

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP10505077JD08A V3.0

Manufacturer Aviat Networks

Model No. EEH-U5-0084-011

FCC ID VK6-ODU600LB

Test Standard(s) : FCC Parts 15.207, 15.209(a) & 15.247

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL VS LTD.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards. 4.

Version 3.0 supersedes all previous versions. 5.

> Date of Issue: 15 January 2016

Checked by: - Welkers

> Sarah Williams Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Company Signatory:

Steven White Service Lead, Radio Laboratory

UL VS LTD



This laboratory is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its' terms of accreditation.

Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Page 2 of 53 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

1. Customer Information	. 4
2. Summary of Testing	. 5 5 5 5
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.2. Description of EUT 3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT 3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing 3.5. Support Equipment	6 6 6 7 8
4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing	9 9 9
5.1. General Comments 5.2. Test Results 5.2.1. Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions 5.2.2. Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth 5.2.3. Transmitter Power Spectral Density 5.2.4. Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power 5.2.5. Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions 5.2.6. Transmitter Radiated Emissions – 2 ft Flat Panel Antenna	10 11 11 15 21 28 35 40 46
6. Measurement Uncertainty	52
7. Report Revision History	53

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 53

VERSION 3.0

1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Aviat Networks
Address:	4 Bell Drive Hamilton International Technology Park Blantyre Glasgow Lanarkshire G72 0FB United Kingdom

Page 4 of 53 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR15.247
Specification Title: Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Section 15.247	
Specification Reference:	47CFR15.207 and 47CFR15.209
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Sections 15.207 and 15.209
Site Registration:	209735
Location of Testing:	UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom
Test Dates:	25 November 2015 to 31 December 2015

2.2. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR) Measurement		Result	
Part 15.207	Transmitter AC Conducted Emissions	②	
Part 15.247(a)(2)	Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth	Ø	
Part 15.247(e)	Transmitter Power Spectral Density	Ø	
Part 15.247(b)(3)	Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power	Ø	
Part 15.247(d)	Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions	Ø	
Part 15.247(d) / 15.209(a)	Transmitter Radiated Emissions	Ø	
Key to Results			

2.3. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	ANSI C63.10 (2013)
Title:	American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing Unlicensed Wireless Devices
Reference:	KDB 558074 D01 v03r03 June 9, 2015
Title:	Guidance for Performing Compliance Measurements on Digital Transmission System (DTS) devices operating Under §15.247

2.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Aviat Networks	
Model Name:	Eclipse ODU 600, 5.8GHz, EEH-U5-0084-011	
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003	
Hardware Version:	N/A	
Software Version:	3.1.0(41.3271)	
FCC ID:	VK6-ODU600LB	

3.2. Description of EUT

The equipment under test (EUT) was a 5.8 GHz Microwave Radio Unit.

3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

Page 6 of 53

3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing

Technology Tested:	Microwave Fixed Link System			
Channel Bandwidths:	5 MHz, 10 MHz, 20 MHz & 30 MHz			
Modulation Schemes:	QPSK, 16QAM, 32QAM, 64QAM, 128QAM, 256QAM, 512QAM & 1024QAM			
Power Supply Requirement(s):	Nominal	-48 VDC		
Maximum Conducted Output Power:	28.9 dBm			
Antenna Gains:	4 ft Parabolic Antenna: (Tested)	35.0 dBi		
	15 ft Parabolic Antenna: (End Product)	45.9 dBi		
	2 ft Flat Panel Antenna: (End Product, Tested)	28.0 dBi		
Transmit Frequency Range:	5725.5 MHz to 5765.5 MHz			
Channel Spacing:	5 MHz			
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID Channel Frequency (MHz)			
	Bottom	5728.0		
	Middle	5745.5		
	Тор	5763.0		
Channel Spacing:	10 MHz			
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Frequency (MHz)		
	Bottom	5730.5		
	Middle	5745.5		
	Тор	5760.5		
Channel Spacing:	20 MHz			
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Frequency (MHz)		
	Bottom	5735.5		
	Middle	5745.5		
	Тор	5755.5		
Channel Spacing:	30 MHz			
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Frequency (MHz)		
	Bottom 5740.5			
	Middle 5745.5			
	Тор	5750.5		

