

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: 1-6080/13-01-09



Testing Laboratory

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH
 Untertürkheimer Straße 6 – 10
 66117 Saarbrücken/Germany
 Phone: + 49 681 5 98 - 0
 Fax: + 49 681 5 98 - 9075
 Internet: <http://www.cetecom.com>
 e-mail: ict@cetecom.com

Accredited Test Laboratory:

The testing laboratory (area of testing) is accredited according to DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025 (2005) by the Deutsche Akkreditierungsstelle GmbH (DAkkS). The accreditation is valid for the scope of testing procedures as stated in the accreditation certificate with the registration number: D-PL-12076-01-01

Applicant

FLIR Systems AB
 Antennvägen 6
 18715 Täby/SWEDEN

Phone:

Contact: Göran Skedung
 e-mail: goran.skedung@flir.se
 Phone: +46 87 53 27 59
 Fax:

Manufacturer

FLIR Systems AB
 Antennvägen 6
 18715 Täby/SWEDEN

Test Standard/s

IEEE 1528-2003	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields
RSS-102 Issue 4	Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)

For further applied test standards please refer to section 3 of this test report.

Test Item

Kind of test item:	Infrared Camera
Device type:	portable device
Model name:	FLIR-E64501 (Exx)
S/N serial number:	49038203 / 49038159 (conducted)
FCC-ID:	ZLV-FLIRE49001
IC:	5306A- FLIRE49001
Hardware status:	ERCO board -01
Software status:	rev. 0.31G.2T
Frequency:	see technical details
Antenna:	integrated antenna
Battery option:	3.7V DC by Li-Ion battery pack
Test sample status:	identical prototype
Exposure category:	general population / uncontrolled environment



This test report is electronically signed and valid without handwriting signature. For verification of the electronic signatures, the public keys can be requested at the testing laboratory.

Test Report authorised:

Test performed:

Thomas Vogler
 Senior Testing Manager

Oleksandr Hnatovskiy
 Testing Manager

1	Table of contents	
1	Table of contents.....	2
2	General information	3
2.1	Notes and disclaimer	3
2.2	Application details	3
2.3	Statement of compliance.....	3
2.4	Technical details.....	4
3	Test standards/ procedures references.....	5
3.1	RF exposure limits	6
4	Summary of Measurement Results	7
4.1	SAR measurement variability and measurement uncertainty analysis.....	7
5	Test Environment	7
6	Test Set-up.....	8
6.1	Measurement system.....	8
6.1.1	System Description.....	8
6.1.2	Test environment.....	9
6.1.3	Probe description.....	9
6.1.4	Phantom description.....	10
6.1.5	Device holder description	10
6.1.6	Scanning procedure	11
6.1.7	Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation	12
6.1.8	Data Storage and Evaluation.....	13
6.1.9	Tissue simulating liquids: dielectric properties	15
6.1.10	Tissue simulating liquids: parameters	15
6.1.11	Measurement uncertainty evaluation for SAR test.....	16
6.1.12	Measurement uncertainty evaluation for System Check.....	18
6.1.13	System check	19
6.1.14	System check procedure	20
7	Detailed Test Results	21
7.1	Conducted power measurements.....	21
7.1.1	Conducted power measurements WLAN 2.4 GHz.....	21
7.1.2	Standalone SAR Test Exclusion	21
7.2	SAR test results.....	22
7.2.1	Results overview	22
7.2.2	General description of test procedures	22
8	Test equipment and ancillaries used for tests.....	23
9	Observations	23
Annex A:	System performance check.....	24
Annex B:	DASY5 measurement results.....	25
Annex B.1:	WLAN 2450MHz	25
Annex B.2:	Z-axis scan.....	33
Annex B.3:	Liquid depth.....	34
Annex C:	Photo documentation.....	35
Annex D:	RF Technical Brief Cover Sheet acc. to RSS-102 Annex A	40

Annex D.1: Declaration of RF Exposure Compliance.....40
Annex E: Calibration parameters.....41
Annex F: Document History.....41
Annex G: Further Information41

2 General information

2.1 Notes and disclaimer

The test results of this test report relate exclusively to the test item specified in this test report. CETECOM ICT Services GmbH does not assume responsibility for any conclusions and generalisations drawn from the test results with regard to other specimens or samples of the type of the equipment represented by the test item. The test report may only be reproduced or published in full. Reproduction or publication of extracts from the report requires the prior written approval of CETECOM ICT Services GmbH.

This test report is electronically signed and valid without handwriting signature. For verification of the electronic signatures, the public keys can be requested at the testing laboratory.

The testing service provided by CETECOM ICT Services GmbH has been rendered under the current "General Terms and Conditions for CETECOM ICT Services GmbH".

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH will not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from false, inaccurate, inappropriate or incomplete product information provided by the customer.

Under no circumstances does the CETECOM ICT Services GmbH test report include any endorsement or warranty regarding the functionality, quality or performance of any other product or service provided.

