

# **SAR TEST REPORT**

### HCT CO., LTD





**HCTA1310FS06** 

# **Table of Contents**





# **Revision History**





# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields," NCRP Report No. 86 NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

### **SAR Definition**

w

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative of the incremental electromagnetic energy (d*W*) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (d*m*) contained in a volume element (d*V*) of a given density (*r* ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body.



**Figure 2. SAR Mathematical Equation** 

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).



NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.



# **2. TEST METHODOLOGY**

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with FCC KDB Procedure, IEEE Standard 1528-2003 & IEEE 1528a-2005 and the following published KDB procedures.

- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01 SAR test for 3G devices v02
- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D02 HSPA and 1x Advanced v02r02
- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D03 SAR Test Reduction GSM GPRS EDGE v01
- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 Hot Spot SAR v01r01
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v01r02(SAR Considerationa for 802.11 Devices)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05r01 (General SAR Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r01
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r01
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r01



# **3. DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE**

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).



# **4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT**

## **4.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP**

These measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. It is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland. It consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium III computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure.4.1).

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the HP Pentium IV 3.0 GHz computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.



Figure 4.1 HCT SAR Lab. Test Measurement Set-up

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in.



# **4.2 DASY4 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM**

### **4.1 ET3DV6 Probe Specification**





automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms **Figure 4.1 Photograph of the probe** and the Phantom



Figure 4.2 ET3DV6 E-field Probe

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric

probe

ET3DV6, designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches a maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity

and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a  $2^{nd}$  order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.



### **4.2.1 EX3DV4 Probe Specification**





 Compliance tests of mobile phonesFigure 4.3 Photograph of the probe and the Phantom



Figure 4.4 EX3DV4 E-field Probe

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches a maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a  $2^{nd}$  order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

# **4.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS**

### **4.3.1 E-Probe Calibration**

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with an accuracy better than  $\pm$  10 %. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the proper procedure and found to be better than  $\pm$  0.25 dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$
\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}
$$

where:

 $\Delta t$  = exposure time (30 seconds),

 $C =$ heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 $\Delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T / \Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;





SAR =  $\frac{\left|E\right|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$ 

where:

 $=$  simulated tissue conductivity,

= Tissue density (1.25  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$  for brain tissue)  $\Omega$ 



Figure 4.5 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.8 GHz



### **4.3.2 Data Extrapolation**

The DASY4 software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given like below;

$$
V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}
$$
\nwith\n
$$
V_i = \text{compensated signal of channel } i \quad (i = x, y, z)
$$
\n
$$
U_i = \text{input signal of channel } i \quad (i = x, y, z)
$$
\n
$$
cf = \text{crest factor of exciting field} \quad (DASY parameter)
$$
\n
$$
dcp_i = \text{dode compression point} \quad (DASY parameter)
$$

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

 $V_i$ 

with

E-field probes:

$$
E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}
$$
\n
$$
V_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot CovF}}
$$
\n
$$
V_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot CovF}}
$$

= compensated signal of channel  $i$  ( $i = x, y, z$ )

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermetian magnitude):

 $E_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$ 

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.



The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$
P_{\text{pure}} = \frac{E_{\text{tot}}^2}{3770}
$$
 with  $P_{\text{pure}} = \text{equivalent power density of a plane wave in W/cm}^2$   
  $E_{\text{tot}} = \text{total electric field strength in V/m}$ 



# **4.4 SAM Phantom**

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.



Filling Volume about 25 L

Shell Thickness 2.0 mm  $\pm$  0.2 mm (6  $\pm$  0.2 mm at ear point) Dimensions 810 mm x 1 000 mm x 500 mm (H x L x W) Figure 4.6 SAM Phantom

Triple Modular Phantom consists of tree identical modules which can be installed and removed separately without emptying the liquid. It includes three reference points for phantom installation. Covers prevent evaporation of the liquid. Phantom material is resistant to DGBE based tissue simulating liquids. The MFP V5.1 will be delivered including wooden support only (**non**-standard SPEAG support).

Applicable for system performance check from 700 MHz to 6 GHz (MFP V5.1C) or 800 MHz - 6 GHz (MFP V5.1A) as well as dosimetric evaluations for body-worn operation.



