



**MET Laboratories, Inc.** *Safety Certification - EMI - Telecom Environmental Simulation*

914 WEST PATAPSCO AVENUE • BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21230-3432 • PHONE (410) 354-3300 • FAX (410) 354-3313

33439 WESTERN AVENUE • UNION CITY, CALIFORNIA 94587 • PHONE (510) 489-6300 • FAX (510) 489-6372

3162 BELICK STREET • SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA 95054 • PHONE (408) 748-3585 • FAX (510) 489-6372

## **Dosimetric Assessment Test Report**

For the

**Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™)**

**Tested and Evaluated In Accordance With  
FCC OET 65 Supplement C: 01-01**

*Prepared for*

Corventis  
1400 Energy Park Drive, Suite 1  
St. Paul, MN 55108

**Engineering Statement:** The measurements shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures specified in Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65 of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Guidelines [FCC 2001] for uncontrolled exposure. I assume full responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these measurements, and for the qualifications of all persons taking them. It is further stated that upon the basis of the measurements made, the equipment evaluated is capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992.

## *SAR Evaluation*

**Applicant Name and Address:** **Corventis**  
1400 Energy Park Drive, Suite 1  
St. Paul, MN 55108

**Test Location:** **MET Laboratories, Inc.**  
3162 Belick Street  
Santa Clara, CA 95054  
USA

<b>EUT:</b>	Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™)		
<b>Date of Receipt:</b>	09/28/10		
<b>Device Category:</b>	Portable		
<b>RF exposure environment:</b>	Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population		
<b>RF exposure category:</b>	Portable		
<b>Production/prototype:</b>	Production		
<b>Antenna:</b>	Internal		
<b>Modulations Tested:</b>	GPRS		
<b>Duty Cycle:</b>	1:4		
<b>GSM/GPRS/Edge Multislot Class:</b>	GPRS Multi-slot class 10 (4 Rx, 2 Tx, 5 Sum)		
<b>TX Range:</b>	824 – 848 MHz	1850 – 1909 MHz	
<b>Frequencies Tested:</b>	Frequency	Channel	<b>SAR 1g (mW/g)</b>
	836.4 MHz	190	0.076
	1880.0 MHz	661	0.122



  
Shawn McMillen  
SAR Compliance Manager

## Report Status Sheet

Revision	Report Date	Reason for Revision
∅	August 11, 2010	Initial Issue.
1	September 22, 2010	Revised to reflect engineer corrections.
2	September 28, 2010	Revised to reflect customer corrections.

## Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION .....	5
2	SAR DEFINITION .....	5
3	DESCRIPTION OF TEST PLATFORMS .....	6
4	SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....	7
5	MEASUREMENT SUMMARY .....	8
6	DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION .....	9
7	EVALUATION PROCEDURES .....	10
8	DATA EVALUATION PROCEDURES .....	11
9	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK .....	13
10	SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES .....	14
11	SAR SAFETY LIMITS .....	14
12	FLOW CHART OF THE RECOMMENDED PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES .....	15
13	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS .....	16
13.1.	EAR Reference Point .....	16
13.2.	HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS .....	16
13.3.	POSITIONING FOR CHEEK/TOUCH .....	17
13.4.	POSITIONING FOR EAR/15 DEGREE TILE .....	17
14	ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS .....	18
14.1.	SPECIFICATION .....	18
14.2.	DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONIC (DAE) SYSTEM: .....	18
14.3.	PHANTOM(S): .....	18
14.4.	ROBOT SPECIFICATIONS (ET3DV6) .....	19
15	SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....	20
15.1.	RX90BL ROBOT .....	20
15.2.	ROBOT CONTROLLER .....	20
15.3.	LIGHT BEAM SWITCH .....	20
15.4.	DATA acquisition ELECTRONICS .....	20
15.5.	ELECTRO-OPTICAL CONVERTER (EOC) .....	21
15.6.	MEASUREMENT SERVER .....	21
15.7.	DOSIMETRIC PROBE .....	21
15.8.	SAM PHANTOM .....	21
15.9.	PLANAR PHANTOM .....	21
15.10.	VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM .....	21
15.11.	DEVICE HOLDER .....	22
15.12.	SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS .....	22
	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST .....	23
	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES .....	24
	REFERENCES .....	26
	TEST SETUP .....	27
	EUT PICTURES .....	29

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The intent of this measurement report is to demonstrate that the Corventis Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) described within this report complies with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 for the Occupational/ Controlled Exposure environment when used with a holster for body worn configuration. The test procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 were employed.

A description of the device under test, device operating configuration and test conditions, measurement and site description, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, detailed summary of the test results and the various provisions of the rules are included in this dosimetric assessment test report.

## 2 SAR DEFINITION

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy ( $dU$ ) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass ( $dm$ ) contained in a volume element ( $dV$ ) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1.1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

**Figure 1.1**  
**SAR Mathematical Equation**

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

where:

- $\sigma$  - conductivity of the tissue - simulant material (S/m)
- $\rho$  - mass density of the tissue - simulant material (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- E - Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)


NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.