Under no circumstances does the CETECOM ICT Services GmbH test report include or imply any product or service warranties from CETECOM ICT Services GmbH, including, without limitation, any implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for purpose, or non-infringement, all of which are expressly disclaimed by CETECOM ICT Services GmbH.

All rights and remedies regarding vendor's products and services for which CETECOM ICT Services GmbH has prepared this test report shall be provided by the party offering such products or services and not by CETECOM ICT Services GmbH.

In no case this test report can be considered as a Letter of Approval.

2.2 Application details

Date of receipt of order:	2013-05-30
Date of receipt of test item:	2013-06-11
Start of test:	2013-06-11
End of test:	2013-06-11
Person(s) present during the test:	

2.3 Statement of compliance

The SAR values found for the FLIR-E64501 (Exx) Infrared Camera are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/Kg as averaged over any 1 g tissue according to the FCC rule §2.1093, the ANSI/IEEE C 95.1:1992, the NCRP Report Number 86 for uncontrolled environment, according to the Health Canada's Safety Code 6 and the Industry Canada Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure.

2.4 Technical details

Band tested for this test report	Technology	Lowest transmit frequency/MHz	Highest transmit frequency/MHz	Lowest receive Frequency/MHz	Highest receive Frequency/MHz	Kind of modulation	Power Class	Tested power control level	Test channel low	Test channel middle	Test channel high	Maximum output power/dBm)*
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WLAN US	2412	2462	2412	2462	CCK OFDM	--	max	1	6	11	13.3
<input type="checkbox"/>	BT	2402	2480	2402	2480	GFSK	3	max	0	39	78	9.5

)*: averaged max. power for WLAN and BT.

3 Test standards/ procedures references

Test Standard	Version	Test Standard Description
IEEE 1528-2003	2003-04	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C	1997-01 2001-01	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields
RSS-102 Issue 4	2010-03	Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)
Canada's Safety Code No. 6	99-EHD-237	Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz
IEEE Std. C95-3	2002	IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave
IEEE Std. C95-1	1992	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.
IEC 62209-2	2010	Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymounted wireless communication devices. Human models, instrumentation, and procedures. Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)
FCC KDBs:		
KDB 865664D01v01	October 24, 2012	FCC OET SAR measurement requirements 100 MHz to 6 GHz
KDB 865664D02v01	October 24, 2012	RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations
KDB 447498D01v05	October 24, 2012	Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies
KDB 248227D01v01	May, 2007	SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters
KDB 450824D01v01	January, 2007	SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification considerations for measurements from 150 MHz to 3 GHz
KDB 450824D01v01	March 4, 2012	Dipole Requirements for SAR System Validation and Verification

3.1 RF exposure limits

Human Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment General Population	Controlled Environment Occupational
Spatial Peak SAR* (Brain and Trunk)	1.60 mW/g	8.00 mW/g
Spatial Average SAR** (Whole Body)	0.08 mW/g	0.40 mW/g
Spatial Peak SAR*** (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 mW/g	20.00 mW/g

Table 1: RF exposure limits

The limit applied in this test report is shown in bold letters

Notes:

- * The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time
- ** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
- *** The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

4 Summary of Measurement Results

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No deviations from the technical specifications ascertained		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Deviations from the technical specifications ascertained		
Maximum SAR value reported for 1g (W/kg)			
	PCE	DTS	UNII
body worn 0 mm distance	---	1.529	---

4.1 SAR measurement variability and measurement uncertainty analysis

This analysis is required for worst case results larger than 0.8 W/kg.

frequency band	highest measurement result at worst case position (W/kg)	second measurement result at worst case position (W/kg)	ratio <1.2
WLAN 2.4 GHz	1.400	1.460	1.04

5 Test Environment


Ambient temperature:	20 – 24 °C
Tissue Simulating liquid:	20 – 24 °C
Relative humidity content:	40 – 50 %
Air pressure:	not relevant for this kind of testing
Power supply:	230 V / 50 Hz

Exact temperature values for each test are shown in the table(s) under 7.1 and/or on the measurement plots.

6 Test Set-up

6.1 Measurement system

6.1.1 System Description



- The DASYS system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:
- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e. an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronic (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- A unit to operate the optical surface detector which is connected to the EOC.
- The Electro-Optical Coupler (EOC) performs the conversion from the optical into a digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the DASYS measurement server.
- The DASYS measurement server, which performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. A computer operating Windows 7.
- DASYS software and SEMCAD data evaluation software.
- Remote control with teach panel and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The generic twin phantom enabling the testing of left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- System check dipoles allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

6.1.2 Test environment

The DASY measurement system is placed at the head end of a room with dimensions: 5 x 2.5 x 3 m³, the SAM phantom is placed in a distance of 75 cm from the side walls and 1.1m from the rear wall. Above the test system a 1.5 x 1.5 m² array of pyramid absorbers is installed to reduce reflections from the ceiling.

Picture 1 of the photo documentation shows a complete view of the test environment. The system allows the measurement of SAR values larger than 0.005 mW/g.