 $2.0$  mm  $\pm 0.2$  mm approx. 9.2 L



Dimensions 830 mm x 500 mm (L x W) Figure 4.7 Triple Modular Phantom

# **4.5 Device Holder for Transmitters**

In combination with the SAM Phantom V 4.0, the Mounting Device (POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatable positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations. To produce the Worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power),



the hand is omitted during the tests. The hand is omitted during the tests. Figure 4.8 Device Holder



# **4.6 Tissue Simulating Mixture Characterization**

The mixture is characterized to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue of interest. The tissue dielectric parameters recommended in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209 have been used as targets for the compositions, and are to mach within 5%, per the FCC recommendations

.





**Table 4.1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter** 



# **4.7 SAR TEST EQUIPMENT**

![](_page_13_Picture_131.jpeg)

NOTE:

1. The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by the waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Verification measurement is performed by HCT Lab. before each test. The brain/body simulating material is calibrated by HCT using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity

(dielectric constant) of the brain/body-equivalent material.

2. CBT(Calibrating Before Testing). Prior to testing, the dielectric probe kit was calibrated via the network analyzer, with the specified procedure(calibrated in pure water) and calibration kit(standard) short circuit, before the dielectric measurement. The specific procedure and calibration kit are provided by Agilent

# **5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

- 1. The SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point was measured and was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop.
- 2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation.
- 3. Around this point, a volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
	- a. The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
	- b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points  $(10 \times 10 \times 10)$  were interpolated to calculate the average.
	- c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4. The SAR value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5 %, the evaluation is repeated.

![](_page_14_Figure_12.jpeg)

Figure 5.1 SAR Measurement Point in Area Scan

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extend, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the hightest E-field value to determine the averaged SASR-distribution over 10g.

Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follow KDB 865664 D01v01 quoted below

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_35.jpeg)

When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the area scan based *l*-g SAR estimation procedures of KDB 447498 is  $\leq$  1.4 W/kg,  $\leq$  8 mm,  $\leq$  7 mm and  $\leq$  5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respec

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **6. DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION**

# **6.1 HEAD POSITION**

The device was placed in a normal operating position with the Point A on the device, as illustrated in following drawing, aligned with the location of the RE(ERP) on the phantom. With the ear-piece pressed against the head, the vertical center line of the body of the handset was aligned with an imaginary plane consisting of the RE, LE and M. While maintaining these alignments, the body of the handset was gradually moved towards the cheek until any point on the mouth-piece or keypad contacted the cheek. This is a cheek/touch position. For ear/tilt position, while maintain the device aligned with the BM and FN lines, the device was pivot against ERP back for 15º or until the device antenna touch the phantom. Please refer to IEEE 1528-2003 illustration below. Figure 6.1 Side view of the phantom

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

Figure 6.2 Handset vertical and horizontal reference lines

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **6.2 Body Holster/Belt Clip Configurations**

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with each accessory. If multiple accessory share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some Devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used.

Since this EUT does not supply any body worn accessory to the end user a distance of 1.0 cm from the EUT back surface to the liquid interface is configured for the generic test.

### "See the Test SET-UP Photo"

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), Including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worstcase positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **7. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY**

![](_page_18_Picture_160.jpeg)

**Table 7.1 Uncertainty (800 MHz- 2700 MHz)** 

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **8. ANSI/ IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS**

![](_page_19_Picture_123.jpeg)

### **Table 8.1 Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure**

#### **NOTES:**

- \* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
- \*\* The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole-body.
- \*\*\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

**Uncontrolled Environments** are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

**Controlled Environments** are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e.as a result of employment or occupation).

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **9. SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION**

Per FCC KCB 865664 D02v01, SAR system validation status should be document to confirm measurement accuracy. The SAR systems (including SAR probes, system components and software versions) used for this device were validated against its performance specifications prior to the SAR measurements. Reference dipoles were used with the required tissue- equivalent media for system validation, according to the procedures outlined in IEEE 1528-2003 and FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01. Since SAR probe calibrations are frequency dependent, each probe calibration point was validated at a frequency within the valid frequency range of the probe calibration point, using the system that normally operates with the probe for routine SAR measurements and according to the required tissue-equivalent media.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters has been included.

