

## SAR TEST REPORT

<b>Applicant Name:</b> Franklin Technology Inc. 906(Gasan-Dong, JEI Platz), 186, Gasan digital 1-ro, Geumcheon-gu, Seoul, Korea(08502)	<b>Date of Issue:</b> 02. 19, 2016 <b>Test Report No.:</b> HCT-A-1602-F003 <b>Test Site:</b> HCT CO., LTD.
---	--

**FCC ID:**

**XHG-R775**

**Equipment Type:** LTE/WIFI MOBILE ROUTER

**Model Name:** R775


**Testing has been carried out in accordance with:** 47CFR §2.1093  
ANSI/ IEEE C95.1 – 1992  
IEEE 1528-2013

**Date of Test:** 02/11/2016

This device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in FCC KDB procedures and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC KDB procedures.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

**Tested By**



Yun-Jeang Heo  
Test Engineer / SAR Team  
Certification Division

**Reviewed By**



Dong-Seob Kim  
Technical Manager / SAR Team  
Certification Division

This report only responds to the tested sample and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the HCT Co., Ltd.

## +Version

<b>Rev.</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
HCT-A-1602-F003	02. 19, 2016	First Approval Report

# Table of Contents

1. Attestation of Test Result of Device Under Test.....	4
2. Device Under Test Description .....	5
3. INTRODUCTION .....	1 0
4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT .....	1 1
5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE .....	1 5
6. DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION.....	1 7
7. ANSI/ IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS.....	1 9
8. FCC SAR GENERAL MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES .....	2 0
9. Output Power Specifications .....	2 0
10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION .....	2 3
11. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY .....	2 4
12. Simultaneous SAR Analysis .....	2 6
13. SAR Measurement Variability and Uncertainty.....	2 7
14. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....	2 7
16. CONCLUSION.....	3 0
17. REFERENCES .....	3 1
Attachment 1. – SAR Test Plots.....	3 3
Attachment 2. – Dipole Verification Plots.....	3 4
Attachment 3. – Probe Calibration Data .....	3 7
Attachment 4. – Dipole Calibration Data .....	5 0
Attachment 5. – SAR Tissue Characterization .....	5 9
Attachment 6. – SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION .....	6 0

# 1. Attestation of Test Result of Device Under Test

Test Laboratory	
Company Name:	HCT Co., LTD
Address	74, Seoicheon-ro 578beon-gil, Majang-myeon, Icheon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 17383, Rep. of Korea
Telephone	+82 31 645 6300
Fax.	+82 31 645 6400

Attestation of SAR test result	
Applicant Name:	Franklin Technology Inc.
FCC ID:	XHG-R775
Model:	R775
EUT Type:	LTE/WIFI MOBILE ROUTER
Application Type:	Certification

The Highest Reported SAR			
Band	Tx. Frequency	Equipment Class	Reported 1g SAR (W/kg)
	(MHz)		Hotspot
LTE 5 (Cell)	824.7 - 843	PCB	1.01
Date(s) of Tests:	02/11/2016		

Note: The table above shows SAR Test Data evaluated for the current report. Please refer to RF Exposure Technical Report S/N:RSZ140515005-20(FCC ID:XHG-R774) for original compliance evaluation for all operations not evaluated in this test report.

## 2. Device Under Test Description

### 2.1 DUT specification

Device Wireless specification overview		
Band & Mode	Operating Mode	Tx Frequency
CDMA BC0	Data	824 – 849 MHz
CDMA BC1	Data	1850 – 1910 MHz
LTE Band 5 (Cell)	Data	824.7 – 848.3 MHz
LTE Band 12	Data	698 – 716 MHz
LTE Band 25	Data	1850 – 1915 MHz
2.4 GHz WLAN	Data	2 412 – 2 462 MHz
Device Description		
Device Dimension	Overall (Length x Width) : 99.9 mm x 59.9 mm	
Back Cover	Normal Battery cover	
Battery Options	Standard	

## 2.3 LTE information

Item.	Description				
Frequency Range:	Band 5: 824.7 MHz ~ 848.3 MHz Band 12 : 698 MHz – 716 MHz Band 25 : 1850 MHz – 1915 MHz				
Channel Bandwidths	Band 5: 1.4 MHz, 3 MHz, 5 MHz Band 12: 5 MHz Band 25: 5 MHz				
Channel Number s& Frequencies(MHz):					
<b>Band 5</b>					
1.4 MHz		3 MHz		5 MHz	
Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)
20407	824.7	20415	825.5	20425	826.5
20525	836.5	20525	836.5	20525	836.5
20643	848.3	20635	847.5	20625	846.5
<b>Band 12</b>					
1.4 MHz		3 MHz		5 MHz	
Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)
23017	699.7	700.5	23025	23035	701.5
23095	707.5	23095	707.5	23095	707.5
23173	715.3	714.5	23165	23155	713.5
<b>Band 25</b>					
1.4 MHz		3 MHz		5 MHz	
Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)
26047	1850.7	26055	1851.5	26065	1852.5
26365	1882.5	26365	1882.5	26365	1882.5
26683	1914.3	26675	1913.5	26665	1912.5
UE Category	UE Category 4				
Modulations Supported in UL	QPSK, 16QAM				
LTE voice/data requirements	Data Only				
LTE MPR options	The EUT incorporates MPR as per 3GPP TS 36.101 sec. 6.2.3 ~ 6.2.5				
	The MPR is permanently built-in by design as a mandatory.				
	A-MPR is not implemented in the DUT.				
Power reduction explanation	This device doesn't implements power reduction.				

## **2.4 TEST METHODOLOGY and Procedures**

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-2013 & IEEE 1528-2005 and the following published KDB procedures.

- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D05 SAR for LTE Devices v02r05
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01 General SAR Guidance v06
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02

## 2.5 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

This device operates using the following maximum output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB publication 447498 D01v06.

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)
LTE Band 5 (Cell)	Maximum	23.8
	Nominal	23.3

## 2.6 DUT Antenna Locations

Device Edges / Sides for SAR Testing						
Mode	Rear	Front	Left	Right	Bottom	Top
LTE Band 5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

\* Note: All test configurations are based on front view position.

## 2.7 SAR Summation Scenario

According to FCC KDB 447498 D01v06, transmitters are considered to be transmitting simultaneously when there is overlapping transmission, with the exception of transmissions during network hand-offs with maximum hand-off duration less than 30 seconds. Possible transmission paths for the EUT are shown below paths and are mode in same rectangle to indicate communication modes which share the same path. Modes which share the same transmission path cannot transmit simultaneously with one another.



This device contains multiple transmitters that may operate simultaneously, and therefore requires a simultaneous transmission analysis according to FCC KDB 447498 D01v06.

Simultaneous Transmission Scenarios	
Applicable Combination	Hotspot
LTE+ 2.4 GHz WiFi	Yes

1. All licensed modes share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.
2. The highest reported SAR for each exposure condition is used for SAR summation purpose.



## 2.8 SAR Test Exclusions Applied

### WiFi

It was confirmed that the change in the device did not affect the SAR for WLAN operations. Please refer to RF Exposure Technical Report S/N:RSZ140515005-20(FCC ID:XHG-R774) for complete SAR evaluation for these operations.

### Licensed Transmitter(s)

It was confirmed that the change in the device only affected SAR for LTE Band 5 operations. Please refer to RF Exposure Technical Report S/N:RSZ140515005-20(FCC ID:XHG-R774) for complete SAR evaluation for all other bands and modes.

LTE SAR for the higher modulations and lower bandwidths were not tested since the maximum average output power of all required channels and configurations was not more than 0.5 dB higher than the highest bandwidth; and the reported LTE SAR for the highest bandwidth was less than 1.45 W/kg for all configurations according to FCC KDB 941225 D05v02r05.

### 3. INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., , New York 10017. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields,” NCRP Report No. 86 NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

#### SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative of the incremental electromagnetic energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body.

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

Figure 1. SAR Mathematical Equation

*SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg)*

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

Where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.

## 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT

### 4.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

These measurements are performed using the DASY4 & DASY5 automated dosimetric assessment system. It is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland. It consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium III computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure.2).

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The PC with Windows XP or Windows 7 is working with SAR Measurement system DASY4 & DASY5, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.







Figure 2. HCT SAR Lab. Test Measurement Set-up



The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in.

## 4.2 DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

Isotropic SAR Probe			
Probe type	ET3DV6	ES3DV3	EX3DV4
Appearance			
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)		
Calibration	IEEE 1528-2013, IEC 62209-1, IEC 62209-2, KDB 865664		
Frequency	10 MHz to 2.3 GHz Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 2.3 GHz)	10 MHz to 4 GHz Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 4 GHz)	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	$\pm 0.2$ dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.4$ dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)	$\pm 0.2$ dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.3$ dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)	$\pm 0.3$ dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.5$ dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB	5 $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB	10 $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.0 mm	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.0 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 2.3 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of mobile phones	General dosimetry up to 4 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of mobile phones	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of mobile phones

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6, ES3DV3 and EX3DV4 (depending on the frequency), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches a maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY 4 & 5 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

### 4.3 SAM Phantom

SAR PHANTOMS		
T W I N  S A M	<b>Name</b>	Twin SAM
	<b>Appearance</b>	
	<b>Material</b>	Vinyl ester, Fiberglass reinforced (VE-GF)
	<b>Liquid Compatibility</b>	Compatible with all DGBE Type liquid
	<b>Shell Thickness</b>	2±0.2 mm (6±0.2 mm at ear point)
	<b>Dimensions</b>	Length : 1000 mm Width : 500 mm Height : adjustable feet
	<b>Filling Volume</b>	Approx. 25 liters
M F P	<b>Name</b>	MFP – Triple Modular Phantom
	<b>Appearance</b>	
	<b>Material</b>	Vinyl ester, Fiberglass reinforced (VE-GF)
	<b>Liquid Compatibility</b>	Compatible with all DGBE Type liquid
	<b>Shell Thickness</b>	2±0.2 mm
	<b>Dimensions</b>	Length : 292 mm Width : 178 mm Height : 178 mm Useable area : 280 x 175 mm
	<b>Filling Volume</b>	Approx. 8.1 liters (filling height 155 mm)

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand Phone usage as well as body-mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.

Triple Modular Phantom consists of three identical modules which can be installed and removed separately without emptying the liquid. It includes three reference points for phantom installation. Covers prevent evaporation of the liquid. Phantom material is resistant to DGBE-based tissue simulating liquids.

Applicable for system performance check from 700 MHz – 6 GHz as well as dosimetric evaluations of body-worn devices.

## 4.4 Device Holder for Transmitters

### Device Holder – Mounting Device

In combination with the SAM Phantom, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatably positioned according to the EN 50360:2001/A:2001 and FCC KDB specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce an infinite number of configurations. To produce the Worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.



## 4.5 Validation Dipole

The reference dipole should have a return loss better than -20 dB (measured in the setup) at the resonant frequency to reduce the uncertainty in the power measurement.

