

# FCC SAR Test Report

**APPLICANT** : LSIS Co., Ltd  
**EQUIPMENT** : MINI COMPUTER, MOBILE COMPUTER  
**BRAND NAME** : LS  
**MODEL NAME** : IU9067  
**FCC ID** : Z8TIU9067LS001  
**STANDARD** : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)  
IEEE C95.1-1991  
IEEE 1528-2003  
FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01)

The product was received on Aug. 16, 2011 and completely tested on Dec. 17, 2011. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the procedures and shown the compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC., the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Reviewed by:



---

Jones Tsai / Manager



**SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC.**  
**No. 3-2, PingXiang Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu Province, P.R.C.**

## Table of Contents

Revision History .....	3
1. Statement of Compliance .....	4
2 Administration Data .....	5
2.1 Testing Laboratory .....	5
2.2 Applicant .....	5
2.3 Manufacturer .....	5
2.4 Application Details .....	5
3 General Information .....	6
3.1 Description of Device Under Test (DUT) .....	6
3.2 Product Photos .....	6
3.3 Applied Standards .....	7
3.4 Device Category and SAR Limits .....	7
3.5 Test Conditions .....	7
3.5.1 Ambient Condition .....	7
3.5.2 Test Configuration .....	7
4 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) .....	8
4.1 Introduction .....	8
4.2 SAR Definition .....	8
5 SAR Measurement System .....	9
5.1 E-Field Probe .....	10
5.1.1 E-Field Probe Specification .....	10
5.1.2 E-Field Probe Calibration .....	11
5.2 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) .....	11
5.3 Robot .....	11
5.4 Measurement Server .....	12
5.5 Phantom .....	13
5.6 Device Holder .....	14
5.7 Data Storage and Evaluation .....	15
5.7.1 Data Storage .....	15
5.7.2 Data Evaluation .....	15
5.8 Test Equipment List .....	17
6 Tissue Simulating Liquids .....	18
7 Uncertainty Assessment .....	20
8 SAR Measurement Evaluation .....	22
8.1 Purpose of System Performance check .....	22
8.2 System Setup .....	22
8.3 Validation Results .....	23
9 DUT Testing Position .....	24
10 Measurement Procedures .....	26
10.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation .....	26
10.2 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures .....	27
10.3 Volume Scan Procedures .....	27
10.4 SAR Averaged Methods .....	27
10.5 Power Drift Monitoring .....	27
11 SAR Test Configurations .....	28
11.1 Exposure Positions Consideration .....	28
11.2 Simultaneous Transmitting Configurations .....	28
12 SAR Test Results .....	29
12.1 Conducted Power (Unit: dBm) .....	29
12.2 Test Records for Body SAR Test .....	30
13 References .....	31

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check

Appendix B. Plots of SAR Measurement

Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate

Appendix D. Products Photos

Appendix E. Test Setup Photos



## **Revision History**

REPORT NO.	VERSION	DESCRIPTION	ISSUED DATE
FA181603	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Dec. 19, 2011

## 1. Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for **LSIS Co., Ltd MINI COMPUTER, MOBILE COMPUTER LS IU9067** are as follows (with expanded uncertainty 21.97 % for 300 MHz to 3 GHz).

### <Standalone SAR>

Band	Position	SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
802.11 b	Body (0 cm)	0.203
RFID	Body (0 cm)	0.086

This device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003 and FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01).

## 2 Administration Data

### 2.1 Testing Laboratory

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC.
Test Site Location	No. 3-2, PingXiang Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu Province, P.R.C. TEL: +86-0512-5790-0158 FAX: +86-0512-5790-0958
Test Site No.	SAR01-KS

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.
Test Site Location	No. 52, Hwa Ya 1 <sup>st</sup> Rd., Hwa Ya Technology Park, Kwei-Shan Hsiang, Tao Yuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C. TEL: +886-3-327-3456 FAX: +886-3-328-4978
Test Site No.	SAR02-HY

### 2.2 Applicant

Company Name	LSIS Co., Ltd
Address	1026-6, Hogle-dong, Dong-an-gu Anyang-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea

### 2.3 Manufacturer

Company Name	DongGuan BG Electronic Co., Limited.
Address	The 2nd Bldg, The 5th Industrial Zone, Shang Sha-District, Chang An-Town, Guang Dong-Province, 523870, Dong Guan-City, People's Republic of China

### 2.4 Application Details

Date of Receipt of Application	Aug. 16, 2011
Date of Start during the Test	Nov. 01, 2011
Date of End during the Test	Dec. 17, 2011

### **3 General Information**

#### ***3.1 Description of Device Under Test (DUT)***

<b>Product Feature &amp; Specification</b>	
<b>DUT Type</b>	MINI COMPUTER, MOBILE COMPUTER
<b>Brand Name</b>	LS
<b>Model Name</b>	IU9067
<b>FCC ID</b>	Z8TIU9067LS001
<b>Tx Frequency</b>	802.11b/g : 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz RFID : 902.75 MHz ~ 927.25 MHz Bluetooth : 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz
<b>Rx Frequency</b>	802.11b/g : 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz RFID : 902.75 MHz ~ 927.25 MHz Bluetooth : 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz
<b>Maximum Output Power to Antenna</b>	802.11b : 18.26 dBm 802.11g : 23.71 dBm RFID : 24.06 dBm Bluetooth: 3.84 dBm
<b>Antenna Type</b>	WLAN : Chip Antenna Bluetooth : Chip Antenna RFID : Quadrifilar Meander Antenna
<b>HW Version</b>	IU906X MAIN MP
<b>SW Version</b>	Windows CE5.0 Professional
<b>Type of Modulation</b>	802.11b : DSSS (BPSK / QPSK / CCK) 802.11g : OFDM (BPSK / QPSK / 16QAM / 64QAM) RFID : FHSS (ASK) Bluetooth (1Mbps) : GFSK Bluetooth EDR (2Mbps) : $\pi/4$ -DQPSK Bluetooth EDR (3Mbps) : 8-DPSK
<b>DUT Stage</b>	Identical Prototype

**Remark:**

The above DUT's information was declared by manufacturer. Please refer to the specifications or user's manual for more detailed description.

#### ***3.2 Product Photos***

Please refer to Appendix D.

### 3.3 Applied Standards

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards:

- FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
- IEEE C95.1-1991
- IEEE 1528-2003
- FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01)
- FCC KDB 648474 D01 v01r05
- FCC KDB 447498 D01 v04
- FCC KDB 248227 D01 v01r02

### 3.4 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.

### 3.5 Test Conditions

#### 3.5.1 Ambient Condition

Ambient Temperature	20 to 24 °C
Humidity	< 60 %

#### 3.5.2 Test Configuration

The device was controlled by using a base station emulator. Communication between the device and the emulator was established by air link. The distance between the DUT and the antenna of the emulator is larger than 50 cm and the output power radiated from the emulator antenna is at least 30 dB smaller than the output power of DUT. The DUT was set from the emulator to radiate maximum output power during all tests.

For WLAN and RFID SAR testing, WLAN and RFID engineering testing software installed on the DUT can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. This RF signal utilized in SAR measurement has almost 100% duty cycle and its crest factor is 1 for WLAN, and 46.63% duty cycle and its crest factor is 3.31 for RFID.

## 4 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

### **Introduction**

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

### **SAR Definition**

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C \left( \frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity,  $\delta T$  is the temperature rise and  $\delta t$  is the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by


$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



## 5 SAR Measurement System



**Fig 5.1 SPEAG DASY4 or DASY5 System Configurations**

The DASY4 or DASY5 system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, a teach pendant and software
- A data acquisition electronic (DAE) attached to the robot arm extension
- A dosimetric probe equipped with an optical surface detector system
- The electro-optical converter (ECO) performs the conversion between optical and electrical signals
- A measurement server performs the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the accuracy of the probe positioning
- A computer operating Windows XP
- DASY4 or DASY5 software
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom
- A device holder
- Tissue simulating liquid
- Dipole for evaluating the proper functioning of the system


Some of the components are described in details in the following sub-sections.