### 3 DESCRIPTION OF TEST PLATFORMS

<b>Applicant:</b>	Corventis
<b>Description of EUT:</b>	zLink™- is a device that receives data from another propriety Corventis device (via Bluetooth) and transmits to the Corventis Server via GSM/GPRS/EDGE cellular networks.
<b>Model Number:</b>	zLink™
<b>Serial Number:</b>	0947G00041
<b>FCC ID:</b>	XOH-GSM001
<b>Battery Type(s) Tested:</b>	3.7V Lithium Ion Rechargeable Battery
<b>Device Class:</b>	Class C
<b>Antenna Type(s) Tested:</b>	Internal
<b>Body Worn Accessories:</b>	Holster
<b>Tested Modes and Bands of Operation:</b>	GSM/ GPRS 850, GSM/ GPRS 1900
<b>Maximum Duty Cycle Tested:</b>	1:4
<b>Tested Frequency:</b>	836.4 MHz, 1880 MHz
<b>Application Type:</b>	Certification
<b>Exposure Category:</b>	Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population
<b>FCC Rule Part(s):</b>	FCC 47 CFR §2.1093,
<b>Standards:</b>	IEEE Std. 1528-2003, FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01

#### 4 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

MET Laboratories, Inc SAR measurement facility utilizes the DASY4 Professional Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland for performing SAR compliance tests. The DASY4 measurement system is comprised of the measurement server, robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for brain and/or body SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). The Cell controller system contain the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the DASY4 measurement server. The DAE4 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit.



Transmission to the DASY4 measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. The sensor systems are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

## 5 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) BODY-WORN SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS (850MHz) Band										
Freq (MHz)	Chan	Mode Tested	Cond. Pwr. Before (dBm)	Battery Type	Body-Worn Accessories	Antenna Position	EUT Test Position	Phantom Section	Host Sep. Dist. (cm)	Measured SAR 1g (W/kg)
836.4	Mid	GSM/GPRS	33.33	Li-Ion	Holster	Internal Front Side	Back Face	Planar	1.5	0.012
836.4	Mid	GSM/GPRS	33.19	Li-Ion	Holster	Internal Front Side	Front Face	Planar	1.5	0.076
<b>ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 – SAFETY LIMITBODY: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak – Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population</b>										
Measured Mixture Type		835 MHz Body				Date Tested		July 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2010		
Dielectric Constant $\epsilon_r$		IEEE Target		Measured		Duty Cycle		100%		
		55.2		52.586		Ambient Temperature (C)		24.0		
Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)		IEEE Target		Measured		Fluid Temperature (C)		22.3		
		0.97		0.9633		Fluid Depth		$\geq 15$ cm		

Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) BODY-WORN SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS (1900MHz) Band										
Freq (MHz)	Chan	Mode Tested	Cond. Pwr. Before (dBm)	Battery Type	Body-Worn Accessories	Antenna Position	EUT Test Position	Phantom Section	Host Sep. Dist. (cm)	Measured SAR 1g (W/kg)
1880	Mid	GSM/GPRS	30.51	Li-Ion	Holster	Internal Front Side	Back Face	Planar	1.5	0.070
1880	Mid	GSM/GPRS	30.42	Li-Ion	Holster	Internal Front Side	Front Face	Planar	1.5	0.122
<b>ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 – SAFETY LIMITBODY: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak – Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population</b>										
Measured Mixture Type		1900 MHz Body				Date Tested		July 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2010		
Dielectric Constant $\epsilon_r$		IEEE Target		Measured		Duty Cycle		100%		
		53.3		52.892		Ambient Temperature (C)		24.0		
Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)		IEEE Target		Measured		Fluid Temperature (C)		22.3		
		1.52		1.5873		Fluid Depth		$\geq 15$ cm		



## 6 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The Corventis Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) was determined to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate based on the test provisions and conditions described below. Detailed test setup photographs are shown in the Appendix.

1. The sole purpose of the this SAR evaluation was to determine if the Corventis Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) is compliant within the specified limits, with the Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) is used with a holster as prescribed by the Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) installation manual.
2. The EUT was tested for body worn configuration only. With a holster, the EUT was placed directly to the surface of the phantom. No other additional accessories were attached to the EUT during the evaluation.
3. The EUT was placed into test mode using an Rohde & Schwarz base station simulator. The power level control was set to maximum.
4. The SAR evaluations were performed with a fully charged battery.
5. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to each the SAR evaluation.
6. The dielectric parameters of the simulated body fluid were measured prior to the evaluation using an 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8722D Network Analyzer.

## 7 EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested.

- (i) For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated using the SAM phantom.
- (ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.

The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. Upon completion of a reference check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.

An area scan was determined as follows:

Based on the defined area scan grid, a more detailed grid is created to increase the points by a factor of 10. The interpolation function then evaluates all field values between corresponding measurement points.

A linear search is applied to find all the candidate maxima. Subsequently, all maxima are removed that are >2 dB from the global maximum. The remaining maxima are then used to position the cube scans.

A 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:

Based on the area scan, a 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (7x7x7 data points) zoom scan was assessed at the position where the greatest V/m was detected. The data at the surface was extrapolated since the distance from the probes sensors to the surface is 3.9cm. A least squares fourth-order polynomial was used to generate points between the probe detector and the inner surface of the phantom.

Interpolated data is used to calculate the average SAR over 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured cube. The volume used to determine the averaged SAR is a 1mm grid (42875 interpolated points).