6.1.3 Probe description


Isotropic E-Field Probe ET3DV6 for Dosimetric Measurements

Technical data according to manufacturer information	
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycoether)
Calibration	In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz In head tissue simulating liquid (HSL) at 900 (800-1000) MHz and 1.8 GHz (1700-1910 MHz) (accuracy $\pm 9.5\%$; k=2) Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces (ET3DV6 only)
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms (ET3DV6)

6.1.4 Phantom description

The used SAM Phantom meets the requirements specified in Edition 01-01 of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65 for Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) measurements.

The phantom consists of a fibreglass shell integrated in a wooden table. It allows left-hand and right-hand head as well as body-worn measurements with a maximum liquid depth of 18 cm in head position and 22 cm in planar position (body measurements). The thickness of the Phantom shell is 2 mm +/- 0.1 mm.



6.1.5 Device holder description

The DASY device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°. The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. This device holder is used for standard mobile phones or PDA's only. If necessary an additional support of polystyrene material is used.



Larger DUT's (e.g. notebooks) cannot be tested using this device holder. Instead a support of bigger polystyrene cubes and thin polystyrene plates is used to position the DUT in all relevant positions to find and measure spots with maximum SAR values.

Therefore those devices are normally only tested at the flat part of the SAM.

6.1.6 Scanning procedure

- The DASY installation includes predefined files with recommended procedures for measurements and system check. They are read-only document files and destined as fully defined but unmeasured masks. All test positions (head or body-worn) are tested with the same configuration of test steps differing only in the grid definition for the different test positions.
- The „reference“ and „drift“ measurements are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the DUT's output power and should vary max. +/- 5 %.
- The „surface check“ measurement tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above $\pm 0.1\text{mm}$). To prevent wrong results tests are only executed when the liquid is free of air bubbles. The difference between the optical surface detection and the actual surface depends on the probe and is specified with each probe. (It does not depend on the surface reflectivity or the probe angle to the surface within $\pm 30^\circ$.)
- The „area scan“ measures the SAR above the DUT or verification dipole on a parallel plane to the surface. It is used to locate the approximate location of the peak SAR with 2D spline interpolation. The robot performs a stepped movement along one grid axis while the local electrical field strength is measured by the probe. The probe is touching the surface of the SAM during acquisition of measurement values. The standard scan uses large grid spacing for faster measurement. Standard grid spacing for head measurements is 15 mm in x- and y- dimension. If a finer resolution is needed, the grid spacing can be reduced. Grid spacing and orientation have no influence on the SAR result. For special applications where the standard scan method does not find the peak SAR within the grid, e.g. mobile phones with flip cover, the grid can be adapted in orientation. Results of this coarse scan are shown in annex 2.
- A „7x7x7 zoom scan“ measures the field in a volume around the 2D peak SAR value acquired in the previous „coarse“ scan. This is a fine 7x7 grid where the robot additionally moves the probe in 7 steps along the z-axis away from the bottom of the Phantom. Grid spacing for the cube measurement is 5 mm / 4 mm in x and y-direction and 5 mm / 2 mm in z-direction. DASY is also able to perform repeated zoom scans if more than 1 peak is found during area scan. In this document, the evaluated peak 1g and 10g averaged SAR values are shown in the 2D-graphics in annex 2. Test results relevant for the specified standard (see section 3) are shown in table form in section 7.
- A Z-axis scan measures the total SAR value at the x-and y-position of the maximum SAR value found during the cube 7x7x7 scan. The probe is moved away in z-direction from the bottom of the SAM phantom in 2mm steps. This measurement shows the continuity of the liquid and can - depending in the field strength – also show the liquid depth. A z-axis scan of the measurement with maximum SAR value is shown in annex 2.

6.1.7 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The spatial peak SAR - value for 1 and 10 g is evaluated after the Cube measurements have been done. The basis of the evaluation are the SAR values measured at the points of the fine cube grid consisting of 7 x 7 x 7 points. The algorithm that finds the maximal averaged volume is separated into three different stages.

- The data between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom are extrapolated. This data cannot be measured since the center of the dipole is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is about 1 mm (see probe calibration sheet). The extrapolated data from a cube measurement can be visualized by selecting 'Graph Evaluated'.
- The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR - values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10 g) are computed using the 3d-spline interpolation algorithm. If the volume cannot be evaluated (i.e., if a part of the grid was cut off by the boundary of the measurement area) the evaluation will be started on the corners of the bottom plane of the cube.
- All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.

Extrapolation

The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.168-180]. Through the points in the first 3 cm along the z-axis, polynomials of order four are calculated. These polynomials are then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip. The points, calculated from the surface, have a distance of 1 mm from each other.

Interpolation

The interpolation of the points is done with a 3d-Spline. The 3d-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.141-150] (x, y and z -direction) [Numerical Recipes in C, Second Edition, p.123ff].

