

Page 1 of 71

# SAR Test Report


### Technical Report No.: 60.870.14.028.02S Dated: 2015-03-12


#### CLIENT:

Company Name:	Binatone Electronics International Ltd.
Address:	Floor 23A, 9 Des Voeux Road West, Sheung Wan, Hong Kong
MANUFACTURING PLACE	E:
Company Name:	VTech(Dongguan) Telecommunications Limted
Address:	VTech Science Park, Xia Ling Bei Management Zone, Liaobu, Dongguan, Guandong, China
TEST SUBJECT:	
Model name:	Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit)
Brand name:	motorola
Model no.:	MBP43SPU
FCCID:	VLJ-MBP430PU
TEST SPECIFICATION:	IEEE Std. 1528:2003, 47CFR § 2.1093, IEEE/ANSI C95.1;

#### TEST RESULTS: POSITIVE

This report may only be quoted in full. Any use for advertising purposes must be granted in writing. This report is result of a single examination of the object in question and is not generally applicable evaluation of the quality of other products in regular production.






#### **Report Revise Record**

Report Version	Revise Time	Issued Date	Valid Version	Notes
V1.0	/	Mar. 12,2015	Valid	Original Report

The test plans were performed in accordance with IEEE Std. 1528:2003; 47CFR § 2.1093; IEEE/ANSI C95.1 and the following specific FCC Test Procedures:

- · KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance
- · KDB 648474 D04 Handset SAR
- · KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz



Test Report Certification				
Applicant Name	Binatone Electronics International Ltd.			
Applicant Address	Floor 23A, 9 Des Voeux Road West, Sheung Wan, Hong Kong.			
Manufacturer Name	VTech(Dongguan) Telecommunications Limted			
Manufacturer Address	VTech Science Park, Xia Ling Bei Management Zone, Liaobu, Dongguan, Guandong, China			
Product Designation	Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit)			
Brand Name	motorola			
Model Name MBP43SPU				
Different Description	N/A			
EUT Voltage	DC 3.6V by battery			
Applicable Standard	IEEE Std. 1528:2003 47CFR § 2.1093 IEEE/ANSI C95.1			
Test Date	Mar. 12,2015			
	Attestation of Global Compliance(Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.			
Performed Location	2 F, Building 2, No.1-No.4, Chaxi Sanwei Technical Industrial Park, Gushu, Xixiang Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China			
Report Template	AGCRT-FCC-2.4G/SAR (2014-04-01)			

Tested By

fir Thou

Eric Zhou Mar. 12,2015

Angela li

Checked By

Mar. 12,2015

Solger 2hours

Authorized By

Solger Zhang

Angela Li

Mar. 12,2015



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 4 of 71

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM SAR VALUE	5
2. GENERAL INFORMATION	6
2.1. EUT DESCRIPTION	
2.2. TEST PROCEDURE	
3. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
3.1. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)	
3.3. COMOSAR System Description	9
3.4. COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE	
3.5. ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE SPECIFICATION 3.6. ROBOT	
3.7. VIDEO POSITIONING SYSTEM	
3.8. DEVICE HOLDER 3.9. ELLIPTIC PHANTOM	
4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID	
4.1. THE COMPOSITION OF THE TISSUE STIMULANT LIQUID	
4.3. TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS FOR HEAD AND BODY PHANTOMS	
5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	
5.1. SAR System Validation Procedures	
5.2. SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION	
6. EUT TEST POSITION	
6.1. BODY WORN POSITION	
7. SAR EXPOSURE LIMITS	
8. TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	
9. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
10. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT	
11. TEST RESULTS	
11.1. SAR TEST RESULTS SUMMARY	
APPENDIX A. SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
APPENDIX B. SAR MEASUREMENT DATA	
APPENDIX C. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS & EUT PHOTOGRAPHS	
APPENDIX D. PROBE CALIBRATION DATA	
APPENDIX E. DIPOLE CALIBRATION DATA	



#### **1. SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM SAR VALUE**

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for EUT are as follows:

#### Highest Report standalone SAR Summary

Exposure Position	Test Mode	Highest Tested 1g-SAR(W/kg)	Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg)	Highest Tested 10g-SAR(W/kg)	Highest Reported 10g-SAR(W/kg)
Limbs	2.4G	0.245	0.392	0.093	0.149

This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (4.0W/Kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1, and had been tested in accordance with measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003 and the relevant KDB files like KDB 447498 D01,KDB 865664 D02....etc.