## E-Field Probe


The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

### 5.1.1 E-Field Probe Specification

#### <ET3DV6 Probe >

<b>Construction</b>	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)	
<b>Frequency</b>	10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB	
<b>Directivity</b>	$\pm 0.2$ dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.4$ dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)	
<b>Dynamic Range</b>	5 $\mu$ W/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB	
<b>Dimensions</b>	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm	
		<b>Fig 5.2 Photo of ET3DV6</b>

#### <EX3DV4 Probe>

<b>Construction</b>	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)	
<b>Frequency</b>	10 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB	
<b>Directivity</b>	$\pm 0.3$ dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.5$ dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
<b>Dynamic Range</b>	10 $\mu$ W/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (noise: typically < 1 $\mu$ W/g)	
<b>Dimensions</b>	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm	
		<b>Fig 5.3 Photo of EX3DV4</b>

### 5.1.2 E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within  $\pm 0.25$  dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, and NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to appendix C of this report.

### Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



**Fig 5.4 Photo of DAE**

### Robot

The SPEAG DASY system uses the high precision robots (DASY5: TX90XL) type from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability  $\pm 0.035$  mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)



**Fig 5.5 Photo of DASY5**



**Fig 5.6 Photo of DASY5**

### **Measurement Server**

The measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with CPU (DASY5: 400 MHz, Intel Celeron), chipdisk (DASY5: 128 MB), RAM (DASY5: 128 MB). The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE electronic box, as well as the 16 bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.


The measurement server performs all the real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operations.



**Fig 5.7 Photo of Server for DASY5**

## Phantom


### <SAM Twin Phantom>

<b>Shell Thickness</b>	2 ± 0.2 mm; Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm	
<b>Filling Volume</b>	Approx. 25 liters	
<b>Dimensions</b>	Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm; Height: adjustable feet	
<b>Measurement Areas</b>	Left Hand, Right Hand, Flat Phantom	

**Fig 5.8 Photo of SAM Phantom**

The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

### <ELI4 Phantom>

<b>Shell Thickness</b>	2 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%)	
<b>Filling Volume</b>	Approx. 30 liters	
<b>Dimensions</b>	Major ellipse axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm	


**Fig 5.9 Photo of ELI4 Phantom**

The ELI4 phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with standard and all known tissue simulating liquids.

### ***Device Holder***

#### **<Laptop Extension Kit>**

The extension is lightweight and made of POM, acrylic glass and foam. It fits easily on the upper part of the mounting device in place of the phone positioned. The extension is fully compatible with the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms.



**Fig 5.10 Laptop Extension Kit**

## Data Storage and Evaluation

### 5.7.1 Data Storage

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The post-processing software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of erroneous parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with an incorrect crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be reevaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type (e.g., [V/m], [A/m], [mW/g]). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or give meaningless results, e.g., a SAR-output in a non-lose media, will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

### 5.7.2 Data Evaluation

The DASY post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software :

<b>Probe parameters :</b>	- Sensitivity	Norm <sub>i</sub> , a <sub>i0</sub> , a <sub>i1</sub> , a <sub>i2</sub>
	- Conversion factor	ConvF <sub>i</sub>
	- Diode compression point	dcp <sub>i</sub>
<b>Device parameters :</b>	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
<b>Media parameters :</b>	- Conductivity	$\sigma$
	- Density	$\rho$

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power.

The formula for each channel can be given as :

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)  
 $U_i$  = input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)  
 cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)  
 dcp<sub>i</sub> = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated :

$$\text{E-field Probes : } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{\text{Norm}_i \cdot \text{ConvF}}}$$

$$\text{H-field Probes : } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)  
 $\text{Norm}_i$  = sensor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z),  $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$  for E-field Probes  
 ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution  
 $a_{ij}$  = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes  
 f = carrier frequency [GHz]  
 $E_i$  = electric field strength of channel i in V/m  
 $H_i$  = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude) :

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$\text{SAR} = E_{\text{tot}}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g  
 $E_{\text{tot}}$  = total field strength in V/m  
 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]  
 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in  $\text{g/cm}^3$

Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid.



