

## TEST REPORT

**Report Number: 3195108LEX-001**

**Project Number: 3195108**

**Evaluation of Model Number: Pronto-7 Pulse CO Oximeter**

**FCCID: X44PRONTO7**

**IC ID: 7362C-PRONTO7**

**Tested to the SAR Criteria in**

**FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01)**

**Industry Canada RSS-102 Issue 3**

**For  
Masimo Labs**

Test Performed by:

Intertek  
731 Enterprise Drive  
Lexington, KY 40510

Test Authorized by:

Masimo Labs  
30 Fairbanks Suite 100  
Irvine, CA 92618

Prepared By: Jason Centers Date: 1/17/2010

Jason Centers, Senior Project Engineer

Approved By: Bryan C. Taylor Date: 1/17/2010

Bryan Taylor, Team Leader – Engineering

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to copy or distribute this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|             |   |           |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| <b>1.0</b>  | <b>DOCUMENT HISTORY .....</b>                             | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>2.0</b>  | <b>REFERENCES.....</b>                                    | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>3.0</b>  | <b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>                                  | <b>6</b>  |
|             | MODIFICATIONS MADE TO TEST SAMPLE .....                   | 6         |
| <b>4.0</b>  | <b>TEST SITE DESCRIPTION.....</b>                         | <b>7</b>  |
|             | MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT .....                               | 8         |
|             | MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY .....                            | 8         |
|             | MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....                             | 9         |
| <b>5.0</b>  | <b>JOB DESCRIPTION.....</b>                               | <b>10</b> |
| <b>6.0</b>  | <b>SYSTEM VERIFICATION .....</b>                          | <b>15</b> |
|             | SYSTEM VALIDATION.....                                    | 15        |
|             | TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID DESCRIPTION AND VALIDATION ..... | 16        |
| <b>7.0</b>  | <b>EVALUATION PROCEDURES .....</b>                        | <b>18</b> |
|             | TEST POSITIONS: .....                                     | 18        |
|             | REFERENCE POWER MEASUREMENT: .....                        | 18        |
|             | COARSE SCAN: .....  | 18        |
|             | ZOOM SCAN: .....  | 18        |
|             | DATA EXTRAPOLATION: .....                                 | 19        |
|             | REFERENCE POWER MEASUREMENT: .....                        | 19        |
|             | RF AMBIENT ACTIVITY:.....                                 | 19        |
| <b>8.0</b>  | <b>TEST CONFIGURATION.....</b>                            | <b>20</b> |
| <b>9.0</b>  | <b>CRITERIA.....</b>                                      | <b>24</b> |
| <b>10.0</b> | <b>TABULAR TEST RESULTS .....</b>                         | <b>25</b> |
|             | CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS .....                        | 25        |
|             | BODY MODE SAR TEST RESULTS.....                           | 25        |
| <b>11.0</b> | <b>GRAPHICAL SAR SCAN RESULTS.....</b>                    | <b>28</b> |
| <b>12.0</b> | <b>DIPOLE VALIDATION SCANS.....</b>                       | <b>51</b> |
| <b>13.0</b> | <b>PROBE CERTIFICATE.....</b>                             | <b>57</b> |
| <b>14.0</b> | <b>DIPOLE CERTIFICATE .....</b>                           | <b>68</b> |



15.0 PHANTOM CERTIFICATE .....77

1.0 DOCUMENT HISTORY

| Revision/<br>Project Number | Writer<br>Initials | Date      | Change   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| 1.0 /3195108                | JC                 | 1/17/2010 | Original document  |
| 2.0 /3195108                | JC                 | 3/12/2010 | Updated conducted power measurements per<br>ANSI C63.10:2009 |
|                             |                    |           |  |
|                             |                    |           |  |
|                             |                    |           |  |
|                             |                    |           |  |

## 2.0 REFERENCES

- [1] ANSI, *ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 GHz*, The Institute of electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields”, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, “Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments”, *IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 44, pp. 105-113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, “Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision”, *IEICE Transactions on Communications*, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp.645-652, May 1997.
- [5] NIS81, NAMAS, “The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement”, Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddinton, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [6] Barry N. Taylor and Chris E. Kuyatt, “Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results”, Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994.
- [7] Federal Communications Commission, “SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters”
- [8] Federal Communications Commission, KDB 648474 – “SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas”.
- [9] Federal Communications Commission, KDB 447498 – “Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies”.
- [10] ANSI, *ANSI/IEEE C63.10-2009: American National Standard for Testing Unlicensed Wireless Devices*.

### 3.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Masimo Labs, the Pulse CO Oximeter was evaluated for SAR in accordance with the requirements for RF Exposure compliance testing defined in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01). Testing was performed at the Intertek facility in Lexington, Kentucky from 1/5/2010 to 1/16/2010.

For the evaluation, the dosimetric assessment system DASY4 was used. The phantom employed was the "SAM Twin Phantom". The total uncertainty for the evaluation of the spatial peak SAR values averaged over a cube of 1g tissue mass had been assessed for this system to be  $\pm 21.9\%$ .

The Pronto-7 was tested at the maximum output power measured by Intertek. Maximum output power measurements are tabulated under **Heading 11.0 - Tabular Test Results**.

The maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

| Phantom                     | Mode                                  | Setup Details         | Worst Case<br>Extrapolated SAR <sub>1g</sub><br>mW/g |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Flat Section<br>(Body Mode) | Ch 11 - 802.11b,<br>5.5Mbps Data Rate | Front against phantom | <b>1.45</b>  |
| Flat Section<br>(Body Mode) | Ch 39 - Bluetooth                     | Front against phantom | <b>0.003</b>   |

*Table 1: Maximum Measured SAR*


Based on the worst-case data presented above, the Pulse CO Oximeter was found to be **compliant** with the 1.6 mW/g requirement defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) for general population / uncontrolled exposure.

#### Modifications made to test sample

Intertek implemented no modifications.

#### 4.0 TEST SITE DESCRIPTION

The SAR test site located at 731 Enterprise Drive, Lexington KY 40510 is comprised of the SPEAG model DASY 4 automated near-field scanning system, which is a package, optimized for dosimetric evaluation of mobile radios [3]. This system is installed in an ambient-free shielded chamber. The ambient temperature is controlled to  $22.2 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Because the HVAC operates as a closed system, the relative humidity remains constant at  $50 \pm 5\%$ . During the SAR evaluations, the RF ambient conditions are monitored continuously for signals that might interfere with the test results. The tissue simulating liquid is also stored in this area in order to keep it at the same constant ambient temperature as the room.



*Figure 1: Intertek SAR Test Site*

**Measurement Equipment**

The following major equipment/components were used for the SAR evaluations:

| <b>SAR Measurement System</b> |  |              |                 |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| <b>Equipment</b>              | <b>Specifications</b>  | <b>S/N #</b> | <b>Cal. Due</b> |
| <b>Robot</b>                  | <b>Stäubli RX60L</b>   | 597412-01    | N/A             |
|                               | Repeatability: $\pm 0.025$ mm<br>Accuracy: $0.806 \times 10^{-3}$ degree<br>Number of Axes: 6  |              |                 |
| <b>E-Field Probe</b>          | <b>EX3DV3</b>  | 3516         | 12/15/2010      |
|                               | Frequency Range: 10MHz to 6GHz<br>Probe Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (30MHz to 6GHz)<br>Length: 337 mm<br>Distance between the probe tip and the dipole center: 1 mm<br>Tip Diameter: 2.5 mm<br>Calibration: 835, 900, 1750, 1900, 2450, 5200, 5800MHz for head & body tissue simulating liquid |              |                 |
| <b>Data Acquisition</b>       | <b>DAE4</b>  | 358          | 4/17/2010       |
|                               | Measurement Range: $1\mu\text{V}$ to $>200\text{mV}$<br>Input offset Voltage: $< 1\mu\text{V}$ (with auto zero)<br>Input Resistance: 200 M   |              |                 |
| <b>Phantom</b>                | <b>SAM Twin V4.0</b>   | TP-1243      | N/A             |
| Complies with IEEE P1528-2003 | Type SAM Twin, Homogenous<br>Shell Material: Fiberglass<br>Thickness: $2 \pm 0.2$ mm<br>Capacity: 20 liter<br>Size of the flat section: approx. 320 x 230 mm   |              |                 |
| <b>Device holder</b>          | Non-conductive holder supplied with DASY4, dielectric constant less than 5.0   | N/A          | N/A             |
| <b>Network Analyzer</b>       | <b>Agilent 8753A</b>   | 3018         | 2/5/2010        |
|                               | Frequency Range: 30KHz – 3.0 GHz   |              |                 |
| <b>Signal Generator</b>       | <b>ESG-D3000A</b>  | 2038         | 10/19/2010      |
|                               | Frequency Range: 10MHz – 3 GHz   |              |                 |
| <b>Spectrum Analyzer</b>      | <b>Rohde &amp; Schwarz FSP 7</b>   | 1164.4391.07 | 8/17/2010       |
|                               | Frequency Range: 9KHz – 7 GHz  |              |                 |

Table 2: Test Equipment Used for SAR Evaluation

**Measurement Traceability**

All measurements described in this report are traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards or appropriate national standards.



**Measurement Uncertainty**

The Table below includes the uncertainty budget suggested by the IEEE Std 1528-2003 and determined by SPEAG for the DASY4 measurement System

| Error Description                    | Uncertainty Value | Prob. Dist. | Div. | $c_i$ (1g) | $c_i$ (10g) | Std.Unc. (1g) | Std.Unc. (10g) | ( $v_i$ ) $v_{eff}$ |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| <b>Measurement System</b>            |                   |             |      |            |             |               |                |                     |
| Probe Calibration                    | ±5.9%             | N           | 1    | 1          | 1           | ±5.9%         | ±5.9%          | ∞                   |
| Axial Isotropy                       | ±4.7%             | R           | √3   | 0.7        | 0.7         | ±1.9%         | ±1.9%          | ∞                   |
| Hemispherical Isotropy               | ±9.6%             | R           | √3   | 0.7        | 0.7         | ±3.9%         | ±3.9%          | ∞                   |
| Boundary Effect                      | ±1.0%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±0.6%         | ±0.6%          | ∞                   |
| Linearity                            | ±4.7%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±2.7%         | ±2.7%          | ∞                   |
| System Detection Limits              | ±1.0%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±0.6%         | ±0.6%          | ∞                   |
| Readout Electronics                  | ±0.3%             | N           | 1    | 1          | 1           | ±0.3%         | ±0.3%          | ∞                   |
| Response Time                        | ±0.8%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±0.5%         | ±0.5%          | ∞                   |
| Integration Time                     | ±2.6%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±1.5%         | ±1.5%          | ∞                   |
| RF Ambient Conditions                | ±3.0%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±1.7%         | ±1.7%          | ∞                   |
| Probe Positioner                     | ±0.4%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±0.2%         | ±0.2%          | ∞                   |
| Probe Positioning                    | ±2.9%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±1.7%         | ±1.7%          | ∞                   |
| Max. SAR Eval.                       | ±1.0%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±0.6%         | ±0.6%          | ∞                   |
| <b>Test sample Related</b>           |                   |             |      |            |             |               |                |                     |
| Device Positioning                   | ±2.9%             | N           | 1    | 1          | 1           | ±2.9%         | ±2.9%          | 145                 |
| Device Holder                        | ±3.6%             | N           | 1    | 1          | 1           | ±3.6%         | ±3.6%          | 5                   |
| Power Drift                          | ±5.0%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±2.9%         | ±2.9%          | ∞                   |
| <b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b> |                   |             |      |            |             |               |                |                     |
| Phantom Uncertainty                  | ±4.0%             | R           | √3   | 1          | 1           | ±2.3%         | ±2.3%          | ∞                   |
| Liquid Conductivity (target)         | ±5.0%             | R           | √3   | 0.64       | 0.43        | ±1.8%         | ±1.2%          | ∞                   |
| Liquid Conductivity (meas.)          | ±2.5%             | N           | 1    | 0.64       | 0.43        | ±1.6%         | ±1.1%          | ∞                   |
| Liquid Permittivity (target)         | ±5.0%             | R           | √3   | 0.6        | 0.49        | ±1.7%         | ±1.4%          | ∞                   |
| Liquid Permittivity (meas.)          | ±2.5%             | N           | 1    | 0.6        | 0.49        | ±1.5%         | ±1.2%          | ∞                   |
| <b>Combined Standard Uncertainty</b> |                   |             |      |            |             | ±10.9%        | ±10.7%         | 387                 |
| <b>Expanded STD Uncertainty</b>      |                   |             |      |            |             | <b>±21.9%</b> | <b>±21.4%</b>  |                     |

Notes.

1. Worst Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE 1528. The budget is valid for the frequency range 300 MHz – 3 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerably smaller.

Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

## 5.0 JOB DESCRIPTION

At the request of Masimo Labs, the Pronto-7 was evaluated to the requirements defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C. The Pronto-7 Pulse CO-Oximeter is used to simultaneously and non-invasively measure total hemoglobin (SpHb), pulse rate (PR) and perfusion index (PI).

| Test sample                 |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Manufacturer                | Masimo Labs   |
| Model Number                | Pronto-7  |
| Serial Number               | Not Labeled   |
| Receive Date                | 1/5/2010  |
| Device Received Condition   | Good condition production unit  |
| Device Category             | Portable  |
| RF Exposure Category        | General Population/Uncontrolled Environment   |
| Frequency Band              | 2.4GHz ISM Band   |
| Mode(s) of Operation        | 802.11b/g, Bluetooth  |
| Duty Cycle                  | 100% (Test Commands)  |
| Maximum Output Power        | 802.11: 23.2 dBm (peak - conducted)<br>Bluetooth: -9.93 dbm (EIRP)                                      |
| Test Channels               | 802.11b – Ch1 (2412MHz), Ch2 (2417MHz), Ch 6 (2437MHz), Ch 11 (2462MHz)<br>Bluetooth – Ch 39 (2441 MHz) |
| Antenna Type                | Bluetooth – Internal ceramic antenna<br>802.11b/g – $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ dipole, 2dBi gain              |
| Antenna Separation Distance | 1.6 mm  |
| Test sample Accessories     |   |
| Battery type                | Rechargeable Li-Ion   |
| Belt clip                   | None  |
| Cables                      | Masimo PDC-SC (Clip Spot Check Sensor)  |
| Antennas                    | Internal  |
| Contact Information         |   |
| Contact Name                | Sean Merritt  |
| Phone Number                | (949) 900-6614  |
| Fax Number                  | (949) 900-6901  |
| Email Address               | smerritt@masimolabs.com   |

Table 3: Product Information

**Test Sample Pictures:**

Photographs of the test sample and its accessories are shown in Figure 2 through Figure 5.

*Figure 2: Front of Test Sample*



*Figure 3: Back of Test Sample*



*Figure 4: Left Side of Test Sample*



*Figure 5: Right of Test Sample*






## 6.0 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### System Validation

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified to be within  $\pm 10\%$  of the specifications by using the system validation kit. The validation was performed at 2450 MHz using muscle simulating tissue. The results from the daily dipole validation are shown in Table 4.

*Figure 6: System Verification Setup*



*Table 4: Dipole Validation*

| Reference Dipole Validation |             |                      |            |                    |                   |                   |                  |           |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Frequency Measure (MHz)     | Dipole Type | Dipole Serial Number | Fluid Type | Dipole Power Input | Cal. Lab SAR (1g) | Measured SAR (1g) | % Error SAR (1g) | Date      |
| 2450                        | D2450       | 718                  | 2450 MSL   | 1W                 | 50.2              | 48.20             | <b>3.98</b>      | 1/6/2010  |
| 2450                        | D2450       | 718                  | 2450 MSL   | 1W                 | 50.2              | 47.60             | <b>5.18</b>      | 1/8/2010  |
| 2450                        | D2450       | 718                  | 2450 MSL   | 1W                 | 50.2              | 54.40             | <b>8.37</b>      | 1/10/2010 |

Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

### Tissue Simulating Liquid Description and Validation

The dielectric parameters were verified to be within 5% of the target values each day prior to assessment. The dielectric parameters ( $\epsilon_r$ ,  $\sigma$ ) and temperature on each day of testing are shown in Table 5 and Table 6. A recipe for the tissue simulating fluid used is shown in Table 7.

Table 5: Dielectric Parameter Validation

| Body Tissue Parameters              |                            |                             |                        |                |                     |                      |                          |          |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Frequency Measure (MHz)             | Dielectric Constant Target | Dielectric Constant Measure | Dielectric % Deviation | Imaginary Part | Conductivity Target | Conductivity Measure | Conductivity % Deviation | Date     |
| 2412                                | 52.75                      | 52.06                       | 1.31                   | 14.78          | 1.91                | 1.98                 | 3.77                     | 1/6/2010 |
| 2437                                | 52.72                      | 51.98                       | 1.40                   | 14.85          | 1.94                | 2.01                 | 3.71                     |          |
| 2450                                | 52.7                       | 51.91                       | 1.50                   | 14.87          | 1.95                | 2.03                 | 3.87                     |          |
| 2462                                | 52.68                      | 51.88                       | 1.52                   | 14.98          | 1.97                | 2.05                 | 4.08                     |          |
| The % deviation should be below 5%. |                            |                             |                        |                |                     |                      |                          |          |

| Body Tissue Parameters              |                            |                             |                        |                |                     |                      |                          |          |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Frequency Measure (MHz)             | Dielectric Constant Target | Dielectric Constant Measure | Dielectric % Deviation | Imaginary Part | Conductivity Target | Conductivity Measure | Conductivity % Deviation | Date     |
| 2412                                | 52.75                      | 51.27                       | 2.81                   | 14.5           | 1.91                | 1.94                 | 1.80                     | 1/8/2010 |
| 2437                                | 52.72                      | 51.2                        | 2.88                   | 14.7           | 1.94                | 1.99                 | 2.66                     |          |
| 2450                                | 52.7                       | 51.1                        | 3.04                   | 14.84          | 1.95                | 2.02                 | 3.66                     |          |
| 2462                                | 52.68                      | 51                          | 3.19                   | 14.91          | 1.97                | 2.04                 | 3.60                     |          |
| The % deviation should be below 5%. |                            |                             |                        |                |                     |                      |                          |          |

| Body Tissue Parameters              |                            |                             |                        |                |                     |                      |                          |           |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Frequency Measure (MHz)             | Dielectric Constant Target | Dielectric Constant Measure | Dielectric % Deviation | Imaginary Part | Conductivity Target | Conductivity Measure | Conductivity % Deviation | Date      |
| 2412                                | 52.75                      | 51.67                       | 2.05                   | 14.62          | 1.91                | 1.96                 | 2.64                     | 1/10/2010 |
| 2437                                | 52.72                      | 51.42                       | 2.47                   | 14.75          | 1.94                | 2.00                 | 3.01                     |           |
| 2450                                | 52.7                       | 51.3                        | 2.66                   | 14.8           | 1.95                | 2.02                 | 3.38                     |           |
| 2462                                | 52.68                      | 51.21                       | 2.79                   | 14.92          | 1.97                | 2.04                 | 3.66                     |           |
| The % deviation should be below 5%. |                            |                             |                        |                |                     |                      |                          |           |



Table 6: Temperature Validation

| Date      | Ambient Temperature(°C) | Muscle Simulating Liquid Temperature (°C) f=2450MHz |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|
| 1/6/2010  | 22.3                    | 21.9  |
| 1/8/2010  | 22.5                    | 21.8  |
| 1/10/2010 | 22.3                    | 22.1  |

Table 7: Tissue Simulating Fluid Recipe

| TYPICAL COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR LIQUID TISSUE PHANTOMS, Supplement C Edition 01-01 to OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01, Page 36. (450MHz to 2450 MHz data only) |         |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |              |        |        |
|---|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------------|--------|--------|
| Ingredient (% by weight)  | f (MHz) |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |      |              |        |        |
|   | 450     |       | 835   |      | 915   |       | 1900  |       | 2450 |              | 5500   |        |
| Tissue Type   | Head    | Body  | Head  | Body | Head  | Body  | Head  | Body  | Head | Body         | Head   | Body   |
| Water   | 38.56   | 51.16 | 41.45 | 52.4 | 41.05 | 56    | 54.9  | 70.45 | 62.7 | <b>68.64</b> | 65.53  | 78.67  |
| Salt (NaCl)   | 3.95    | 1.49  | 1.45  | 1.4  | 1.35  | 0.76  | 0.18  | 0.36  | 0.5  | <b>0</b>     | 0      | 0      |
| Sugar   | 56.32   | 46.78 | 56    | 45   | 56.5  | 41.76 | 0     | 0     | 0    | <b>0</b>     | 0      | 0      |
| HEC   | 0.98    | 0.52  | 1     | 1    | 1     | 1.21  | 0     | 0     | 0    | <b>0</b>     | 0      | 0      |
| Bactericide   | 0.19    | 0.05  | 0.1   | 0.1  | 0.1   | 0.27  | 0     | 0     | 0    | <b>0</b>     | 0      | 0      |
| Triton X-100  | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 36.8 | <b>0</b>     | 17.235 | 10.665 |
| DGBE  | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0     | 44.92 | 29.18 | 0    | <b>31.37</b> | 0      | 0      |
| DGHE  | 0       | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0    | <b>0</b>     | 17.235 | 10.665 |
| Dielectric Constant   | 43.42   | 58    | 42.54 | 56.1 | 42    | 56.8  | 39.9  | 53.3  | 39.8 | <b>52.7</b>  |        |        |
| Conductivity (S/m)  | 0.85    | 0.83  | 0.91  | 0.95 | 1     | 1.07  | 1.42  | 1.52  | 1.88 | <b>1.95</b>  |        |        |

## **7.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES**

Prior to any testing, the appropriate fluid was used to fill the phantom to a depth of 15 cm  $\pm$  0.2cm. The fluid parameters were verified and the dipole validation was performed as described in the previous sections.

### **Test Positions:**

The Device was positioned against the SAM and flat phantom using the exact procedure described in Supplement C Edition 01 – 01 of Federal Communications Commission, “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields”, OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997.

### **Reference Power Measurement:**

The measurement probe was positioned at a fixed location above the reference point. A power measurement was made with the probe above this reference position so it could be used for assessing the power drift later in the test procedure.

### **Coarse Scan:**

A coarse area scan with a horizontal grid spacing of 15 x 15 mm was performed in order to find the approximate location of the peak SAR value. This scan was performed with the measurement probe at a constant height in the simulating fluid. A two dimensional spline interpolation algorithm was then used to determine the peaks and gradients within the scanned area.

### **Zoom Scan:**

A zoom scan was performed around the approximate location of the peak SAR as determined from the coarse scan. The zoom scan was comprised of a measurement volume of 30 x 30 x 30 mm based on 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

**Data Extrapolation:**

Since the center of the dipoles in the measurement probe are 1 mm away from the tip of the probe, and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 2 mm the data at the surface was extrapolated. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward sorting algorithm. Around this maximum, the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with a trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

**Reference Power Measurement:**

The probe was positioned at precisely the same reference point and the reference power measurement was repeated. The difference between the initial reference power and the final one is referred to as the power drift

**RF Ambient Activity:**

During the entire SAR evaluation, the RF ambient activity was monitored using a spectrum analyzer with an antenna connected to it. The spectrum analyzer was tuned to the frequency of measurement and with one trace set to max hold mode. In this way, it was possible to determine if at any point during the SAR measurement there was an interfering ambient signal. If an ambient signal was detected, then the SAR measurement was repeated.

## 8.0 TEST CONFIGURATION

For the purpose of this evaluation, the Pronto-7 was considered to be a device that could be operated when held against the body. All SAR scans were performed with a freshly charged battery installed.

The test channels and operating modes were selected using software based test commands. The device was positioned against the bottom of the phantom with zero clearance during the evaluation. Each side of the device was scanned to determine the worst case exposure. Photographs of the Pronto-7, as positioned for testing, is shown in Figure 7 through Figure 10.

Figure 7: Device Positioning for SAR Scans – Front




Figure 8: Device Positioning for SAR Scans – Back



Figure 9: Device Positioning for SAR Scans – Left Side







Figure 10: Device Positioning for SAR Scans – Right Side



**9.0 CRITERIA**

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operating in the General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

| <b>Exposure<br/>(General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)</b> | <b>SAR<br/>(W/kg)</b> |
|--|-----------------------|
| Average over the whole body  | 0.08                  |
| Spatial Peak (1g)  | 1.60                  |
| Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)                      | 4.00                  |



**10.0 TABULAR TEST RESULTS**

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was transmitting at maximum output power. Detailed measurement data and plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are referenced under **Heading 12.0 - Graphical SAR Scan Results**.

**Conducted Power Measurements**

The conducted power measurements for the Pronto-7 were performed in accordance to ANSI C63.19:2009 Section 6.10.2.1 using the channel integration method. The measurements were performed using the channel power function of the spectrum analyzer in peak and average detection mode. Cable loss was accounted for within the test set by offsetting the readings by the appropriate amount.

*Table 8: Conducted Output Power Results – 802.11b/g*

| Channel | Frequency (MHz) | Modulation | Data Rate | Peak Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Avg Conducted Output Power (dBm) |
|---------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1       | 2412            | BPSK       | 1 Mbps    | 23.25                             | 14.41                            |
|         |                 | BPSK       | 5.5 Mbps  | 22.88                             | 15.71                            |
|         |                 | CCK        | 11 Mbps   | 22.41                             | 15.14                            |
|         |                 | 64 QAM     | 54 Mbps   | 18.94                             | 5.97                             |
| 6       | 2437            | BPSK       | 1 Mbps    | 24.67                             | 15.06                            |
|         |                 | BPSK       | 5.5 Mbps  | <b>24.92</b>                      | <b>18.23</b>                     |
|         |                 | CCK        | 11 Mbps   | 24.86                             | 16.72                            |
|         |                 | 64 QAM     | 54 Mbps   | 19.81                             | 6.82                             |
| 11      | 2462            | BPSK       | 1 Mbps    | 24.46                             | 15.26                            |
|         |                 | BPSK       | 5.5 Mbps  | 23.52                             | 17.26                            |
|         |                 | CCK        | 11 Mbps   | 23.82                             | 16.16                            |
|         |                 | 64 QAM     | 54 Mbps   | 18.52                             | 5.51                             |

Table 9: EIRP Results – Bluetooth

| <b>TX Channel</b> | <b>Polarity</b> | <b>Axis</b> | <b>TX Frequency (MHz)</b> | <b>Device Reading (dBuV)</b> | <b>Sub. Reading (dBuV)</b> | <b>Cable Loss (dB)</b> | <b>Tx Antenna Gain (dBi)</b> | <b>Signal Generator Output (dBm)</b> | <b>EIRP (dBm)</b> |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Low               | V               | X           | 2402                      | 42.69                        | 61.46                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -13.47            |
| Mid               | V               | X           | 2441                      | 42.27                        | 61.54                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -13.97            |
| High              | V               | X           | 2480                      | 43.42                        | 61.07                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -12.35            |
| Low               | H               | X           | 2402                      | 45.67                        | 64.09                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -13.12            |
| Mid               | H               | X           | 2441                      | 45.72                        | 64.27                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -13.25            |
| High              | H               | X           | 2480                      | 44.08                        | 63.72                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -14.34            |
| Low               | V               | Y           | 2402                      | 45.73                        | 61.46                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -10.43            |
| Mid               | V               | Y           | 2441                      | 43.56                        | 61.54                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -12.68            |
| High              | V               | Y           | 2480                      | 41.94                        | 61.07                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -13.83            |
| Low               | H               | Y           | 2402                      | 48.17                        | 64.09                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -10.62            |
| Mid               | H               | Y           | 2441                      | 49.04                        | 64.27                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | <b>-9.93</b>      |
| High              | H               | Y           | 2480                      | 47.37                        | 63.72                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -11.05            |
| Low               | V               | Z           | 2402                      | 43.96                        | 61.46                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -12.2             |
| Mid               | V               | Z           | 2441                      | 41.39                        | 61.54                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -14.85            |
| High              | V               | Z           | 2480                      | 42.87                        | 61.07                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -12.9             |
| Low               | H               | Z           | 2402                      | 47.44                        | 64.09                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -11.35            |
| Mid               | H               | Z           | 2441                      | 47.39                        | 64.27                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -11.58            |
| High              | H               | Z           | 2480                      | 45.8                         | 63.72                      | 4.1                    | 9.4                          | 0                                    | -12.62            |

**Body Mode SAR Test Results**

During the test, the RF output power of the test sample varied by a small amount due to heat and battery output power variations in the device. The device was scanned on each side for the 802.11 and Bluetooth transmitter to find the worse case SAR. The front of the device was identified as the worse case side. A scan was performed at each data rate in b-mode to find the worse case mode. A SAR measurement was made at the low, mid, and high channels with the device transmitting in the worse case orientation and data mode. Since the output power in 802.11g mode was less than the 802.11b mode, no scans were required for that mode. The sum of the worse case 1-g SAR values from the 802.11b and Bluetooth scans were less than the 1.6mW/g limit.

*Table 10: Body Mode SAR Results – 802.11b/g Mode*

| Flat Phantom; 99% Duty Cycle                                     |           |             |            |                |                         |                      |
|--|-----------|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Mode/Channel   | Data Rate | Freq. (MHz) | Position   | SAR Drift (dB) | Measured 1-g SAR (mW/g) | Meas. 10g-SAR (mw/g) |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 1Mbps     | 2437        | Back       | 2.650          | 0.017                   | 0.009                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 1Mbps     | 2437        | Left Side  | 0.376          | 0.008                   | 0.004                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 1Mbps     | 2437        | Right Side | 0.235          | 0.027                   | 0.014                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 1Mbps     | 2437        | Front      | 0.546          | 0.457                   | 0.175                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 2Mbps     | 2437        | Front      | 0.074          | 1.080                   | 0.403                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 5.5Mbps   | 2437        | Front      | 0.055          | 1.220                   | 0.466                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 6  | 11Mbps    | 2437        | Front      | -0.210         | 0.667                   | 0.258                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 1  | 5.5Mbps   | 2412        | Front      | 0.095          | 0.612                   | 0.240                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 2  | 5.5Mbps   | 2417        | Front      | 0.170          | 1.020                   | 0.397                |
| 802.11b - Ch. 11   | 5.5Mbps   | 2462        | Front      | -0.198         | <b>1.450</b>            | 0.534                |
| FCC Limit = 1.6mW/g (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure) |           |             |            |                |                         |                      |

*Table 11: Body Mode SAR Results – Bluetooth Mode*

| Flat Phantom; 99% Duty Cycle   |            |             |            |                |                         |                      |
|--|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Mode/Channel   | Data Rate  | Freq. (MHz) | Position   | SAR Drift (dB) | Measured 1-g SAR (mW/g) | Meas. 10g-SAR (mw/g) |
| Ch39   | Continuous | 2441        | Front      | 0.158          | 0.003                   | 0.001                |
| Ch39   | Continuous | 2441        | Left Side  | 9.760          | 0.003                   | 0.001                |
| Ch39   | Continuous | 2441        | Right Side | ***            | ***                     | ***                  |
| Ch39   | Continuous | 2441        | Back       | 3.510          | 0.001                   | 0.000                |
| FCC Limit = 1.6mW/g (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure)         |            |             |            |                |                         |                      |
| *** The right side is opposite the Bluetooth antenna. No measurable SAR. |            |             |            |                |                         |                      |

## 11.0 GRAPHICAL SAR SCAN RESULTS

Date/Time: 1/6/2010 2:15:36 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko

File Name: [CH 6 802.11b Scan Back Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified****Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.021 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$ 

Reference Value = 1.05 V/m; Power Drift = 2.65 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.032 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.017 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00941 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.023 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g




Date/Time: 1/6/2010 2:51:26 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 6 802.11b Scan Left Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.009 mW/g**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$ Reference Value = 1.50 V/m; Power Drift = 0.376 dB  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.011 mW/g**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.006 mW/g




Date/Time: 1/6/2010 3:52:10 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 6 802.11b Scan Right Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.01$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.037 mW/g**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7mm, dy=7mm, dz=7mm  
Reference Value = 0.897 V/m; Power Drift = 0.235 dB  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.039 mW/g**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.002 mW/g




Date/Time: 1/6/2010 4:29:49 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 6 802.11b Scan Front Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.482 mW/g**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 0.929 V/m; Power Drift = 0.546 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.14 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.457 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.175 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.664 mW/g**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.002 mW/g



Date/Time: 1/6/2010 5:13:10 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko

File Name: [CH 6 802.11b 2Mbps Scan Front Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.04 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$ 

Reference Value = 1.42 V/m; Power Drift = 0.074 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.78 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.08 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.403 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.65 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g



0 dB = 1.04mW/g

Date/Time: 1/6/2010 5:42:31 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko

File Name: [CH 6 802.11b 5.5 Mbps Scan Front Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.37 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$ 

Reference Value = 1.63 V/m; Power Drift = 0.055 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.06 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.22 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.466 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.81 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$ 

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.007 mW/g



0 dB = 1.81mW/g

Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

Date/Time: 1/6/2010 10:40:01 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 6 802.11b 11 Mbps Scan Front Side.da4](#)

**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.01 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 1.23 V/m; Power Drift = -0.210 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.56 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.667 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.258 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.998 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g





Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

Date/Time: 1/6/2010 11:16:54 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko

File Name: [CH 11 802.11b 5.5 Mbps Scan Front Side.da4](#)

**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2.05 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.89 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 1.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.198 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.73 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 1.45 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.534 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.13 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.007 mW/g



0 dB = 1.89mW/g



Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

Date/Time: 1/6/2010 11:50:21 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 1 802.11b 5.5 Mbps Scan Front Side.da4](#)

**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2412$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.98$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.849 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7mm, dy=7mm, dz=7mm  
Reference Value = 1.20 V/m; Power Drift = 0.095 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.50 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.612 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.240 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.917 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g



Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

Date/Time: 1/10/2010 5:39:53 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko

File Name: [CH 2 802.11b 5.5 Mbps Scan Front Side DUT antenna centered in phantom.da4](#)

**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: 802.11b/g; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2462$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.04$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.953 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7mm, dy=7mm, dz=7mm  
Reference Value = 18.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.170 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.51 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 1.02 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.397 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.49 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.795 mW/g



Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

Date/Time: 1/8/2010 11:28:23 AM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 39 Bluetooth Front Side.da4](#)

**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**

Communication System: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2441 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2441$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (12x20x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.003 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=7mm, dy=7mm, dz=7mm

Reference Value = 0.316 V/m; Power Drift = 0.158 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.008 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.00246 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.000649 mW/g**


[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.001 mW/g





Date/Time: 1/8/2010 1:20:15 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [CH 39 Bluetooth Left Side.da4](#)**DUT: Masimo Labs G1; Type: Not Specified; Serial: Not Specified**  
**Program Name: Different Test Procedures (Left-Hand Side)**Communication System: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2441 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2441 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 2 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 2.7mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Area Scan (7x20x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=10\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=10\text{mm}$ [Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.003 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Zoom Scan (6x6x6)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=7\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=7\text{mm}$ 

Reference Value = 0.198 V/m; Power Drift = 9.76 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.007 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.0025 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.000904 mW/g**[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g

**Cube7x7x7 - Flat Phantom/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=20\text{mm}$ [Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.001 mW/g



**12.0 DIPOLE VALIDATION SCANS**

Date/Time: 1/6/2010 1:40:56 PM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [Dipole Validation 1\\_6\\_2010.da4](#)**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:718**  
**Program Name: System Performance Check at 2450 MHz**Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.03$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Area Scan (7x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.365 mW/g**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 15.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.017 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 104.2 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 48.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 21.8 mW/g**


Normalized to target power = 1 W and actual power = 0.01 W

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 55.1 mW/g

**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.582 mW/g



0 dB = 55.1mW/g




Date/Time: 1/8/2010 10:23:22 AM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [Dipole Validation 1\\_8\\_2010.da4](#)**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:718**  
**Program Name: System Performance Check at 2450 MHz**Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.02$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Area Scan (7x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.377 mW/g**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 16.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.068 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 99.5 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 47.6 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 21.7 mW/g**  
Normalized to target power = 1 W and actual power = 0.01 W  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 53.9 mW/g**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.589 mW/g



0 dB = 0.377mW/g



Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

Date/Time: 1/10/2010 10:36:50 AM

Test Laboratory: Intertek ETL Semko  
File Name: [Dipole Validation 1\\_10\\_2010.da4](#)

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:718**  
**Program Name: System Performance Check at 2450 MHz**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.02$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV3 - SN3516; ConvF(8.22, 8.22, 8.22); Calibrated: 12/15/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn358; Calibrated: 4/17/2009
- Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1243
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186


**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Area Scan (7x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.427 mW/g

**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 16.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.093 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 114.5 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 54.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 24.8 mW/g**  
Normalized to target power = 1 W and actual power = 0.01 W  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 61.7 mW/g

**d=10mm, Pin=10mW/Z Scan (1x1x6):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=20mm  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.624 mW/g



0 dB = 0.427mW/g





Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

### 13.0 PROBE CERTIFICATE

Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
C Service suisse d'étalonnage  
S Servizio svizzero di taratura  
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client Intertek

Certificate No: EX3-3516\_Dec09

#### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object EX3DV3 - SN:3516

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-01.v6, QA CAL-14.v3, QA CAL-23.v3 and QA CAL-25.v2  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: December 15, 2009

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature ( $22 \pm 3$ )°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Primary Standards          | ID #            | Cal Date (Certificate No.)        | Scheduled Calibration  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Power meter E4419B         | GB41293874      | 1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)          | Apr-10                 |
| Power sensor E4412A        | MY41495277      | 1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)          | Apr-10                 |
| Power sensor E4412A        | MY41498087      | 1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)          | Apr-10                 |
| Reference 3 dB Attenuator  | SN: S5054 (3c)  | 31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01026)         | Mar-10                 |
| Reference 20 dB Attenuator | SN: S5086 (20b) | 31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01028)         | Mar-10                 |
| Reference 30 dB Attenuator | SN: S5129 (30b) | 31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01027)         | Mar-10                 |
| Reference Probe ES3DV2     | SN: 3013        | 2-Jan-09 (No. ES3-3013_Jan09)     | Jan-10                 |
| DAE4                       | SN: 660         | 29-Sep-09 (No. DAE4-660_Sep09)    | Sep-10                 |
| Secondary Standards        | ID #            | Check Date (in house)             | Scheduled Check        |
| RF generator HP 8648C      | US3642U01700    | 4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)  | In house check: Oct-11 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E  | US37390585      | 18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-09) | In house check: Oct10  |

|                |                       |                               |               |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Calibrated by: | Name<br>Katja Pokovic | Function<br>Technical Manager | Signature<br> |
| Approved by:   | Name<br>Niels Kuster  | Function<br>Quality Manager   | Signature<br> |

Issued: December 16, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: EX3-3516\_Dec09

Page 1 of 11

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

#### Glossary:

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| TSL                      | tissue simulating liquid  |
| NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>    | sensitivity in free space   |
| ConvF                    | sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>  |
| DCP                      | diode compression point   |
| CF                       | crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal  |
| A, B, C                  | modulation dependent linearization parameters   |
| Polarization $\phi$      | $\phi$ rotation around probe axis   |
| Polarization $\vartheta$ | $\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),<br>i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis |

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not effect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below **ConvF**).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub>** = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of **ConvF**.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- A<sub>x,y,z</sub>; B<sub>x,y,z</sub>; C<sub>x,y,z</sub>; VR<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: A, B, C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

# Probe EX3DV3

## SN:3516

|                  |                   |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Manufactured:    | March 8, 2004     |
| Last calibrated: | November 13, 2008 |
| Recalibrated:    | December 15, 2009 |

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

**DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3516****Basic Calibration Parameters**

|                                       | Sensor X | Sensor Y | Sensor Z | Unc (k=2) |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Norm ( $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ ) <sup>A</sup> | 0.86     | 0.77     | 0.62     | ± 10.1%   |
| DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>                 | 92.7     | 94.5     | 93.6     |           |

**Modulation Calibration Parameters**

| UID   | Communication System Name | PAR  |   | A<br>dB | B<br>dBuV | C    | VR<br>mV | Unc <sup>E</sup><br>(k=2) |
|-------|---------------------------|------|---|---------|-----------|------|----------|---------------------------|
| 10000 | CW                        | 0.00 | X | 0.00    | 0.00      | 1.00 | 300      | ± 1.5%                    |
|       |                           |      | Y | 0.00    | 0.00      | 1.00 | 300      |                           |
|       |                           |      | Z | 0.00    | 0.00      | 1.00 | 300      |                           |

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter; uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the maximum deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

**DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3516****Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media**

| f [MHz] | Validity [MHz] <sup>c</sup> | Permittivity | Conductivity | ConvF X | ConvF Y | ConvF Z | Alpha | Depth Unc (k=2) |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| 835     | ± 50 / ± 100                | 41.5 ± 5%    | 0.90 ± 5%    | 10.65   | 10.65   | 10.65   | 0.67  | 0.65 ± 11.0%    |
| 900     | ± 50 / ± 100                | 41.5 ± 5%    | 0.97 ± 5%    | 10.35   | 10.35   | 10.35   | 0.63  | 0.66 ± 11.0%    |
| 1750    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 40.1 ± 5%    | 1.37 ± 5%    | 9.27    | 9.27    | 9.27    | 0.40  | 0.72 ± 11.0%    |
| 1900    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 40.0 ± 5%    | 1.40 ± 5%    | 9.00    | 9.00    | 9.00    | 0.52  | 0.67 ± 11.0%    |
| 2450    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 39.2 ± 5%    | 1.80 ± 5%    | 8.15    | 8.15    | 8.15    | 0.30  | 0.88 ± 11.0%    |
| 5200    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 36.0 ± 5%    | 4.66 ± 5%    | 5.32    | 5.32    | 5.32    | 0.40  | 1.80 ± 13.1%    |
| 5800    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 35.3 ± 5%    | 5.27 ± 5%    | 4.67    | 4.67    | 4.67    | 0.45  | 1.80 ± 13.1%    |

<sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

**DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV3 SN:3516****Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media**

| f [MHz] | Validity [MHz] <sup>c</sup> | Permittivity | Conductivity | ConvF X | ConvF Y | ConvF Z | Alpha | Depth Unc (k=2) |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| 835     | ± 50 / ± 100                | 55.2 ± 5%    | 0.97 ± 5%    | 10.54   | 10.54   | 10.54   | 0.59  | 0.70 ± 11.0%    |
| 900     | ± 50 / ± 100                | 55.0 ± 5%    | 1.05 ± 5%    | 10.32   | 10.32   | 10.32   | 0.58  | 0.72 ± 11.0%    |
| 1750    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 53.4 ± 5%    | 1.49 ± 5%    | 9.16    | 9.16    | 9.16    | 0.45  | 0.79 ± 11.0%    |
| 1900    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 53.3 ± 5%    | 1.52 ± 5%    | 8.71    | 8.71    | 8.71    | 0.34  | 0.86 ± 11.0%    |
| 2450    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 52.7 ± 5%    | 1.95 ± 5%    | 8.22    | 8.22    | 8.22    | 0.30  | 0.95 ± 11.0%    |
| 5200    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 49.0 ± 5%    | 5.30 ± 5%    | 4.18    | 4.18    | 4.18    | 0.60  | 1.80 ± 13.1%    |
| 5800    | ± 50 / ± 100                | 48.2 ± 5%    | 6.00 ± 5%    | 3.84    | 3.84    | 3.84    | 0.60  | 1.80 ± 13.1%    |


<sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

### Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell: ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

### Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )



EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

### Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  (k=2)

EX3DV3 SN:3516


December 15, 2009

### Conversion Factor Assessment



### Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (φ, θ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

EX3DV3 SN:3516

December 15, 2009

**Other Probe Parameters**

|   |                |
|---|----------------|
| Sensor Arrangement                            | Triangular     |
| Connector Angle (°)                           | Not applicable |
| Mechanical Surface Detection Mode             | enabled        |
| Optical Surface Detection Mode                | disabled       |
| Probe Overall Length                          | 337 mm         |
| Probe Body Diameter                           | 10 mm          |
| Tip Length                                    | 9 mm           |
| Tip Diameter                                  | 2.5 mm         |
| Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point       | 1 mm           |
| Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point       | 1 mm           |
| Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point       | 1 mm           |
| Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface | 2 mm           |

Evaluation For: Masimo Labs  
Report Number: 3195108LEX-001

Model Number: Pronto-7X44PRONTO7

## 14.0 DIPOLE CERTIFICATE

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
**Schmid & Partner**  
**Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Intertek**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-718\_Dec09**

### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2450V2 - SN: 718**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v7**  
**Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **December 10, 2009**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature ( $22 \pm 3$ )°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Primary Standards           | ID #               | Cal Date (Certificate No.)     | Scheduled Calibration |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Power meter EPM-442A        | GB37480704         | 06-Oct-09 (No. 217-01086)      | Oct-10                |
| Power sensor HP 8481A       | US37292783         | 06-Oct-09 (No. 217-01086)      | Oct-10                |
| Reference 20 dB Attenuator  | SN: 5086 (20g)     | 31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01025)      | Mar-10                |
| Type-N mismatch combination | SN: 5047.2 / 06327 | 31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01029)      | Mar-10                |
| Reference Probe ES3DV3      | SN: 3205           | 26-Jun-09 (No. ES3-3205_Jun09) | Jun-10                |
| DAE4                        | SN: 601            | 07-Mar-09 (No. DAE4-601_Mar09) | Mar-10                |

| Secondary Standards       | ID #             | Check Date (in house)             | Scheduled Check        |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Power sensor HP 8481A     | MY41092317       | 18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09) | In house check: Oct-11 |
| RF generator R&S SMT-06   | 100005           | 4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)  | In house check: Oct-11 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US37390585 S4206 | 18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-09) | In house check: Oct-10 |

|                |                |                       |           |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|
|                | Name           | Function              | Signature |
| Calibrated by: | Jeton Kastrati | Laboratory Technician |           |
| Approved by:   | Katja Pokovic  | Technical Manager     |           |

Issued: December 16, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: D2450V2-718\_Dec09

Page 1 of 9

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

#### Glossary:

|       |                                 |
|-------|---------------------------------|
| TSL   | tissue simulating liquid        |
| ConvF | sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z |
| N/A   | not applicable or not measured  |

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

#### Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

**Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

|                                     |                           |             |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| <b>DASY Version</b>                 | DASY5                     | V5.2        |
| <b>Extrapolation</b>                | Advanced Extrapolation    |             |
| <b>Phantom</b>                      | Modular Flat Phantom V4.9 |             |
| <b>Distance Dipole Center - TSL</b> | 10 mm                     | with Spacer |
| <b>Zoom Scan Resolution</b>         | dx, dy, dz = 5 mm         |             |
| <b>Frequency</b>                    | 2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz      |             |

**Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

|   | Temperature         | Permittivity   | Conductivity         |
|---|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| <b>Nominal Head TSL parameters</b>      | 22.0 °C             | 39.2           | 1.80 mho/m           |
| <b>Measured Head TSL parameters</b>     | (22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C | 39.3 $\pm$ 6 % | 1.82 mho/m $\pm$ 6 % |
| <b>Head TSL temperature during test</b> | (21.4 $\pm$ 0.2) °C | ----           | ----                 |

**SAR result with Head TSL**

| <b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL</b> | Condition          |  |
|---|--------------------|--|
| SAR measured  | 250 mW input power | 13.4 mW / g                                      |
| SAR normalized  | normalized to 1W   | 53.6 mW / g                                      |
| SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters                         | normalized to 1W   | <b>53.3 mW / g <math>\pm</math> 17.0 % (k=2)</b> |

| <b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL</b> | condition          |  |
|---|--------------------|--|
| SAR measured  | 250 mW input power | 6.31 mW / g                                      |
| SAR normalized  | normalized to 1W   | 25.2 mW / g                                      |
| SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters                           | normalized to 1W   | <b>25.2 mW / g <math>\pm</math> 16.5 % (k=2)</b> |

**Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

|                                  | Temperature     | Permittivity | Conductivity     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| Nominal Body TSL parameters      | 22.0 °C         | 52.7         | 1.95 mho/m       |
| Measured Body TSL parameters     | (22.0 ± 0.2) °C | 53.6 ± 6 %   | 2.01 mho/m ± 6 % |
| Body TSL temperature during test | (21.5 ± 0.2) °C | ----         | ----             |

**SAR result with Body TSL**

| SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL | Condition          |                            |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|
| SAR measured  | 250 mW input power | 12.7 mW / g                |
| SAR normalized  | normalized to 1W   | 50.8 mW / g                |
| SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters                   | normalized to 1W   | 50.2 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2) |

| SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL | condition          |                            |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|
| SAR measured  | 250 mW input power | 5.82 mW / g                |
| SAR normalized  | normalized to 1W   | 23.3 mW / g                |
| SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters                     | normalized to 1W   | 23.2 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2) |

**Appendix****Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**

|                                      |                             |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Impedance, transformed to feed point | $54.2 \Omega + 2.8 j\Omega$ |
| Return Loss                          | - 26.3 dB                   |

**Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

|                                      |                             |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Impedance, transformed to feed point | $50.1 \Omega + 4.5 j\Omega$ |
| Return Loss                          | - 26.9 dB                   |

**General Antenna Parameters and Design**

|                                  |          |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Electrical Delay (one direction) | 1.147 ns |
|----------------------------------|----------|

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

**Additional EUT Data**

|                 |                    |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Manufactured by | SPEAG              |
| Manufactured on | September 10, 2002 |



**DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date/Time: 09.12.2009 12:32:31

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:718**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL U11 BB

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.82$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

**DASY5 Configuration:**

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.53, 4.53, 4.53); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.2 Build 157; SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 57


**Pin=250 mW /d=10mm, dist=3.0mm (ES-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement**  
grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 100.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.052 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.3 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.31 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.1 mW/g



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



## DASY5 Validation Report for Body

Date/Time: 10.12.2009 13:47:28

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:718**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL U10 BB

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.01$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

### DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.31, 4.31, 4.31); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.2 Build 157; SEMCAD X Version 14.0 Build 57

**Pin250 mW /d=10mm, dist=3.0mm (ES-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement**


grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94 V/m; Power Drift = 0.051 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.1 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 12.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.82 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 16.8 mW/g



Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



## 15.0 PHANTOM CERTIFICATE

**Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

**Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection**

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Item                  | SAM Twin Phantom V4.0  |
| Type No               | QD 000 P40 BA  |
| Series No             | TP-1002 and higher   |
| Manufacturer / Origin | Untersee Composites<br>Hauptstr. 69<br>CH-8559 Fruthwilen<br>Switzerland |

**Tests**

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

| Test                 | Requirement   | Details  | Units tested                |
|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Shape                | Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.                                | IT'IS CAD File (*)   | First article, Samples      |
| Material thickness   | Compliant with the requirements according to the standards                              | 2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas                                      | First article, Samples      |
| Material parameters  | Dielectric parameters for required frequencies  | 200 MHz – 3 GHz<br>Relative permittivity < 5<br>Loss tangent < 0.05. | Material sample<br>TP 104-5 |
| Material resistivity | The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards | Liquid type HSL 1800 and others according to the standard.           | Pre-series, First article   |

**Standards**

- [1] CENELEC EN 50381
- [2] IEEE P1528-200x draft 6.5
- [3] IEC PT 62209 draft 0.9

(\*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

**Conformity**

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date 18.11.2001

Signature / Stamp