#### 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 2.1. EUT Description

General Information		
Product Designation Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit)		
Test Model	MBP43SPU	
Hardware Version	N/A	
Software Version	N/A	
Device Category	Portable	
RF Exposure Environment	Uncontrolled	
Antenna Type	Internal / 1 dBi	
Operation Frequency	2402-2479MHz	
Max. Average Power (Max. Peak Power)	17.459dBm	

Product	Туре		
	Production unit	Identical Prototype	


#### 2.2. Test Procedure

1	Setup the EUT and simulators as shown on above.
2	Turn on the power of all equipment.
3	Make EUT into engineering mode for transmission, and test them respectively at US bands

#### 2.3. Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the laboratory:

Items	Required	Actual
Temperature (°C)	18-25	21±2
Humidity (%RH)	30-70	55±2



#### **3. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM**

#### 3.1. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and occupational/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume (dv) of given mass density (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/Kg) SAR can be obtained using either of the following equations:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

$$SAR = c_h \frac{dT}{dt}_{t=0}$$

Where

SAR is the specific absorption rate in watts per kilogram;

- E is the r.m.s. value of the electric field strength in the tissue in volts per meter;  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue in siemens per metre;
- ρ is the density of the tissue in kilograms per cubic metre;
- c<sub>h</sub> is the heat capacity of the tissue in joules per kilogram and Kelvin;

 $\frac{dT}{dt}$  | **t** = **0** is the initial time derivative of temperature in the tissue in kelvins per second



#### 3.2. SAR Measurement Procedure


The EUT is set to transmit at the required power in line with product specification, at each frequency relating to the LOW, MID, and HIGH channel settings.

Pre-scans are made on the device to establish the location for the transmitting antenna, using a large area scan in either air or tissue simulation fluid.


The EUT is placed against the SAM twin phantom where the maximum area scan dimensions are larger than the physical size of the resonating antenna. When the scan size is not large enough to cover the peak SAR distribution, it is modified by either extending the area scan size in both the X and Y directions, or the device is shifted within the predefined area.

The area scan is then run to establish the peak SAR location (interpolated resolution set at 1mm<sup>2</sup>) which is then used to orient the center of the zoom scan. The zoom scan is then executed and the 1g and 10g averages are derived from the zoom scan volume (interpolated resolution set at 1mm<sup>3</sup>).

When multiple peak SAR location were found during the same configuration or test mode, Zoom scan shall performed on each peak SAR location, only the peak point with maximum SAR value will be reported for the configuration or test mode.



#### 3.3. COMOSAR System Description




A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, teach pendant and software.

- The PC. It controls most of the bench devices and stores measurement data. A computer running WinXP and the Opensar software.
- The E-Field probe. The probe is a 3-axis system made of 3 distinct dipoles. Each dipole returns a voltage in function of the ambient electric field.
- · The Keithley multimeter measures each probe dipole voltages.
- The SAM phantom simulates a human head. The measurement of the electric field is made inside the phantom.
- · The liquids simulate the dielectric properties of the human head tissues.
- · The network emulator controls the mobile phone under test.
- The validation dipoles are used to measure a reference SAR. They are used to periodically check the bench to make sure that there is no drift of the system characteristics over time.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

#### 3.3.1. Applications

Predefined procedures and evaluations for automated compliance testing with all worldwide standards, e.g. IEEE 1528, ANSI C95.1, relevant KDB files and TCB files.



#### 3.3.2. Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm<sup>2</sup> step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE 1528, ANSI C95.1, relevant KDB files, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan).


#### 3.3.3. Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm. The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications utilize a physical step of 7x7x7 (5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30mm in the X & Y axis, and 30mm in the Z axis.