### System Validation Dipole

<b>Description</b>	Symmetrical dipole with $\lambda/4$ balun. Enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with network analyzer (NWA). Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with tissue simulating liquids.	
<b>Frequency</b>	750, 835, 1900, 2000, 2300, 2450, 2600, 5000 MHz	
<b>Return Loss</b>	> 20 dB at specified validation position	
<b>Power Capability</b>	> 100 W ( f < 1GHz), >40 W ( f > 1 GHz)	
<b>Dimension</b>	D750V3: dipole length : 179.0 mm ; overall height : 330.0 mm D835V2: dipole length : 158.0 mm ; overall height : 340.0 mm D1900V2: dipole length : 67.7 mm ; overall height : 300.0 mm D2300V2: dipole length : 56.3 mm ; overall height : 290.0 mm D2450V2: dipole length : 52.0 mm ; overall height : 290.0 mm D2600V1: dipole length : 49.2 mm ; overall height : 290.0 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length : 20.6 mm ; overall height : 300.0 mm	

## 5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no more than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the DUT's head and body area and the horizontal grid resolution was depending on the FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04 table 4-1 & IEEE 1528-2013.
2. Based on step, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by sophisticated interpolations routines implemented in DASY software. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable point. DASY system computes the field maximal found in the scanned are, within a range of the maximum. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04 table 4-1 and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (reference from the DASY manual.)
  - a. The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is no more than 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe (it is different from the probe type) and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan. If the value changed by more than 5 %, the SAR evaluation and drift measurements were repeated.

Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follow KDB 865664 D01v01r04 quoted below.

		≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz	
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface		5±1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \delta \ln(2) \pm 0.5$ mm	
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location		30°±1°	20°±1°	
Maximum area scan Spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Area}, \Delta y_{Area}$		≤ 2 GHz: ≤15 mm 2-3 GHz: ≤12 mm	3-4 GHz: ≤12 mm 4-6 GHz: ≤10 mm	
		When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be ≤ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.		
Maximum zoom scan Spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{zoom}, \Delta y_{zoom}$		≤ 2 GHz: ≤8mm 2-3 GHz: ≤5mm*	3-4 GHz: ≤5 mm* 4-6 GHz: ≤4 mm*	
Maximum zoom scan Spatial resolution normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{zoom}(n)$	≤ 5 mm	3-4 GHz: ≤4 mm 4-5 GHz: ≤3 mm 5-6 GHz: ≤2 mm	
	graded grid	$\Delta z_{zoom}(1)$ : between 1 <sup>st</sup> two Points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	3-4 GHz: ≤3 mm 4-5 GHz: ≤2.5 mm 5-6 GHz: ≤2 mm
		$\Delta z_{zoom}(n>1)$ : between subsequent Points	≤1.5 · $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z	≥ 30 mm	3-4 GHz: ≥28 mm 4-5 GHz: ≥25 mm 5-6 GHz: ≥22 mm	
<p>Note: <math>\delta</math> is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.</p> <p>* When zoom scan is required and the reported SAR from the area scan based 1-g SAR estimation procedures of KDB 447498 is <math>\leq 1.4</math> W/kg, <math>\leq 8</math> mm, <math>\leq 7</math> mm and <math>\leq 5</math> mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.</p>				



## 6. DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION

### 6.1 Body Holster/Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with each accessory. If multiple accessory share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some Devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used.

Since this EUT does not supply any body worn accessory to the end user a distance of 1.0 cm from the EUT back surface to the liquid interface is configured for the generic test.

"See the Test SET-UP Photo"

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worstcase positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

### 6.2 Body-Worn Accessory Configurations

Body-Worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 6-4). Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r03 Body-Worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-Worn accessories. The body-Worn accessory procedures in FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 should be used to test for body-Worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-Worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode, when applicable. When the reported SAR for a body- Worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is  $> 1.2$  W/kg, the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for that body- Worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.



Figure 6-4  
Sample Body-Worn Diagram

Accessories for Body-Worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are

tested with the device with each accessory. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-dip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-Worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-Worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-Worn transmitters. SAR compliance is tested with the accessories, including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

## 6.4 Wireless Router Configurations

Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive user data through simultaneous transmission of WIFI simultaneously with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06v02r01 where SAR test considerations for handsets ( $L \times W \geq 9\text{cm} \times 5\text{cm}$ ) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front back and edges of the device containing transmitting antennas within 2.5 cm of their edges, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-Worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-Worn accessory SAR tests.

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions due to the limitations of the SAR assessment probes. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and spatially summed with the WIFI transmitter according to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 publication procedures. The "Portable Hotspot\*" feature on the handset was NOT activated during SAR assessments, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal at a time.

## 7. ANSI/ IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

HUMAN EXPOSURE	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT Occupational
	(W/kg) or (mW/g)	(W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR * (Brain)	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR ** (Whole Body)	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR *** (Hands / Feet / Ankle / Wrist)	4.00	20.00

**Table 8.1 Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure**

**NOTES:**

- \* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
- \*\* The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole-body.
- \*\*\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

**Uncontrolled Environments** are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be mad fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

**Controlled Environments** are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e.as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

## 8. FCC SAR GENERAL MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

### 8.1 SAR Measurement Conditions for LTE

LTE modes are tested according to FCC KDB 941225 D05v02r05 publication. Establishing connections with base station simulators ensure a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluation SAR [4]. The R&S CMW500 or Anritsu MT8820C simulators are used for LTE output power measurements and SAR testing. Closed loop power control was used so the UE transmits with maximum output power during SAR testing. SAR tests were performed with the same number of RB and RB offsets transmitting on all TTI frames (maximum TTI).

#### 8.1.1 Spectrum Plots for RB Configurations

A properly configured base station simulator was used for SAR tests and power measurements. Therefore, spectrum plots for RB configurations were not required to be included in this report.

#### 8.1.2 MPR

MPR is permanently implemented for this device by the manufacturer. The specific manufacturer target MPR is indicated alongside the SAR results. MPR is enabled for this device, according to 3GPP TS36. 101 Section 6.2.3 – 6.2.5 under Table 6.2.3-1.