Z-Scan was determined as follows:

The Z-scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along a line normal to the inner surface of the phantom surface.

## 8 DATA EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The DASY4 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters:	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}$
	- Conversion Factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Dipole Compression Point	$dcp_i$
Device parameters:	- Frequency	$f$
	- Crest factor	$cf$
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	$\sigma$
	- Density	$\rho$

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC - transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  
 $U_i$  = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  
 $cf$  = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)  
 $dcp_i$  = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E - fieldprobes : } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$\text{H - fieldprobes : } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  
 $Norm_i$  = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)  
 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$  for E-field probes  
 $ConvF$  = Sensitivity enhancement in solution  
 $a_{ij}$  = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes  
 $f$  = Carrier frequency (GHz)  
 $E_i$  = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m  
 $H_i$  = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

with  $SAR$  = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

$E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m

$\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

$\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

with  $P_{pwe}$  = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

$E_{tot}$  = total electric field strength in V/m

$H_{tot}$  = total magnetic field strength in A/m


## 9 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed in the planar section of the SAM phantom with an 835MHz and a 1900 MHz dipole. The dielectric parameters of the simulated body fluids were measured prior to the system performance check using an 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8722D Network Analyzer. A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of  $\pm 5\%$ .

Test Date	835MHz Equivalent Tissue	SAR 1g (W/kg)		Permittivity Constant $\epsilon_r$		Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)		Ambient Temp. (C)	Fluid Temp. (C)	Fluid Depth (cm)
		Calibrated Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured			
07/20/10	Body	3.60 $\pm$ 5%	3.51	55.2 $\pm$ 5%	52.586	0.97 $\pm$ 10%	0.9633	24.0	22.3	$\geq$ 15

Test Date	1900MHz Equivalent Tissue	SAR 1g (W/kg)		Permittivity Constant $\epsilon_r$		Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)		Ambient Temp. (C)	Fluid Temp. (C)	Fluid Depth (cm)
		Calibrated Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured			
07/20/10	Body	8.23 $\pm$ 5%	8.14	53.3 $\pm$ 5%	52.892	1.52 $\pm$ 10%	1.5873	24.0	22.3	$\geq$ 15

Note: The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to the fluid parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.



## 10 SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES

Simulated Tissue Mixture				
Ingredient	835MHz Head Validation	835MHz Body EUT	1900MHz Head Validation	1900MHz Body EUT
Water	40.9%	53.1%	52.6%	68.8%
DGMBE	N/A	N/A	47.0%	30.8%
Salt	1.45%	0.9%	0.40%	0.4%
HEC	1%	1%	N/A	N/A
Sugar	56.4%	44.9%	N/A	N/A
Dowicil 75	0.25%	0.1%	N/A	N/A


## 11 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.0	20.0

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled exposure environments are locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled exposure environments are locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

## 12 FLOW CHART OF THE RECOMMENDED PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES



### 13 DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

#### 13.1. EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 12.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point M is the reference point for the center of the mouth, LE is the left ear reference point (ERP), and RE is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 12.2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting. Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning.



Figure 12.1. Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




Figure 12.2. Side view of ERPs

#### 13.2. HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the test device reference point located along the vertical centerline on the front of the device aligned to the ear reference point (See Fig. 12.3). The test device reference point was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the vertical centerline was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the ear reference point on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.




Figure 12.3. Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line



### 13.3. POSITIONING FOR CHEEK/TOUCH

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 12.4), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure 12.5)



Figure 12.4. Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position




Figure 12.5. Side view with relevant markings

### 13.4. POSITIONING FOR EAR/15 DEGREE TILT

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 12.6).




Figure 12.6. Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15 Tilt Position

## 14 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

### 14.1. SPECIFICATION

Positioner:

Robot: Staubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX90  
Repeatability: 0.02 mm  
No. of axis: 6

### 14.2. DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONIC (DAE) SYSTEM:

Cell Controller

Processor: Compaq Evo  
Clock Speed: 2.4 GHz  
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic  
Software: DASY4 software  
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.  
Optical uplink for commands and clock

Dasy4 Measurement Server

Function: Real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection  
Hardware: PC/104 166MHz Pentium CPU; 32 MB chipdisk; 64 MB RAM  
Connections: COM1, COM2, DAE, Robot, Ethernet, Service Interface

E-Field Probe

Model: ET3DV6  
Serial No.: 1793  
Construction: Triangular core fiber optic detection system  
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz  
Linearity:  $\pm 0.2$  dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

EX-Probe

Model: EX3DV3  
Serial No. 3511  
Construction: Triangular core  
Frequency: 10 MHz to > 6 GHz  
Linearity:  $\pm 0.2$  dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

### 14.3. PHANTOM(S):

Validation & Evaluation Phantom


Type: SAM V4.0C  
Shell Material: Fiberglass  
Thickness:  $2.0 \pm 0.1$  mm  
Volume: Approx. 20 liters

#### 14.4. ROBOT SPECIFICATIONS (ET3DV6)

Construction:	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g. glycoether)
Calibration:	Basic Broadband calibration in air from 10 MHz to 3 GHz
Frequency:	10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity:	$\pm 0.2$ dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.4$ dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range:	$5 \mu$ W/g to $> 100$ mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB
Surface Detection:	$\pm 0.2$ mm repeatability in air and clear liquid over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter (including protective cover): 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application:	General dosimetric measurements up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



## 15 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM



Measurement System Diagram

### 15.1. RX90BL ROBOT

The Stäubli RX90BL Robot is a standard high precision 6-axis robot with an arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

### 15.2. ROBOT CONTROLLER

The CS7MB Robot Controller system drives the robot motors. The system consists of a power supply, robot controller, and remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

### 15.3. LIGHT BEAM SWITCH

The Light Beam Switch (Probe alignment tool) allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, so that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip. The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.