#### 3.3.4. Uncertainty of Inter-/Extrapolation and Averaging

In order to evaluate the uncertainty of the interpolation, extrapolation and averaged SAR calculation algorithms of the Post processor, COMOSAR allows the generation of measurement grids which are artificially predefined by analytically based test functions. Therefore, the grids of area scans and zoom scans can be filled with uncertainty test data, according to the SAR benchmark functions of IEEE 1528. The three analytical functions shown in equations as below are used to describe the possible range of the expected SAR distributions for the tested handsets. The field gradients are covered by the spatially flat distribution f1, the spatially steep distribution f3 and f2 accounts for H-field cancellation on the phantom/tissue surface.

$$f_1(x, y, z) = Ae^{-\frac{z}{2a}}\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2}}{5a}\right)$$
$$f_2(x, y, z) = Ae^{-\frac{z}{a}}\frac{a^2}{a^2 + x'^2}\left(3 - e^{-\frac{2z}{a}}\right)\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{y'}{3a}\right)$$
$$f_3(x, y, z) = A\frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2}\left(e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2}\right)$$



#### 3.4. COMOSAR E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dissymmetric probe manufactured by SATIMO. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dissymmetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. SATIMO conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. EEE 1528, EN62209-1, IEC 62209, etc.) Under ISO17025. The calibration data are in Appendix D.

Model	SSE5		
Manufacture	SATIMO		
Frequency	0.3GHz-3 GHz Linearity:±0.09dB(300MHz-3GHz)	EXIST.	
Dynamic Range	0.01W/Kg-100W/Kg Linearity:±0.09dB	75552	
Dimensions	Overall length:330mm Length of individual dipoles:4.5mm Maximum external diameter:8mm Probe Tip external diameter:5mm Distance between dipoles/ probe extremity:2.7mm		
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 3 GHz with precision of better 30%.		

#### 3.5. Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification

#### 3.6. Robot


The COMOSAR system uses the KUKA robot from SATIMO SA (France).For the 6-axis controller COMOSAR system, the KUKA robot controller version from SATIMO is used.

The XL robot series have many features that are important for our application:

□ High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)

- □ High reliability (industrial design)
- □ Jerk-free straight movements
- □ Low ELF interference (the closed metallic
- construction shields against motor control fields)
- □ 6-axis controller






#### 3.7. Video Positioning System

The video positioning system is used in OpenSAR to check the probe. Which is composed of a camera, LED, mirror and mechanical parts. The camera is piloted by the main computer with firewire link.

During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip.

The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.




#### 3.8. Device Holder

The COMOSAR device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The COMOSAR device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon r = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.





#### 3.9. Elliptic Phantom

The Elliptic Phantom is a fiberglass shell flat phantom with 2mm+/- 0.2 mm shell thickness. It has only one measurement area for Flat phantom





#### 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dissymmetric probe manufactured by SATIMO. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dissymmetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. SATIMO conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE 1528 and relevant KDB files.) The calibration data are in Appendix D.

#### 4.1. The composition of the tissue Stimulant liquid

Ingredient	2450MHz
(% Weight)	Body
Water	73.2
Salt	0.04
Sugar	0.00
HEC	0.00
Preventol	0.00
DGBE	26.7
TWEEN	48.34



#### 4.2. Tissue Calibration Result

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using COMOSAR Dielectric Probe Kit and R&S Network Analyzer ZVL6.

Tissue Stimulant Measurement for 2450 MHz					
	Dielectric Par	Dielectric Parameters (±5%)			
Fr.	body		Tissue Temp	Test time	
(MHz)	εr 52.7 50.065-55.335	δ[s/m] 1.90 1.8525-2.0475	[°C]		
2402	53.28	1.87	21.2	Mar. 12,2015	
2440	52.11	1.90	21.2	Mar. 12,2015	
2450	52.06	1.92	21.2	Mar. 12,2015	
2480	54.95	1.93	21.2	Mar. 12,2015	




#### 4.3. Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Target Frequency		head	b	ody
(MHz)	٤r	σ (S/m)	٤r	σ (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.91	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2100	39.8	1.49	52.7	1.90
2450	39.2	1.80	52.0	2.73
3000	38.5	2.40	48.2	6.00
5800	35.3	5.27	35.3	5.27

( $\epsilon r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m3)




#### 5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

#### 5.1. SAR System Validation Procedures


Each SATIMO system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system validation setup is shown as below.






## 5.2. SAR System Validation 5.2.1. Validation Dipoles




Frequency	L (mm)	h (mm)	d (mm)	
2450 MHz	51.5	30.4	3.6	



#### 5.5.2. Validation Result

System Performance Check at 2450MHz for Body         Validation Kit: SN 46/11DIP 2G450-189										
Freq. [MHz]		get W/Kg)	Reference Result (± 10%)		Tested SAR Value(W/Kg) Input Power=18dBm		Reported SAR Value(W/Kg) Converted to 30dBm		Tissue Temp. [°C]	Test time
	1g	10g	1g	10g	1g	10g	1g	10g		
2450	54.19	24.96	48.771-59.609	22.464-27.456	3.295	1.467	52.72	23.47	21	Mar. 12,2015





#### 6. EUT TEST POSITION

This EUT was tested in Body back, Body front, Body left, Body Right, Body top and Body bottom.