#### 8.1.3 A-MPR

A-MPR(Additional MPR) has been disabled for all SAR tests by setting NS=01 on the base station simulator.

#### 8.1.4 Required RB Size and RB offsets for SAR testing

According to FCC KDB 941225 D05v02r05

- a. Per sec 4.2.1, SAR is required for QPSK 1 RB Allocation for the largest bandwidth
  - i. The required channel and offset combination with the highest maximum output power is required for SAR.
  - ii. When the reported SAR is  $\leq 0.8$  W/Kg, testing of the remaining RB offset configurations and required test channels is not required. Otherwise, SAR is required for the remaining required test channels using the RB offset configuration with highest output power for that channel.
  - iii. When the reported SAR for a required test channel is  $> 1.45$  W/kg, SAR is required for all RB offset configurations for that channel.
- b. Per Sec 4.2.2, SAR is required for 50% RB allocation using the largest bandwidth following the same procedures outlined in Sec 4.2.1.
- c. Per Sec. 4.2.3, QPSK SAR is not required for the 100% allocation when the highest maximum output power for the 100% allocation is less than the highest maximum output power of the 1 RB and 50% RB allocations and the reported SAR for the 1 RB and 50% RB allocations is  $< 0.8$  W/kg.
- d. Per Sec. 4.2.4 and 4.3, SAR test for higher order modulations and lower bandwidths configurations are not required when the conducted power of the required test configurations determined by Sec. 4.2.1 through 4.2.3 is less than or equal to 1/2 dB higher than the equivalent configuration using QPSK modulation and when the QPSK SAR for those configurations is  $< 1.45$  W/Kg.

## 9. Output Power Specifications

This device operates using the following maximum output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB publication 447498 D01v06.

### - LTE Band 5

Bandwidth	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Max.Average Power (dBm)			MPR Allowed Per 3GPP	MPR
				20407	20525	20643	[dB]	[dB]
				824.7 MHz	836.5 MHz	848.3 MHz		
1.4 MHz	QPSK	1	0	23.16	23.28	23.24	0	0
		1	3	23.34	23.28	23.09	0	0
		1	5	23.19	23.19	23.04	0	0
		3	0	23.26	23.25	23.25	0	0
		3	1	23.26	23.21	23.27	0	0
		3	3	23.21	23.23	23.09	0	0
	16QAM	6	0	22.32	22.28	22.28	0-1	1
		1	0	22.03	21.67	22.36	0-1	1
		1	3	22.16	21.61	22.13	0-1	1
		1	5	22.25	21.72	22.13	0-1	1
		3	0	22.35	22.36	22.21	0-1	1
		3	1	22.47	22.34	22.30	0-1	1
		3	3	22.30	22.35	22.14	0-1	1
		6	0	21.30	21.34	21.26	0-2	2

Bandwidth	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Max.Average Power (dBm)			MPR Allowed Per 3GPP	MPR
				20415	20525	20635	[dB]	[dB]
				825.5 MHz	836.5 MHz	847.5 MHz		
3 MHz	QPSK	1	0	23.18	23.29	23.12	0	0
		1	7	23.40	23.24	23.09	0	0
		1	14	23.43	23.26	23.08	0	0
		8	0	22.19	22.21	22.30	0-1	1
		8	3	22.28	22.22	22.23	0-1	1
		8	7	22.30	22.17	22.18	0-1	1
		15	0	22.37	22.12	22.15	0-1	1
	16QAM	1	0	22.20	21.82	22.4	0-1	1
		1	7	22.44	21.57	22.34	0-1	1
		1	14	22.55	21.60	22.24	0-1	1
		8	0	21.13	21.17	21.11	0-2	2
		8	3	21.25	21.09	21.16	0-2	2
		8	7	21.20	21.06	21.03	0-2	2
		15	0	21.25	21.21	21.14	0-2	2

Bandwidth	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Max.Average Power (dBm)			MPR Allowed Per 3GPP [dB]	MPR [dB]
				20425	20525	20625	[dB]	[dB]
				826.5 MHz	836.5 MHz	846.5 MHz		
5 MHz	QPSK	1	0	23.07	23.25	<b>23.31</b>	0	0
		1	12	<b>23.40</b>	23.19	23.20	0	0
		1	24	23.26	<b>23.26</b>	23.08	0	0
		12	0	22.39	22.20	22.16	0-1	1
		12	6	22.40	22.18	22.20	0-1	1
		12	11	22.43	22.11	22.23	0-1	1
		25	0	22.22	22.14	22.07	0-1	1
	16QAM	1	0	22.36	22.55	22.36	0-1	1
		1	12	22.62	22.45	22.17	0-1	1
		1	24	22.52	22.51	22.12	0-1	1
		12	0	21.20	21.20	21.08	0-2	2
		12	6	21.26	21.10	21.12	0-2	2
		12	11	21.28	21.05	21.08	0-2	2
		25	0	21.11	21.12	21.18	0-2	2

## 10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### 10.1 Tissue Verification

The Head /body simulating material is calibrated by HCT using the DAKS 3.5 to determine the conductivity and permittivity.

