RE051-13-103173-2-A Ed. 0

SAR TEST REPORT

According to the standards:
Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to
OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)
RSS-102: 2010

Equipment under test:
Wi-Fi Payment Terminal
INGENICO IWL228

FCC ID: XKB-IWL2XXWBCL
IC: 2586D-IWL2WBCL

Company:
INGENICO

DISTRIBUTION: Mr. GOBION **Company: INGENICO**

Number of pages: 22 with 2 annexes

Ed.	Date	Modified page(s)	Written by / Technical verification Name / Visa	Quality Approval Name / Visa
0	Oct. 24, 2013	Creation	Emmanuel TOUTAIN (signed)	Olivier ROY (signed)

Duplication of this test report is only permitted for an integral photographic facsimile. It includes the number of pages referenced here above.
This document is the result of testing a specimen or a sample of the product submitted. It does not imply an assessment of the conformity of the whole production of the tested sample.

EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST:	Wi-Fi Payment Terminal
Reference:	INGENICO IWL228
Serial number (S/N):	13086WL00000475 *(Identical Prototype)*
Part number (P/N):	**-**
MANUFACTURER:	-
APPLICANT:	
Company:	INGENICO
Address:	Batiment M2, Parc Innolin 10 rue du Golf 33700 MERIGNAC FRANCE
Contact person:	Mr. Cédric GOBION
Person(s) present(s) during the test:	-
DATE(S) OF TEST(S):	September 19, 2013
TEST SITE:	EMITECH Le Mans 9 Rue Maurice Trintignant 72100 Le Mans FRANCE
TEST(S) OPERATOR(S):	Emmanuel TOUTAIN

SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION ____ 4
2. REFERENCE DOCUMENTS ____ 4
3. PRESENTATION OF EQUIPMENT FOR TESTING PURPOSES ____ 4
4. TESTS RESULTS SUMMARY ____ 7
5. ENVIRONNEMENTAL CONDITIONS ____ 8
6. EQUIPMENT USED FOR THE TESTING ____ 8
7. MEASUREMENT RESULTS ____ 10
8. GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE COARSE SCAN ____ 10
9. PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST ____ 12
10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY ____ 14
11. SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION ____ 16
12. EQUIPMENT TEST CONDITIONS ____ 17
13. MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION ____ 17
14. LIQUID MEASUREMENT: TEST CONDITIONS & RESULTS ____ 18
15. SYSTEM VALIDATION: TEST CONDITIONS & RESULTS ____ 19
ANNEX 1: ES3DV3 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE ____ 21
ANNEX 2: DAE3 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE ____ 22

1. INTRODUCTION

In this test report, Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) measurements for the wireless communication device INGENICO IWL228 are presented.

The measurements were made according to the Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65 and RSS102 for evaluating compliance with FCC Guidelines (FCC 47 CFR § 2.1093 and IEEE Std C95.1) and SAR limits established in Health Canada's RF exposure guideline, Safety Code 6, for general population/uncontrolled exposure.

2. REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The reference documents referred throughout this report are listed below.
These reference documents are applicable to the entire report, although extensions (version, date and amendment) are not repeated.

Reference	Document title	Date
Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions	2001
FCC 47 CFR	§ 2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices	-
IEEE Std C95.1	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.	1999
KDB 248227	SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters D01 v01r02	2007
RSS102	Radio Standards Specification 102, Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)	Issue 4 2010
Safety Code 6	Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz	2009

3. PRESENTATION OF EQUIPMENT FOR TESTING PURPOSES

The wireless communication device INGENICO IWL228, using the Wi-Fi (802.11 b/g/n) standard in the 2450MHz, is shown in Fig. 1. The antenna is integrated.

The equipment under test is a hand-held device and is intended to be only held in the hand as defined by the applicant.

Note: The also supported Wi-Fi 802.11a standard (5100 to 5800MHz frequency band) is not part of this report. Test exclusion for 10-g extremity SAR according to the KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v05r01 is reported in the EMITECH test report RE051-13-103173-3-A.