![](_page_20_Picture_166.jpeg)

#### **Note;**

#### **SAR System Validation Summary**

All measurement were performed using probes calibrated for CW signal only. Modulations in the table bove represent test configurations for which the measurement system has been validated per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r01. SAR system were validated for modulated signals with a periodic duty cycle, such as GMSK, or with a high peak to average ratio (>5 dB), such as OFDM according to KDB 865664.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION**

# **10.1 Tissue Verification**

![](_page_21_Picture_213.jpeg)

The Tissue dielectronic parameters were measured prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85070C Dielectronic Probe Kit and Agilent Network Analyzer.

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **10.2 System Verification**

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the  $\pm$  10 % of the specifications at 835 MHz / 1 900 MHz/ 2 450MHz by using the system Verification kit. (Graphic Plots Attached)

![](_page_22_Picture_193.jpeg)

# **10.3 System Verification Procedure**

SAR measurement was prior to assessment, the system is verified to the  $\pm$  10 % of the specifications at each frequency band by using the system Verification kit. (Graphic Plots Attached)

- Cabling the system, using the Verification kit equipments.
- Generate about 100 mW Input Level from the Signal generator to the Dipole Antenna.
- Dipole Antenna was placed below the Flat phantom.
- The measured one-gram SAR at the surface of the phantom above the dipole feed-point should be within 10 % of the target reference value.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **11. RF CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT**

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power. The handset was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a shielded chamber. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluation SAR SAR measurements were taken with a fully charged battery. In order to verify that the device was tested and maintained at full power, this was configured with the base station simulator. The SAR measurement Software calculates a reference point at the start and end of the test to check for power drifts. lf conducted Power deviations of more then 5 % occurred, the tests were repeated.

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **11.1 Output Power Specifications.**

This device operates using the following maximum output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB publication 447498 D01v05.

### **GSM**

![](_page_24_Picture_153.jpeg)

### **WCDMA**

![](_page_24_Picture_154.jpeg)

### **Wifi**

![](_page_24_Picture_155.jpeg)

### **BT.**

![](_page_24_Picture_156.jpeg)

Tolerance : +0.7 dB

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **11.2 GSM**

 Conducted output power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

![](_page_25_Picture_70.jpeg)

SAR Test for WWAN were performed with a base station simulator Agilent E5515C. Communication between the device and the emulator was established by air link. Set base station emulator to allow DUT to radiate maximum output power during all tests. Please refer to the below worst case SAR operation setup.

- GSM voice: Head SAR
- GPRS Multi-slots : Body SAR with GPRS Multi-slot Class12 with CS 1 (GMSK)

### **Note;**

CS1/MCS7 coding scheme was used in GPRS output power measurements and SAR Testing, as a condition where GMSK/8PSK modulation was ensured. Investigation has shown that CS1 - CS4/ MCS5 – MCS9 settings do not have any impact on the output levels in the GPRS modes.

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_210.jpeg)

GSM Conducted output powers (Burst-Average)

#### GSM Conducted output powers (Frame-Average)

![](_page_26_Picture_211.jpeg)

#### **Note:**

Time slot average factor is as follows:

![](_page_26_Picture_212.jpeg)

- 2 Tx slot = 6.02 dB, Frame-Average output power = Burst-Average output power 6.02 dB
- 3 Tx slot = 4.26 dB, Frame-Average output power = Burst-Average output power 4.26 dB
- 4 Tx slot = 3.01 dB, Frame-Average output power = Burst-Average output power 3.01 dB

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **11.2 WCDMA**

Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSDPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than  $\frac{1}{4}$  dB higher than that measured without HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is 75 % of the SAR limit. Otherwise, SAR is Measured for HSDPA, using an FRC with H-Set 1 in Sub-test 1 and a 12.2 kbps RMC configured in Test Loop Mode 1, using the highest body SAR configuration in 12.2 kbps RMC without HSDPA, on the maximum output channel with the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC for that RF channel.

### **11.2.1 Output Power Verification**

 Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to the general descriptions in section 5.2 of 3 GPP TS 34.121, using the appropriate RMC or AMR with TPC(transmit power control) set to all "1s".