Table for Body Tissue Verification									
Date of Tests	Tissue Temp. (°C)	Tissue Type	Freq. (MHz)	Measured Conductivity $\sigma$ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, $\epsilon$	Target Conductivity $\sigma$ (S/m)	Target Dielectric Constant, $\epsilon$	% dev $\sigma$	% dev $\epsilon$
02/11/2016	22.2	835B	820	0.943	56.465	0.969	55.258	-2.68%	2.18%
			835	0.954	56.346	0.970	55.200	-1.65%	2.08%
			850	0.971	56.204	0.988	55.154	-1.72%	1.90%

### 10.2 System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the  $\pm 10\%$  of the specifications at 835 MHz by using the system Verification kit. (Graphic Plots Attached)

#### System Verification Results

Freq.	Date	Probe (S/N)	Dipole (S/N)	Liquid	Amb. Temp.	Liquid Temp.	1 W Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (SPEAG)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	1 W Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Deviation	Limit [%]
[MHz]					[°C]	[°C]	[W/kg]	[W/kg]	[W/kg]	[%]	[%]
835	02/11/2016	7370	4d165	Body	22.4	22.2	9.47	0.944	9.44	-0.32	$\pm 10$

### 10.3 System Verification Procedure

SAR measurement was prior to assessment, the system is verified to the  $\pm 10\%$  of the specifications at each frequency band by using the system Verification kit. (Graphic Plots Attached)

- Cabling the system, using the Verification kit equipments.
- Generate about 100 mW Input Level from the Signal generator to the Dipole Antenna.
- Dipole Antenna was placed below the Flat phantom.
- The measured one-gram SAR at the surface of the phantom above the dipole feed-point should be within 10 % of the target reference value.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

NOTE;

SAR Verification was performed according to the FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04.

# 11. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY

## 11.1 Hotspot SAR Measurement Results

LTE Band 5 Hotspot SAR															
Frequency		Mode	Band width (MHz)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Test Position	RB Size	RB offset	Duty Cycle	Distance (mm)	Meas. SAR (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/kg)	Plot No.
MHz	Ch.														
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	23.8	23.40	-0.06	Rear	1	12	1:1	10	0.917	1.096	<b>1.005</b>	1
836.5	20525	QPSK	5	23.8	23.26	0.12	Rear	1	24	1:1	10	0.755	1.132	0.855	-
846.5	20625	QPSK	5	23.8	23.31	-0.02	Rear	1	0	1:1	10	0.635	1.119	0.711	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.43	0.06	Rear	12	11	1:1	10	0.649	1.089	0.707	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.22	0.13	Rear	25	0	1:1	10	0.639	1.143	0.730	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	23.8	23.40	0.11	Front	1	12	1:1	10	0.788	1.096	0.864	-
836.5	20525	QPSK	5	23.8	23.26	0.16	Front	1	24	1:1	10	0.711	1.132	0.805	-
846.5	20625	QPSK	5	23.8	23.31	0.13	Front	1	0	1:1	10	0.599	1.119	0.671	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.43	0.10	Front	12	11	1:1	10	0.636	1.089	0.693	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.22	0.06	Front	25	0	1:1	10	0.575	1.143	0.657	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	23.8	23.40	0.12	Left	1	12	1:1	10	0.499	1.096	0.547	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.43	0.05	Left	12	11	1:1	10	0.381	1.089	0.415	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	23.8	23.40	0.18	Right	1	12	1:1	10	0.378	1.096	0.414	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.43	0.12	Right	12	11	1:1	10	0.296	1.089	0.322	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	23.8	23.40	0.09	Top	1	12	1:1	10	0.186	1.096	0.204	-
826.5	20425	QPSK	5	22.8	22.43	0.04	Top	12	11	1:1	10	0.147	1.089	0.160	-
ANSI/ IEEE C95.1 - 1992– Safety Limit Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/ General Population							Body 1.6 W/kg Averaged over 1 gram								



## 11.4 SAR Test Notes

### General Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013, FCC KDB Procedure.
2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements. A standard battery was used for all SAR measurements.
3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06.
6. Per FCC KDB 648474 D04v01r03, SAR was evaluated without a headset connected to the device. Since the standalone reported SAR was  $\leq 1.2$  W/kg, no additional SAR evaluation using a headset cable were required.

### LTE Notes:

1. LTE Considerations: LTE test configurations are determined according to SAR Evaluation Consideration for LTE Devices in FCC KDB 941225 D05v02r05.
2. According to FCC KDB 941225 D05v02r05.  
When the reported SAR is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg, testing of the 100%RB allocation and required test channels is not required. Otherwise, SAR is required for the remaining required test channels using the 1RB, 50%RB and 100%RB allocation with highest output power for that channel.  
Only one channel, and as reported SAR values for 1RB allocation and 50%RB allocation were less than 1.45W/Kg only the highest power RB offset for each allocation was required.
3. MPR is permanently implemented for this device by the manufacturer. The specific manufacturer target MPR is indicated alongside the SAR results. MPR is enabled for this device, according to target MPR is indicated alongside the SAR results.
4. A-MPR was disabled for all SAR tests by setting NS=01 on the base station simulator.
5. Pre-installed VOIP applications are considered.
6. SAR test reduction is applied using the following criteria:  
Start with the largest channel bandwidth and measure SAR for QPSK with 1 RB, and 50% RB allocation, using the RB offset and required test channel combination with the highest maximum output power among RB offsets at the upper edge, middle and lower edge of each required test channel. When the reported SAR is  $>0.8$  W/kg, testing for other Channels is performed at the highest output power level for 1RB, and 50% RB configuration for that channel. Testing for 100% RB configuration is performed at the highest output power level for 100% RB configuration across the Low, Mid and High Channel when the highest reported SAR for 1 RB and 50% RB are  $>0.8$  W/kg, Testing for the remaining required channels is not needed because the reported SAR for 100% RB Allocation  $<1.45$  W/kg. Testing for 16-QAM modulation is not required because the reported SAR for QPSK is  $<1.45$  W/kg and its output power is not more than 0.5 dB higher than that a QPSK. Testing for the other channel bandwidths is not required because the reported SAR for the highest channel bandwidth is  $<1.45$  W/kg and its output power is not more than 0.5 dB higher than that of the highest channel bandwidth.

