



MET Laboratories, Inc. *Safety Certification - EMI - Telecom Environmental Simulation*

914 WEST PATAPSCO AVENUE • BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21230-3432 • PHONE (410) 354-3300 • FAX (410) 354-3313

33439 WESTERN AVENUE • UNION CITY, CALIFORNIA 94587 • PHONE (510) 489-6300 • FAX (510) 489-6372

3162 BELICK STREET • SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA 95054 • PHONE (408) 748-3585 • FAX (510) 489-6372

Dosimetric Assessment Test Report

for the

L3 COMMUNICATIONS

**Tested and Evaluated In Accordance With
FCC OET 65 Supplement C: 01-01**

Prepared for

L3 Communications
3750 Centerview Drive
Chantilly, VA 26059

Engineering Statement: The measurements shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures specified in Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65 of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Guidelines [FCC 2001] and Industry Canada RSS-102 for uncontrolled exposure. I assume full responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these measurements, and for the qualifications of all persons taking them. It is further stated that upon the basis of the measurements made, the equipment evaluated is capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1999.

SAR Evaluation Certificate of Compliance

APPLICANT: L3 Communications

Applicant Name and Address: **L3 Communications**
3750 Centerview Drive
Chantilly, VA 26059

Test Location: MET Laboratories, Inc.
3162 Belick Street
Santa Clara, CA 95054
USA

EUT:	Miner Mesh Radio Handset					
Date of Receipt:	June 15, 2009					
RF exposure environment:	Occupational/Controlled					
RF exposure category:	Portable					
Power supply:	3.65V Lithium Ion Rechargeable Battery					
Antenna:	External					
Production/prototype:	Production					
Modulation:	FSK					
Duty Cycle:	1:2					
TX Range:	902MHz – 928MHz					
Max SAR Measured	SAR 1g (mW/g)					
Mid Channel (915MHz):	Right Head Touch	Right Head Tilt	Left Head Touch	Left Head Tilt	Face (Push-To-Talk)	Body Worn
	0.168	0.111	0.120	0.097	0.122	0.514



Shawn McMillen
Wireless Manager

INTRODUCTION	4
SAR DEFINITION	4
DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE UNDER TEST (EUT)	5
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	6
MEASUREMENT SUMMARY	7
FLOW CHART OF THE RECOMMENDED PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES	9
DATA EVALUATION PROCEDURES	13
SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	15
SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES	16
SAR SAFETY LIMITS	17
ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	18
1.1. Specifications	18
1.2. Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System:	18
1.3. Phantom(s):	18
SAR Measurement System	19
1.4. RX90BL Robot	19
1.5. Robot Controller	19
1.6. Light Beam Switch	19
1.7. Data Acquisition Electronics	19
1.8. Electro-Optical Converter (EOC)	20
1.9. Measurement Server	20
1.10. Dosimetric Probe	20
1.11. SAM Phantom	20
1.12. Planar Phantom	20
1.13. Validation Planar Phantom	20
1.14. Device Holder	21
1.15. System Validation Kits	21
TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	22
MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	23
REFERENCES	24
EUT PHOTOS	25
APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA	
APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	
APPENDIX C – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE	
APPENDIX D – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE	
APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS	
APPENDIX F – PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY	

INTRODUCTION

This measurement report demonstrates that the L3 Communications-Miner Mesh Radio Handset described within this report complies with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1999 and FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 for the Uncontrolled Exposure/General population environment. The test procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 were employed.

A description of the device under test, device operating configuration and test conditions, measurement and site description, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, detailed summary of the test results and the various provisions of the rules are included in this dosimetric assessment test report.

SAR DEFINITION

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1.1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

Figure 1.1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

where:

- σ - conductivity of the tissue - simulant material (S/m)
- ρ - mass density of the tissue - simulant material (kg/m³)
- E - Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)


NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.

DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE UNDER TEST (EUT)

Applicant:	L3 Communications		
Description of Test Item:	Miner Mesh Radio Handset		
Supply Voltage:	3.65V Lithium Ion Rechargeable Battery		
Antenna Type(s) Tested:	External		
Accessories:	Item	Part Number	Model Number
	Belt Clip	N/A	N/A
Modes of Operation:	FSK		
Duty Cycle Tested:	1:2		
Application Type:	Certification		
Exposure Category:	Occupational/Controlled		
FCC and IC Rule Part(s):	FCC 47 CFR §2.1093, Part 15.247		
Standards:	IEEE Std. 1528-2003, FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01		

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

MET Laboratories, Inc SAR measurement facility utilizes the DASY4 Professional Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY[™]) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG[™]) of Zurich, Switzerland for performing SAR compliance tests. The DASY4 measurement system is comprised of the measurement server, robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for brain and/or body SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). The Cell controller system contain the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the DASY4 measurement server. The DAE4 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit.



Transmission to the DASY4 measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. The sensor systems are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

HEAD SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
Freq (MHz)	Chan	Test Mode	Cond. Pwr. Before (dBm)	Battery Type	Phantom Section	Accessory	Position	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)
915.0	Mid	FSK	28.22	Standard	Right Head	None	Right Head Touch	0.168
915.0	Mid	FSK	28.25	Standard	Right Head	None	Right Head Tilt	0.111
915.0	Mid	FSK	28.27	Standard	Left Head	None	Left Head Touch	0.120
915.0	Mid	FSK	28.17	Standard	Left Head	None	Left Head Tilt	0.097
915.0	Mid	FSK	28.28	Standard	Planar	Belt Clip	Push To Talk @ 2.5cm separation	0.122
ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 – SAFETY LIMIT 8.0 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak – Controlled Environment/Occupational								
Measured Mixture Type			900 MHz Head			Date Tested		5/19/2009
Dielectric Constant ϵ_r			IEEE Target	Measured	Duty Cycle		50%	
			41.5	41.2	Ambient Temperature (C)		22.5	
Conductivity σ (mho/m)			IEEE Target	Measured	Fluid Temperature (C)		22	
			0.97	0.98	Fluid Depth		≥ 15 cm	


BODY SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
Freq (MHz)	Chan	Test Mode	Cond. Pwr. Before (dBm)	Battery Type	Phantom Section	Accessory	Position	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)
915.0	Mid	FSK	28.33	Standard	Planar	Belt Clip	Body	0.514
ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 – SAFETY LIMIT 8.0 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak – Controlled Environment/Occupational								
Measured Mixture Type			900 MHz Body			Date Tested		5/19/2009
Dielectric Constant ϵ_r			IEEE Target	Measured	Duty Cycle		50%	
			55.0	53.2	Ambient Temperature (C)		23	
Conductivity σ (mho/m)			IEEE Target	Measured	Fluid Temperature (C)		22	
			1.05	1.05	Fluid Depth		≥ 15 cm	

DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The Miner Mesh Radio Handset was determined to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate based on the test provisions and conditions described below.

1. The EUT was tested for SAR against the head, in front of the face for push-to-talk mode and for body worn.
2. The EUT was placed into Test Mode for maximum duty cycle transmissions by using programmed software commands provided by L3 Communications.
3. All SAR evaluations were performed with a fully charged battery.
4. The EUT's RF power was measured before and after each SAR test using a Spectrum Analyzer. The measured drift during the SAR tests were used to determine if the conducted power stayed within the allowable limits.
5. The dielectric parameters of the simulated head and body fluid were measured prior to the evaluation using an 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8722D Network Analyzer.
6. The fluid and air temperature was measured prior to and after each SAR evaluation to ensure the temperature remained within ± 2 deg C of the temperature of the fluid when the dielectric properties were measured.
7. During the SAR evaluations if a distribution produced several hotspots over the course of the area scan, each hotspot was evaluated separately.

FLOW CHART OF THE RECOMMENDED PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES



EAR Reference Point

Figure 12.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point M is the reference point for the center of the mouth, LE is the left ear reference point (ERP), and RE is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 12.2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting. Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning.



Figure 12.1
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




Figure 12.2
Side view of ERPs

HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the test device reference point located along the vertical centerline on the front of the device aligned to the ear reference point (See Fig. 12.3). The test device reference point was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the vertical centerline was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the ear reference point on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.





Figure 12.3
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

POSITIONING FOR CHEEK/TOUCH

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom, such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure 12.5)



Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position



Side view with relevant markings

POSITIONING FOR EAR/15 DEGREE TILE

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head.



Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15 Tilt Position

EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested.

- (i) For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated using the SAM phantom.
- (ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.

The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. Upon completion of a reference check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.