### **11.2.2 Head SAR Measurements**

SAR for head exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all "1s". SAR in AMR configurations is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel for 12.2 kbps AMR is less than  $\frac{1}{4}$  dB higher than that measured in 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in 12.2 AMR with a 3.4 kbps SRB (signaling radio bearer using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that RF channel in 12.2 RMC.

### **11.2.3 Body SAR Measurement**

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with the TPC bits all "1s".

### **11.2.4 Handsets with Release 5 HSDPA**

Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSDPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than  $\frac{1}{4}$  dB higher than that measured without HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is 75 % of the SAR limit. Otherwise, SAR is Measured for HSDPA, using an FRC with H-Set 1 in Sub-test 1 and a 12.2 kbps RMC configured in Test Loop Mode 1, using the highest body SAR configuration in 12.2 kbps RMC without HSDPA, on the maximum output channel with the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC for that RF channel.

![](_page_27_Picture_126.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_127.jpeg)

### **11.2.5 Handsets with Release 6 HSPA (HSDPA/HSUPA)**

Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSUPA/HSDPA active is less than  $\frac{1}{4}$  dB higher than that measured without HSUPA/HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is 75 % of the SAR limit. Body SAR for HSPA is measured with E-DCH Sub-test 5, using H-Set 1 and QPSK for FRC and a 12.2 kbps RMC configured in Test Loop Mode 1 with power control algorithm 2, according to the highest body SAR configuration in 12.1 kbps RMC without HSPA. When VOIP is applicable for head exposure, SAR is not required when the maximum output of each RF channel with HSPA is less than  $\frac{1}{4}$  dB higher than that measured using 12.2 kbps RMC; otherwise, the same HSPA configuration used for body measurement should be used to test for head exposure.

![](_page_28_Picture_105.jpeg)

Note 1:  $\Delta_{ACK}$ ,  $\Delta_{NACK}$  and  $\Delta_{COI} = 8 \Leftrightarrow A_{hs} = \beta_{hs}/\beta_c = 30/15 \Leftrightarrow \beta_{hs} = 30/15 * \beta_c$ .

Note 2: CM = 1 for  $\beta_c/\beta_d$  =12/15,  $\beta_{bc}/\beta_c$ =24/15. For all other combinations of DPDCH, DPCCH, HS-DPCCH, E-DPDCH and E-DPCCH the MPR is based on the relative CM difference.

Note 3: For subtest 1 the  $\beta_c/\beta_d$  ratio of 11/15 for the TFC during the measurement period (TF1, TF0) is achieved by setting the signaled gain factors for the reference TFC (TF1, TF1) to  $\beta_c = 10/15$  and  $\beta_d = 15/15$ .

Note 4: For subtest 5 the  $\beta_c/\beta_d$  ratio of 15/15 for the TFC during the measurement period (TF1, TF0) is achieved by setting the signaled gain factors for the reference TFC (TF1, TF1) to  $\beta_c = 14/15$  and  $\beta_d = 15/15$ .

Note 5: Testing UE using E-DPDCH Physical Layer category 1 Sub-test 3 is not required according to TS 25.306 Table 5.1g. Note 6:  $\beta_{ad}$  can not be set directly; it is set by Absolute Grant Value.

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_335.jpeg)

### **WCDMA 850**

### **WCDMA 1900**

![](_page_29_Picture_336.jpeg)

#### WCDMA Average Conducted output powers

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **11.4 WiFi**

### **11.4.1 SAR Testing for 802.11b/g/n modes**

### **General Device Setup**

Normal Network operating configurations are not suitable for measuring the SAR of 802.11 a/b/g transmitters. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable.

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequencies should correspond to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use. SAR for devices with switched diversity should be measured with only one antenna transmitting at a time during each SAR measurement, according to a fixed modulation and data rate. The same data pattern should be used for all measurements.

### **Frequency Channel Configurations**

802.11 a/b/g and 4.9 GHz operating modes are tested independently according to the service requirements in each frequency band. 802.11 b/g modes are tested on channels 1, 6 and 11.802.11a is tested for UNII operations on channels 36 and 48 in the 5.15-5.25 GHz band; channels 52 and 64 in the 5.25-5.35 GHz band; Channels 104, 116, 124 and 136 in the 5.470-5.725 GHz band; and channels 149 and 161 in the 5.8 GHz band. When 5.8 GHz § 15.247 is also available, channels 149, 157 and 165 should be tested instead of the UNII channels. 4.9 GHz is tested on channels 1, 10 and 5 or 6, whichever has the higher output power, for 5 MHz channels; channels 11,15 and 19 for 10 MHz channels; and channels 21 and 25 for 20 MHz channels.

These are referred to as the "default test channels". 802.11g mode was evaluated only if the output power was 0.25 dB higher than the 802.11b mode.

![](_page_30_Figure_11.jpeg)

802.11 Test Channels per FCC Requirements

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Report No.: HCTA1310FS06 FCC ID: ZNFD682 Date of Issue: Nov. 14, 2013

### ▣ **TEST RESULTS-Average**

### **Conducted Output Power Measurements (802.11b Mode)**

![](_page_31_Picture_226.jpeg)

### **Conducted Output Power Measurements (802.11g Mode)**

![](_page_31_Picture_227.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Conducted Output Power Measurements (802.11n 20M BW Mode)**

![](_page_32_Picture_172.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Conducted Output Power Measurements (802.11n 40M BW Mode)**

![](_page_33_Picture_180.jpeg)

Note;

SAR testing was performed according to the FCC KDB 248227D01

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **11.4 SAR Test Exclusions Applied**

## **11.4.1 BT**

Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05, The SAR exclusion threshold for distance < 50mm is defined by the following equation:

> Max Power of Channel(mW)  $\frac{3.5 \text{ m/s}}{Test$  Separation Distance  $\text{(mm)} * \sqrt{F}$ requency $\text{(GHz)} \leq 3.0$

![](_page_34_Picture_168.jpeg)

Based on the maximum conducted power of Bluetooth and antenna to use separation distance, Bluetooth SAR was not required  $[(3/10)*\sqrt{2.441}] = 0.46 < 3.0$ .

his device contains transmitters that may operate simultaneously. Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis is required. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05 IV.C.1iii, simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion may be applied when the sum of the 1-g SAR for all the simultaneous transmitting antennas in a specific a physical test configuration is  $\leq 1.6$ W/kg. When standalone SAR is not required to be measured per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05 4.3.22, the following equation must be used to estimate the standalone 1-g SAR for simultaneous transmission assessment involving that transmitter

 $Estimated\ SAR = \frac{\sqrt{f(GHZ)}}{7.5} * \frac{(Max\ Power\ of\ channel\ mW)}{Min\ Separation\ Distance}$ .

![](_page_34_Picture_169.jpeg)

Note : Held-to ear configurations are not applicable to Bluetooth operations and therefore were not considered for simultaneous transmission. The Estimated SAR results were determined according to FCC KDB447498 D01v05

# **12. SAR Test configuration & Antenna Information**

# **12.1 Mobile Hotspot sides for SAR Testing configurations**

![](_page_35_Picture_92.jpeg)

# **12.2 Antenna and Device Information**

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

### **Note;**

Per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06v01, we performed the SAR testing at 1 cm from the top & bottom surfaces and also from side edges with a transmitting antenna 2.5 cm from an edge. \*Please see the LG-D682\_Antenna distance for futher information.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **13. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY**

# **13.1-1 Measurement Results (GSM850 Head SAR)**

![](_page_36_Picture_277.jpeg)

# **13.1-2 Measurement Results (GSM1900 Head SAR)**

![](_page_36_Picture_278.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **13.1-3 Measurement Results (WCDMA850 Head SAR)**

![](_page_37_Picture_361.jpeg)

# **13.1-4 Measurement Results (WCDMA1900 Head SAR)**

![](_page_37_Picture_362.jpeg)

# **13.1-5 Measurement Results (DTS Head SAR)**

![](_page_37_Picture_363.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **13.2-1 Measurement Results (GSM850 Hotspot SAR)**

![](_page_38_Picture_350.jpeg)

# **13.2-2 Measurement Results (GSM1900 Hotspot SAR)**

![](_page_38_Picture_351.jpeg)

# **13.2-3 Measurement Results (WCDMA850 Hotspot SAR)**

![](_page_38_Picture_352.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **13.2-4 Measurement Results (WCDMA1900 Hotspot SAR)**

![](_page_39_Picture_252.jpeg)