Front and rear sides

Right and left sides

Product marking (Identical Prototype)

Fig. 1: Photographs of the equipment under test

4. TESTS RESULTS SUMMARY

Object	Respected Standard ? ≤ 4W/kg in 10g (SAR limit for hands, wrists, feet, ankles)		Remarks
	Yes	**No**	
SAR measurements for 802.11 b/g/n	X		SAR value measured: 0.157W/kg

Conclusion:

The sample INGENICO IWL228 (802.11 b/g/n standard) submitted to test when held in the hand is in conformity with the FCC Guidelines (FCC 47 CFR § 2.1093 and IEEE Std C95.1: 1999) and the SAR limits established in Health Canada's RF exposure guideline, Safety Code 6, for general population/uncontrolled exposure according to the Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) and RSS102 Issue 4: 2010.

This test was conducted under accreditation following the ISO / IEC 17025 standard, issued by COFRAC under number 1-2188 and pronounced until July 31, 2016.

5. ENVIRONNEMENTAL CONDITIONS

Condition	Measured Value
Liquid Temperature	*See Graphical Representations and § 14*
Ambient Temperature	*See Graphical Representations and § 14*

6. EQUIPMENT USED FOR THE TESTING

Plateform ID	Emitech N°	Category	Brand	Type	Last calibration	Next calibration
1 -						
2 DASY4	7321	Software	Speag	DASY4	-	-
	9485	E-Field Probe	Speag	ES3DV3	Aug.21, 13	Aug.21, 14
	7192	Data acquisition	Speag	DAE3	Aug.14, 13	Aug.14, 14
	7323	Dipole 2450MHz	Speag	D2450V3	Nov. 12, 11	Nov. 12, 13
	7324	Phantom	Speag	ELI4	-	-
3 Liquid Measure	-	Software	Hewlett-Packard	HP85070C	-	-
	1402	Network analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	HP8753C	Feb. 26, 13	Feb. 26, 14
	9777	S-Parameter	Hewlett-Packard	HP85047A	Feb. 28, 13	Feb. 28, 14
	7218	Dielectric probe	Hewlett-Packard	HP85070C	-	-
	6980	Thermometer	Testo	922	Nov. 10, 11	Nov. 10, 13
4 System Validation	7014	Signal generator	Rohde-Schwarz	SMP22		
	7209	Amplifier	Mini-circuits	ZHL42	-	-
	7214	Power Supply	Kikusui	PMC18-2	-	-
	7212	Power meter	Rohde-Schwarz	NRVS	Dec. 14, 12	Dec. 14, 14
	7211	Probe power meter	Rohde-Schwarz	NRV-Z31	Dec. 14, 12	Dec. 14, 14
	7208	Coupler	Suhner	3877	Oct. 04, 11	Oct. 04, 13
	7213	Attenuator	Weinschel Engineering	33-3-34	Oct. 04, 11	Oct. 04, 13
	7315	Attenuator	Radiall	R411810124 R411806124	Oct. 04, 11	Oct. 04, 13
	9161	50 ohms load	Diconex	17-0193	Nov. 27, 12	Nov. 27, 14
	7313	50 ohms load	Radiall	R404563000	Oct. 04, 11	Oct. 04, 13

ES3DV3 Isotropic E-Field Probe Overview:

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core
Calibration Conversion Factors (CF) for head and body liquid
Frequency 10 MHz to 4 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 4 GHz)
Directivity ± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)
± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range 5 µW/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm)
Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm)
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm

ELI4 Elliptical phantom Overview:

Dimensions	Length 600 mm ± 5 mm and width 400 mm ± 5 mm
Shape	Ellipse
Thickness	2.0 mm with a tolerance of ± 0.2 mm
Liquid depth	150 mm

System Validation Kit Overview:

Construction	Symmetrical dipole with l/4 balun Enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with head/body simulating solutions
Return Loss	> 20 dB at specified validation position
Dimensions	D2450V3 dipole length: 51.8 mm

Mounting Device for Transmitters Overview:

Construction	Enables the position of the mounted transmitter device according to IEEE and IEC specifications
Material	POM

7. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The wireless communication device antenna and battery are those specified by the manufacturer. The battery is fully charged before each measurement.
The output power and frequency are controlled using a test program supplied by the applicant. The wireless communication device is set to transmit at its highest output peak power level with a continuous transmission at the lowest data rate for each mode (b, g or n).
The SAR test was performed for each test positions at the centre frequency of each mode. Then the configuration giving rise to the maximum mass-averaged SAR was used to test the low-end and the high-end frequencies of the transmitting band.

The wireless communication was placed directly against the flat phantom, for those sides of the device that are in contact with the hand during intended use: front, rear, left and right sides as defined in Fig. 1.

Measurement results (SAR values averaged over a mass of 10g):

Configuration	Test Position at 0cm	SAR 10g (W/kg) - Limit = 4W/kg		
		Channel 1 (2412MHz)	Channel 6 (2437MHz)	Channel 11 (2462MHz)
802.11b 1Mbps	Front side	-	0.0221	-
	Rear side	**0.157**	0.151	0.147
	Left side	-	0.0444	-
	Right side	-	0.0239	-
802.11g 6Mbps	Front side	-	-	-
	Rear side	-	0.135	-
	Left side	-	-	-
	Right side	-	-	-
802.11n (BW 20MHz) 6.5Mbps	Front side	-	-	-
	Rear side	-	0.119	-
	Left side	-	-	-
	Right side	-	-	-
802.11n (BW 40MHz) 6.5Mbps	Front side	-	-	-
	Rear side	-	0.0715	-
	Left side	-	-	-
	Right side		-	-

8. GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF THE COARSE SCAN

The graphical representation of the coarse scan for the worst case is shown in Fig. 2.

DUT: INGENICO IWL228

Communication System: WIFI 2450 US; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: σ = 2.03 mho/m, ε_r = 52.3; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3
Phantom section: Flat Section

Program Notes: Ambient temperature: 24.2°C, Liquid temperature: 23.8°C

DASY4 Configuration:
- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3303; ConvF(4.17, 4.17, 4.17); Calibrated: 8/21/2013
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn402; Calibrated: 8/14/2013
- Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1067
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

Position 0cm, Low channel/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.402 mW/g

Position 0cm, Low channel/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 8.96 V/m; Power Drift = 0.161 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.16 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.399 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.157 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.577 mW/g



0 dB = 0.577mW/g

Fig. 2: SAR distribution for 802.11b: channel 1 (2412 MHz), rear side at 0cm

9. PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST

The photographs of the equipment under test are shown in Fig. 3 to Fig. 6.

Fig. 3: Front side at 0cm from the phantom



Fig. 4: Rear side at 0cm from the phantom



Fig. 5: Left side at 0cm from the phantom

Fig. 6: Right side at 0cm from the phantom

10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

- Measurement uncertainty of SAR evaluations

The uncertainty of the measurements was evaluated according to the Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. The expanded uncertainty is ± 21.8 %.

ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty Value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Measurement Equipment					
Probe Calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0
Axial Isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9
Boundary Effect	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6
Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7
System Detection Limits	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6
Readout Electronics	± 0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3
Response Time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5
Integration Time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.5
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7
Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6
Test Sample Related					
Test Sample Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9
Device Holder Uncertainty	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6
Output Power Variation – SAR drift measurement	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9
Phantom and Tissue Parameters					
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3
Liquid Conductivity Target - Tolerance	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement Uncertainty	± 2.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 1.6
Liquid Permittivity Target - Tolerance	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement Uncertainty	± 2.5	Normal	1	0.6	± 1.5
Combined standard uncertainty					± 10.9
Expanded uncertainty (confidence interval of 95%)					± 21.8

- Uncertainty of SAR system verification

The uncertainty of the system verification was evaluated according to the Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. The expanded uncertainty is ± 18.4 %.