**Test Equipment List**

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1210	Nov. 18, 2010	Nov. 17, 2011
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1279	Jun. 17, 2011	Jun. 16, 2012
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	778	Oct. 22, 2011	Oct. 21, 2012
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	ET3DV6	1787	May 20, 2011	May 19, 2012
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	3792	Jun. 20, 2011	Jun. 19, 2012
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	840	Mar. 18, 2010	Mar. 16, 2012
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	736	Jul. 25, 2011	Jul. 24, 2012
SPEAG	900MHz System Validation Kit	D900V2	190	Jul. 19, 2011	Jul. 18, 2014
SPEAG	SAM Twin Phantom	QD 000 P40 CB	TP-1477	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Twin Phantom	QD 000 P40 CB	TP-1479	NCR	NCR
Agilent	ENA Series Network Analyzer	E5071C	MY46111157	Apr. 07, 2011	Apr. 06, 2012
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	E5515C	MY50264165	Mar. 30, 2011	Mar. 29, 2012
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070E	MY44300475	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Base Station	E5515C	GB47050646	Aug. 18, 2011	Aug. 17, 2012
AR	Amplifier	551G4	333096	NCR	NCR
R&S	Spectrum Analyzer	FSP30	101400	Jun. 02, 2011	Jun. 01, 2012
R&S	Signal Generator	SMR40	100455	Jan. 06, 2011	Jan. 05, 2012

**Table 5.1 Test Equipment List**

**Note :** The calibration certificate of DASY can be referred to appendix C of this report. Referring to KDB 450824, the justification of dipole extended calibration is needed beyond 1 year. The justification data of dipole D2450V2, SN: 840, can be found in appendix C.

## 6 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom with DASY, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 6.1. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 6.2.



**Fig 6.1 Photo of Liquid Height for Head SAR**



**Fig 6.2 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR**

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity ( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )
<b>For Body</b>								
900	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.97	41.5
2450	68.6	0	0	0	0	31.4	1.95	52.7

**Table 6.1 Recipes of Tissue Simulating Liquid**

The following table gives the targets for tissue simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Conductivity ( $\sigma$ )	$\pm 5\%$ Range	Permittivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )	$\pm 5\%$ Range
900	Body	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6
2450	Body	1.95	1.85 ~ 2.05	52.7	50.1 ~ 55.3

**Table 6.2 Targets of Tissue Simulating Liquid**

The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Freq.	Liquid Type	Temp. (°C)	Conductivity ( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )	Conductivity Target ( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity Target ( $\epsilon_r$ )	Delta ( $\sigma$ ) (%)	Delta ( $\epsilon_r$ ) (%)	Limit (%)	Date
2450	Body	21.4	2.0	53.5	1.95	52.7	2.56	1.52	±5	Nov. 02, 2011
2450	Body	21.5	1.96	51.6	1.95	52.7	0.51	-2.09	±5	Dec. 15, 2011
900	Body	21.3	1.06	54	1.05	55	0.95	-1.82	±5	Dec. 17, 2011

**Table 6.3 Measuring Results for Simulating Liquid**

CH	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Conductivity( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity( $\epsilon_r$ )	Measurement Date
1	2412	Body	1.93	53.5	Nov. 02, 2011
6	2437	Body	1.98	53.5	Nov. 02, 2011
11	2462	Body	2.03	53.4	Nov. 02, 2011
1	2412	Body	1.91	51.7	Dec. 15, 2011
6	2437	Body	1.95	51.6	Dec. 15, 2011
11	2462	Body	1.98	51.6	Dec. 15, 2011
1	902.75	Body	1.06	54	Dec. 17, 2011
24	914.25	Body	1.07	53.9	Dec. 17, 2011
50	927.25	Body	1.09	53.8	Dec. 17, 2011

**Table 6.4 Low/mid/High channel for liquid validation**

## **7 Uncertainty Assessment**

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table 7.1

<b>Uncertainty Distributions</b>	<b>Normal</b>	<b>Rectangular</b>	<b>Triangular</b>	<b>U-Shape</b>
Multi-plying Factor <sup>(a)</sup>	1/ $\kappa$ <sup>(b)</sup>	1/ $\sqrt{3}$	1/ $\sqrt{6}$	1/ $\sqrt{2}$

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b)  $\kappa$  is the coverage factor

**Table 7.1 Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution**

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 7.2.