Volume Averaging

At First the size of the cube is calculated. Then the volume is integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 8000 points (20x20x20) are interpolated to calculate the average.

Advanced Extrapolation

DASY uses the advanced extrapolation option which is able to compensate boundary effects on E-field probes.

6.1.8 Data Storage and Evaluation

Data Storage

The DASY software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension ".DA4", ".DA5x". The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Data Evaluation by SEMCAD

The SEMCAD software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	$\text{Norm}_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}$
	- Conversion factor	ConvF_i
	- Diode compression point	D_{cpi}
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics.

If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot cf/dcp_i$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 U_i = input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
 dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $E_i = (V_i / Norm_i \cdot ConvF)^{1/2}$

H-field probes: $H_i = (V_i)^{1/2} \cdot (a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2)/f$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 $Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 [mV/(V/m)²] for E-field Probes
 $ConvF$ = sensitivity enhancement in solution
 a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
 f = carrier frequency [GHz]
 E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m
 H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = (E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2)^{1/2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = (E_{tot}^2 \cdot \sigma) / (\rho \cdot 1000)$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g
 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m
 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid. The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = E_{tot}^2 / 3770 \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

with P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²
 E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m
 H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

6.1.9 Tissue simulating liquids: dielectric properties

The following materials are used for producing the tissue-equivalent materials.

(Liquids used for tests described in section 7. are marked with ☒) :

Ingredients (% of weight)	Frequency (MHz)								
	<input type="checkbox"/> 450	<input type="checkbox"/> 750	<input type="checkbox"/> 835	<input type="checkbox"/> 900	<input type="checkbox"/> 1450	<input type="checkbox"/> 1800	<input type="checkbox"/> 1900	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2450	<input type="checkbox"/> 5000
frequency band									
Tissue Type	Body	Body	Body	Body	Body	Body	Body	Body	Body
Water	51.16	51.7	52.4	56.0	70.97	69.91	69.91	73.2	64 - 78
Salt (NaCl)	1.49	0.9	1.40	0.76	0.43	0.13	0.13	0.04	2 - 3
Sugar	46.78	47.2	45.0	41.76	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.52	0.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.60	29.96	29.96	26.7	0.0
Emulsifiers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9 - 15
Mineral Oil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11 - 18

Table 2: Body tissue dielectric properties

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium Chloride

Water: De-ionized, 16MΩ+ resistivity

Sugar: 98+% Pure Sucrose

HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100(ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

Note: Due to their availability body tissue simulating liquids as defined by FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C are generally used for body worn SAR testing according to European standards.

6.1.10 Tissue simulating liquids: parameters

Liquid MSL	Freq. (MHz)	Target body tissue		Measurement body tissue				Measurement date
		Permittivity	Conductivity [S/m]	Permittivity	Dev. %	Conductivity [S/m]	Dev. %	
2450	2412	52.7	1.95	51.8	-1.7%	1.93	-1.0%	2013-06-11
	2437	52.7	1.95	51.8	-1.7%	1.96	0.5%	2013-06-11
	2450	52.7	1.95	51.7	-1.9%	1.99	2.1%	2013-06-11
	2462	52.7	1.95	51.7	-1.9%	2.00	2.6%	2013-06-11

Table 3: Parameter of the body tissue simulating liquid

Note: The dielectric properties have been measured using the contact probe method at 22°C.

6.1.11 Measurement uncertainty evaluation for SAR test

Relative DASY5 Uncertainty Budget for SAR Tests								
According to IEEE 1528/2011 and IEC62209-1/2011 (0.3-3GHz range)								
Error Description	Uncertainty Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c_i	c_i	Standard Uncertainty		v_i^2 or v_{eff}
				(1g)	(10g)	± %, (1g)	± %, (10g)	
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	± 6.0 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.0 %	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	∞
Boundary effects	± 1.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	∞
System detection limits	± 1.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Modulation Response	± 2.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.4 %	± 1.4 %	∞
Readout electronics	± 0.3 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF ambient noise	± 3.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
RF ambient reflections	± 3.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe positioner	± 0.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Max. SAR evaluation	± 2.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.2 %	± 1.2 %	∞
Test Sample Related								
Device positioning	± 2.9 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	145
Device holder uncertainty	± 3.6 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 3.6 %	± 3.6 %	5
Power drift	± 5.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
Phantom and Set-up								
Phantom uncertainty	± 6.1 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 3.5 %	± 3.5 %	∞
SAR correction	± 1.9 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	0.84	± 1.1 %	± 0.9 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	± 5.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.78	0.71	± 2.3 %	± 2.0 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	± 5.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.26	0.26	± 0.8 %	± 0.8 %	∞
Temp. Unc. - Conductivity	± 3.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.78	0.71	± 1.5 %	± 1.4 %	∞
Temp. Unc. - Permittivity	± 0.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.23	0.26	± 0.1 %	± 0.1 %	∞
Combined Uncertainty						± 11.3 %	± 11.3 %	330
Expanded Std. Uncertainty						± 22.7 %	± 22.5 %	

Table 4: Measurement uncertainties

Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to IEEE 1528/2011 and IEC 62209-1/2011 draft standards. The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz -3 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.