### 15.4. DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS

The Data Acquisition Electronics consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit A/D converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Some of the tasks the DAE performs is signal amplification, signal multiplexing, A/D conversion, and offset measurements. The DAE also contains the mechanical probe-mounting device, which contains two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts used for probe collision detection and mechanical surface detection for controlling the distance between the probe and the inner surface of the phantom shell. Transmission from the DAE to the measurement server, via the EOC, is through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.



### 15.5. ELECTRO-OPTICAL CONVERTER (EOC)

The Electro-Optical Converter performs the conversion between the optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC connects to, and transfers data to, the DASY4 measurement server. The EOC also contains the fiber optical surface detection system for controlling the distance between the probe and the inner surface of the phantom shell.



### 15.6. MEASUREMENT SERVER

The Measurement Server performs time critical tasks such as signal filtering, all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements, and handles safety operation. The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. A watchdog supervises all connections, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements.



### 15.7. DOSIMETRIC PROBE

Dosimetric Probe is a symmetrical design with triangular core that incorporates three 3 mm long dipoles arranged so that the overall response is close to isotropic. The probe sensors are covered by an outer protective shell, which is resistant to organic solvents i.e. glycol. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line, ending at the front of the probe tip, for optical surface detection. This line connects to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides automatic detection of the phantom surface. The optical surface detection works in transparent liquids and on diffuse reflecting surfaces with a repeatability of better than  $\pm 0.1$ mm.

### 15.8. SAM PHANTOM

The SAM (Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin) twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm) integrated into a wooden table. The shape of the shell corresponds to the phantom defined by SCC34-SC2. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left hand, right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. The flat section is also used for system validation and the length and width of the flat section are at least  $0.75 \lambda_0$  and  $0.6 \lambda_0$  respectively at frequencies of 824 MHz and above ( $\lambda_0$  = wavelength in air).



Reference markings on the phantom top allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. A white cover is provided to cover the phantom during off-periods preventing water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible. The phantom is filled with a tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm at each ear reference point. The bottom plate of the wooden table contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder.



### 15.9. PLANAR PHANTOM

The planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for face-held and body-worn SAR evaluations of handheld radio transceivers. The planar phantom is mounted on the wooden table of the DASY4 system.



### 15.10. VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM

The validation planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 6.0 mm shell thickness for system validations at 450MHz and below. The validation planar phantom is mounted on the wooden table of the DASY4 system.

### 15.11. DEVICE HOLDER

The device holder is designed to cope with the different measurement positions in the three sections of the SAM phantom given in the standard. It has two scales, one for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and one for device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The rotation center for both scales is the ear opening, thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles. The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°.



The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

The dielectric properties of the liquid conform to all the tabulated values [2-5]. Liquids are prepared according to Annex A and dielectric properties are measured according to Annex B.

### 15.12. SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS

Power Capability:  $> 100 \text{ W}$  ( $f < 1\text{GHz}$ );  $> 40 \text{ W}$  ( $f > 1\text{GHz}$ )


Construction: Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 300, 450, 835, 1900, 2450 MHz

Return loss:  $>20 \text{ dB}$  at specified validation position

Dimensions:

300 MHz Dipole:	Length: 396mm; Overall Height:430 mm; Diameter: 6 mm
450 MHz Dipole:	Length: 270 mm; Overall Height: 347 mm; Diameter: 6 mm
835 MHz Dipole:	Length: 161 mm; Overall Height: 270 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm
1900 MHz Dipole:	Length: 68 mm; Overall Height: 219 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm
2450 MHz Dipole:	Length: 51.5 mm; Overall Height: 300 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm



## TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration Date
DASY4 System	FO3/SX19A1/A/01	N/A
Robot	1793	April 2010
ET3DV6	584	April 2010
DAE3	493	June 2010
835MHz Dipole	001	June 2010
1900MHz Dipole	N/A	N/A
SAM Phantom V4.0C	N/A	N/A
EUT Planar Phantom	N/A	N/A
Validation Phantom	N/A	N/A
85070D Dielectric Probe Kt	N/A	N/A
83650B Signal Generator	3844A00910	August 2009
HP E4418B Power Meter	GB40205140	October 2008
HP 8482A Power Sensor	2607A11286	May 2010
HP 8722D Vector Network Analyzer	3S36140188	July 2010
HP EPM-442A Power Meter	GB37480766	June 2010
Agilent Power Sensor	MY41496163	December 2009
Mini-Circuits Power Amplifier	D111903#8	N/A

**MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES**  
**UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT FOR EUT**

Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	$c_i$ 1g	Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g)	$v_i$ or $v_{eff}$
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.8	Normal	1	1	± 4.8	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.6	Rectangular	√3	(1-cp)1/2	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.7	Rectangular	√3	(cp)1/2	± 3.9	∞
Boundary effects	± 8.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 4.8	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 0.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.2	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 0.54	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.43	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	± 0.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>						
Device positioning	± 2.2	Normal	1	1	± 2.23	11
Device holder uncertainty	± 5.0	Normal	1	1	± 5.0	7
Power drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3		± 2.9	∞
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 3.5/1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.14/11.76	
Coverage Factor for 95%		Kp=2				
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 24.29/23.51	

**Table 1. Worst-case uncertainty for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE P1528**

The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz to 6GHz and represents a worst-case analysis.




**UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT FOR SYSTEM VALIDATION**

Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability Distribution n	Divisor	$c_i$ 1g	Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g)	$\nu_i$ or $\nu_{eff}$
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.8	Normal	1	1	± 4.8	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	(1-cp)1/2	± 2.7	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	(cp)1/2	± 3.8	∞
Boundary effects	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 3.2	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.3	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 1.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	∞
<b>Dipole</b>						
Dipole Axis to liquid distance	± 2.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.2	11
Input Power	± 5.0	Normal	1	1	± 2.7	7
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 9.8	
Coverage Factor for 95%		Kp=2				
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 19.7	


## REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1991, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 1991, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, July 2001.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, IEEE 1528 (August 2003), Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb.1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz , IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz , IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Receptions in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric Evaluation Of Mobile Communications Equipment With Known Precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz - 300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgen ssische Technische Hochschule Z rich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] Federal Communications Commission, Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices, Rule Part 47 CFR 2.1093: 1999.
- [21] Health Canada, Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz , Safety Code 6.
- [22] Industry Canada, Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields, Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.

## TEST SETUP




**Photograph 1. Gen 1 Gateway (zLink<sup>™</sup>), Away body**



**Photograph 2. Gen 1 Gateway (zLink<sup>™</sup>), Toward Body**



Photograph 3. Gen 1 Gateway (zLink<sup>™</sup>)



Photograph 4. Gen 1 Gateway (zLink<sup>™</sup>)

**EUT PICTURES**



Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) Holster



EUT - Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) Front



EUT - Gen 1 Gateway (zLink™) Rear

## APPENDIX A – SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

## 836.4 MHz, Mid Channel 190, Body GSM/GPRS, Back Face

Date/Time: 7/20/2010 1:26:46 PM

DUT: Corventis; Type: GEN 1 Gateway

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 24.0 deg C, Fluid Temp: 22.3 deg C

Communication System: GSM 850; ; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium: M850 Medium parameters used:  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.963$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (91x131x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.014 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.12 V/m; Power Drift = 0.149 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.018 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.012 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00837 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.013 mW/g



## SAR(x,y,z,f0)

SAR; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0





## 836.4 MHz, Mid Channel 190, Body GSM/GPRS, Front Face

Date/Time: 7/20/2010 2:53:55 PM

DUT: Corventis; Type: GEN 1 Gateway

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 24.0 deg C, Fluid Temp: 22.3 deg C

Communication System: GSM 850; ; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium: M850 Medium parameters used:  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.963$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (91x131x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.080 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.15 V/m; Power Drift = -0.171 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.110 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.076 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.050 mW/g**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.083 mW/g



0.015, 3.753

# SAR(x,y,z,f0)

SAR; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0



# 1880MHz, Mid Channel 661, Body GSM/GPRS, Back Face

Date/Time: 7/20/2010 4:30:29 PM

DUT: Corventis; Type: GEN 1 Gateway

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 24.0 deg C, Fluid Temp: 22.3 deg C

Communication System: GSM 1900; ; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium: M1800 Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (91x131x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.076 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.29 V/m; Power Drift = 0.133 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.096 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.070 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.044 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.076 mW/g



## SAR(x,y,z,f0)

SAR; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0



# 1880MHz, Mid Channel 661, Body GSM/GPRS, Front Face

Date/Time: 7/20/2010 4:06:27 PM

DUT: Corventis; Type: GEN 1 Gateway

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 24.0 deg C, Fluid Temp: 22.3 deg C

Communication System: GSM 1900; ; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium: M1800 Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (91x131x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.144 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.06 V/m; Power Drift = -0.538 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.203 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.122 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.067 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.138 mW/g



## SAR(x,y,z,f0)

SAR; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0



## APPENDIX B – SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

## 835 MHz Body System Verification

Date/Time: 7/20/2010 9:18:08 AM

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: 1S2443

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M850 Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.962$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (81x201x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.71 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 64.97 V/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.69 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 3.51 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.14 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.82 mW/g





# 1800 MHz Body System Verification

Date/Time: 7/20/2010 3:20:12 PM

DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: 1S2572

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: M1800 Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (81x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 9.31 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 83.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.073 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 12.6 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 8.14 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.45 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.33 mW/g



## APPENDIX C – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **MET Laboratories**

Certificate No: **ET3-1793\_Apr10**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1793**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v6, QA CAL-23.v3 and QA CAL-25.v2  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **April 27, 2010**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.



All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$  and humidity  $< 70\%$ .