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

3.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	4 ft Parabolic Antenna		
Brand Name:	Andrew Antennas		
Model Name or Number:	HP4-57W-P3A/A		
Serial Number:	10ACZ10602232		
Description:	2 ft Flat Panel Antenna		
Brand Name:	Radio Frequency Systems		
Model Name or Number:	MA0528-28AN		
Serial Number:	02205		
Description:	Indoor Unit		
Brand Name:	Aviat Networks		
Model Name or Number:	CTR 8540		
Serial Number:	EBT1323C715		
Description:	Test Laptop		
Brand Name:	Lenovo		
Model Name or Number:	ThinkPad L440		
Serial Number:	R9019EA4		
Description:	DC Power Supply		
Brand Name:	Hewlett Packard		
Model Name or Number:	6674A		
Serial Number:	ITM00512445		

Page 8 of 53

4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing

4.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

Continuously transmitting at maximum power on the bottom, middle and top channels as required
using the supported channel bandwidths & modulation schemes.

4.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

- For all tests, the EUT was powered through a co-axial cable connected to the supporting indoor unit (CTR 8540). The CTR 8540 was in turn powered by a laboratory DC power supply.
- Controlled using the EUT's GUI portal on a browser running on a test laptop connected to the CTR 8540 via ethernet. The relevant test channels, channel bandwidths and modulation schemes were selected as required for each test.
- All supported modulation schemes and channel bandwidths were initially investigated on middle channel. The worst case modes are detailed in the relevant sections.
- The power supply & CTR 8540 were placed outside the semi-anechoic chamber for radiated tests.
- For transmitter radiated emissions tests, a smaller 4 ft parabolic antenna which was of the same type
 as the 15 ft parabolic antenna that would be used in the field was tested. This was done in
 accordance with FCC OET guidance which states a smaller antenna can be used of the same type
 installed with data being extrapolated up to the specification of the actual antenna.

The antenna gain for the 4 ft antenna used for testing was 35 dBi, the antenna gain for the 15 ft end product is 45.9 dBi, the difference being 10.9 dB.

Within the entire radiated emissions measurement range, a clearance equal to the difference in gains between the two antennas has been achieved between the limit line and any emissions and/or the system noise floor; thus ensuring that any emissions emanating from either the antenna or enclosure pass the emissions limit.

The transmitter radiated emissions test was additionally performed on a 2 foot flat panel antenna which had an antenna gain of 28 dBi.

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 53

5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

5.1. General Comments

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to Section 6. Measurement Uncertainty for details.

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

Page 10 of 53 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

5.2. Test Results

5.2.1. Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Sandeep Bharat	Test Date:	31 December 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.207
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.2


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	40

Note(s):

- 1. The EUT was powered from a power supply which was connected to a 120 VAC 60 Hz single phase supply via a LISN.
- 2. The RF output port on the EUT was terminated with a 50 Ω load during this test.
- 3. Pre-scans were performed and markers placed on the highest live and neutral measured levels. Final measurements were performed on the marker frequencies and the results entered into the tables below.
- 4. A pulse limiter was fitted between the LISN and the test receiver.

Test setup:



UL VS LTD Page 11 of 53

Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions (continued)

Results: Live / Quasi Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBμV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
8.912	Live	25.3	60.0	34.7	Complied
8.988	Live	26.4	60.0	33.6	Complied
11.598	Live	24.4	60.0	35.6	Complied
16.355	Live	24.1	60.0	35.9	Complied
16.454	Live	23.5	60.0	36.5	Complied
28.761	Live	25.1	60.0	34.9	Complied

Results: Live / Average

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
8.957	Live	20.1	50.0	29.9	Complied
10.001	Live	31.1	50.0	18.9	Complied
11.661	Live	20.9	50.0	29.1	Complied
16.359	Live	16.3	50.0	33.7	Complied
20.013	Live	22.5	50.0	27.5	Complied
29.265	Live	20.8	50.0	29.2	Complied

Page 12 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions (continued)

