



# TEST REPORT

**Report Reference No.**..... : **TRE17080139** R/C.....: 11318  
**FCC ID**..... : **YAMVM685**  
**Applicant's name**..... : **Hytera Communications Corporation Limited**  
 Address.....: Hytera Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, 9108# Beihuan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, People's Republic of China  
 Manufacturer.....: Hytera Communications Corporation Limited  
 Address.....: Hytera Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, 9108# Beihuan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, People's Republic of China  
**Test item description** ..... : **RVM (Remote Video Speaker Microphone)**  
 Trade Mark .....: Hytera  
 Model/Type reference.....: VM685  
 Listed Model(s) .....: VM682, VM686, VM688  
**Standard** ..... : **FCC 47 CFR Part2.1093**  
**ANSI/IEEE C95.1: 1999**  
**IEEE 1528: 2013**  
 Date of receipt of test sample.....: Aug. 22, 2017  
 Date of testing.....: Aug. 31, 2017- Sep. 01,2017  
 Date of issue.....: Sep. 04, 2017  
**Result**.....: **PASS**

Compiled by  
 ( position+printedname+signature)....: File administrators: Charley Wu

*Charley Wu*

Supervised by  
 ( position+printedname+signature)....: Test Engineer: Charley Wu

*Charley Wu*

Approved by  
 ( position+printedname+signature)....: Manager: Hans Hu

*Hans Hu*

**Testing Laboratory Name** ..... : **Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd**  
 Address.....: 1/F, Bldg 3, Hongfa Hi-tech Industrial Park, Genyu Road, Tianliao, Gongming, Shenzhen, China

**Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd. All rights reserved.**

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for non-commercial purposes as long as the Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd is acknowledged as copyright owner and source of the material. Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd takes no responsibility for and will not assume liability for damages resulting from the reader's interpretation of the reproduced material due to its placement and context.

*The test report merely correspond to the test sample.*

## Contents

<u>1.</u>	<u>Test Standards and Report version</u>	<u>3</u>
1.1.	Test Standards	3
1.2.	Report version	3
<u>2.</u>	<u>Summary</u>	<u>4</u>
2.1.	Client Information	4
2.2.	Product Description	4
<u>3.</u>	<u>Test Environment</u>	<u>6</u>
3.1.	Test laboratory	6
3.2.	Test Facility	6
<u>4.</u>	<u>Equipments Used during the Test</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>5.</u>	<u>Measurement Uncertainty</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>6.</u>	<u>SAR Measurements System Configuration</u>	<u>10</u>
6.1.	SAR Measurement Set-up	10
6.2.	DASY5 E-field Probe System	11
6.3.	Phantoms	12
6.4.	Device Holder	12
<u>7.</u>	<u>SAR Test Procedure</u>	<u>13</u>
7.1.	Scanning Procedure	13
7.2.	Data Storage and Evaluation	15
<u>8.</u>	<u>Position of the wireless device in relation to the phantom</u>	<u>17</u>
8.1.	Body Position	17
<u>9.</u>	<u>System Check</u>	<u>18</u>
9.1.	Tissue Dielectric Parameters	18
9.2.	SAR System Check	19
<u>10.</u>	<u>SAR Exposure Limits</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>11.</u>	<u>Conducted Power Measurement Results</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>12.</u>	<u>Maximum Tune-up Limit</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>13.</u>	<u>Antenna Location</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>14.</u>	<u>SAR Measurement Results</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>15.</u>	<u>Test Setup Photos</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>16.</u>	<u>External and Internal Photos of the EUT</u>	<u>30</u>

## 1 . Test Standards and Report version

### 1.1. Test Standards

The tests were performed according to following standards:

[FCC 47 Part 2.1093](#) Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation:Portable Devices

[IEEE Std C95.1, 1999](#): IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 KHz to 300 GHz.