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-10 (No. 217-01136)	Apr-11
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-10 (No. 217-01136)	Apr-11
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-10 (No. 217-01136)	Apr-11
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01159)	Mar-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01161)	Mar-11
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01160)	Mar-11
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-09 (No. ES3-3013_Dec09)	Dec-10
DAE4	SN: 660	29-Sep-09 (No. DAE4-660_Sep09)	Sep-10
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct10

Calibrated by: **Jeton Kastrati**      **Jeton Kastrati**      **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic**      **Katja Pokovic**      **Technical Manager**

Signature  
  


Issued: April 27, 2010

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not effect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub> = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* frequency\_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- A<sub>x,y,z</sub>; B<sub>x,y,z</sub>; C<sub>x,y,z</sub>; VR<sub>x,y,z</sub>; A, B, C** are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe ET3DV6

## SN:1793

Manufactured:	May 28, 2005
Last calibrated:	April 23, 2009
Recalibrated:	April 27, 2010

Calibrated for DASYS Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASYS2 system!)

## DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1793

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ( $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ ) <sup>A</sup>	1.70	1.74	1.86	± 10.1%
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	94.3	90.8	90.5	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dBuV	C	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	± 1.5%
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the maximum deviation from linear response applying recatangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

## DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1793

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>c</sup>	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	6.10	6.10	6.10	0.47	2.23 ± 11.0%
1810	± 50 / ± 100	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	5.17	5.17	5.17	0.61	2.36 ± 11.0%

<sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

## DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1793

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>C</sup>	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	6.06	6.06	6.06	0.44	2.36 ± 11.0%
1810	± 50 / ± 100	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	4.59	4.59	4.59	0.75	2.62 ± 11.0%

<sup>C</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.





# Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )

### Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$





Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )

### Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ )




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )

## Conversion Factor Assessment



## Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\vartheta$ ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 2.6\%$  (k=2)

## Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	6.8 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2.7 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2.7 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2.7 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	4 mm

## APPENDIX D – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE



## Calibration procedure for validation dipole

Calibration is performed according to the following standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz), July 2001
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition01-01).

Additional Documents

- d) DASY4 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All Figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna check: The antenna is checked for straightness using a straight edge placed parallel to the dipole arms prior to installing it against the phantom surface.
- The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Antenna flatness: The spacer thickness used for the 835MHz dipole is 15.00mm +/- 0.2mm. To insure the antenna is within +/- 2 degrees of flatness to the phantom surface use a caliper to measure the dipole ends from the surface of the phantom.
- Vector Network Analyzer: The network analyzer is calibrated as per the user's manual.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. A Return Loss >20dB ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No Uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1W at the antenna connector. No Uncertainty required
- SAR for nominal head and muscle parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the SAR results.



## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration

DASY Version	DASY4	V4.7
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Planar Validation Phantom	
Dipole Spacer		
Distance Dipole Center-TSL	1500mm $\pm$ 0.2mm	With spacer
Area Scan resolution	dx, dy = 10mm	
Zoom Scan resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5mm	
Frequency	835MHz $\pm$ 1MHz	

## Measurement Uncertainty of Dipole Calibration

Error Description	Uncertainty Value $\pm\%$	Probability Distribution	Divisor	$c_i$ 1g	Standard Uncertainty $\pm\%$ (1g)
Anritsu Power Meter ML2488A	$\pm 1.4$	normal	2	1	$\pm 0.7$
Anritsu Power Sensor	$\pm 1.4$	normal	2	1	$\pm 0.7$
HP E4418B Power Meter	$\pm 0.2$	normal	2	1	$\pm 0.1$
HP 8482A Power Sensor	$\pm 0.8$	normal	2	1	$\pm 0.4$
83650B Signal Generator	$\pm 2.0$	normal	2	1	$\pm 1.0$
HP 8722D Vector Network Analyzer	$\pm 2.0$	normal	2	1	$\pm 1.0$
Combined Standard Uncertainty					$\pm 3.9$

## Head TSL Parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
Nominal Head TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	41.5 ±5%	0.90 ±5%
Measured Head TSL Parameters	21.3 °C	42.081	0.8819

## SAR results with Head TSL and system uncertainty

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1g) of Head TSL	Condition	3.70 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	14.80 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	14.80 ± 24.29% mW/g (k=2)

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (10g) of Head TSL	Condition	2.35 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	9.40 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1 W	9.40 ± 23.51% mW/g (k=2)

## Body TSL Parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
Nominal Body TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	55.2 ±5%	0.97 ±5%
Measured Body TSL Parameters	21.0 °C	53.10	0.967

## SAR results with Body TSL and system uncertainty

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1g) of Body TSL	Condition	3.60 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	14.40 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	14.40 ± 24.29% mW/g (k=2)

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (10g) of Body TSL	Condition	2.22 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	8.88 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	8.88 ± 23.51% mW/g (k=2)

## 835MHz Head

Date/Time: 6/24/2010 2:14:13 PM

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: 1S2443

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: HSL835 Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.883$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(6.1, 6.1, 6.1); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (81x201x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.18 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 69.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.046 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.85 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 3.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.35 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.02 mW/g



CH1S 11 1 U FS 11\_ : 48.120 -4.0234 0 47.374 pF

.835 000 009 GHz


PRm

Cor

MARKER 1

835.0000009 MHz


↑



START .735 000 000 GHz

STOP .935 000 000 GHz

CH1 S 11 Log MAG 10 dB/ REF 0 dB 11 \_ : -27.716 dB



# 835MHz Body

Date/Time: 6/24/2010 4:26:08 PM

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: 1S2443

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: M835/900 Medium parameters used:  $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.967 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$


Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (81x201x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.84 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 65.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.019 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.78 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 3.6 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.22 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.97 mW/g





START 785.000 000 MHz

STOP 885.000 000 MHz

Cor

pp


MARKER 1  
835 MHz

V

1

START 785.000 000 MHz

STOP 885.000 000 MHz







## Calibration procedure for validation dipole

Calibration is performed according to the following standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz), July 2001
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01).

Additional Documents

- d) DASY4 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All Figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna check: The antenna is checked for straightness using a straight edge placed parallel to the dipole arms prior to installing it against the phantom surface.
- The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Antenna flatness: The spacer thickness used for the 1800MHz dipole is 10.00mm +/- 0.2mm. To insure the antenna is within +/- 2 degrees of flatness to the phantom surface use a caliper to measure the dipole ends from the surface of the phantom.
- Vector Network Analyzer: The network analyzer is calibrated as per the user's manual.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. A Return Loss >20dB ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No Uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1W at the antenna connector. No Uncertainty required
- SAR for nominal head and muscle parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the SAR results.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration

DASY Version	DASY4	V4.7
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Planar Validation Phantom	
Dipole Spacer		
Distance Dipole Center-TSL	10.00mm $\pm$ 0.2mm	With spacer
Area Scan resolution	dx, dy = 10mm	
Zoom Scan resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5mm	
Frequency	1800MHz $\pm$ 1MHz	

## Measurement Uncertainty of Dipole Calibration

Error Description	Uncertainty Value $\pm\%$	Probability Distribution	Divisor	$c_i$ 1g	Standard Uncertainty $\pm\%$ (1g)
Anritsu Power Meter ML2488A	$\pm$ 1.4	normal	2	1	$\pm$ 0.7
Anritsu Power Sensor	$\pm$ 1.4	normal	2	1	$\pm$ 0.7
HP E4418B Power Meter	$\pm$ 0.2	normal	2	1	$\pm$ 0.1
HP 8482A Power Sensor	$\pm$ 0.8	normal	2	1	$\pm$ 0.4
83650B Signal Generator	$\pm$ 2.0	normal	2	1	$\pm$ 1.0
HP 8722D Vector Network Analyzer	$\pm$ 2.0	normal	2	1	$\pm$ 1.0
Combined Standard Uncertainty					$\pm$ 3.9

## Head TSL Parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
Nominal Head TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	40.0 ±5%	1.40 ±5%
Measured Head TSL Parameters	21.3 °C	38.90	1.39

## SAR results with Head TSL and system uncertainty

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1g) of Head TSL	Condition	7.94 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	31.76 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	31.76 ± 24.29% mW/g (k=2)

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (10g) of Head TSL	Condition	4.28 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	17.12 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1 W	17.12 ± 23.51% mW/g (k=2)

## Body TSL Parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied

	<b>Temperature</b>	<b>Permittivity</b>	<b>Conductivity</b>
Nominal Body TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	53.3 ±5%	1.52 ±5%
Measured Body TSL Parameters	22.1 °C	52.90	1.59

## SAR results with Body TSL and system uncertainty

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1g) of Body TSL	Condition	8.23 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	32.92 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	32.92 ± 24.29% mW/g (k=2)

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (10g) of Body TSL	Condition	4.50 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	18.0 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	18.0 ± 23.51% mW/g (k=2)

# 1800MHz Head

Date/Time: 6/22/2010 2:25:07 PM

DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: 1S2572

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: HSL1800 Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.39$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Area Scan (81x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 8.86 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 83.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.052 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 13.6 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 7.94 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.28 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.99 mW/g



22 Jun 2010 13:16:36  
CH1S 11 1 U FS 11\_: 50.49# 0.8184 0 72.358 pH

1.800 019 997 GHz


PRm

Cor

MARKER 1

1.800 019 997 GHz


↑



START 1.700 000 000 GHz

STOP 1.900 000 000 GHz

CH1 S 11 Log MAG 10 dB/ REF 0 dB 11\_ : -40.687 dB



# 1800MHz Body

Date/Time: 6/22/2010 4:20:00 PM

DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: 1S2572

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium: M1800 Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.59$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 4/27/2010
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/26/2010
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184


**Area Scan (81x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 9.40 mW/g


**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 84.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.133 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 12.8 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 8.23 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.5 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.43 mW/g





CH1 S11 1 U FS 22 Jun 2010 15:10:41  
1: 51.254  $\Omega$  - 2.7070  $\Omega$  32.663 pF 1 800.000 000 MHz