Relative DASY5 Uncertainty Budget for SAR Tests								
According to IEC62209-2/2010 (30 MHz - 6 GHz range)								
Error Description	Uncertainty Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c _i	c _i	Standard Uncertainty		v _i ² or v _{eff}
				(1g)	(10g)	± %, (1g)	± %, (10g)	
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	± 6.6 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.6 %	± 6.6 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	∞
Boundary effects	± 2.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.2 %	± 1.2 %	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	∞
System detection limits	± 1.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Modulation Response	± 2.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.4 %	± 1.4 %	∞
Readout electronics	± 0.3 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF ambient noise	± 3.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
RF ambient reflections	± 3.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe positioner	± 0.8 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	∞
Probe positioning	± 6.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	∞
Post-processing	± 4.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
Test Sample Related								
Device positioning	± 2.9 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	145
Device holder uncertainty	± 3.6 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 3.6 %	± 3.6 %	5
Power drift	± 5.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
Phantom and Set-up								
Phantom uncertainty	± 7.9 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 4.6 %	± 4.6 %	∞
SAR correction	± 1.9 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	0.84	± 1.1 %	± 0.9 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	± 5.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.78	0.71	± 2.3 %	± 2.0 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	± 5.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.26	0.26	± 0.8 %	± 0.8 %	∞
Temp. Unc. - Conductivity	± 3.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.78	0.71	± 1.5 %	± 1.4 %	∞
Temp. Unc. - Permittivity	± 0.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.23	0.26	± 0.1 %	± 0.1 %	∞
Combined Uncertainty						± 12.7 %	± 12.6 %	330
Expanded Std. Uncertainty						± 25.4 %	± 25.3 %	

Table 5: Measurement uncertainties. Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to according to IEC 62209-2/2010 standard. The budget is valid for the frequency range 30MHz - 6 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.

6.1.12 Measurement uncertainty evaluation for System Check

Uncertainty of a System Performance Check with DASY5 System for the 0.3 - 3 GHz range								
Source of uncertainty	Uncertainty Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c_i	c_i	Standard Uncertainty		v_i^2 or v_{eff}
				(1g)	(10g)	± %, (1g)	± %, (10g)	
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	± 6.0 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.0 %	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 0.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.7	0.7	± 0.0 %	± 0.0 %	∞
Boundary effects	± 1.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	∞
System detection limits	± 1.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Readout electronics	± 0.3 %	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response time	± 0.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.0 %	± 0.0 %	∞
Integration time	± 0.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.0 %	± 0.0 %	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe positioner	± 0.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Max. SAR evaluation	± 1.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Test Sample Related								
Dev. of experimental dipole	± 0.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 0.0 %	± 0.0 %	∞
Source to liquid distance	± 2.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 1.2 %	± 1.2 %	∞
Power drift	± 3.4 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.0 %	± 2.0 %	∞
Phantom and Set-up								
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
SAR correction	± 1.9 %	Rectangular	√ 3	1	0.84	± 1.1 %	± 0.9 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	± 5.0 %	Normal	1	0.78	0.71	± 3.9 %	± 3.6 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	± 5.0 %	Normal	1	0.26	0.26	± 1.3 %	± 1.3 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	± 1.7 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.78	0.71	± 0.8 %	± 0.7 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	± 0.3 %	Rectangular	√ 3	0.23	0.26	± 0.0 %	± 0.0 %	∞
Combined Uncertainty						± 9.1 %	± 8.9 %	330
Expanded Std. Uncertainty						± 18.2 %	± 17.9 %	

Table 6: Measurement uncertainties of the System Check with DASY5 (0.3-3GHz)

Note: Worst case probe calibration uncertainty has been applied for all probes used during the measurements.

6.1.13 System check


The system check is performed for verifying the accuracy of the complete measurement system and performance of the software. The system check is performed with tissue equivalent material according to IEEE 1528. The following table shows system check results for all frequency bands and tissue liquids used during the tests (plot(s) see annex A).

System performance check (1000 mW)								
System validation Kit	Frequency	Target SAR _{1g} (+/- 10%)	Target SAR _{10g} (+/- 10%)	Measured SAR _{1g} mW/g	SAR _{1g} dev. %	Measured SAR _{10g} mW/g	SAR _{10g} dev. %	Measured date
D2450V2 S/N: 710	2450 MHz body	51.2	23.9	54.6	6.6%	24.8	3.8%	2013-06-11

Table 7: Results system check

6.1.14 System check procedure

The system check is performed by using a validation dipole which is positioned parallel to the planar part of the SAM phantom at the reference point. The distance of the dipole to the SAM phantom is determined by a plexiglass spacer. The dipole is connected to the signal source consisting of signal generator and amplifier via a directional coupler, N-connector cable and adaption to SMA. It is fed with a power of 1000 mW for frequencies below 2 GHz or 100 mW for frequencies above 2 GHz. To adjust this power a power meter is used. The power sensor is connected to the cable before the system check to measure the power at this point and do adjustments at the signal generator. At the outputs of the directional coupler both return loss as well as forward power are controlled during the validation to make sure that emitted power at the dipole is kept constant. This can also be checked by the power drift measurement after the test (result on plot). System check results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration (target SAR in table above) with the relevant liquids and test system.