## 12. Simultaneous SAR Analysis

### 12.1 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Hotspot

Simultaneous Transmission Summation Scenario with 2.4 GHz WLAN				
Exposure condition	Band	WWAN SAR	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR	$\Sigma$ 1-g SAR
		(W/kg)	(W/kg)	(W/kg)
Body SAR	LTE Band 5	1.005	0.083	1.088

Note:

The highest reported SAR for each exposure condition is used for SAR summation purpose.

Please see RF Exposure Technical Reports S/N:RSZ140515005-20 (FCC ID:XHG-R774) with simultaneous SAR assessments for the other combinations not referred to in these tables and for full SAR compliance data for the WLAN transmitter. This report only contains the combinations applicable to this permissive change.

### 12.2 Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion

The above numerical summed SAR results for all the worst-case simultaneous transmission conditions were below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit. And therefore no measured volumetric simultaneous SAR summation is required per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 and IEEE 1528-2013.

### 13. SAR Measurement Variability and Uncertainty

In accordance with KDB procedure 865664 D01v01r04 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, SAR additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR Measurement variability was assessed using the following procedures for each frequency band:

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg for 1g SAR or < 2.0 W/kg for 10g SAR ; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured 1g SAR is  $\geq 0.80$  W/kg or 10g SAR  $\geq 2.0$ W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\geq 1.45$  W/kg for 1g SAR or  $\geq 3.625$  W/kg for 10g SAR (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is  $\geq 1.5$  W/kg for 1g SAR or  $\geq 3.75$  W/kg for 10g SAR and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

Frequency		Modulation	Battery	Configuration	Original SAR	Repeated SAR	Largest to Smallest SAR Ratio	Plot No.
MHz	Channel				(W/kg)	(W/kg)		
826.5	20425	LTE Band 5	Standard	Rear (1RB, 12offset)	0.917	0.893	1.03	2

# 14. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Uncertainty (700 MHz ~ 2600 MHz)						
Error Description	Tol	Prob.	Div.	c <sub>i</sub>	Standard Uncertainty (± %)	V <sub>eff</sub>
	(± %)	dist.				
<b>1. Measurement System</b>						
Probe Calibration	6.00	N	1	1	6.00	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.73	0.7	1.90	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.73	0.7	3.88	∞
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.73	1	0.58	∞
Linearity	4.70	R	1.73	1	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.73	1	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.30	N	1.00	1	0.30	∞
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1	0.46	∞
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1	1.50	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.00	R	1.73	1	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner	0.40	R	1.73	1	0.23	∞
Probe Positioning	2.90	R	1.73	1	1.67	∞
Max SAR Eval	1.00	R	1.73	1	0.58	∞
<b>2. Test Sample Related</b>						
Device Positioning	2.25	N	1.00	1	2.25	9
Device Holder	3.60	N	1.00	1	3.60	∞
Power Drift	5.00	R	1.73	1	2.89	∞
<b>3. Phantom and Setup</b>						
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.73	1	2.31	∞
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.00	R	1.73	0.64	1.85	∞
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	2.70	N	1	0.64	1.73	∞
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.00	R	1.73	0.6	1.73	∞
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	1.90	N	1	0.6	1.14	∞
<b>Combine Standard Uncertainty</b>					10.67	
<b>Coverage Factor for 95 %</b>					k=2	
<b>Expanded STD Uncertainty</b>					21.34	

## 15. SAR TEST EQUIPMENT

Manufacturer	Type / Model	S/N	Calib. Date	Calib.Interval	Calib.Due
SPEAG	Triple Modular Phantom	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
HP	SAR System Control PC	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
Staubli	Robot TX90 XLSpeag	F13/5R4XF1/A/01	N/A	N/A	N/A
Staubli	CS8Cspeag-TX90	F13/5R4XF1/C/01	N/A	N/A	N/A
Staubli	Teach Pendant (Joystick)	D21142605	N/A	N/A	N/A
SPEAG	DAE4	1417	01/27/2016	Annual	01/27/2017
SPEAG	E-Field Probe EX3DV4	7370	09/01/2015	Annual	09/01/2016
SPEAG	Dipole D835V2	4d165	11/24/2015	Annual	11/24/2016
Agilent	Power Meter N1991A	MY45101406	10/03/2015	Annual	10/03/2016
Agilent	Power Sensor N1921A	MY55220026	08/19/2015	Annual	08/19/2016
SPEAG	DAKS 3.5	1038	05/26/2015	Annual	05/26/2016
HP	Directional Bridge	86205A	05/20/2015	Annual	05/20/2016
Agilent	Base Station E5515C	GB44400269	02/09/2016	Annual	02/09/2017
HP	Signal Generator N5182A	MY4770230	05/13/2015	Annual	05/13/2016
Agilent	MXA Signal Analyzer N9020A	MY50510407	03/23/2015	Annual	03/23/2016
HP	Network Analyzer 8753ES	JP39240221	03/23/2015	Annual	03/23/2016
R&S	Wideband Radio Communication Tester CMW500	115733	09/18/2015	Annual	09/18/2016
Hewlett Packard	11636B/Power Divider	58698	03/02/2015	Annual	03/02/2016

**NOTE:**

1. The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by the waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Verification measurement is performed by HCT Lab. before each test. The brain/body simulating material is calibrated by HCT using the DAKS 3.5 to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain/body-equivalent material.

## 16. CONCLUSION

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992.

These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests.