#### 6.1. Body Worn Position

- (1) To position the EUT parallel to the phantom surface.(2) To adjust the EUT parallel to the flat phantom.
- (3) To adjust the distance between the EUT surface and the flat phantom to 0mm.





#### 7. SAR EXPOSURE LIMITS

SAR assessments have been made in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528, FCC Supplement C, and comply with ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 "Uncontrolled Environments" limits. These limits apply to a location which is deemed as "Uncontrolled Environment" which can be described as a situation where the general public may be exposed to an RF source with no prior knowledge or control over their exposure.

#### Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Type Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment Limit(W/kg)
Spatial Peak SAR (1g cube tissue for brain or body)	1.60
Spatial Average SAR (Whole body)	0.08
Spatial Peak SAR (Limbs)	4.0



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 22 of 71

#### 8. TEST EQUIPMENT LIST Manufacturer/ Equipment **Current calibration** Next calibration **Identification No.** description Model date date SAR Probe SN 22/12 EP159 SATIMO 12/03/2014 12/02/2015 **TISSUE** Probe SATIMO SN 45/11 OCPG45 12/03/2014 12/02/2015 Validated. No cal Validated. No cal Phantom SATIMO SN\_4511\_SAM90 required. required. Validated. No cal Validated. No cal Liquid SATIMO required. required. **Comm Tester R&S - CMU200** 069Y7-158-13-712 02/17/2014 02/16/2015 Comm Tester Agilent-8960 GB46310822 02/17/2014 02/16/2015 **Multimeter** Keithley 2000 1188656 02/17/2014 02/16/2015 SN46/11 DIP Dipole SATIMO SID2450 11/14/2013 11/13/2015 2G450-189 Signal Generator Agilent-E4438C MY44260051 02/23/2014 02/22/2015 Power Sensor NRP-Z23 US38261498 02/17/2014 02/16/2015 Spectrum Analyzer Agilent US41421290 05/27/2014 05/26/2015 E4440 Rhode & Schwarz Network Analyzer SN100132 02/17/2014 02/16/2015 ZVL6 Warison N/A N/A N/A Attenuator /WATT-6SR1211 Mini-circuits / Attenuator N/A N/A N/A VAT-10+ Amplifier EM30180 SN060552 03/04/2014 03/03/2015 Directional Werlatone/ N/A N/A N/A Couple C6026-10 **Power Sensor** NRP-Z21 1137.6000.02 10/22/2014 10/21/2015 **Power Viewer** R&S/V2.3.1.0 N/A N/A N/A

Note: Per KDB 865664 Dipole SAR Validation Verification, AGC Lab has adopted 3 years calibration intervals. On annual basis, every measurement dipole has been evaluated and is in compliance with the following criteria:

1. There is no physical damage on the dipole;

2. System validation with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value;

3. Return-loss is within 20% of calibrated measurement;

4. Impedance is within  $5\Omega$  of calibrated measurement.



#### 9. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type An evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table 12.1

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape	
Multi-plying Factor(a)	1/k(b)	1/√ <b>3</b>	1/√6	1/√2	

- (a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity
- (b)  $\kappa$  is the coverage factor

#### Table 13.1 Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The SATIMO uncertainty Budget is shown in the following tables.



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 24 of 71

SATIMO Uncertainty										
Measureme	Measurement uncertainty for 300 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram / 10 gram.									
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi	
Measurement System					•		,	,		
Probe calibration	E.2.1	7.0	Ν	1	1	1	6.98	6.98	$\infty$	
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.16	1.16	8	
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.33	2.33	$\infty$	
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	8	
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.87	2.87	8	
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	8	
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N		1	1	0.03	0.03	8	
Response Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.70	1.70	8	
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R		1	1	1.16	1.16	8	
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$ $\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.71	1.71	8	
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞	
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	√3	1	1	0.03	0.03	8	
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.91	2.91	8	
Test sample Related										
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.1	0.03	Ν	1	1	1	0.05	0.05	N-1	
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.1	5.00	N	1	1	1	4.95	4.95	$\infty$	
Output power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	0.65	R	√3	1	1	0.36	0.36	8	
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters		1	1	1		1	1		
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	√3	1	1	0.02	0.02	8	
Liquid conductivity deviation from target value	E.3.2	5.00	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.83	1.23	∞	
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.18	2.14	8	
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	0.03	R	√3	0.6	0.49	0.01	0.01	8	
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.00	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.06	4.95	М	
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.17	10.63	8	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			k				22.34	21.26		



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 25 of 71

SATIMO Uncertainty									
System uncertainty for 300 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram / 10 gram.									
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System	1		r	1			r	1	
Probe calibration	E.2.1	7.0	N	1	1	1	6.98	6.98	×
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	√3	1	1	1.16	1.16	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.33	2.33	×
Boundary Effects	E.2.3	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.87	2.87	$\infty$
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	ø
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	Ν	1	1	1	0.03	0.03	×
Response Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.70	1.70	$\infty$
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.16	1.16	8
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.71	1.71	8
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	√3	1	1	0.03	0.03	×
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.91	2.91	ø
Dipole									
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4.2	1.00	Ν	√3	1	1	0.55	0.55	N-1
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.2	0.65	R	√3	1	1	0.36	0.36	8
Phantom and Tissue Paran	neters								
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.02	0.02	ø
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	5.00	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.83	1.23	$\infty$
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.18	2.14	~
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	0.03	R	√3	0.6	0.49	0.01	0.01	×
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.00	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.06	4.95	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.03	9.42	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)		<u> </u>	k				20.05	18.85	
	1		l	1		1	l	1	L]



#### **10. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT**

#### 2.4G

Mode	Frequency(MHz)	Peak Power (dBm)	Avg. Burst Power(dBm)
	2402	19.026	17.046
GFSK	2440	19.439	17.459
	2480	18.804	16.824



#### **11. TEST RESULTS**

### 11.1. SAR Test Results Summary 11.1.1. Test position and configuration

Body SAR was performed with the device 0mm from the phantom.

#### 11.1.2. Operation Mode

output power(mw)]

- · According to KDB 447498 D01 v05r01 ,for each exposure position, if the highest 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.
- Per KDB 865664 D01 v01r01,for each frequency band, if the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/Kg, testing for repeated SAR measurement is required, that the highest measured SAR is only to be tested. When the SAR results are near the limit, the following procedures are required for each device to verify these types of SAR measurement related variation concerns by repeating the highest measured SAR configuration in each frequency band.
- (1) When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.8$ W/Kg, repeat that measurement once.
- (2) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is  $\geq$ 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\geq$ 1.45 W/Kg.
- (3) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first and second repeated measurement is  $\geq$  1.5 W/Kg and ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second measurement is  $\geq$ 1.20.
- Maximum Scaling SAR in order to calculate the Maximum SAR values to test under the standard Peak Power, Calculation method is as follows:
   Maximum Scaling SAR =tested SAR (Max.) × [maximum turn-up power (mw)/ maximum measurement

TÜV SÜD Hong Kong Ltd. 3/F, West Wing, Phase 2, 10 Science Park West Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, Hong Kong.



#### 11.1.3. SAR Test Results Summary

SAR MEASUREMENT												
Ambient Temperatur	e (°C)	: 21.2		Relative	Relative Humidity (%): 53.4							
Liquid Temperature (	(°C) : 2	21.2		Depth of	f Liquid (cn	n):>15						
Product: Digital Vide	o Baby	y Moni	tor (Par	ent Unit)								
Test Mode: GFSK M	odulat	ion										
Position	Mo de	Ch.	Fr. (MHz)	Power Drift (<±5%)	Max. Turn-up Power (dBm)	Meas. output Power (dBm)	SAR (1g) (W/Kg)	Scaled SAR (1g) (W/Kg)	SAR (10g) (W/K g)	Scaled SAR (10g) (W/Kg)	Limit W/Kg	
Body-back with antenna closed	DTS	Mid	2440	0.69	19.5	17.459	0.245	0.392	0.093	0.149	4.0	
Body front with antenna closed	DTS	Mid	2440	-0.62	19.5	17.459	0.140	0.224	0.064	0.102	4.0	
Body – Left with antenna closed	DTS	Mid	2440	0.35	19.5	17.459	0.175	0.280	0.068	0.109	4.0	
Body - Right with antenna closed	DTS	Mid	2440	0.41	19.5	17.459	0.104	0.166	0.047	0.075	4.0	
Body –Top with antenna closed	DTS	Mid	2440	-0.23	19.5	17.459	0.180	0.288	0.072	0.115	4.0	
Body-Bottom with antenna closed	DTS	Mid	2440	1.03	19.5	17.459	0.028	0.045	0.012	0.019	4.0	
Body-back with antenna open	DTS	Mid	2440	0.69	19.5	17.459	0.198	0.317	0.078	0.125	4.0	
Body-front with antenna open	DTS	Mid	2440	0.25	19.5	17.459	0.088	0.141	0.045	0.072	4.0	

Note:

· When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.

• All of above "DTS" means data transmitters.

· The test separation is 0mm of all above table; Above test model see the Photographs.



#### **APPENDIX A. SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA**

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab System Check Body 2450 MHz DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz Type: SID 2450 Date: Mar. 12,2015


Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07 Frequency: 2450 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.92 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon r = 52.06$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ; Phantom section: Flat Section; Input Power=18dBm

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2

SATIMO Configuration:

- Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN 22/12 EP159
- · Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- · Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

#### Configuration/System Check 2450 MHz Body/Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm,dy=8mm Configuration/System Check 2450 MHz Body/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm





Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=0.00


SAR 10g (W/Kg)	1.466592
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.295221



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 30 of 71







#### APPENDIX B. SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid-Body Back with antenna closed DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit) ; Type: MBP43SPU

Date: Mar. 12,2015

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 802.11b; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- · Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- · Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

Configuration/2.4G Mid-body Back/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/2.4G Mid-body Back /Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt		
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
Phantom	Validation plane		
Device Position	Body		
Band	2450MHz		
Channels	Middle		
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0		




$\mathbf{Maximum location: } \mathbf{A} = -17.00, 1 = 9.00$					
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.093129				
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.245430				



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 32 of 71



3D screen shot	Hot spot position



#### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid- Body front with antenna closed DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit); Type: MBP43SPU

Date: Mar. 12,2015

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

#### Configuration/2.4G Mid-Body back/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/2.4G Mid- Body back/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450MHz
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0




Maximum location: X=18.00, Y=-2.00		
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.064303	
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.139757	



#### Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 34 of 71







#### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid –Body left with antenna closed DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit) ; Type: MBP43SPU

Date: Mar. 12,2015

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

Configuration/2.4G Mid Body left /Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/2.4G Mid Body left /Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm,dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450MHz
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0




Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=6.00		
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.068070	
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.174900	



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 36 of 71







Date: Mar. 12,2015

### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid –Body Right with antenna closed DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit) ; Type: MBP43SPU

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon r$  =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

Configuration/2.4G Mid Body Right /Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/2.4G Mid Body Right /Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450MHz
Channels	Middle
Signal	TDMA (Crest factor: 1.0)



Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=0.00	
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.047389
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.103620



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 38 of 71



3D screen shot	Hot spot position



### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid –Body Top with antenna closed DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit) ; Type: MBP43SPU

Date: Mar. 12,2015

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

**Configuration/2.4G Mid Body top /Area Scan (6x8x1):** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm **Configuration/2.4G Mid Body top /Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450Mhz
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0



Maximum location: X=-10.00, Y=0.00	
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.072078
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.180355



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 40 of 71



3D screen shot	Hot spot position



### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid–Body Bottom with antenna closed DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit) ; Type: MBP43SPU

Date: Mar. 12,2015

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

**Configuration/2.4G Mid Body bottom /Area Scan (6x8x1):** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm **Configuration/2.4G Mid / Body bottom Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm,dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450MHz
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0



Maximum location: $X = -7.00$ , $Y = 14.00$	
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.012494
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.027730



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 42 of 71



3D screen shot	Hot spot position



Date: Mar. 12,2015

### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid –Body Back with antenna open DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit); Type: MBP43SPU

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2


SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

Configuration/2.4G Mid Body back /Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/2.4G Mid Body back /Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm,dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450MHz
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0



Maximum location: X=-17.00, Y=16.00	
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.078269
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.198408



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 44 of 71



3D screen shot	Hot spot position



Date: Mar. 12,2015

### Test Laboratory: AGC Lab 2.4G Mid –Body Front with antenna open DUT: Digital Video Baby Monitor (Parent Unit); Type: MBP43SPU

Communication System: Wi-Fi; Communication System Band: 2450; Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=4.07; Frequency: 2440 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.90 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =52.11;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>; Phantom section: Flat Section Ambient temperature (°C): 21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.2