[IEEE Std 1528™-2013](#): IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

[KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04](#): SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz

[KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02](#): RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations

[KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06](#): Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies

[KDB 248227 D01 802 11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02](#): SAR Measurement Proceduresfor802.11 a/b/g Transmitters

[KDB 941225 D07 UMPC Mini Tablet v01r02](#): SAR Evaluation Procedures For UMPC Mini-Tablet Devices

### 1.2. Report version

Version No.	Date of issue	Description
00	Sep. 04, 2017	Original

## 2. Summary

### 2.1. Client Information

Applicant:	Hytera Communications Corporation Limited
Address:	Hytera Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, 9108# Beihuan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, People's Republic of China
Manufacturer:	Hytera Communications Corporation Limited
Address:	Hytera Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, 9108# Beihuan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, People's Republic of China

### 2.2. Product Description

Name of EUT:	RVM (Remote Video Speaker Microphone)
Trade Mark:	Hytera
Model No.:	VM685
Listed Model(s):	VM682, VM686, VM688
Power supply:	DC 3.8V
Device Category:	Portable
Product stage:	Production unit
RF Exposure Environment:	General Population / Uncontrolled
Device Class:	B
Hardware version:	A
Software version:	V1.01.01.008
<b>Maximum SAR Value</b>	
Separation Distance:	Body: 5mm
Max Report SAR Value (1g):	Body: <b>0.270W/Kg</b>

Note

<b>WIFI</b>	
Supported type:	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20)
Modulation:	802.11b: DSSS (DBPSK / DQPSK / CCK) 802.11g/n(HT20): OFDM (BPSK / QPSK / 16QAM / 64QAM)
Operation frequency:	2412MHz~2462MHz
Channel number:	11
Channel separation:	5MHz
Antenna type:	Internal Antenna
<b>Bluetooth</b>	
Version:	Supported BT4.0+EDR
Modulation:	GFSK, $\pi/4$ DQPSK, 8DPSK
Operation frequency:	2402MHz~2480MHz
Channel number:	79
Channel separation:	1MHz
Antenna type:	Integral Antenna
<b>Bluetooth-BLE</b>	
Version:	Supported BT4.0+BLE
Modulation:	GFSK
Operation frequency:	2402MHz~2480MHz
Channel number:	40
Channel separation:	2MHz
Antenna type:	Integral Antenna
<i>Remark:</i> <i>The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power it is only a video recording tool, upload the video to the server by WIFI/BT, usually worn on the shoulder</i>	

### **3. Test Environment**

#### **3.1. Test laboratory**

Laboratory: Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd.

Address: 1/F, Bldg 3, Hongfa Hi-tech Industrial Park, Genyu Road, Tianliao, Gongming, Shenzhen, China

#### **3.2. Test Facility**

##### **CNAS-Lab Code: L1225**

Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd. has been assessed and proved to be in compliance with CNAS-CL01 Accreditation Criteria for Testing and Calibration Laboratories (identical to ISO/IEC 17025: 2005 General Requirements) for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories.

##### **A2LA-Lab Cert. No. 3902.01**

Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd. EMC Laboratory has been accredited by A2LA for technical competence in the field of electrical testing, and proved to be in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025: 2005 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing.

##### **FCC-Registration No.: 762235**

Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd. EMC Laboratory has been registered and fully described in a report filed with the FCC (Federal Communications Commission). The acceptance letter from the FCC is maintained in our files.

##### **IC-Registration No.:5377B-1**

Two 3m Alternate Test Site of Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd. has been registered by Certification and Engineering Bureau of Industry Canada for the performance of radiated measurements with Registration No.: 5377B-1

##### **ACA**

Shenzhen Huatongwei International Inspection Co., Ltd. EMC Laboratory can also perform testing for the Australian C-Tick mark as a result of our A2LA accreditation.

#### 4. Equipments Used during the Test

Test Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Calibration	Calibration Interval
Data Acquisition Electronics DAEx	SPEAG	DAE4	1315	2017/08/15	1
E-field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3842	2017/08/15	1
System Validation Dipole D2450V2	SPEAG	D2450V2	884	2015/09/01	3
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent	85070E	US44020288	/	/
Power meter	Agilent	E4417A	GB41292254	2016/10/25	1
Power sensor	Agilent	8481H	MY41095360	2016/10/25	1
Power sensor	Agilent	E9327A	US40441621	2016/10/25	1
Network analyzer	Agilent	8753E	US37390562	2016/10/24	1
Universal Radio Communication Tester	ROHDE & SCHWARZ	CMU200	112012	2016/10/22	1
Signal Generator	ROHDE & SCHWARZ	SMBV100A	258525	2016/10/22	1
Power Divider	ARRA	A3200-2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dual Directional Coupler	Agilent	778D	50783	Note	
Attenuator 1	PE	PE7005-10	N/A	Note	
Attenuator 2	PE	PE7005-10	N/A	Note	
Attenuator 3	PE	PE7005-3	N/A	Note	
Power Amplifier	AR	5S1G4M2	0328798	Note	