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

## 17. REFERENCES

- [1] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice or Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body from Wireless Communications Devices.
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1991, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300 kHz to 100 GHz, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C 95.1 - 2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [5] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 1991, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectro magnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computer mathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Receptions in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10 kHz-300 GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

- [20] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation and procedures – Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [21] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz) Mar. 2010.
- [22] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Band) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [23] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2009
- [24] FCC SAR Test procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Device KDB 941225 D01.
- [25] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 transmitters, KDB 248227 D01.
- [26] SAR Evaluation of Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas KDB 648474 D03, D04.
- [27] SAR Evaluation for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet computers KDB 616217 D04.
- [28] SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100 MHz – 6 GHz, KDB 865664 D01, D02.
- [29] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR procedures for Dongles, KDB 447498 D01, D02.



## Attachment 1. – SAR Test Plots

Test Laboratory: HCT CO., LTD  
 EUT Type: LTE/WIFI MOBILE ROUTER  
 Liquid Temperature: 22.2 °C  
 Ambient Temperature: 22.4 °C  
 Test Date: 02/11/2016  
 Plot No.: 1

**DUT: R775**

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 5 (0); Frequency: 826.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 826.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.946 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 56.442$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
 Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7370; ConvF(9.66, 9.66, 9.66); Calibrated: 2015-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1417; Calibrated: 2016-01-27
- Phantom: Triple Flat Phantom
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8);

**R775/LTE5 Body Rear 5MHz 1RB 12offset 20425ch/Area Scan (7x9x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.03 W/kg

**R775/LTE5 Body Rear 5MHz 1RB 12offset 20425ch/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.89 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.18 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.917 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.665 W/kg**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.06 W/kg

**R775/LTE5 Body Rear 5MHz 1RB 12offset 20425ch/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.89 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.19 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.823 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.552 W/kg**



Test Laboratory: HCT CO., LTD  
 EUT Type: LTE/WIFI MOBILE ROUTER  
 Liquid Temperature: 22.2 °C  
 Ambient Temperature: 22.4 °C  
 Test Date: 02/11/2016  
 Plot No.: 2

**DUT: R775**

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 5 (0); Frequency: 826.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 826.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.946 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 56.442$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
 Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7370; ConvF(9.66, 9.66, 9.66); Calibrated: 2015-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1417; Calibrated: 2016-01-27
- Phantom: Triple Flat Phantom
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8);

**R775/LTE5 Body Rear 5MHz 1RB 12offset 20425ch/Area Scan (7x9x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.06 W/kg

**R775/LTE5 Body Rear 5MHz 1RB 12offset 20425ch/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.95 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.16 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.893 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.649 W/kg**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.04 W/kg

**R775/LTE5 Body Rear 5MHz 1RB 12offset 20425ch/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.95 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.17 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.810 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.538 W/kg**



## Attachment 2. – Dipole Verification Plots

Test Laboratory: HCT CO., LTD  
 Input Power 100 mW (20 dBm)  
 Liquid Temp: 22.2 °C  
 Test Date: 02/11/2016

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz D835V2; Type: D835V2**


Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.954 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 56.346$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
 Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7370; ConvF(9.66, 9.66, 9.66); Calibrated: 2015-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1417; Calibrated: 2016-01-27
- Phantom: Triple Flat Phantom
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8);

**835MHz Body Verification/Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.01 W/kg

**835MHz Body Verification/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm  
 Reference Value = 33.34 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.38 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.944 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.623 W/kg**  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.02 W/kg



## Attachment 3. – Probe Calibration Data

**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **HCT (Dymstec)**

Certificate No: **EX3-7370\_Sep15**

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object: **EX3DV4 - SN:7370**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5,  
QA CAL-25.v6  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **September 1, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3013_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 660	14-Jan-15 (No. DAE4-660_Jan15)	Jan-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Israa Elnaouq	Laboratory Technician	<i>Israa Elnaouq</i>
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	<i>Katja Pokovic</i>

Issued: September 2, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

**Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices; Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>: Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub> = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- A<sub>x,y,z</sub>; B<sub>x,y,z</sub>; C<sub>x,y,z</sub>; D<sub>x,y,z</sub>; VR<sub>x,y,z</sub>: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM<sub>x</sub> (no uncertainty required).



EX3DV4 – SN:7370

September 1, 2015

# Probe EX3DV4

## SN:7370

Manufactured: March 17, 2015  
Calibrated: September 1, 2015

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems  
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

EX3DV4– SN:7370

September 1, 2015

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7370

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ( $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$ ) <sup>A</sup>	0.47	0.51	0.43	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	99.0	105.3	99.8	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>C</sup> (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	162.3	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		164.9	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		167.7	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter; uncertainty not required.

<sup>C</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

EX3DV4- SN:7370

September 1, 2015

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7370

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>e</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>e</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha <sup>d</sup>	Depth <sup>d</sup> (mm)	Unc (k=2)
450	43.5	0.87	10.67	10.67	10.67	0.16	1.70	± 13.3 %
750	41.9	0.89	9.81	9.81	9.81	0.26	1.24	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	9.57	9.57	9.57	0.27	1.17	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	9.29	9.29	9.29	0.29	1.12	± 12.0 %
1450	40.5	1.20	8.08	8.08	8.08	0.26	1.06	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.05	8.05	8.05	0.34	0.80	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	7.80	7.80	7.80	0.34	0.80	± 12.0 %
1950	40.0	1.40	7.57	7.57	7.57	0.40	0.80	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	7.43	7.43	7.43	0.33	0.83	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	6.94	6.94	6.94	0.32	0.92	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	6.81	6.81	6.81	0.43	0.80	± 12.0 %
3500	37.9	2.91	6.92	6.92	6.92	0.29	1.39	± 13.1 %
5200	36.0	4.66	5.13	5.13	5.13	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5300	35.9	4.76	4.95	4.95	4.95	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5500	35.6	4.96	4.53	4.53	4.53	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.5	5.07	4.35	4.35	4.35	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5800	35.3	5.27	4.53	4.53	4.53	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY-v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

<sup>e</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

<sup>d</sup> Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

EX3DV4--SN:7370

September 1, 2015

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7370

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>f</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>f</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha <sup>d</sup>	Depth <sup>d</sup> (mm)	Unc (k=2)
450	56.7	0.94	11.08	11.08	11.08	0.11	1.60	± 13.3 %
750	55.5	0.96	9.82	9.82	9.82	0.24	1.27	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	9.66	9.66	9.66	0.29	1.25	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.76	7.76	7.76	0.47	0.81	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.49	7.49	7.49	0.41	0.80	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.16	7.16	7.16	0.35	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	7.07	7.07	7.07	0.29	0.80	± 12.0 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.64	4.64	4.64	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5300	48.9	5.42	4.46	4.46	4.46	0.45	1.90	± 13.1 %
5500	48.6	5.65	4.03	4.03	4.03	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.85	3.85	3.85	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	4.03	4.03	4.03	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v1.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.


<sup>f</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

<sup>d</sup> Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

EX3DV4--SN:7370

September 1, 2015

### Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  (k=2)

EX3DV4- SN:7370

September 1, 2015

### Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  (k=2)

EX3DV4-SN:7370

September 1, 2015

**Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>)**  
(TEM cell , f<sub>eval</sub>= 1900 MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)


EX3DV4- SN:7370

September 1, 2015

### Conversion Factor Assessment



### Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error ( $\phi, \theta$ ), f = 900 MHz





EX3DV4- SN:7370

September 1, 2015

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7370

### Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	94.7
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm

## Attachment 4. – Dipole Calibration Data

**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **HCT (Dymstec)**

Certificate No: **D835V2-4d165\_Nov15**

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object: **D835V2 - SN: 4d165**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-05.v9  
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz**

Calibration date: **November 24, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility; environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&E critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02223)	Oct-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 7349	30-Dec-14 (No. EX3-7349_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	17-Aug-15 (No. DAE4-601_Aug15)	Aug-16
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Jun-15)	In house check: Jun-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390565 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by: **Name: Michael Weber, Function: Laboratory Technician, Signature: M. Weber**

Approved by: **Name: Katja Pokovic, Function: Technical Manager, Signature: K. Pokovic**

Issued: November 24, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

**Glossary:**

TSL tissue simulating liquid  
ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z  
N/A not applicable or not measured

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

**Additional Documentation:**

- e) DASY4/5 System Handbook

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

**Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz ± 1 MHz	

**Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	42.6 ± 6 %	0.92 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.29 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.06 W/kg ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.49 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.90 W/kg ± 16.5 % (k=2)

**Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	55.6 ± 6 %	0.99 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Body TSL**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.47 W/kg ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.58 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.25 W/kg ± 16.5 % (k=2)

**Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)**

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	52.1 $\Omega$ - 4.7 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 26.0 dB

**Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.8 $\Omega$ - 6.8 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 22.7 dB

**General Antenna Parameters and Design**

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.440 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

**Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	December 26, 2012

**DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date: 24.11.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d165**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.92$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(9.77, 9.77, 9.77); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 17.08.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:**


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 60.39 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.40 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.29 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.49 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.03 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





**DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date: 24.11.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d165**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.99$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 55.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(9.72, 9.72, 9.72); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 17.08.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

**Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:**


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 61.95 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.54 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.58 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.17 W/kg



**Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL**



## Attachment 5. – SAR Tissue Characterization

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydrox-ethyl cellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 3.1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartsgrove.

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)							
	835		1 900		2 450 – 2 700		5 200 - 5 800	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	40.45	53.06	54.9	70.17	71.88	73.2	65.52	78.66
Salt (NaCl)	1.45	0.94	0.18	0.39	0.16	0.1	0.0	0.0
Sugar	57.0	44.9	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	1.0	1.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.1	0.1	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.97	0.0	17.24	10.67
DGBE	0.0	0.0	44.92	29.44	7.99	26.7	0.0	0.0
Diethylene glycol hexyl ether	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.24	10.67

Salt:	99 % Pure Sodium Chloride	Sugar:	98 % Pure Sucrose
Water:	De-ionized, 16M resistivity	HEC:	Hydroxyethyl Cellulose
DGBE:	99 % Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether,[2-(2-butoxyethoxy) ethanol]		
Triton X-100(ultra pure):	Polyethylene glycol mono[4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl] ether		

### Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

## Attachment 6. – SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION

Per FCC KCB 865664 D02v01r02, SAR system validation status should be document to confirm measurement accuracy. The SAR systems (including SAR probes, system components and software versions) used for this device were validated against its performance specifications prior to the SAR measurements. Reference dipoles were used with the required tissue- equivalent media for system validation, according to the procedures outlined in IEEE 1528-2013 and FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04. Since SAR probe calibrations are frequency dependent, each probe calibration point was validated at a frequency within the valid frequency range of the probe calibration point, using the system that normally operates with the probe for routine SAR measurements and according to the required tissue-equivalent media.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters has been included.

SAR System No.	Probe	Probe Type	Probe Calibration Point		Dipole	Date	Dielectric Parameters		CW Validation			Modulation Validation		
							Measured Permittivity	Measured Conductivity	Sensitivity	Probe Linearity	Probe Isotropy	MOD. Type	Duty Factor	PAR
12	7370	EX3DV4	Body	835	4d165	12/02/2015	54.8	0.98	PASS	PASS	PASS	N/A	N/A	N/A

**SAR System Validation Summary 1g**

**Note;**

All measurement were performed using probes calibrated for CW signal only. Modulations in the table above represent test configurations for which the measurement system has been validated per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04. SAR system were validated for modulated signals with a periodic duty cycle, such as GMSK, or with a high peak to average ratio (>5 dB), such as OFDM according to KDB 865664 D01v01r04.