An area scan was determined as follows:

Based on the defined area scan grid, a more detailed grid is created to increase the points by a factor of 10. The interpolation function then evaluates all field values between corresponding measurement points.

A linear search is applied to find all the candidate maxima. Subsequently, all maxima are removed that are >2 dB from the global maximum. The remaining maxima are then used to position the cube scans.

A 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:

For frequencies $\leq 4.5\text{GHz}$ a 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (7x7x7 data points) zoom scan was assessed at the position where the greatest V/m was detected. For frequencies $\geq 4.5\text{GHz}$ a 28mm x 28mm x 24mm (7x7x9 data points) zoom scan was assessed at the position where the greatest V/m was detected. The data at the surface was extrapolated since the distance from the probes sensors to the surface is 3.9cm. A least squares fourth-order polynomial was used to generate points between the probe detector and the inner surface of the phantom.

Interpolated data is used to calculate the average SAR over 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured cube. The volume used to determine the averaged SAR is a 1mm grid (42875 interpolated points).

Z-Scan was determined as follows:

The Z-scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along a line normal to the inner surface of the phantom surface.

DATA EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The DASY4 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters:	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}$
	- Conversion Factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Dipole Compression Point	dcp_i
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC - transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

With V_i = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 U_i = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 cf = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
 dcp_i = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E - fieldprobes : } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$\text{H - fieldprobes : } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 $Norm_i$ = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)
 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$ for E-field probes
 $ConvF$ = Sensitivity enhancement in solution
 a_{ij} = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
 f = Carrier frequency (GHz)
 E_i = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m
 H_i = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

with P_{pwe} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m


H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed in the planar section of the SAM phantom with an 835MHz dipole. The dielectric parameters of the simulated brain fluid were measured prior to the system performance check using an 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8722D Network Analyzer. A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of +10%. All results were normalized to 1W.

Test Date	Fluid Type (MHz)	SAR Ig (W/kg)		Permittivity Constant ϵ_r		Conductivity σ (mho/m)		Ambient Temp. (C)	Fluid Temp. (C)	Fluid Depth (cm)
		Calibrated Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured			
5/19/2009	900 Head	10.08 $\pm 5\%$	10..4	41.5 $\pm 5\%$	40.6	0.9 $\pm 10\%$	0.88	22.0	22.0	≥ 15

Note: The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to the fluid parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.



SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES

Simulated Tissue Mixture		
Ingredient	900MHz Head	900MHz Body
Water	40.29%	50.75%
Sugar	57.90%	48.21%
Cellulose	0.24%	0.00%
Salt	1.38%	0.94%
Dowicil 75	0.18%	0.10%

SAR SAFETY LIMITS

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.0	20.0

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled exposure environments are locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled exposure environments are locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

1.1. SPECIFICATIONS

Positioner:

Robot:	Staubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX90
Repeatability:	0.02 mm
No. of axis:	6

1.2. DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONIC (DAE) SYSTEM:

Cell Controller

Processor:	Compaq Evo Clock Speed: 2.4 GHz Operating System: Windows XP Professional
------------	---

Data Converter

Features:	Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic
Software:	DASY4 software
Connecting Lines:	Optical downlink for data and status info. Optical uplink for commands and clock

Dasy4 Measurement Server

Function:	Real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection
Hardware:	PC/104 166MHz Pentium CPU; 32 MB chipdisk; 64 MB RAM
Connections:	COM1, COM2, DAE, Robot, Ethernet, Service Interface

E-Field Probe

Model:	ET3DV6
Serial No.:	1793
Construction:	Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency:	10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

EX-Probe


Model:	EX3DV3
Serial No.	3511
Construction:	Triangular core
Frequency:	10 MHz to > 6 GHz
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

1.3. PHANTOM(S):

Validation & Evaluation Phantom

Type:	SAM V4.0C
Shell Material:	Fiberglass
Thickness:	2.0 ±0.1 mm
Volume:	Approx. 20 liters

SAR Measurement System



Measurement System Diagram

1.4. RX90BL ROBOT

The Stäubli RX90BL Robot is a standard high precision 6-axis robot with an arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

1.5. ROBOT CONTROLLER

The CS7MB Robot Controller system drives the robot motors. The system consists of a power supply, robot controller, and remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

1.6. LIGHT BEAM SWITCH

The Light Beam Switch (Probe alignment tool) allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, so that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip. The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.