*Note:*

1. *The Probe, Dipole and DAE calibration reference to the Appendix A.*
2. *Referring to KDB865664 D01, the dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justfcatio. The dipole are also not physically damaged or repaired during the interval.*

## 5. Measurement Uncertainty

Measurement Uncertainty										
No.	Error Description	Type	Uncertainty Value	Probably Distribution	Div.	(Ci) 1g	(Ci) 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)	Degree of freedom
Measurement System										
1	Probe calibration	B	6.0%	N	1	1	1	6.0%	6.0%	∞
2	Axial isotropy	B	4.70%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.90%	1.90%	∞
3	Hemispherical isotropy	B	9.60%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	3.90%	3.90%	∞
4	Boundary Effects	B	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.60%	0.60%	∞
5	Probe Linearity	B	4.70%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.70%	2.70%	∞
6	Detection limit	B	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.60%	0.60%	∞
7	RF ambient conditions-noise	B	0.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00%	0.00%	∞
8	RF ambient conditions-reflection	B	0.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00%	0.00%	∞
9	Response time	B	0.80%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.50%	0.50%	∞
10	Integration time	B	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.90%	2.90%	∞
11	RF ambient	B	3.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.70%	1.70%	∞
12	Probe positioned mech. restrictions	B	0.40%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.20%	0.20%	∞
13	Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	B	2.90%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.70%	1.70%	∞
14	Max.SAR evaluation	B	3.90%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.30%	2.30%	∞
Test Sample Related										
15	Test sample positioning	A	1.86%	N	1	1	1	1.86%	1.86%	∞
16	Device holder uncertainty	A	1.70%	N	1	1	1	1.70%	1.70%	∞
17	Drift of output power	B	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.90%	2.90%	∞
Phantom and Set-up										
18	Phantom uncertainty	B	4.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.30%	2.30%	∞
19	Liquid conductivity (target)	B	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.80%	1.20%	∞
20	Liquid conductivity (meas.)	A	0.50%	N	1	0.64	0.43	0.32%	0.26%	∞
21	Liquid permittivity (target)	B	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.80%	1.20%	∞
22	Liquid permittivity (meas.)	A	0.16%	N	1	0.64	0.43	0.10%	0.07%	∞
Combined standard uncertainty		$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{22} c_i^2 u_i^2}$		/	/	/	/	9.79%	9.67%	∞
Expanded uncertainty (confidence interval of 95 %)		$u_e = 2u_c$		R	K=2	/	/	19.57%	19.34%	∞



System Check Uncertainty										
No.	Error Description	Type	Uncertainty Value	Probably Distribution	Div.	(Ci) 1g	(Ci) 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)	Degree of freedom
Measurement System										
1	Probe calibration	B	6.0%	N	1	1	1	6.0%	6.0%	∞
2	Axial isotropy	B	4.70%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.90%	1.90%	∞
3	Hemispherical isotropy	B	9.60%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	3.90%	3.90%	∞
4	Boundary Effects	B	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.60%	0.60%	∞
5	Probe Linearity	B	4.70%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.70%	2.70%	∞
6	Detection limit	B	1.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.60%	0.60%	∞
7	RF ambient conditions-noise	B	0.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00%	0.00%	∞
8	RF ambient conditions-reflection	B	0.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00%	0.00%	∞
9	Response time	B	0.80%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.50%	0.50%	∞
10	Integration time	B	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.90%	2.90%	∞
11	RF ambient	B	3.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.70%	1.70%	∞
12	Probe positioned mech. restrictions	B	0.40%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.20%	0.20%	∞
13	Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	B	2.90%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.70%	1.70%	∞
14	Max.SAR evaluation	B	3.90%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.30%	2.30%	∞
System validation source-dipole										
15	Deviation of experimental dipole from numerical dipole	A	1.58%	N	1	1	1	1.58%	1.58%	∞
16	Dipole axis to liquid distance	A	1.35%	N	1	1	1	1.35%	1.35%	∞
17	Input power and SAR drift	B	4.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.30%	2.30%	∞
Phantom and Set-up										
18	Phantom uncertainty	B	4.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.30%	2.30%	∞
20	Liquid conductivity (meas.)	A	0.50%	N	1	0.64	0.43	0.32%	0.26%	∞
22	Liquid cpermittivity (meas.)	A	0.16%	N	1	0.64	0.43	0.10%	0.07%	∞
Combined standard uncertainty			$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{22} c_i^2 u_i^2}$	/	/	/	/	8.80%	8.79%	∞
Expanded uncertainty (confidence interval of 95 %)			$u_e = 2u_c$	R	K=2	/	/	17.59%	17.58%	∞