7 Detailed Test Results

7.1 Conducted power measurements

7.1.1 Conducted power measurements WLAN 2.4 GHz

Channel / frequency	modulation	bit rate	avg. power
1 / 2412 MHz	CCK	1 MBit/s	13.2dBm
6 / 2437 MHz	CCK	1 MBit/s	13.0dBm
11 / 2462 MHz	CCK	1 MBit/s	13.3dBm
1 / 2412 MHz	OFDM	6 MBit/s	9.8dBm
6 / 2437 MHz	OFDM	6 MBit/s	10.1dBm
11 / 2462 MHz	OFDM	6 MBit/s	10.1dBm

Table 8: Test results conducted power measurement WLAN 2.4 GHz

7.1.2 Standalone SAR Test Exclusion

b) Body position

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations						
Communication system	freq. (MHz)	distance (mm)	P _{avg} * (dBm)	P _{avg} * (mW)	threshold _{1-g} comparison value	SAR test exclusion
WLAN 2450	2450	5	13.5	22.4	7.0	no
Bluetooth 2450	2450	5	9.5	8.9	2.8	yes

Table 9: Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations in body position

P_{avg}* - maximum possible output power declared by manufacturer

The **1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds** for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot \sqrt{f(\text{GHz})} \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR, where:

- f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
- When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion

7.2 SAR test results

7.2.1 Results overview

measured / extrapolated SAR numbers - Body - WLAN 2450 MHz									
Ch.	freq. (MHz)	Test condition	distance (mm)	Position	cond. output power (dBm)		SAR _{1g} results(W/kg)		liquid temp.(°C)
					declared**	measured	measured	extrapolated	
1	2412	1Mbit/s	0	top	13.5	13.2	1.220	1.307	21.9
6	2437	1Mbit/s	0	top	13.5	13.0	1.170	1.313	21.9
11	2462	1Mbit/s	0	top	13.5	13.3	1.400	1.466	21.9
11	2462	1Mbit/s	0	left	13.5	13.3	0.025	0.026	21.9
11	2462	1Mbit/s	0	right	13.5	13.3	0.014	0.015	21.9
11	2462	1Mbit/s	0	front	13.5	13.3	0.008	0.008	21.9
11	2462	1Mbit/s	0	top*	13.5	13.3	1.460	1.529	21.9
11	2462	1Mbit/s	0	top*	13.5	13.3	1.440	1.508	21.9

Table 10: Test results body SAR WLAN 2450 MHz

* - repeated at the highest SAR measurement according to the FCC KDB 865664

** - maximum possible output power declared by manufacturer

7.2.2 General description of test procedures

- The CMU 200 communications tester was used for the monitoring.
- Test positions as described in the tables above are in accordance with the specified test standard.
- Tests in body position were performed in that configuration, which generates the highest time based averaged output power (see conducted power results).
- WLAN was tested in 802.11b mode with 1 MBit/s. According to KDB 248227 the SAR testing for 802.11g is not required since the maximum power of 802.11g is less ¼ dB higher than maximum power of 802.11b.
- Required WLAN test channels were selected according to KDB 248227
- Tests in body position were performed without any distance between DUT and SAM.
- According to IEEE 1528 the SAR test shall be performed at middle channel. Testing of top and bottom channel is optional.
- According to KDB 447498 D01 testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the reported 1-g or 10-g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:
 - ≤ 0.8 W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is ≤ 100 MHz
 - ≤ 0.6 W/kg or 1.5 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is between 100 MHz and 200 MHz
 - ≤ 0.4 W/kg or 1.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is ≥ 200 MHz

8 Test equipment and ancillaries used for tests

To simplify the identification of the test equipment and/or ancillaries which were used, the reporting of the relevant test cases only refer to the test item number as specified in the table below.

Equipment	Type	Manufacturer	Serial No.	Last Calibration	Frequency (months)
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	ET3DV6	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	1558	August 24, 2012	12
2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	D2450V2	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	710	August 13, 2012	24
Data acquisition electronics	DAE3V1	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	477	May 13, 2013	12
Software	DASY52 52.8.5	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	---	N/A	--
Phantom	SAM	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	---	N/A	--
Universal Radio Communication Tester	CMU 200	Rohde & Schwarz	106826	January 16, 2013	24
Network Analyser 300 kHz to 6 GHz	8753ES	Hewlett Packard)*	US39174436	February 24, 2012	24
Dielectric Probe Kit	85070C	Hewlett Packard	US99360146	N/A	12
Signal Generator	8671B	Hewlett Packard	2823A00656	January 15, 2013	24
Amplifier	25S1G4 (25 Watt)	Amplifier Reasearch	20452	N/A	--
Power Meter	NRP	Rohde & Schwarz	101367	January 15, 2013	24
Power Meter Sensor	NRP Z22	Rohde & Schwarz	100227	January 14, 2013	12
Power Meter Sensor	NRP Z22	Rohde & Schwarz	100234	January 14, 2013	12
Directional Coupler	778D	Hewlett Packard	19171	January 14, 2013	12

)* : Network analyzer probe calibration against air, distilled water and a shorting block performed before measuring liquid parameters.