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)
<b>Measurement System</b>					
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %
Boundary Effects	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.5 %
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2 %
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
Max. SAR Eval.	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
<b>Test Sample Related</b>					
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>					
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 1.6 %
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	± 1.5 %
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty</b>					± 10.99 %
<b>Coverage Factor for 95 %</b>					K = 2
<b>Expanded Uncertainty</b>					± 21.97 %

**Table 7.2 Uncertainty Budget of DASY for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz**

## 8 SAR Measurement Evaluation

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

### 8.1 Purpose of System Performance check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

### 8.2 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:




Fig 8.1 System Setup for System Evaluation

1. Signal Generator
2. Amplifier
3. Directional Coupler
4. Power Meter
5. Calibrated Dipole

The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 24 dBm (250 mW) before dipole is connected.



**Fig 8.2 Photo of Dipole Setup**

### 8.3 Validation Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. Table 8.1 shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Targeted SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Normalized (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
Nov. 02, 2011	2450	Body	52.100	13.100	52.40	0.58
Dec. 15, 2011	2450	Body	52.300	14.000	56.00	7.07
Dec. 17, 2011	900	Body	11	2.6	10.40	-5.45


**Table 8.1 Target and Measurement SAR after Normalized**

## 9 DUT Testing Position

This DUT was tested in four different positions. They are Face of the DUT with phantom 0 cm gap, Bottom of the DUT with phantom 0 cm gap, Left Side of the DUT with phantom 0 cm gap and Right Side of the DUT with phantom 0 cm gap as illustrated below:

### 1. Define two imaginary lines on the handset

- The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset - the midpoint of the width  $w_t$  of the handset at the level of the acoustic output, and the midpoint of the width  $w_b$  of the bottom of the handset.
- The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output. The horizontal line is also tangential to the face of the handset at point A.
- The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output; however, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset, especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip covers, and other irregularly shaped handsets.




**Fig 9.1 Illustration for Handset Vertical and Horizontal Reference Lines**



## 2. Body Worn Position

- (a) To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- (b) To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- (c) To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 0 cm.



**Fig 9.2 Illustration for Body Worn Position**

### <DUT Setup Photos>

Please refer to Appendix D for the test setup photos.

## **10 Measurement Procedures**

The measurement procedures are as follows:

- (a) Set base station emulator to allow DUT to radiate maximum output power
- (b) For WLAN function, using engineering software to transmit RF power continuously (continuous Tx) in the highest power channel
- (c) Measure output power through RF cable and power meter
- (d) Place the DUT in the positions described in the last section
- (e) Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY software
- (f) Taking data for the highest power channel on each testing position
- (g) Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band
- (h) Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the SAR of highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

### **10.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation**

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- (a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- (b) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- (c) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- (d) Interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- (e) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- (f) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

## **10.2 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures**

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan measures 5x5x7 points with step size 8, 8 and 5 mm for 300 MHz to 3 GHz, and 8x8x8 points with step size 4, 4 and 2.5 mm for 3 GHz to 6 GHz. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g.

## **10.3 Volume Scan Procedures**

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the DUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing (step-size is 4, 4 and 2.5 mm). When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

## **10.4 SAR Averaged Methods**

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.


Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

## **10.5 Power Drift Monitoring**

All SAR testing is under the DUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of DUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drift more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

## 11 SAR Test Configurations

### 11.1 Exposure Positions Consideration



### 11.2 Simultaneous Transmitting Configurations

	Applicable Combination
Simultaneous Transmission	WLAN+BT
	RFID+BT
	WLAN+RFID

**Note:**

- 1, According to KDB 648474, the stand-alone SAR for Bluetooth was not required, because the closest separation distance of these antennas (4 cm) is between 2.5cm~5 cm and the output power of Bluetooth (3.05dBm) is less than PRef (10.8 dBm),
- 2, The simultaneous transmission SAR for WLAN and BT & RFID and BT were not required because Bluetooth standalone SAR is not required and the maximum WLAN SAR (0.203 W/kg) and RFID SAR (0.086 W/kg), respectively, the SAR summation is less than 1.6 W/kg.
- 3, According to KDB 648474, the simultaneous transmission SAR for RFID and WLAN was not required, because the SAR summation (Body: 0.20685W/kg) is less than 1.6 W/kg.

## 12 SAR Test Results

### 12.1 Conducted Power (Unit: dBm)

#### <WLAN>

Band	802.11b			802.11g		
Channel	1	6	11	1	6	11
Frequency (MHz)	2412	2437	2462	2412	2437	2462
Average Power	13.89	13.68	13.97	13.06	13.02	13.58
Peak Power	18.23	18.24	18.26	23.59	23.64	23.71

#### Note:

- 1, Per KDB 248227, choose 11b mode to test SAR; 11g output power is less than 11b mode, and SAR can be excluded.
- 2, The max WLAN power set in 802.11b (13.97dbm) < 28.04dbm, according to KDB447498, the extremity SAR evaluation is not required.

#### <RFID>

Band	RFID		
Channel	1	24	50
Frequency	902.75	914.25	927.25
Avg. Power	23.76	23.78	23.83
Peak Power	23.99	23.98	24.06

#### Note:

The max RFID power (23.83dbm) < 30.16dbm, according to KDB447498, the extremity SAR evaluation is not required.

#### <Bluetooth>

Band	Bluetooth		
Channel	0	39	78
Frequency	2402	2441	2480
Avg. Power	1.21	1.40	3.05
Peak Power	2.11	2.39	3.84

#### Note:

Bluetooth standalone and extremity SAR are not required because the Bluetooth highest average power (3.05dBm) is less than 60/f.

## 12.2 Test Records for Body SAR Test

### <WLAN>

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
1	802.11b	-	Face	0	11	0.203
2	802.11b	-	Bottom	0	11	0.014
3	802.11b	-	Left Side	0	11	0.108
4	802.11b	-	Right Side	0	11	0.00194

### <RFID>

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	Earphone	Handle	SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
1	RFID	-	Face	0	50	V	-	0.00385
2	RFID	-	Bottom	0	50	V	-	0.086
3	RFID	-	Left Side	0	50	-	V	0.021
4	RFID	-	Right Side	0	50	V	V	0.029

## 12.3 Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission

### <Maximum SAR list for each band and position>

	802.11b/g	RFID	Max. SAR Summation	Result
Face	0.203	0.00385	0.20685	PASS
Bottom	0.014	0.086	0.1	PASS
Left Side	0.108	0.021	0.129	PASS
Right Side	0.00194	0.029	0.03094	PASS

#### Note:

1. The maximum SAR summation is calculated based on the same configuration and test position.
2. For 1g-SAR scalar summation < 1.6W/kg, simultaneous SAR measurement is not necessary.

Test Engineer : Suhe Yin and Jason Wang

## **13 References**

- [1] FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"
- [2] IEEE Std. C95.1-1991, "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz", 1991
- [3] IEEE Std. 1528-2003, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) Supplement C (Edition 01-01), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", June 2001
- [5] SPEAG DASY System Handbook
- [6] FCC KDB 248227 D01 v01r02, "SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters", May 2007
- [7] FCC KDB 447498 D01 v04, "Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies", November 2009
- [8] FCC KDB 447498 D02 v02, "SAR Measurement Procedures for USB Dongle Transmitters", November 2009
- [9] FCC KDB 616217 D01 v01r01, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens", November 2009
- [10] FCC KDB 616217 D03 v01, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop/Notebook/Netbook and Tablet Computers", November 2009
- [11] FCC KDB 648474 D01 v01r05, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas", September 2008
- [12] FCC KDB 941225 D01 v02, "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices – CDMA 2000 / Ev-Do / WCDMA / HSDPA / HSPA", October 2007
- [13] FCC KDB 941225 D02 v02 "3GPP R6 HSPA and R7 HSPA+ SAR Guidance", December 2009.
- [14] FCC KDB 941225 D03 v01, "Recommended SAR Test Reduction Procedures for GSM / GPRS / EDGE", December 2008
- [15] FCC KDB 941225 D04 v01, "Evaluating SAR for GSM/(E)GPRS Dual Transfer Mode", January 27 2010
- [16] FCC KDB 941225 D06 v01, "SAR Evaluation Procedures for Portable Devices with Wireless Router Capabilities", April 2011