## 6. SAR Measurements System Configuration

### 6.1. SAR Measurement Set-up

The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

A dosimetric probe, i.e. an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.

A data acquisition electronic (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.

A unit to operate the optical surface detector which is connected to the EOC.

The Electro-Optical Coupler (EOC) performs the conversion from the optical into a digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the DASY5 measurement server.

The DASY5 measurement server, which performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. A computer operating Windows 2003.

DASY5 software and SEMCAD data evaluation software.


Remote control with teach panel and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

The generic twin phantom enabling the testing of left-hand and right-hand usage.

The device holder for handheld Mobile Phones.

Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.

System validation dipoles allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.



## 6.2. DASY5 E-field Probe System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

### ● Probe Specification

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core  
 Interleaved sensors  
 Built-in shielding against static charges  
 PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.


Frequency	10 MHz to 4 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 µW/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of Mobile Phones
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI



### ● Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



### 6.3. Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both system checks and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region, where shell thickness increases to 6mm).

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.



SAM Twin Phantom

### 6.4. Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the DASY system.

The DASY device holder is designed to cope with the different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

## 7. SAR Test Procedure

### 7.1. Scanning Procedure

The DASY5 installation includes predefined files with recommended procedures for measurements and validation. They are read-only document files and destined as fully defined but unmeasured masks. All test positions (head or body-worn) are tested with the same configuration of test steps differing only in the grid definition for the different test positions.

The “reference” and “drift” measurements are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the DUT’s output power and should vary max.  $\pm 5\%$ .

The “surface check” measurement tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY5 system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above  $\pm 0.1\text{mm}$ ). To prevent wrong results tests are only executed when the liquid is free of air bubbles. The difference between the optical surface detection and the actual surface depends on the probe and is specified with each probe (It does not depend on the surface reflectivity or the probe angle to the surface within  $\pm 30^\circ$ .)

#### Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values before running a detailed measurement around the hot spot. Before starting the area scan a grid spacing of 15 mm x 15 mm is set. During the scan the distance of the probe to the phantom remains unchanged. After finishing area scan, the field maxima within a range of 2 dB will be ascertained.

#### Zoom Scan

After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm.

#### Spatial Peak Detection

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented and can determine values of masses of 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY5 system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maxima searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard’s method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation. Extrapolation routines require at least 10 measurement points in 3-D space.

They are used in the Zoom Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the modified Quadratic Shepard’s method for extrapolation.

A Z-axis scan measures the total SAR value at the x-and y-position of the maximum SAR value found during the cube scan. The probe is moved away in z-direction from the bottom of the SAM phantom in 5mm steps.