# **13.2-5 Measurement Results (WLAN Hotspot SAR)**

![](_page_39_Picture_253.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **13.3-1 Measurement Results (Body-worn SAR)**

![](_page_40_Picture_147.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **13.4 SAR Test Notes**

#### **General Notes:**

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003, FCC KDB Procedure.
- 2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements. A standard battery was used for all SAR measurements.
- 3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
- 4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
- 5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05.
- 6. Device was tested using a fixed spacing for body-worn accessory testing. A separation distance of 10 mm was considered because the manufacturer has determined that there will be body-worn accessories available in the marketplace for users to support this separation distance.
- 7. Per FCC KDB 648474 D04v01, SAR was evaluated without a headset connected to the device. Since the standalone reported SAR was  $\leq 1.2$  W/kg, no additional SAR evaluation using a headset cable were required.
- 8. Per FCC KDB 865664 D01v01, variability SAR tests were performed. Please see Section 14 for variability analysis information.

### **GSM/GPRS Test Notes:**

- 1. Body-Worn accessory testing is typically associated with voice operations. Therefore, GSM voice was evaluated for body-worn SAR.
- 2. This device supports GSM VOIP in the head and body-worn configurations; therefore GPRS was additionally evaluated for head and body-worn compliance.
- 3. Justification for reduced test configurations per KDB 941225 D03v01: The source-based time-averaged output power was evaluated for all multi-slot operations. The multi-slot configuration with the highest frame averaged output power was evaluated for SAR.
- 4. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05, if the reported (scaled) SAR measured at the middle channel or highest output power channel for each test configuration is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg then testing at the other channels is not required for such test configuration(s). When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is 1/2 dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel must be used.

#### **UMTS Notes:**

- 1. UMTS mode in Body SAR was tested under RMC 12.2 kbps with HSPA inactive per KDB 941225 D01v02. HSPA SAR was not required since the average output power of the HSPA subtests was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the RMC level and SAR was less than 1.2 W/kg.
- 2. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05, if the reported (scaled) SAR measured at the middle channel or highest output power channel for each test configuration is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg then testing at the other channels is not required for such test configuration(s). When the maximum output power variation across the channel highest output power channel was used.

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_58.jpeg)

#### **WLAN Notes:**

- 1. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB 248227 D01v01r02 and Oct. 2012 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 2.4 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11b. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11 g/n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11b mode.
- 2. Since the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel was  $1.6$  W/kg and the reported 1g averaged SAR was  $1.6$  W/kg, SAR testing on other default channels was not required.

# **14. SAR Measurement Variability and Uncertainty**

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.

2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.

3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).

4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

![](_page_43_Picture_128.jpeg)

#### **Note(s):**

1. Second Repeated Measurement is not required since the ratio of the largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is not > 1.20.

2. Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg.

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **15. SAR Summation Scenario**

![](_page_44_Picture_97.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **15.1 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Head**

![](_page_45_Picture_177.jpeg)

#### **Simultaneous Transmission Summation with Wifi**

# **15.2 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Body-Worn**

![](_page_46_Picture_118.jpeg)

### **Simultaneous Transmission Summation with Wifi (1 cm)**

### **Simultaneous Transmission Summation with Bluetooth (1 cm)**

![](_page_46_Picture_119.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **15.3 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Hotspot**

![](_page_47_Picture_158.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **15.4 Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion**

The above numerical summed SAR results for all the worst-case simultaneous transmission conditions were below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit. And therefore no measured volumetric simultaneous SAR summation is required per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **16. CONCLUSION**

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the ANSI/ IEEE C95.1 1992.

These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests.

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **17. REFERENCES**

[1] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, IEE Recommended Practice or Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body from Wireless Communications Devices.

[2] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.

[3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1991, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300 kHz to 100 GHz, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992

[4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 1991, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, 1992.

[5] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.

[6] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.

[7] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.

[8]K. Pokoviº, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[9] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.

[10] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.

[11] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.

[12] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectro magnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.

[13] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.

[14] W. Gander, Computer mathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[15] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[16] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

[17] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10 kHz-300 GHz, Jan. 1995.

[18] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, EidgenØssische Technische Hoschschule Zòrich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.<br>[19] SAR Evaluation of Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas #648474.

[20] SAR Measurement Procedure for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters #KDB 248227.