74	45.58	20.00	-25.58	T4	1.0, 1.4
		40MHz	2C	118	-1.20	-47.12		1.70	45.92	20.00	-25.92	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	-1.38	-46.34		1.62	44.96	20.00	-24.96	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	-1.09	-47.76		1.61	46.67	20.00	-26.67	T4	
IEEE		20MHz	3	157	-1.15	-45.77		1.60	44.62	20.00	-24.62	T4	
802.11n													
002		40MHz	1	38	-10.40	-54.47			44.07	20.00	-24.07	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	-10.35	-54.01			43.66	20.00	-23.66	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	-10.42	-54.94			44.52	20.00	-24.52	T4	
		20MHz	2A	52	-10.32	-55.37			45.05	20.00	-25.05	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	2A	56	-10.44	-52.61	-62.97	N/A	42.17	20.00	-22.17	T4	2.2, 0.6
	radiai	20MHz	2A	64	-10.45	-54.99	-02.81	19/75	44.54	20.00	-24.54	T4	2.2, 0.0
		40MHz	2C	118	-10.70	-55.58			44.88	20.00	-24.88	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	-10.25	-55.52			45.27	20.00	-25.27	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	-10.35	-54.08			43.73	20.00	-23.73	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	-10.34	-56.31			45.97	20.00	-25.97	T4	

Table 9-16 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac

Mod	de	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		Axial	40MHz	1	38	-1.11	-47.19	-62.02	1.62	46.08	20.00	-26.08	T4	1.8, 1.4
IEE	-	Axidi	20MHz	1	40	-1.04	-46.76	-02.02	1.96	45.72	20.00	-25.72	T4	1.0, 1.4
802.1														
002		Padial	40MHz	1	38	-10.28	-54.90	-62.97	N/A	44.62	20.00	-24.62	T4	2.2. 0.6
	Radial	20MHz	1	40	-10.39	-54.50	-02.97	IN/A	44.11	20.00	-24.11	T4	2.2, 0.0	

Table 9-17 Raw Data Results for EvDO (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
Secondary Cellular	Axial	564	13.73	-47.74	-62.02	1.52	61.47	20.00	-41.47	T4	1.8, 1.4
EvDO	Radial	564	3.59	-52.21	-62.97	N/A	55.80	20.00	-35.80	T4	2.2, 0.6
Cellular	Axial	384	13.69	-47.81	-62.02	1.62	61.50	20.00	-41.50	T4	1.8, 1.4
EvDO	Radial	384	3.68	-52.88	-62.97	N/A	56.56	20.00	-36.56	T4	2.2, 0.6
PCS	Axial	600	13.51	-47.61	-62.02	1.84	61.12	20.00	-41.12	T4	1.8, 1.4
EvDO	Radial	600	3.85	-50.50	-62.97	N/A	54.35	20.00	-34.35	T4	2.2, 0.6

Table 9-18 Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

				Data it	counto ioi		J .	,			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
EDGE850	Axial	190	13.55	-31.35	-62.02	1.31	44.90	20.00	-24.90	T4	1.8, 1.4
LDGL650	Radial	190	3.70	-44.96	-62.97	N/A	48.66	20.00	-28.66	T4	2.2, 0.6
EDGE1900	Axial	661	13.41	-31.69	-62.02	1.47	45.10	20.00	-25.10	T4	1.8, 1.4
LDGL 1900	Radial	661	3.62	-45.76	-62.97	N/A	49.38	20.00	-29.38	T4	2.2, 0.6

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 25 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 35 of 86

Table 9-19 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

			1144	- Data IX	esuits ioi	11017	011 7011	,			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
HSPA V	Axial	4183	13.61	-47.15	-62.02	1.27	60.76	20.00	-40.76	T4	1.8, 1.4
nora v	Radial	4183	3.59	-53.86	-62.97	N/A	57.45	20.00	-37.45	T4	2.2, 0.6
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	13.68	-46.92	-62.02	1.50	60.60	20.00	-40.60	T4	1.8, 1.4
HOPAIV	Radial	1412	3.90	-54.58	-62.97	N/A	58.48	20.00	-38.48	T4	2.2, 0.6
HSPA II	Axial	9400	13.47	-47.01	-62.02	1.49	60.48	20.00	-40.48	T4	1.8, 1.4
HOPAII	Radial	9400	3.64	-54.92	-62.97	N/A	58.56	20.00	-38.56	T4	2.2, 0.6

Table 9-20
Raw Data Results for LTE FDD B66 (OTT VoIP)

				- u.u	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	132322	13.83	-43.35		1.45	57.18	20.00	-37.18	T4	
		15MHz	132322	13.55	-43.36		1.78	56.91	20.00	-36.91	T4	
		10MHz	132622	13.57	-42.76		1.78	56.33	20.00	-36.33	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	132322	13.89	-42.82	-62.02	1.78	56.71	20.00	-36.71	T4	1.8, 1.4
	Axiai	10MHz	132022	13.42	-43.08	-02.02	1.51	56.50	20.00	-36.50	T4	1.0, 1.4
		5MHz	132322	13.48	-44.23		1.74	57.71	20.00	-37.71	T4	
		3MHz	132322	13.50	-43.95		1.55	57.45	20.00	-37.45	T4	
LTE Band 66		1.4MHz	132322	13.42	-43.36		1.81	56.78	20.00	-36.78	T4	
LIE Ballu 66		20MHz	132322	3.96	-52.89			56.85	20.00	-36.85	T4	
		15MHz	132322	3.77	-52.19			55.96	20.00	-35.96	T4	
		10MHz	132322	3.89	-52.84			56.73	20.00	-36.73	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	132322	3.88	-52.58	62.07	N/A	56.46	20.00	-36.46	T4	2.2, 0.6
	Radiai	3MHz	132657	3.81	-51.79	-62.97	IN/A	55.60	20.00	-35.60	T4	2.2, 0.6
		3MHz	132322	4.14	-51.33			55.47	20.00	-35.47	T4	
		3MHz	131987	3.73	-51.97			55.70	20.00	-35.70	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	3.78	-54.01			57.79	20.00	-37.79	T4	

Table 9-21
Raw Data Results for LTE TDD B41 (PC2) (OTT VolP)

Raw Data Results for LTE TDD B41 (FC2) (OTT VOIP)												
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 41	Axial	20MHz	40620	13.52	-37.48	-62.02	1.74	51.00	20.00	-31.00	T4	1.8, 1.4
		15MHz	40620	13.57	-37.69		1.48	51.26	20.00	-31.26	T4	
		10MHz	40620	13.71	-37.59		1.47	51.30	20.00	-31.30	T4	
		5MHz	41490	13.38	-36.46		1.84	49.84	20.00	-29.84	T4	
		5MHz	41055	13.50	-37.34		1.53	50.84	20.00	-30.84	T4	
		5MHz	40620	13.44	-37.27		1.72	50.71	20.00	-30.71	T4	
		5MHz	40185	13.61	-37.17		1.65	50.78	20.00	-30.78	T4	
		5MHz	39750	13.57	-38.28		1.62	51.85	20.00	-31.85	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	40620	3.86	-49.78	-62.97	₩A	53.64	20.00	-33.64	T4	2.2, 0.6
		15MHz	41490	3.84	-49.38			53.22	20.00	-33.22	T4	
		15MHz	41055	3.89	-49.85			53.74	20.00	-33.74	T4	
		15MHz	40620	3.99	-49.14			53.13	20.00	-33.13	T4	
		15MHz	40185	3.94	-49.44			53.38	20.00	-33.38	T4	
		15MHz	39750	3.99	-49.62			53.61	20.00	-33.61	T4	
		10MHz	40620	4.05	-49.76			53.81	20.00	-33.81	T4	
		5MHz	40620	3.99	-50.07			54.06	20.00	-34.06	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 36 of 86	
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 00	

Table 9-22 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

			IXUII D	ita itesa	113 101 2.5	10112 VVII	1 (011 1	OII /			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	13.43	-37.56		1.44	50.99	20.00	-30.99	T4	
	Axial	6	13.75	-38.47	-62.02	1.61	52.22	20.00	-32.22	T4	1.8, 1.4
IEEE		11	13.45	-37.71		1.48	51.16	20.00	-31.16	T4	
802.11b		1	3.84	-46.89			50.73	20.00	-30.73	T4	
	Radial	6	4.09	-47.20	-62.97	N/A	51.29	20.00	-31.29	T4	2.2, 0.6
		11	4.20	-45.32			49.52	20.00	-29.52	T4	
IEEE	Axial	6	13.20	-42.08	-62.02	1.67	55.28	20.00	-35.28	T4	1.8, 1.4
802.11g	Radial	6	3.78	-51.84	-62.97	N/A	55.62	20.00	-35.62	T4	2.2, 0.6
IEEE	Axial	6	13.38	-40.40	-62.02	1.60	53.78	20.00	-33.78	T4	1.8, 1.4
802.11n	Radial	6	3.83	-48.18	-62.97	N/A	52.01	20.00	-32.01	T4	2.2, 0.6

Table 9-23 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	20MHz	1	40	13.34	-43.13	-62.02	1.83	56.47	20.00	-36.47	T4	1.8, 1.4
IEEE 802.11a													
002.114	Radial	20MHz	1	40	3.96	-54.51	-62.97	N/A	58.47	20.00	-38.47	T4	2.2, 0.6

Table 9-24 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFLIEFE 802 11n (OTT VolD)

		1,	aw D	ata ixes	uito ioi	JOI 12	WIFI IEE	L 002.1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	V OII)			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	13.64	-42.22		1.74	55.86	20.00	-35.86	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	13.63	-40.96		1.49	54.59	20.00	-34.59	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	13.61	-42.08		1.61	55.69	20.00	-35.69	T4	
		20MHz	2A	56	13.68	-40.83	-62.02	1.64	54.51	20.00	-34.51	T4	
Axial	Avial	40MHz	2C	118	13.39	-42.60		1.39	55.99	20.00	-35.99	T4	1011
	20MHz	2C	120	13.46	-40.99	-02.02	1.78	54.45	20.00	-34.45	T4	1.8, 1.4	
		40MHz	3	151	13.56	-42.69			1.76	56.25	20.00	-36.25	T4
		20MHz	3	149	13.33	-41.26		1.56	54.59	20.00	-34.59	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	13.47	-40.91		1.74	54.38	20.00	-34.38	T4	
IEEE		20MHz	3	165	13.33	-41.00		1.68	54.33	20.00	-34.33	T4	
802.11n													
002.1111		40MHz	1	38	3.91	-53.41			57.32	20.00	-37.32	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	3.94	-53.07			57.01	20.00	-37.01	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	3.76	-51.74			55.50	20.00	-35.50	T4	
		20MHz	1	48	3.95	-52.59			56.54	20.00	-36.54	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	2A	54	4.20	-54.61	-62.97	N/A	58.81	20.00	-38.81	T4	2.2, 0.6
	Itaulai	20MHz	2A	56	3.87	-54.47	-02.57	IVA	58.34	20.00	-38.34	T4	2.2, 0.0
		40MHz	2C	118	4.01	-54.06			58.07	20.00	-38.07	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	3.72	-54.43	54.43		58.15	20.00	-38.15	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	4.09	-54.59			58.68	20.00	-38.68	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	3.83	-53.86			57.69	20.00	-37.69	T4	

Table 9-25 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		Axial	40MHz	1	38	13.45	-42.21	-62.02	1.35	55.66	20.00	-35.66	T4	1.8. 1.4
		Axidi	20MHz	1	40	13.53	-41.50	-02.02	1.59	55.03	20.00	-35.03	T4	1.0, 1.4
	IEEE 802.11ac													
	Radial	40MHz	1	38	3.94	-52.63	-62.97	N/A	56.57	20.00	-36.57	T4	2.2. 0.6	
		Radiai	20MHz	1	40	4.28	-53.64	-02.97	N/A	57.92	20.00	-37.92	T4	2.2, 0.0

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 37 01 00

II. Test Notes

A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- 3. Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled while testing 2G/3G/4G modes.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled while testing WIFI modes.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).

B. CDMA

- 1. Power Configuration: Power Control Bits = "All Up"
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: RC1/SO3 (CDMA EVRC)

C. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

D. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

E. LTE FDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Vocoder Configuration: EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps
- 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 25 at 10MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 25 at 15MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

F. LTE TDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
- 4. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
- 5. Vocoder Configuration: EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps
- 6. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 00

G. WIFI

- 1. Radio Configuration
 - a. IEEE 802.11b: DSSS, 2Mbps
 - b. IEEE 802.11g/a: 16-QAM, 24Mbps
 - c. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: QPSK, MCS 2
 - d. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: QPSK, MCS 2
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps
- 3. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 4. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 2A, 20MHz BW) is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.

H. OTT VolP


- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 6kbps
- 2. EvDO Configuration
 - a. Revision: A
- 3. EDGE Configuration
 - a. MCS Index: 7
 - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 4. HSPA Configuration:
 - a. Release: 6
 - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
- 5. LTE FDD Configuration:
 - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - c. LTE Band 66 was the worst-case band from Table 7-6 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
 - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 66 at 10MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 66 at 3MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- 6. LTE TDD Configuration:
 - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - c. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
 - d. LTE Band 41 (PC2) was the worst-case band from Table 7-7 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
 - e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 20 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 39 of 86


7. WIFI Configuration:

- a. Radio Configuration
 - i. IEEE 802.11b: DSSS, 2Mbps
 - ii. IEEE 802.11g/a: 16-QAM, 24Mbps
 - iii. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: QPSK, MCS 2
 - iv. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: QPSK, MCS 2
- b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 3, 20MHz BW) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 1, 20MHz BW) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.


III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -18 dBm0 for CDMA. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 40 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 40 01 00

© 2020 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.4.M


IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 9-26
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results

	Tarret		Vandist
ltem	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.305	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-62.02	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.359	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-62.97	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 44 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 41 of 86

V. ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays



Axial Radial (Transverse)

Figure 9-1 T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 40 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 42 of 86

© 2020 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.4.M

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 10.

Table 10-1 Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)		
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%			
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%			
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%			
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%			
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%			
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%			
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%			
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%			
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%			
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%			
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%			
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1) 17.7%									
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level 35.3% 1.31									

Notes:

- Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 42 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 43 of 86

11. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 11-1 Equipment List

	=qa.p = 101					
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Control Company	4040	Temperature / Humidity Monitor	2/28/2018	Biennial	2/28/2020	150761911
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	7BFNM32
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	4/22/2019	Biennial	4/22/2021	PS2612
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	23528889
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	1/30/2019	Annual	1/30/2020	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	5/17/2019	Annual	5/17/2020	128635
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	5/10/2018	Biennial	5/10/2020	21053
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1124
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1130
TEM	C63.19	Helmholtz Coil	5/20/2019	Biennial	5/20/2021	925

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 44 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 44 01 66

12. TEST DATA

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 45 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 45 of 86



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.305	dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-62.02	dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m	dB		Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 40 01 00



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.359	dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5	
Verification ABM2	-62.97	dB	•	Maximum	-58.0	
Frequency Response Margin	800m	dB	~	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data	

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 47 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 47 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: Secondary Cellular CDMA

Channel: 684

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 48 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 46 01 60



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: Cellular CDMA

Channel: 777

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		raye 49 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: PCS CDMAChannel: 1175

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 50 01 60



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM850Channel: 190

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 51 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 51 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM1900Channel: 810

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		raye 32 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS VChannel: 4132

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 33 01 60



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS IVChannel: 1513

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 34 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

 Mode: UMTS II Channel: 9262

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 55 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 55 01 60



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE FDD Band 25Bandwidth: 10MHzChannel: 26365

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 5MHzChannel: 41490

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 37 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 1

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 00



DUT: ZNFL555DL

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: 5GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11nBandwidth: 20MHz

Channel: 56

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 59 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 39 01 60



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE850Channel: 190

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: Secondary Cellular CDMA

Channel: 476



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 61 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 01 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

• Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: Cellular CDMA

Channel: 777



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 02 01 66



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: PCS CDMAChannel: 25



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 63 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 03 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM850Channel: 190



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 64 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 04 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM1900
 Channel: 810



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg CE of OC
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 65 of 86



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS V
Channel: 4132



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS IV
Channel: 1513



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 67 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage of 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS II
Channel: 9538



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 68 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE FDD Band 25
Bandwidth: 15MHz
Channel: 26365



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 09 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 15MHzChannel: 40620



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 70 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 70 of 86



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11g

Channel: 11



Results

ABM1	-10.31	dB	\checkmark	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-49.21	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	38.90	dB	\checkmark	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 71 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		raye / 1 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

Mode: 5GHz WIFI
Standard: IEEE 802.11n
Bandwidth: 20MHz
Channel: 56

Noise Spectrum



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (I-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 72 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage / 2 01 00



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 14636

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

Test Configuration:

VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE850Channel: 190



FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (I-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 73 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage 73 01 00

13. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 74 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 14 01 00



Certificate of Calibration

for

AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Model No: Serial No:

TEM-1124

Calibration Recall No: 29973

Submitted By:

Customer:

ANDREW HARWELL

Company: Address:

PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB

6660-B DOBBIN ROAD COLUMBIA

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

AXIAL T C TEM C

V K91 6/4/2019

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Approved by

Calibration Date:

17-May-19

James Zhu

Certificate No:

29973 -1

Quality Manager ISO/IEC 17025:2005

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

1 Certificate Page 1 of 1
West Caldwell

ACCREDITED

Calibration
uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL

HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT

Quality Manager

Filename:

DUT Type:

Page 75 of 86

Portable Handset

© 2020 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF

REV 3.4.M



ACCREDITED

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1124

I. D. No.: XXXX

ation results:					
Probe Sensitivity measured wit	h Helmhol	tz Coil			
Helmholtz Coil;			Before & after data same:	X	
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.09	Α	Ambient Temperature:	20.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	42.7	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.96	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	98.256	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-May-2019	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:	17-May-2020	
was	-60.41	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	29973	3 -1
	0.954	mV/A/m	Control Number:	29973	3
Probe resistance	903	Ohms			


The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

683/290345-18

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Measurements performed by:

James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 76 of 96
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 76 of 86

HCATEMC_TEM-1124_May-17-2019

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1124

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Test	Function	Tolerance		Measured values		
				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.41		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.10		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.00		i
			-12	-12.00		
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9		
		126	-17.9			
		158	-16.0			
		200	-14.0			
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		
			398	-8.0		
			<i>i</i> 501	-6.0		
			631	-3.9		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		1
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	5.9		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.2		
				l		

Instruments used for o	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019
1					

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700 This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc. Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 77 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		raye // 01 00



Certificate of Calibration

RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING

Model No:

RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Serial No: Calibration Recall No: TEM-1130 29973

Submitted By:

Customer:

ANDREW HARWELL

Company: Address:

PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB

6660-B DOBBIN ROAD COLUMBIA

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

RADIAL T TEM C

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is Included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date:

17-May-19

Certificate No:

29973 -2

Calibration

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1 West Caldwell

uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

ACCREDITED

James Zhu

Quality Manager ISO/IEC 17025:2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Approved by: FCC ID: ZNFL555DL HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT LG LG Quality Manager Filename: Test Dates: **DUT Type:** Page 78 of 86 1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF 12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020 Portable Handset



1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564



REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130

I. D. No.: XXXX


Probe Sensitivity measured wit	h Helmhol	tz Coil			
Helmholtz Coil;			Before & after data same:	X	
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.08	Α	Ambient Temperature:	20.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	42.7	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.94	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	98.256	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-May-2019	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:	17-May-2020	
was	-60.37	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	29973	-2
	0.958	mV/A/m	Control Number:	29973	
Probe resistance	895	Ohms			

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

683/290345-18 This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure:

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Measurements performed by:

James Zhu

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 79 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		raye 19 01 00

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

HCRTEMC_TEM-1130_May-17-2019

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130

Function		nce	1110	Measured values		
			Before	Out	Remarks	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.37			
		dB				
Probe Level Linearity		6	6.00			
	Ref. (0 dB)	0				
		-12	-12.10			
		Hz				
Probe Frequency Response			-20.0			
		200				
		251	-12.0			
		316	-10.0			
		398	-8.0		1	
		501	-6.0			
		631	-4.0			
		794	-2.0		1	
	Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0			
		1259	1.9			
		1585	3.9			
		1995	5.9			
		2512	7.9			
		3162	9.9			
		3981	11.9			
		5012	13.9			
		6310	15.9			
		7943	18.0			
		10000	20.1			
	Probe Level Linearity	Probe Level Linearity Ref. (0 dB) Probe Frequency Response	Probe Level Linearity Ref. (0 dB) Ref. (0 dB)	Probe Level Linearity Ref. (0 dB) Ref. (0 dB)	Probe Level Linearity Ref. (0 dB) Ref. (0 dB)	

Instruments used for o	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 80 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		rage ou ui ou

14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 04 of 06
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Page 81 of 86

15. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- 2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- 4. FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- 6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- 7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- 8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, " IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- 9. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- 11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, "U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 82 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 02 01 00

- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- 19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- 20. Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.
- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- 28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFL555DL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 83 of 86
1M1911260200-11-R1.ZNF	12/30/2019 - 01/02/2020	Portable Handset		