**Table 1: Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v04**

		$\leq 3$ GHz	$> 3$ GHz	
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface		$5 \text{ mm} \pm 1 \text{ mm}$	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \text{ mm} \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location		$30^\circ \pm 1^\circ$	$20^\circ \pm 1^\circ$	
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{\text{Area}}, \Delta y_{\text{Area}}$		$\leq 2$ GHz: $\leq 15 \text{ mm}$ 2 – 3 GHz: $\leq 12 \text{ mm}$	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 12 \text{ mm}$ 4 – 6 GHz: $\leq 10 \text{ mm}$	
		When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be $\leq$ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.		
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{\text{Zoom}}, \Delta y_{\text{Zoom}}$		$\leq 2$ GHz: $\leq 8 \text{ mm}$ 2 – 3 GHz: $\leq 5 \text{ mm}^*$	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 5 \text{ mm}^*$ 4 – 6 GHz: $\leq 4 \text{ mm}^*$	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}(n)}$	$\leq 5 \text{ mm}$	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 4 \text{ mm}$ 4 – 5 GHz: $\leq 3 \text{ mm}$ 5 – 6 GHz: $\leq 2 \text{ mm}$	
	graded grid	$\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}(1)}$ : between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	$\leq 4 \text{ mm}$	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 3 \text{ mm}$ 4 – 5 GHz: $\leq 2.5 \text{ mm}$ 5 – 6 GHz: $\leq 2 \text{ mm}$
		$\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}(n>1)}$ : between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{\text{Zoom}(n-1)} \text{ mm}$	
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z	$\geq 30 \text{ mm}$	3 – 4 GHz: $\geq 28 \text{ mm}$ 4 – 5 GHz: $\geq 25 \text{ mm}$ 5 – 6 GHz: $\geq 22 \text{ mm}$	
<p>Note: <math>\delta</math> is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see IEEE Std 1528-2013 for details.</p> <p>* When zoom scan is required and the <i>reported</i> SAR from the <i>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</i> procedures of KDB Publication 447498 is <math>\leq 1.4 \text{ W/kg}</math>, <math>\leq 8 \text{ mm}</math>, <math>\leq 7 \text{ mm}</math> and <math>\leq 5 \text{ mm}</math> zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.</p>				

## 7.2. Data Storage and Evaluation

### Data Storage

The DASY5 software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors),s together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension “.DA4”. The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

### Data Evaluation

The SEMCAD software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	Sensitivity:	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
	Conversion factor:	ConvFi
	Diode compression point:	Dcpi
Device parameters:	Frequency:	f
	Crest factor:	cf
Media parameters:	Conductivity:	σ
	Density:	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY5 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

Vi:	compensated signal of channel ( i = x, y, z )
Ui:	input signal of channel ( i = x, y, z )
cf:	crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
dcp <sub>i</sub> :	diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$E - \text{fieldprobes} : \quad E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$H - \text{fieldprobes} : \quad H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

Vi:	compensated signal of channel ( i = x, y, z )
Normi:	sensor sensitivity of channel ( i = x, y, z ), [mV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup> ] for E-field Probes
ConvF:	sensitivity enhancement in solution
aij:	sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
f:	carrier frequency [GHz]
Ei:	electric field strength of channel i in V/m
Hi:	magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

SAR: local specific absorption rate in mW/g  
Etot: total field strength in V/m  
 $\sigma$ : conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]  
 $\rho$ : equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.




## 8. Position of the wireless device in relation to the phantom

### 8.1. Body Position

Devices that support transmission while used with body-worn accessories must be tested for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, typically according to the smallest test separation distance required for the group of body-worn accessories with similar operating and exposure characteristics.

Devices that are designed to operate on the body of users using lanyards and straps or without requiring additional body-worn accessories must be tested for SAR compliance using a conservative minimum test separation distance  $\leq 5 \text{ mm}$  to support compliance



Picture 4 Test positions for body-worn devices

## 9. System Check

### 9.1. Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The liquid is consisted of water,salt,Glycol,Sugar,Preventol and Cellulose.The liquid has previously been proven to be suited for worst-case.The table 3 and table 4 show the detail solition.It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the KDB865664.

Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity ( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )
For Head								
750	41.1	57.0	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.89	41.9
835	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.90	41.5
1800,1900,2000	55.2	0	0	0.3	0	44.5	1.4	40
2450	55	0	0	0	0	45	1.8	39.2
2600	54.8	0	0	0.1	0	45.1	1.96	39.0
For Body								
750	51.7	47.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.96	55.5
835	50.8	48.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.97	55.2
1800.1900.2000	70.2	0	0	0.4	0	29.4	1.52	53.3
2450	68.6	0	0	0	0	31.4	1.95	52.7
2600	68.1	0	0	0	0	31.8	2.16	52.5

Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms				
Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (s/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (s/m)
750	41.94	0.89	55.5	0.96
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
2600	39.0	1.96	52.5	2.16

#### Check Result:


Dielectric performance of Body tissue simulating liquid				
Frequency (MHz)	Description	DielectricParameters		Temp
		$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (s/m)	°C
2450	Recommended result $\pm 5\%$ window	52.7 50.07 to 55.34	1.95 1.85 to 2.05	/
	Measurement value 2017-09-01	52.52	1.94	21

### 9.2. SAR System Check

The purpose of the system check is to verify that the system operates within its specifications at the device test frequency. The system check is simple check of repeatability to make sure that the system works correctly at the time of the compliance test;

System check results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration with the relevant liquids and test system ( $\pm 10\%$ ).