1.7. DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS

The Data Acquisition Electronics consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit A/D converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Some of the task the DAE performs is signal amplification, signal multiplexing, A/D conversion, and offset measurements. The DAE also contains the mechanical probe-mounting device, which contains two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts used for probe collision detection and mechanical surface detection for controlling the distance between the probe and the inner surface of the phantom shell. Transmission from the DAE to the measurement server, via the EOC, is through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.



1.8. ELECTO-OPTICAL CONVERTER (EOC)

The Electro-Optical Converter performs the conversion between the optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC connects to, and transfers data to, the DASY4 measurement server. The EOC also contains the fiber optical surface detection system for controlling the distance between the probe and the inner surface of the phantom shell.



1.9. MEASUREMENT SERVER

The Measurement Server performs time critical tasks such as signal filtering, all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements, and handles safety operation. The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. A watchdog supervises all connections, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements.



1.10. DOSIMETRIC PROBE

Dosimetric Probe is a symmetrical design with triangular core that incorporates three 3 mm long dipoles arranged so that the overall response is close to isotropic. The probe sensors are covered by an outer protective shell, which is resistant to organic solvents i.e. glycol. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line, ending at the front of the probe tip, for optical surface detection. This line connects to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides automatic detection of the phantom surface. The optical surface detection works in transparent liquids and on diffuse reflecting surfaces with a repeatability of better than ± 0.1 mm.



1.11. SAM PHANTOM

The SAM (Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin) twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm) integrated into a wooden table. The shape of the shell corresponds to the phantom defined by SCC34-SC2. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left hand, right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. The flat section is also used for system validation and the length and width of the flat section are at least $0.75 \lambda_0$ and $0.6 \lambda_0$ respectively at frequencies of 824 MHz and above (λ_0 = wavelength in air).

Reference markings on the phantom top allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. A white cover is provided to cover the phantom during off-periods preventing water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible. The phantom is filled with a tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm at each ear reference point. The bottom plate of the wooden table contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder.



1.12. PLANAR PHANTOM

The planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for face-held and body-worn SAR evaluations of handheld radio transceivers. The planar phantom is mounted on the wooden table of the DASY4 system.



1.13. VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM

The validation planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 6.0 mm shell thickness for system validations at 450MHz and below. The validation planar phantom is mounted on the wooden table of the DASY4 system.



1.14. DEVICE HOLDER

The device holder is designed to cope with the different measurement positions in the three sections of the SAM phantom given in the standard. It has two scales, one for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and one for device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The rotation center for both scales is the ear opening, thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles. The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°.



The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity $\epsilon = 3$ and loss tangent $\delta = 0.02$. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

The dielectric properties of the liquid conform to all the tabulated values [2-5]. Liquids are prepared according to Annex A and dielectric properties are measured according to Annex B.

1.15. SYSTEM VALIDATION KITS

Power Capability: $> 100 \text{ W}$ ($f < 1\text{GHz}$); $> 40 \text{ W}$ ($f > 1\text{GHz}$)

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 300, 450, 835, 1900, 2450 MHz, 5-6GHz

Return loss: $>20 \text{ dB}$ at specified validation position

Dimensions:

300 MHz Dipole:	Length: 396mm; Overall Height:430 mm; Diameter: 6 mm
450 MHz Dipole:	Length: 270 mm; Overall Height: 347 mm; Diameter: 6 mm
835 MHz Dipole:	Length: 161 mm; Overall Height: 270 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm
1900 MHz Dipole:	Length: 68 mm; Overall Height: 219 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm
2450 MHz Dipole:	Length: 51.5 mm; Overall Height: 300 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm
5-6GHz Dipole:	Length: 26.0 mm; Overall Height: 170 mm; Diameter: 3.6 mm



TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration Date
DASY4 System Robot EX3DV3 DAE3 835MHz Dipole SAM Phantom V4.0C EUT Planar Phantom Validation Phantom	FO3/SX19A1/A/01 3511 584 1S2440 N/A N/A N/A	N/A May 2008 Functional Verification January 2009 N/A N/A N/A
85070D Dielectric Probe Kt	N/A	N/A
83650B Signal Generator	3844A00910	June 2008
HP E4418B Power Meter	GB40205140	October 2008
Agilent E4407B	MY45102898	October 2008
HP 8482A Power Sensor	2607A11286	October 2008
HP 8722D Vector Network Analyzer	3S36140188	March 2009
Mini-Circuits Power Amplifier	N902400810	N/A

MEASUREMENT UNCERTANTIES

UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT 300MHz-3GHz

Error Description	Tol. ±%	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c_i 1g	c_i 10g	Std Unc ±% (1g)	Std Unc ±% (10g)	v_i or v_{eff}
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	N/A
Axial isotropy of the probe	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.9	N/A
Spherical isotropy of the probe	9.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	3.9	3.9	N/A
Boundary effects	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	4.8	4.8	N/A
Probe linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7	N/A
Detection limit	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	N/A
Readout electronics	1.0	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	N/A
Response time	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5	N/A
Integration time	2.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.8	0.8	N/A
RF ambient conditions	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.43	0.43	N/A
Mech. constraints of robot	0.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2	N/A
Probe positioning	2.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7	N/A
Extrapolation & integration	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3	N/A
Test Sample Related								
Device positioning	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.23	2.23	145
Device holder uncertainty	3.6	N	1	1	1	5.0	5.0	5
Power drift	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$			2.9	2.9	N/A
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom uncertainty	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3	N/A
Liquid conductivity (target)	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	N/A
Liquid conductivity (measured)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	N/A
Liquid permittivity (target)	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	N/A
Liquid permittivity (measured)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	N/A
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)		RSS				10.3	10.0	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95% Confidence Level						20.6	20.1	

Table 1. Worst-case uncertainty for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE P1528.

The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz to 3GHz and represents a worst-case analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1991, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 1991, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, July 2001.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, IEEE 1528 (August 2003), Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb.1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz , IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz , IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric Evaluation Of Mobile Communications Equipment With Known Precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz - 300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgen ssische Technische Hochschule Z rich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] Federal Communications Commission, Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices, Rule Part 47 CFR 2.1093: 1999.
- [21] Health Canada, Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz , Safety Code 6.
- [22] Industry Canada, Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields, Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.

EUT PHOTOS



Photograph 1. 3 cm B



Photograph 2. Body, 1



Photograph 3. Left Head Tilt, 1



Photograph 4. Left Head Tilt, 2



Photograph 5. Left Head Touch, 1



Photograph 6. Left Head Touch, 2



Photograph 7. Planar-Push To Talk, 1



Photograph 8. Planar-Push To Talk, 2



Photograph 9. Right Head Tilt, 1



Photograph 10. Right Head Tilt, 2



Photograph 11. Right Head Touch

APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

Right Head Mid Channel 915MHz Touch

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 9:29:34 PM

DUT: Miner Mesh Radio Handset

Communication System: L3 ; ; Frequency: 915 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:2

Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used: $f = 915$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: Functional Verification
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x251x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.171 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.021 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.218 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.168 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.123 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.183 mW/g



Right Head Mid Channel 915MHz Tilt

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 10:58:41 AM

DUT: Miner Mesh Radio Handset

Communication System: L3 ; ; Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2

Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used: $f = 915$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: Functional Verification
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x281x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.119 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.89 V/m; Power Drift = -0.172 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.162 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.111 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.077 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.120 mW/g



Left Head Mid Channel 915MHz Touch

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 12:37:15 PM

DUT: Miner Mesh Radio Handset

Communication System: L3 ; ; Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2

Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used: $f = 915$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: Functional Verification
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x251x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.126 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.76 V/m; Power Drift = 0.091 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.156 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.120 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.086 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.128 mW/g



Left Head Mid Channel 915MHz Tilt

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 1:53:45 PM

DUT: Miner Mesh Radio Handset

Communication System: L3 ; ; Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2

Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used: $f = 915$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: Functional Verification
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x251x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.102 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.81 V/m; Power Drift = 0.549 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.136 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.097 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.069 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.103 mW/g



Mid Channel 915MHz Planar-Push To Talk

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 3:28:56 PM

DUT: Miner Mesh Radio Handset

Communication System: L3 ; ; Frequency: 915 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:2

Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used: $f = 915$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: Functional Verification
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (101x301x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10$ mm, $dy=10$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.134 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 4.03 V/m; Power Drift = -0.438 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.165 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.122 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.088 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.131 mW/g



HEAD SAR(x,y,z,f0)

SAR; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0



Mid Channel 915MHz Body

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 5:12:47 PM

DUT: Miner Mesh Radio Handset

Communication System: L3 ; ; Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2

Medium: MSL900 Medium parameters used: $f = 915$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.05$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.73, 9.73, 9.73); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: Functional Verification
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (101x301x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10$ mm, $dy=10$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.546 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 6.72 V/m; Power Drift = -0.094 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.694 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.514 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.361 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.547 mW/g



BODY SAR(x,y,z,f0)

SAR; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0



APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

835MHz SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Date/Time: 5/19/2009 7:09:00 AM

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL835 Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.88$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/2/2007
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x201x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.85 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 56.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.099 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.28 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.6 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.6 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.84 mW/g



APPENDIX C – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **MET Laboratories**

Certificate No: **EX3-3511_May08**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object: **EX3DV3 - SN:3511**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-01.v6, QA CAL-14.v3 and QA CAL-23.v3
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **May 16, 2008**

Condition of the calibrated item: **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	8-Aug-07 (No. 217-00719)	Aug-08
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-08 (No. 217-00787)	Apr-09
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	8-Aug-07 (No. 217-00720)	Aug-08
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-08 (No. ES3-3013_Jan08)	Jan-09
DAE4	SN: 660	3-Sep-07 (No. DAE4-660_Sep07)	Sep-08

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-08

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	

Issued: May 17, 2008

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

EX3DV3 SN:3511

May 16, 2008

Probe EX3DV3

SN:3511

Manufactured:	December 15, 2003
Last calibrated:	January 23, 2006
Recalibrated:	May 16, 2008

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3511Sensitivity in Free Space^A

NormX	0.77 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	0.61 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	0.62 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression^B

DCP X	93 mV
DCP Y	93 mV
DCP Z	94 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		2.0 mm	3.0 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	9.0	5.4
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.5	0.2

TSL 1810 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		2.0 mm	3.0 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	6.8	3.9
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.4	0.3

Sensor Offset


Probe Tip to Sensor Center 1.0 mm

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.



Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.23	1.14	9.56 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.20	1.13	8.40 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.23	1.02	7.67 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2600	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.0 ± 5%	1.96 ± 5%	0.10	1.05	7.59 ± 11.0% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Head	36.0 ± 5%	4.66 ± 5%	0.40	1.70	5.04 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5500	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.6 ± 5%	4.96 ± 5%	0.43	1.70	4.61 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.3 ± 5%	5.27 ± 5%	0.45	1.70	4.53 ± 13.1% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.25	1.19	9.73 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.28	1.02	9.04 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.30	1.05	7.89 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2600	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.5 ± 5%	2.16 ± 5%	0.15	1.05	7.34 ± 11.0% (k=2)
4950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	49.4 ± 5%	5.01 ± 5%	0.38	1.68	4.64 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Body	49.0 ± 5%	5.30 ± 5%	0.38	1.68	4.61 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5500	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.6 ± 5%	5.65 ± 5%	0.38	1.68	4.40 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.2 ± 5%	6.00 ± 5%	0.30	1.68	4.25 ± 13.1% (k=2)

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ , ϑ), $f = 900$ MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

APPENDIX D – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Calibration procedure for validation dipole

Calibration is performed according to the following standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz), July 2001
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01).

Additional Documents

- d) DASY4 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All Figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna check: The antenna is checked for straightness using a straight edge placed parallel to the dipole arms prior to installing it against the phantom surface.
- The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Antenna flatness: The spacer thickness used for the 835MHz dipole is 15.00mm +/- 0.2mm. To insure the antenna is within +/- 2 degrees of flatness to the phantom surface use a caliper to measure the dipole ends from the surface of the phantom.
- Vector Network Analyzer: The network analyzer is calibrated as per the user's manual.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. A Return Loss >20dB ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No Uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1W at the antenna connector. No Uncertainty required
- SAR for nominal head and muscle parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the SAR results.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration

DASY Version	DASY4	V4.7
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Planar Validation Phantom	
Dipole Spacer		
Distance Dipole Center-TSL	1500mm \pm 0.2mm	With spacer
Area Scan resolution	dx, dy = 10mm	
Zoom Scan resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5mm	
Frequency	835MHz \pm 1MHz	

Measurement Uncertainty of Dipole Calibration

Error Description	Uncertainty Value $\pm\%$	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c_i 1g	Standard Uncertainty $\pm\%$ (1g)
Anritsu Power Meter ML2488A	\pm 1.4	normal	2	1	\pm 0.7
Anritsu Power Sensor	\pm 1.4	normal	2	1	\pm 0.7
HP E4418B Power Meter	\pm 0.2	normal	2	1	\pm 0.1
HP 8482A Power Sensor	\pm 0.8	normal	2	1	\pm 0.4
83650B Signal Generator	\pm 2.0	normal	2	1	\pm 1.0
HP 8722D Vector Network Analyzer	\pm 2.0	normal	2	1	\pm 1.0
Combined Standard Uncertainty					\pm 3.9

Head TSL Parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90
Measured Head TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	41.5 ±5%	0.90 ±5%

SAR results with Head TSL and system uncertainty

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1g) of Head TSL	Condition	2.52 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	10.08 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	10.08 ± 24.29% mW/g (k=2)

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (10g) of Head TSL	Condition	1.65 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	6.60 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1 W	6.60 ± 23.51% mW/g (k=2)

Body TSL Parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97
Measured Body TSL Parameters	22.0 °C	55.2 ±5%	0.97 ±5%

SAR results with Body TSL and system uncertainty

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1g) of Body TSL	Condition	2.45 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	9.80 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	9.80 ± 24.29% mW/g (k=2)

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (10g) of Body TSL	Condition	1.63 mW/g
SAR Normalized	Normalized to 1 W	6.52 mW/g
SAR for nominal Head TSL Parameters	Normalized to 1W	6.52 ± 23.51% mW/g (k=2)

835MHz Head

Date/Time: 1/16/2009 12:24:48 PM

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: 1S2443

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL835 Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.9$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.56, 9.56, 9.56); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/2/2007
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x201x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10$ mm, $dy=10$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.73 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 56.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.213 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.79 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.52 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.65 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.73 mW/g



835MHz Body

Date/Time: 1/16/2009 10:29:17 AM

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: 1S2443

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: M835 Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3511; ConvF(9.73, 9.73, 9.73); Calibrated: 5/16/2008
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 4/2/2007
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP 1310
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Area Scan (81x201x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.67 mW/g


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 53.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.143 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.60 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.45 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.63 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.64 mW/g



CH1 S₁₁ log MAG 10 dB/ REF 0 dB 1: -30.68 dB



HSL 835 Head

CH1 S₁₁ 1 U FS 1: 47.566 Ω -1.8906 Ω 100.82 pF
hp .835 000 000 GHz

PRm

Cor


MARKER 1
835 MHz


f

START .700 000 000 GHz

STOP 1.000 000 000 GHz

HSL 835 Head





MSL 835 Body


CH1 S₁₁ 1 U FS 2: 34.369 Ω -22.979 Ω 7.6958 pF
hp .900 000 000 GHz

PRm

Cor

MARKER 2
900 MHz

1: 46.914 Ω
2: 6934 Ω
835 MHz



START .700 000 000 GHz STOP 1.000 000 000 GHz

MSL 835 Body

APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

Title

System Verification

Frequency	e'	e''
800.000000 MI	41.204	19.0173
802.000000 MI	41.163	19.0462
804.000000 MI	41.145	19.0248
806.000000 MI	41.097	19.0317
808.000000 MI	41.092	19.0221
810.000000 MI	41.032	19.0173
812.000000 MI	41.038	19.0366
814.000000 MI	40.998	19.0407
816.000000 MI	40.963	19.0348
818.000000 MI	40.939	19.0163
820.000000 MI	40.871	19.0313
822.000000 MI	40.851	19.0442
824.000000 MI	40.837	19.0265
826.000000 MI	40.789	19.0502
828.000000 MI	40.780	19.0673
830.000000 MI	40.726	19.0731
832.000000 MI	40.714	19.0589
834.000000 MI	40.693	19.0644
836.000000 MI	40.636	19.0189
838.000000 MI	40.620	19.0468
840.000000 MI	40.597	19.0249
842.000000 MI	40.546	19.0258
844.000000 MI	40.528	19.0145
846.000000 MI	40.481	19.0023
848.000000 MI	40.472	19.0153
850.000000 MI	40.408	18.9982
852.000000 MI	40.422	18.9864
854.000000 MI	40.373	18.9991
856.000000 MI	40.340	18.9582
858.000000 MI	40.326	18.9655
860.000000 MI	40.283	18.9803
862.000000 MI	40.240	18.9436
864.000000 MI	40.226	18.9707
866.000000 MI	40.187	18.9527
868.000000 MI	40.142	18.9407

Title

915MHz Head Fluid for DUT

Frequency	e'	e''
865.000000 MI	41.2871	19.1462
867.000000 MI	41.3221	19.1082
869.000000 MI	41.3001	19.0776
871.000000 MI	41.3351	19.0431
873.000000 MI	41.3571	19.0699
875.000000 MI	41.3891	19.0286
877.000000 MI	41.4131	19.0226
879.000000 MI	41.4321	19.0424
881.000000 MI	41.4301	19.0703
883.000000 MI	41.4491	19.0898
885.000000 MI	41.4561	19.0904
887.000000 MI	41.4221	19.1240
889.000000 MI	41.4391	19.1752
891.000000 MI	41.4771	19.2018
893.000000 MI	41.4471	19.2335
895.000000 MI	41.4461	19.2766
897.000000 MI	41.4291	19.3024
899.000000 MI	41.4121	19.3442
901.000000 MI	41.4081	19.3256
903.000000 MI	41.3721	19.3595
905.000000 MI	41.3271	19.3704
907.000000 MI	41.3381	19.4117
909.000000 MI	41.3091	19.4154
911.000000 MI	41.2851	19.4209
913.000000 MI	41.2501	19.4162
915.000000 MI	41.2311	19.4235
917.000000 MI	41.2411	19.4071
919.000000 MI	41.1831	19.4469
921.000000 MI	41.1811	19.4124
923.000000 MI	41.1511	19.4363
925.000000 MI	41.1301	19.4370
927.000000 MI	41.1021	19.4372
929.000000 MI	41.0691	19.4278
931.000000 MI	41.0511	19.4317
933.000000 MI	41.0181	19.4113

Title

915MHz Body Fluid for DUT

Frequency	e'	e''
865.000000 MI	53.204	20.3187
867.000000 MI	53.226	20.2925
869.000000 MI	53.226	20.2959
871.000000 MI	53.245	20.2409
873.000000 MI	53.284	20.2530
875.000000 MI	53.297	20.2185
877.000000 MI	53.334	20.1797
879.000000 MI	53.337	20.2365
881.000000 MI	53.333	20.2630
883.000000 MI	53.388	20.2568
885.000000 MI	53.388	20.2677
887.000000 MI	53.383	20.3072
889.000000 MI	53.383	20.3312
891.000000 MI	53.423	20.3584
893.000000 MI	53.389	20.3752
895.000000 MI	53.386	20.4006
897.000000 MI	53.341	20.3812
899.000000 MI	53.358	20.4120
901.000000 MI	53.345	20.4557
903.000000 MI	53.344	20.5136
905.000000 MI	53.298	20.5586
907.000000 MI	53.310	20.5707
909.000000 MI	53.296	20.6105
911.000000 MI	53.236	20.6212
913.000000 MI	53.232	20.6042
915.000000 MI	53.191	20.6089
917.000000 MI	53.209	20.6086
919.000000 MI	53.174	20.6097
921.000000 MI	53.180	20.5920
923.000000 MI	53.144	20.5979
925.000000 MI	53.118	20.6065
927.000000 MI	53.102	20.6095
929.000000 MI	53.074	20.6067
931.000000 MI	53.042	20.6025
933.000000 MI	53.026	20.5843

APPENDIX F – PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY

Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No	QD 000 P40 C
Series No	TP-1150 and higher
Manufacturer / Origin	Untersee Composites Hauptstr. 69 CH-8559 Fruthwilen Switzerland

Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Shape	Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas; 6mm +/- 0.2mm at ERP	First article, Samples
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	200 MHz – 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05.	Material sample TP 104-5
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards if handled and cleaned according to the instructions	DEGMBE based simulating liquids	Pre-series, First article, Samples

Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE Std 1528-200x Draft CD 1.1 (Dec 02)
- [3] IEC 62209/CD (Nov 02)
- (*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date 7.8.2003

Signature / Stamp

s p e a g

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland
 Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779
 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com