9 Observations

No observations exceeding those reported with the single test cases have been made.

Annex A: System performance check

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 12:18:33

SystemPerformanceCheck-D2450 body 2013-06-11

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 710

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Frequency: 2450 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB; PMF: 1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.99$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;

- Modulation Compensation:

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013

- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041

- DASYS2 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

System Performance Check/d=10mm, Pin=100 mW, dist=4.0mm/Area Scan (51x51x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 7.70 W/kg


System Performance Check/d=10mm, Pin=100 mW, dist=4.0mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 54.308 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.46 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.48 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 5.80 W/kg



0 dB = 5.80 W/kg = 7.63 dBW/kg

Additional information:

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Annex B: DASY5 measurement results

Annex B.1: WLAN 2450MHz

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 13:08:21

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2412 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.93$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76$ dB, $b=67.0$ dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9$ dB / Y: $a=2.60$ dB, $b=66.5$ dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9$ dB / Z: $a=2.38$ dB, $b=64.1$ dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9$ dB); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Top position - Low/Area Scan (131x131x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.36 W/kg

Configuration/Top position - Low/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 23.929 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.98 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.22 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.439 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.43 W/kg



0 dB = 1.43 W/kg = 1.55 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 15:09:13

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.96$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76$ dB, $b=67.0$ dB $\sqrt{\mu}$ V, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9$ dB / Y: $a=2.60$ dB, $b=66.5$ dB $\sqrt{\mu}$ V, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9$ dB / Z: $a=2.38$ dB, $b=64.1$ dB $\sqrt{\mu}$ V, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9$ dB); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASYS52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Top position - Middle/Area Scan (131x131x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.60 W/kg

Configuration/Top position - Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 26.811 V/m; Power Drift = -0.17 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.70 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.17 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.423 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.36 W/kg



0 dB = 1.36 W/kg = 1.34 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 15:39:19

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 2$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76$ dB, $b=67.0$ dB/ μ V, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9$ dB / Y: $a=2.60$ dB, $b=66.5$ dB/ μ V, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9$ dB / Z: $a=2.38$ dB, $b=64.1$ dB/ μ V, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9$ dB); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASYS52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Top position - High/Area Scan (131x131x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.46 W/kg

Configuration/Top position - High/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 26.304 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.41 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.513 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.53 W/kg



0 dB = 1.53 W/kg = 1.85 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 13:34:03

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 2$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76$ dB, $b=67.0$ dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9$ dB / Y: $a=2.60$ dB, $b=66.5$ dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9$ dB / Z: $a=2.38$ dB, $b=64.1$ dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9$ dB); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Left side position - High/Area Scan (131x171x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0302 W/kg

Configuration/Left side position - High/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 3.790 V/m; Power Drift = -0.15 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0520 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.025 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.012 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0291 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0291 W/kg = -15.36 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 14:06:50

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 2$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76$ dB, $b=67.0$ dB/ μ V, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9$ dB / Y: $a=2.60$ dB, $b=66.5$ dB/ μ V, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9$ dB / Z: $a=2.38$ dB, $b=64.1$ dB/ μ V, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9$ dB); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Right side position - High/Area Scan (131x171x1): Interpolated

grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0205 W/kg

Configuration/Right side position - High/Zoom Scan (9x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 2.813 V/m; Power Drift = 0.19 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0500 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.014 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.00664 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0158 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0158 W/kg = -18.01 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 17:57:18

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76 \text{ dB}$, $b=67.0 \text{ dB}/\mu\text{V}$, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9 \text{ dB}$ / Y: $a=2.60 \text{ dB}$, $b=66.5 \text{ dB}/\mu\text{V}$, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9 \text{ dB}$ / Z: $a=2.38 \text{ dB}$, $b=64.1 \text{ dB}/\mu\text{V}$, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9 \text{ dB}$); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Front side position - High/Area Scan (131x171x1): Interpolated

grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$

Reference Value = 0.653 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Fast SAR: SAR(1 g) = 0.00763 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.00294 W/kg

Configuration/Front side position - High/Zoom Scan (13x11x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 1.404 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.00516 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = $7.65e-005 \text{ W/kg}$; SAR(10 g) = $1.72e-005 \text{ W/kg}$

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.00562 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0152 W/kg = -18.18 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C ; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 16:31:33

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 2$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS5