## APPENDIX E – MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

# Title

## SubTitle

July 20, 2010 03:12 PM

Frequency	e'	e''
1.820000000 Gf	53.088	14.8333
1.822000000 Gf	53.085	14.8450
1.824000000 Gf	53.071	14.8602
1.826000000 Gf	53.064	14.8742
1.828000000 Gf	53.076	14.8850
1.830000000 Gf	53.057	14.8961
1.832000000 Gf	53.053	14.9178
1.834000000 Gf	53.046	14.9233
1.836000000 Gf	53.052	14.9234
1.838000000 Gf	53.034	14.9408
1.840000000 Gf	53.039	14.9658
1.842000000 Gf	53.038	14.9669
1.844000000 Gf	53.023	14.9772
1.846000000 Gf	53.023	14.9897
1.848000000 Gf	53.012	14.9859
1.850000000 Gf	53.004	15.0129
1.852000000 Gf	53.012	15.0191
1.854000000 Gf	52.993	15.0017
1.856000000 Gf	52.994	15.0255
1.858000000 Gf	53.006	15.0291
1.860000000 Gf	52.994	15.0437
1.862000000 Gf	52.987	15.0506
1.864000000 Gf	52.981	15.0307
1.866000000 Gf	52.981	15.0364
1.868000000 Gf	52.980	15.0587
1.870000000 Gf	52.975	15.0420
1.872000000 Gf	52.959	15.0505
1.874000000 Gf	52.962	15.0555
1.876000000 Gf	52.967	15.0369
1.878000000 Gf	52.943	15.0402
1.880000000 Gf	52.942	15.0558
1.882000000 Gf	52.948	15.0601
1.884000000 Gf	52.952	15.0515
1.886000000 Gf	52.928	15.0516
1.888000000 Gf	52.940	15.0710

1.890000000 Gf	52.919	15.0672
1.892000000 Gf	52.922	15.0521
1.894000000 Gf	52.909	15.0598
1.896000000 Gf	52.901	15.0700
1.898000000 Gf	52.909	15.0472
1.900000000 Gf	52.892	15.0377
1.902000000 Gf	52.886	15.0719
1.904000000 Gf	52.877	15.0541
1.906000000 Gf	52.865	15.0585
1.908000000 Gf	52.861	15.0577
1.910000000 Gf	52.851	15.0614
1.912000000 Gf	52.831	15.0689
1.914000000 Gf	52.830	15.0642
1.916000000 Gf	52.827	15.0881
1.918000000 Gf	52.810	15.0866
1.920000000 Gf	52.802	15.0750

# Title

## SubTitle

July 20, 2010 08:42 AM

Frequency	e'	e''
815.000000 MI	52.8200	21.1099
817.000000 MI	52.7920	21.0650
819.000000 MI	52.7640	21.0291
821.000000 MI	52.7370	21.0022
823.000000 MI	52.7010	20.9665
825.000000 MI	52.6930	20.9078
827.000000 MI	52.6780	20.8793
829.000000 MI	52.6440	20.8475
831.000000 MI	52.6140	20.8227
833.000000 MI	52.6070	20.7732
835.000000 MI	52.5860	20.7661
837.000000 MI	52.5630	20.7292
839.000000 MI	52.5440	20.7080
841.000000 MI	52.5100	20.6818
843.000000 MI	52.4630	20.6662
845.000000 MI	52.4420	20.6556
847.000000 MI	52.4150	20.6173
849.000000 MI	52.3780	20.6190
851.000000 MI	52.3500	20.5947
853.000000 MI	52.3060	20.5913
855.000000 MI	52.3010	20.5856
857.000000 MI	52.2610	20.5461
859.000000 MI	52.2410	20.5783
861.000000 MI	52.2400	20.5621
863.000000 MI	52.1940	20.5519
865.000000 MI	52.1990	20.5884
867.000000 MI	52.1780	20.5742
869.000000 MI	52.1450	20.5619
871.000000 MI	52.1260	20.5120
873.000000 MI	52.1080	20.6079
875.000000 MI	52.1250	20.6079
877.000000 MI	52.1020	20.6290
879.000000 MI	52.0720	20.6535
881.000000 MI	52.0320	20.6412
883.000000 MI	52.0430	20.7082

885.000000 MI	52.045%	20.6946
887.000000 MI	51.986%	20.7263
889.000000 MI	51.964%	20.7300
891.000000 MI	51.933%	20.7640
893.000000 MI	51.914%	20.7408
895.000000 MI	51.892%	20.7443
897.000000 MI	51.873%	20.7594
899.000000 MI	51.825%	20.7603
901.000000 MI	51.795%	20.7474
903.000000 MI	51.779%	20.7357
905.000000 MI	51.747%	20.7195
907.000000 MI	51.696%	20.6975
909.000000 MI	51.706%	20.6931
911.000000 MI	51.685%	20.6717
913.000000 MI	51.664%	20.6421
915.000000 MI	51.663%	20.6347

## APPENDIX F – PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY



**Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection**

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No	QD 000 P40 C
Series No	TP-1150 and higher
Manufacturer / Origin	Untersee Composites Hauptstr. 69 CH-8559 Fruthwilen Switzerland

**Tests**

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Shape	Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas; 6mm +/- 0.2mm at ERP	First article, Samples
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	200 MHz – 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05.	Material sample TP 104-5
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards if handled and cleaned according to the instructions	DEGMBE based simulating liquids	Pre-series, First article, Samples

**Standards**

[1] CENELEC EN 50361

[2] IEEE Std 1528-200x Draft CD 1.1 (Dec 02)

[3] IEC 62209/CD (Nov 02)

(\*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

**Conformity**

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date

7.8.2003

**Signature / Stamp****s p e a g**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland  
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779  
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com