SATIMO Configuration:


Probe: SSE5; Calibrated: 12/03/2014; Serial No.:SN22/12 EP159

SAR 1g (W/Kg)

- · Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_01

### Configuration/2.4G Mid Body front/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/2.4G Mid Body front/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm;


Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	2450MHz
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0



0.087721



Report No.:60.870.14.028.02S Page 46 of 71



3D screen shot	Hot spot position		




## APPENDIX C. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS & EUT PHOTOGRAPHS Test Setup Photographs

Body Back with antenna closed



Body Front with antenna closed






Body Back with antenna open



Body Front with antenna open






Body Left with antenna closed



Body Right with antenna closed





Body Top with antenna closed




Body Bottom with antenna closed





## DEPTH OF THE LIQUID IN THE PHANTOM-ZOOM IN


Note : The position used in the measurement were according to IEEE 1528-2003





# APPENDIX D. PROBE CALIBRATION DATA

	SATING The microwave vision company
	COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report
	Ref : ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A
1000000	TESTATION OF GLOBAL COMPLIANCE CO LTD. &2F, NO.2 BUILDING, HUAFENG NO.1 INDUSTRIAL PARK, GUSHU COMMUNITY XIXIANG STREET BAOAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, P.R. CHINA
SA	TIMO COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE SERIAL NO.: SN 22/12 EP159
	Calibrated at SATIMO US
	2105 Barrett Park Dr Kennesaw, GA 30144
	Calbration CERT #2246.02
	12/03/14
	Summary:
	This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Fiel Probe calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for us with a SATIMO COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to nation metrology institutions.






Ref ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	12/3/2014	Jes
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	12/3/2014	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	12/3/2014	thim Authourthi

Customer Name
ATTESTATION
OF GLOBAL
COMPLIANCE
CO. LTD.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	12/3/2014	Initial release
22		

Page: 2/10






Ref. ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	De	vice Under Test	
2	Pro	duct Description	
	2.1	General Information	4
3	Me	asurement Method	
	3.1	Linearity	4
	3.2	Sensitivity	
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
	3.4	Isotropy	5
	3.5	Boundary Effect	5
4	Me	asurement Uncertainty	
5	Cal	ibration Measurement Results	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
	5.2	Linearity	7
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	7
	5.4	Isotropy	8
6	Lis	t of Equipment	

Page: 3/10





Ref ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

### 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	
Manufacturer	Satimo	
Model	SSE5	
Serial Number	SN 22/12 EP159	
Product Condition (new / used)	used	
Frequency Range of Probe	0.3 GHz-3GHz	
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.230 MΩ	
	Dipole 2: R2=0.226 MΩ	
	Dipole 3: R3=0.231 MΩ	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

### 2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEL/IEC 62209 standards.

18 B B B		and the second
1	and the second se	The second s
2 0	and the second	and the second se

Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	4.5 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	2.7 mm

#### 3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

#### 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

### Page: 4/10





Ref. ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

### 3.2 <u>SENSITIVITY</u>

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

#### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

#### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°-180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°-360°).

### 3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

### 4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	2.887%
Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%

#### Page: 5/10





Ref: ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

Combined standard uncertainty	5.831%
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2	11.662%

### 5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS


Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Humidity	45 %	

### 5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR


Normx dipole 1 $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	Normy dipole $2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	Normz dipole 3 $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
5.41	4.68	5.48

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
102	99	95

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:  $E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$ 




Page: 6/10





Ref: ACR.3 1.1.1 .SATU.A

5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity: I+/-1.97% (+/-0.09dB)

<u>Liquid</u>	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)*	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	<u>ConvF</u>
HL300	300	45.37	0.88	4.37
BL300	300	58.12	0.95	4.41
HL450	450	42.99	0.87	4.51
BL450	450	56.89	0.93	4.60
HL850	835	41.28	0.92	5.03
BL850	835	55.22	0.98	5.33
HL900	900	41.03	0.99	5.07
BL900	900	55.83	1.06	5.22
HL1800	1750	39.77	1.41	4.35
BL1800	1750	53.47	1.55	4.49
HL1900	1880	39.88	1.41	4.31
BL1900	1880	53.01	1.54	4.17
HL2000	1950	39.07	1.47	4.12
BL2000	1950	52.17	1.55	4.06
HL2450	2450	39.38	1.87	4.16
BL2450	2450	52.55	1.97	4.07

### 5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 9mW/kg

Page: 7/10






Ref ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

### 5.4 ISOTROPY

HL900 MHz

- Axial	isotropy:
- Hemi	spherical isotropy:

0.04 dB 0.08 dB




#### HL1800 MHz

Axial isotropy:

- Hemispherical isotropy:

0.07 dB 0.12 dB



Page: 8/10






Ref. ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A

HL2450 MHz

Axial isotropy:Hemispherical isotropy:

0.09 dB 0.14 dB



Page: 9/10





Ref. ACR.351.1.14.SATU.A


### 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No ca required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No ca required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EP 94 SN 37/08	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 10/10



## APPENDIX E. DIPOLE CALIBRATION DATA








Ref: ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	11/14/2013	JES
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	11/14/2013	JES
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	11/14/2013	thim Authourthi

	Customer Name
Distribution :	ATTESTATION
	OF GLOBAL
	COMPLIANCE
	CO. LTD.

Date	Modifications	
11/14/2013	Initial release	
	275 - 275 B B	

Page: 2/10





Ref: ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	duction	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Prod	uct Description	
:	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method	
4	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
4	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
:	5.1	Return Loss	5
:	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results6	
(	5.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
(	5.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.3	Measurement Result	8
	7.4	Body Measurement Result	9
8	List	of Equipment10	

Page: 3/10





Ref. ACR.318.9.13 SATU A

### 1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	Satimo	
Model	SID2450	
Serial Number	SN 46/11 DIP 2G450-189	
Product Condition (new / used)	Used	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

#### 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

### 3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/10





Ref. ACR.318.9.13 SATU A

#### 4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

### 4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

#### 5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

#### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

#### 5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Leng		
3 - 300	0.05 mm		

#### 5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Exp and ed Uncertainty	
1 g	20.3 %	
10 g	20.1 %	

Page: 5/10






Ref: ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

### 6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

## 6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



### 6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lmm		h mm		<b>d</b> mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7±1%.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5±1%.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	PASS	30.4±1%.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4±1%.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10





Ref: ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

#### 7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

#### 7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Software	OPENSAR V4		
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71		
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122		
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 38.6 sigma : 1.82		
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm		
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm		
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm		
Frequency	2450 MHz		
Input power	20 dBm		
Liquid Temperature	21 °C		
Lab Temperature	21 °C		
Lab Humidity	45%		

#### Frequency Conductivity (o) S/m Relative permittivity (&,') MHz required measured required m ea sure d 300 45.3±5% 0.87±5% 43.5 ±5 % 0.87±5% 450 750 41.9 ±5 % 0.89 ±5 % 835 41.5 ±5 % 0.90 ±5 % 41.5 ±5 % 0.97±5% 90.0 1450 40.5 ±5 % 1.20 ±5 % 1500 40.4±5% 1.23 ±5 % 1640 40.2±5% 1.31 ±5 % 1750 40.1 ±5 % 1.37 ±5 % 1800 40.0 ±5 % 1.40 ±5 % 40.0 ±5 % 1.40 ±5 % 1900 1950 40.0±5% 1.40 ±5 % 40.0±5% 2000 1.40 ±5 % 2100 39.8±5% 1.49 ±5 % 2300 39.5±5% 1.67±5% 2450 39.2±5% PASS 1.80 ±5 % PASS 2600 39.0±5% 1.96 ±5 % 3000 38.5±5% 2.40 ±5 % 3500 37.9 ±5 % 2.91 ±5 %

Page: 7/10




SATIMO

Ref. ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

### 7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	m ea sure d
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	54.40 (5.44)	24	23.75 (2.38
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	



Page: 8/10






Ref: ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

#### 7.4 BODY MEASUREMENT RESULT

Software	OPENSAR V4	
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71	
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122	
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 52.0 sigma : 1.94	
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm	
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm	
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz	
Input power	20 dBm	
Liquid Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Humidity	45%	

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	m ea sure d	m ea sure d	
2450	54.19 (5.42)	24.96 (2.50)	



Page: 9/10





Ref: ACR.318.9.13.SATU.A

### 8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet						
E quip ment Description	I dontification No 1		Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date		
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016		
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2010	12/2013		
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	11/2010	11/2013		
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2010	12/2013		
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	11/2010	11/2013		
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	11/2010	11/2013		
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	3/2012	3/2014		

Page: 10/10