ERROR DESCRIPTION	Uncertainty Value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Measurement System					
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	0	± 0.0
Boundary effects	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7
System detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6
Readout electronics	± 0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3
Response time (CW)	± 0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0
Integration time (CW)	± 0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.0
RF ambient conditions - Noise	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7
RF ambient conditions - Reflections	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7
Probe positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6
Test Sample Related					
Dipole axis to liquid distance	± 2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.2
Input power and SAR drift meas.	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7
Phantom and Setup					
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	± 2.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 1.6
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	± 2.5	Normal	1	0.6	± 1.5
Coverage Factor for 95% (k=2)					
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 9.2
Expanded Standard Uncertainty					± 18.4

11. SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

From Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, [DASY4 Manual, March 2003, Application Note: Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation].

Spatial Peak SAR

The DASY4 software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR values.
The spatial-peak SAR can be computed over any required mass.
The base for the evaluation is a “cube” measurement in a volume of 30mm^3 (7x7x7 points). The measured volume includes the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan. The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:
1. Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan,
2. Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters),
3. Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume,
4. Interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid,
5. Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface,
6. Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

Interpolation, Extrapolation and Detection of Maxima

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors which is located at 2mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the dipole sensors are 3mm above the phantom surface. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard’s method [Robert J. Renka, “Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data”, University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148.].

Averaging and Determination of Spatial Peak SAR

The interpolated data is used to average the SAR over the 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured volume. The resolution of this spatial grid used to calculate the averaged SAR is 1mm or about 42875 interpolated points. The resulting volumes are defined as cubical volumes containing the appropriate tissue parameters that are centered at the location. The location is defined as the center of the incremental volume (voxel).

12. EQUIPMENT TEST CONDITIONS

The following test conditions are given for information; the maximum output powers were not measured (see Note).

Standard: Wi-Fi (802.11 b/g/n)
Crest factor: 1
Modulation: DSSS for IEEE 802.11b, OFDM for IEEE 802.11g/n
Traffic Channel: low channel: 1 (2412 MHz), middle channel: 6 (2437 MHz), high channel: 11 (2462 MHz)
Test program: "RTTT Version 2.0.0.53" supplied by the applicant
Power:

Channel	Output Power (dBm)
IEEE 802.11b (2.4GHz) - 1 Mbps	
1	14.9
6	15.1
11	15.2
IEEE 802.11g (2.4GHz) - 6 Mbps	
1	14.7
6	14.9
11	14.8
IEEE 802.11n (2.4GHz) - 20MHz - 6.5 Mbps	
1	13.2
6	13.6
11	13.5
IEEE 802.11n (2.4GHz) - 40MHz - 6.5 Mbps	
1	10.3
6	10.5
11	10.4

Note: Conducted power measurements were performed with a temporary antenna connector instead of antenna. The setting up of a temporary antenna connector is not possible on the equipment presented, so these measurements could not be performed before and after each SAR test. These values were communicated by the applicant.

13. MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The automated near-field scanning system Dosimetric Assessment System DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG was used. The measurement is performed using platform n° 2 (DASY4) referenced in paragraph 6 of this test report. The system consists of a computer controlled, high precision robotics system, robot controller, extreme near-field probes and the phantom containing the liquid. The six axis robot precisely positions the probe at the points of maximum electromagnetic field. A device holder made of low-loss dielectric material is used to maintain the test position of the equipment under test against the phantom. The measurements were conducted in an RF controlled environment (i.e. semi anechoic room). Fig. 7 shows the system.



Fig. 7: The measurement setup with equipment under test.

14. LIQUID MEASUREMENT: TEST CONDITIONS & RESULTS

The liquid measurement is performed using platform n° 3 (Liquid measure) referenced in paragraph 6 of this test report. The following ingredients (in % by weight) are theoretical and given for information.

2450 MHz liquid: Diethylenglykol-monobutylether 26.70 %
De-ionised water 73.20 %
NaCl salt 0.04 %

The dielectric parameters of the liquid were controlled prior to assessment (contact probe method). Dielectric properties measured:

Frequency (MHz)	**ε_r (F/m)** Targeted value	**ε_r (F/m)** Measured value	**σ (S/m)** Targeted value	**σ (S/m)** Measured value	**Liquid temperature (°C)**	**Ambient temperature (°C)**
2440	52.7 ± 5 %	52.3	1.94 ± 5 %	2.03	23.5	23.0
2450	52.7 ± 5 %	52.2	1.95 ± 5 %	2.03		

The phantom shall be filled with tissue-equivalent liquid to a depth of at least 15cm.

The liquid depth measured in the phantom was 15.4cm as shown in the attached photograph.



15. SYSTEM VALIDATION: TEST CONDITIONS & RESULTS

The system validation is performed using platform n° 4 (System validation) referenced in paragraph 6 of this test report.

Measurement conditions: The measurements were performed with the ELI4 phantom filled with liquids. The validation dipole input power was 250mW.
Prior to the assessment, the validation dipole were used to check whether the system was operating within its specification of ± 10 %.

Measurement results: The results are hereafter below and shown in Fig. 8.

Frequency (MHz)	**SAR 1g (W/kg)** Targeted value	**SAR 1g (W/kg)** Measured value	**SAR 10g (W/kg)** Targeted value	**SAR 10g (W/kg)** Measured value
2450	12.8 ± 10%	13.3	5.925 ± 10%	6.08

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 2.03$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 52.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3
Phantom section: Flat Section

Program Notes: Ambient temperature: 24.5°C, Liquid temperature: 23.6°C

DASY4 Configuration:
- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3303; ConvF(4.17, 4.17, 4.17); Calibrated: 8/21/2013
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn402; Calibrated: 8/14/2013
- Phantom: ELI 4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: 1067
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 19.0 mW/g

d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 88.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.019 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.4 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 13.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.08 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.5 mW/g



0 dB = 17.5mW/g

Fig. 8: 2450MHz validation result

□□□ End of report, 2 annexes to be forwarded □□□

ANNEX 1: ES3DV3 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client **EMITECH Le Mans**

Certificate No: ES3-3303_Aug13

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	ES3DV3 - SN:3303
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v8, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	August 21, 2013

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	04-Apr-13 (No. 217-01733)	Apr-14
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	04-Apr-13 (No. 217-01733)	Apr-14
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	04-Apr-13 (No. 217-01737)	Apr-14
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	04-Apr-13 (No. 217-01735)	Apr-14
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	04-Apr-13 (No. 217-01738)	Apr-14
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	28-Dec-12 (No. ES3-3013_Dec12)	Dec-13
DAE4	SN: 660	31-Jan-13 (No. DAE4-660_Jan13)	Jan-14
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-15
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-12)	In house check: Oct-13

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: August 21, 2013

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

ANNEX 2: DAE3 CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client **EMITECH Le Mans**

Certificate No: DAE3-402_Aug13

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	DAE3 - SD 000 D03 AA - SN: 402
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-06.v26 Calibration procedure for the data acquisition electronics (DAE)
Calibration date:	August 14, 2013

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Keithley Multimeter Type 2001	SN: 0810278	02-Oct-12 (No:12728)	Oct-13
Secondary Standards	**ID #**	**Check Date (in house)**	**Scheduled Check**
Auto DAE Calibration Unit	SE UWS 053 AA 1001	07-Jan-13 (in house check)	In house check: Jan-14
Calibrator Box V2.1	SE UMS 006 AA 1002	07-Jan-13 (in house check)	In house check: Jan-14

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	R.Mayoraz	Technician	R. Mayoraz
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	Deputy Technical Manager	i.V. Bomholt

Issued: August 14, 2013

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.