System check is performed regularly on all frequency bands where tests are performed with the DASY5 system.



The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 24 dBm (250mW) before dipole is connected.




Photo of Dipole Setup

**Check Result:**

Body				
Frequency (MHz)	Description	SAR(W/kg)		Temp
		1g	10g	°C
2450	Recommended result ±5% window	13.1 11.79 -14.41	6.11 5.50 -6.72	/
	Measurement value 2017-09-01	12.5	5.76	21

## System Performance Check at 2450 MHz Body

Date:2017-09-01

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 884

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.94$ S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.52$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

### DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3842; ConvF(7.01, 7.01, 7.01); Calibrated: 15/08/2017;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1315; Calibrated: 15/08/2017

Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM;

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (1); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.5 (6469)

**Area Scan (10x15x1):**Measurement grid: dx=10.00 mm, dy=10.00 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 19.266 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 84.170 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.174 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 12.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.76 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.27mW/g



System Performance Check 2450MHz Body250mW

## 10. SAR Exposure Limits

SAR assessments have been made in line with the requirements of ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992

Type Exposure	Limit (W/kg)	
	General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment	Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment
Spatial Average SAR (whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak SAR (1g cube tissue for head and trunk)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak SAR (10g for limb)	4.0	20.0

Population/Uncontrolled Environments: are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments: are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

## 11. Conducted Power Measurement Results

### WLAN Conducted Power

For 2.4GHz WLAN SAR testing, highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate for 802.11b were for SAR evaluation. 802.11g/n were not investigated since the average putput powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of 802.11b mode.

WIFI					
Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Average Power (dBm)	Data rate
802.11b	01	2412	14.86	12.68	1 Mbps
	06	2437	15.48	13.21	1 Mbps
	11	2462	14.10	12.02	1 Mbps
802.11g	01	2412	15.22	11.93	6 Mbps
	06	2437	15.05	11.76	6 Mbps
	11	2462	14.88	11.64	6 Mbps
802.11n(HT20)	01	2412	15.27	11.64	6.5 Mbps
	06	2437	15.65	11.91	6.5 Mbps
	11	2462	15.99	12.17	6.5 Mbps

Note: The output power was test all data rate and recorded worst case at recorded data rate.

**Bluetooth Conducted Power**

Bluetooth			
Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted power (dBm)
GFSK	0	2402	4.31
	39	2441	5.11
	78	2480	4.99
π/4QPSK	0	2402	2.81
	39	2441	3.82
	78	2480	3.68
8DPSK	0	2402	3.10
	39	2441	4.11
	78	2480	3.97
BLE	0	2402	-1.15
	19	2440	-0.09
	39	2480	-0.11

Per KDB 447498 D01, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100MHz to 6GHz at test separation distances  $\geq 50\text{mm}$  are determined by:

$$[(\text{max. Power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] * [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0 \text{ for 1-g SAR}$$

Band/Mode	F(GHz)	Position	SAR test exclusion threshold (mW)	RF output power		SAR test exclusion
				dBm	mW	
Bluetooth	2.45	Head	9.6	0.27	1.06	Yes
		Body	19.2	0.27	1.06	Yes
WiFi	2.45	Head	9.6	12.05	16.03	No
		Body	19.2	12.05	16.03	No

Per KDB 447498 D01, when the minimum test separation distance is  $< 5\text{mm}$ , a distance of 5mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

The test exclusion threshold is  $\leq 3$ , SAR testing is not required.