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76$ dB, $b=67.0$ dB $\sqrt{\mu}$ V, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9$ dB / Y: $a=2.60$ dB, $b=66.5$ dB $\sqrt{\mu}$ V, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9$ dB / Z: $a=2.38$ dB, $b=64.1$ dB $\sqrt{\mu}$ V, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9$ dB); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Top position - High wc1/Area Scan (131x131x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.53 W/kg

Configuration/Top position - High wc1/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 26.787 V/m; Power Drift = -0.15 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.19 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.46 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.512 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.69 W/kg



0 dB = 1.69 W/kg = 2.28 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C

Date/Time: 11.06.2013 16:57:23

OET65-Body-WLAN

DUT: FLIR-E64501 (Exx); Type: Flir E60; Serial: 49038203

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps); Communication System Band: ISM 2.4 GHz Band, World but Japan (2401.5 - 2482.5 MHz); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Communication System PAR: 1.87 dB; PMF: 1.04833

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1558; ConvF(4.06, 4.06, 4.06); Calibrated: 24.08.2012;
- Modulation Compensation: PMR (X: $a=2.76 \text{ dB}$, $b=67.0 \text{ dB}/\mu\text{V}$, $c=18.1$, $d=1.9 \text{ dB}$ / Y: $a=2.60 \text{ dB}$, $b=66.5 \text{ dB}/\mu\text{V}$, $c=17.9$, $d=1.9 \text{ dB}$ / Z: $a=2.38 \text{ dB}$, $b=64.1 \text{ dB}/\mu\text{V}$, $c=16.5$, $d=1.9 \text{ dB}$); Calibrated: 24.08.2012
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.7, 32.7$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn477; Calibrated: 13.05.2013
- Phantom: SAM Left; Type: SAM ; Serial: TP 1041
- DASY52 52.8.5(1059); SEMCAD X 14.6.8(7028)

Configuration/Top position - High wc2/Area Scan (131x131x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.89 W/kg

Configuration/Top position - High wc2/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 27.851 V/m; Power Drift = -0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.51 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.44 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.527 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.71 W/kg




0 dB = 1.71 W/kg = 2.33 dBW/kg

Additional information:

position or distance of DUT to SAM: 0 mm

ambient temperature: 23.5°C; liquid temperature: 21.9°C


Annex B.2: Z-axis scan



2450 body

Annex B.3: Liquid depth

Photo 1: Liquid depth 2450 MHz body simulating liquid



Annex C: Photo documentation

Photo 1: Measurement System DASY 5




Photo 2: DUT - front view



Photo 3: DUT - side view



Photo 4: DUT - top view



Photo 5: DUT - underside view



Photo 6: Label



Photo 7: Test body position top side



Photo 8: Test body position left side



Photo 9: Test body position right side



Photo 10: Test body position front side



Annex D: RF Technical Brief Cover Sheet acc. to RSS-102 Annex A

- 1. COMPANY NUMBER: **5306A**
- 2. MODEL NUMBER: **FLIR-E64501 (Exx)**
- 3. MANUFACTURER: **FLIR Systems AB**
- 4. TYPE OF EVALUATION:

SAR Evaluation: Body-worn Device

- Multiple transmitters: Yes No
- Evaluated against exposure limits: General Public Use Controlled Use
- Duty cycle used in evaluation: 100 %
- Standard used for evaluation: RSS-102 Issue 4 (2010-03)
- SAR value: **1.529 W/kg.** Measured Computed Calculated

Annex D.1: Declaration of RF Exposure Compliance

ATTESTATION: I attest that the information provided in Annex D: is correct; that a Technical Brief was prepared and the information it contains is correct; that the device evaluation was performed or supervised by me; that applicable measurement methods and evaluation methodologies have been followed and that the device meets the SAR and/or RF exposure limits of RSS-102.

Signature:

Date: 2013-06-19

NAME : **Thomas Vogler**

TITLE : Dipl.-Ing. (FH)

COMPANY : CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Annex E: Calibration parameters

Calibration parameters are described in the additional document:

Appendix to test report no. 1-6080/13-01-09 Calibration data, Phantom certificate and detail information of the DASY System

Annex F: Document History

Version	Applied Changes	Date of Release
	Initial Release	2013-06-19

Annex G: Further Information

Glossary

BW	-	Bandwidth
DTS	-	Distributed Transmission System
DUT	-	Device under Test
EUT	-	Equipment under Test
FCC	-	Federal Communication Commission
FCC ID	-	Company Identifier at FCC
HW	-	Hardware
IC	-	Industry Canada
Inv. No.	-	Inventory number
LTE	-	Long Term Evolution
N/A	-	not applicable
PCE	-	Personal Consumption Expenditure
OET	-	Office of Engineering and Technology
RB	-	resource block(s)
SAR	-	Specific Absorption Rate
S/N	-	Serial Number
SPLSR _i	-	SAR-to-(peak-locations spacing) ratio
SW	-	Software
UNII	-	Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure