



A D T

RF EXPOSURE REPORT (FOR WLAN & BLUETOOTH)

REPORT NO.: SA110811C11A-1

MODEL NO.: F-09D

FCC ID: VQK-F09D

RECEIVED: Aug. 11, 2011

TESTED: Sep. 19 ~ Oct. 11, 2011

ISSUED: Mar. 28, 2012

APPLICANT: FUJITSU LIMITED

ADDRESS: 1-1, Kamikodanaka 4-chome, Nakahara-ku,
Kawasaki 211-8588, Japan

ISSUED BY: Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.)
Ltd., Taoyuan Branch

LAB ADDRESS: No. 47, 14th Ling, Chia Pau Vil., Lin Kou Dist., New
Taipei City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

TEST LOCATION: No. 19, Hwa Ya 2nd Rd, Wen Hwa Tsuen, Kwei Shan
Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 333, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This test report consists of 4 pages in total. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product, certification, approval, or endorsement by any government agency. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.



A D T

RELEASE CONTROL RECORD

ISSUE NO.	REASON FOR CHANGE	DATE ISSUED
SA110811C11A-1	Original release	Mar. 28, 2012



A D T

1. CERTIFICATION

PRODUCT: Mobile Phone

MODEL: F-09D

BRAND: FOMA

APPLICANT: FUJITSU LIMITED

TESTED: Sep. 19 ~ Oct. 11, 2011

TEST SAMPLE: ENGINEERING SAMPLE

STANDARDS: **FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure**

IEEE C95.1

The above equipment (model: F-09D) have been tested by **Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch**, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation & Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions specified in this report.

PREPARED BY : , DATE : Mar. 28, 2012
Polly Chien / Specialist

APPROVED BY : , DATE : Mar. 28, 2012
Gary Chang / Technical Manager

NOTE: This report is issued as a duplicate report to the original BV ADT report No.: SA110811C11-1.
The differences are changing the model name and FCC ID.

2. REDUCED CONDITION FOR SAR

When output power is $\leq 60/f(\text{GHz})$ mW, SAR evaluation is not required.

3. MAXIMUM MEASURED POWER OF EUT

Maximum measured transmitter power:

Pout (dBm)		Pout (mW)
Bluetooth		
Conducted Power	2.33	1.7
EIRP Power	-2.67	0.54
2.4 GHz Wi-Fi		
Conducted Power	11.80	15.1
EIRP Power	6.80	4.79

***Note:** The antenna is $\lambda/4$ Monopole with -5.0dBi gain

4. CONCLUSION

No SAR evaluation is required since output power of EUT is less than threshold of SAR.