**12. Maximum Tune-up Limit**

<b>WLAN</b>		
Mode	Maximum Tune-up (dBm) Peak Power	Maximum Tune-up (dBm) Burst Average Power
802.11b	16	13.5
802.11g	16	12.5
802.11n(HT20)	16	12.5

<b>Bluetooth</b>			
Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Maximum Tune-up (dBm)
GFSK	0	2402	6
	39	2441	6
	78	2480	6
$\pi/4$ QPSK	0	2402	6
	39	2441	6
	78	2480	6
8DPSK	0	2402	6
	39	2441	6
	78	2480	6
BLE	0	2402	0
	19	2440	0
	39	2480	0

### 13. Antenna Location



Positions for SAR tests						
Antenna	Back	Front	Top side	Bottom side	Right side	Left side
WIFI	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

**General note:**

Referring to KDB941225 D07, UMPC mini-tablet devices must be tested for 1-g SAR on all surfaces and side edges with a transmitting antenna located at  $\leq 25$  mm from that surface or edge, at 5 mm separation from a flat phantom, for the data modes, wireless technologies and frequency bands supported by the device to determine SAR compliance.

## 14. SAR Measurement Results

WLAN											
Mode	Test Position	Frequency		Conducted Power (dBm)	Tune up limit (dBm)	Tune up scaling factor	Power Drift(dB)	Measured SAR(1g) (W/kg)	Report SAR(1g) (W/kg)	Test Plot	
		CH	MHz								
802.11b 1Mbps	Front	1	2412	12.68	13.5	1.21				-	
		6	2437	13.21	13.5	1.07	0.09	0.238	0.251	B1	
		11	2462	12.02	13.5	1.41	-	-	-	-	
	Back	1	2412	12.68	13.5	1.21					
		6	2437	13.21	13.5	1.07	0.12	0.227	0.239	-	
		11	2462	12.02	13.5	1.41	-	-	-	-	
	Left	1	2412	12.68	13.5	1.21					
		6	2437	13.21	13.5	1.07	-0.10	0.121	0.135		
		11	2462	12.02	13.5	1.41					-
	Right	1	2412	12.68	13.5	1.21					
		6	2437	13.21	13.5	1.07	-0.14	0.107	0.119		
		11	2462	12.02	13.5	1.41					
	Top	1	2412	12.68	13.5	1.21					
		6	2437	13.21	13.5	1.07	-0.04	0.134	0.149		-
		11	2462	12.02	13.5	1.41					-
	Back with belt clip	1	2412	12.68	13.5	1.21					
		6	2437	13.21	13.5	1.07	0.10	0.127	0.136		-
		11	2462	12.02	13.5	1.41					-

Note:

- According to the above table, the initial test position for body is "Front", and its reported SAR is  $\leq 0.4$ W/kg. Thus further SAR measurement is not required for the other (remaining) test positions. Because the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is  $\leq 0.8$ W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.

WLAN- Scaled Reported SAR							
Mode	Test Position	Frequency		Actual duty factor	maximum duty factor	Reported SAR (1g)(W/kg)	Scaled reported SAR (1g)(W/kg)
		CH	MHz				
802.11b 1Mbps	Front	6	2437	92.73%	100%	0.251	0.270
	Back	6	2437	92.73%	100%	0.239	0.258
	Left	6	2437	92.73%	100%	0.135	0.145
	Right	6	2437	92.73%	100%	0.119	0.128
	Top	6	2437	92.73%	100%	0.149	0.161
	Back with belt clip	6	2437	92.73%	100%	0.136	0.142

Note:

- According to the KDB248227 D01, The reported SAR must be scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit. A maximum transmission duty factor of 92.73% is achievable for WLAN in this project.

Test mode: WLAN 802.11b      Test Position: Body-Front Side      Test Plot: B1

Date:2017-09-01

Communication System: Customer System; Frequency: 2437.0 MHz;Duty Cycle:1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): f= 2437.0 MHz;  $\sigma=1.93\text{S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r=52.65$ ;  $\rho=1000\text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section : Flat Section


**DASY5 Configuration:**

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3842; ConvF(7.01, 7.01, 7.01); Calibrated: 15/08/2017;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1315; Calibrated: 15/08/2017
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.6 (6824)

**Area Scan (9x13x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=10.00 mm, dy=10.00 mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.262 W/kg

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Reference Value = 5.142 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.429 mW/g

**SAR(1 g) = 0.238 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.120 mW/g**  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.272 W/kg




Body- worn Front side (WLAN 802.11b Middle Channel)

## 15. Test Setup Photos



Liquid depth in the body phantom (2450MHz)



### 16. External and Internal Photos of the EUT

Please reference to the report No.: TRE1707001001

-----*End of Report*-----