Results: Neutral / Quasi Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
8.916	Neutral	27.0	60.0	33.0	Complied
9.596	Neutral	22.2	60.0	37.8	Complied
11.751	Neutral	29.3	60.0	30.7	Complied
15.923	Neutral	23.7	60.0	36.3	Complied
16.359	Neutral	24.3	60.0	35.7	Complied
29.130	Neutral	24.2	60.0	35.8	Complied


Results: Neutral / Average

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBμV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
8.957	Neutral	20.7	50.0	29.3	Complied
10.001	Neutral	31.6	50.0	18.4	Complied
11.774	Neutral	24.5	50.0	25.5	Complied
16.310	Neutral	16.0	50.0	34.0	Complied
20.013	Neutral	21.8	50.0	28.2	Complied
29.081	Neutral	18.6	50.0	31.4	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 13 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions (continued)



Note: These plots are pre-scans and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying tables.

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1625	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.06	None stated	07 Jan 2016	12
A067	LISN	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z5	890603/002	27 Aug 2016	12
A1830	Pulse Limiter	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z2	100668	02 Mar 2016	12
M1263	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB7	100265	16 Oct 2016	12

Page 14 of 53 UL VS LTD

5.2.2. Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	Kiren Mistry & Sandeep Bharat	Test Date:	26 November 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(2)
Test Method Used:	KDB 558074 Section 8.2 Option 2


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	44

Note(s):

- 1. All modulation schemes supported by the EUT were investigated on the middle channel of each supported channel bandwidth in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 8.2 Option 2 measurement procedure. The modes that produced the narrowest bandwidth and therefore deemed worst case were:
 - o 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth 128QAM
 - o 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth 64QAM
 - 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth 256QAM
 - o 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth 256QAM
- 2. Final measurements were performed using the above modulation schemes on the bottom, middle and top channels.
- 3. The spectrum analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and the trace mode was Max Hold. The spectrum analyser's 'n dB down' function was used to obtain the 6 dB bandwidth relative to the peak level. These results are documented in the tables below.
- The spectrum analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.

Test setup:





UL VS LTD Page 15 of 53

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth / 128QAM

Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	3967.936	≥500	3467.936	Complied
Middle	4088.176	≥500	3588.176	Complied
Тор	4128.257	≥500	3628.257	Complied





Bottom Channel

1 [T1 ndB] 6.00 dB Ref Lvl 46.3 dBm VΒW 300 kHz 4.12825651 MHz Unit 36.3 dB Offset 23.90 dBm .00 dB BW ▼T1 [T1] 51 MH 17.84 dBr 76092585 GH: IVIEW Center 5.763 GHz Span 10 MHz 10505077 26.NOV.2015 21:01:30 ltle:

Top Channel


Page 16 of 53 UL VS LTD


ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth / 64QAM

Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	8336.673	≥500	7836.673	Complied
Middle	8216.433	≥500	7716.433	Complied
Тор	8256.513	≥500	7756.513	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel

Top Channel

10505077 26.NOV.2015 21:03:21


1tle:


UL VS LTD Page 17 of 53

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth / 256QAM


Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	16352.705	≥500	15852.705	Complied
Middle	16352.705	≥500	15852.705	Complied
Тор	17234.469	≥500	16734.469	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel




Top Channel


Page 18 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / 1024QAM


Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	25010.020	≥500	24510.020	Complied
Middle	25010.020	≥500	24510.020	Complied
Тор	26212.425	≥500	25712.425	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

UL VS LTD Page 19 of 53

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1785	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.13	Not stated	23 Apr 2016	12
M127	Spectrum Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSEB30	842659/016	11 Aug 2016	12
A2139	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18-10	090918-04#1	Calibrated before use	-
A2528	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18W5-20	832828#3	Calibrated before use	-
M260	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMP02	829076/008	27 Apr 2016	12
S0551	DC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	6674A	ITM00512445	Calibrated before use	-
M1251	Digital Multimeter	Fluke	175	89170179	26 May 2016	12

Page 20 of 53 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

5.2.3. Transmitter Power Spectral Density

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	Kiren Mistry & Sandeep Bharat	Test Date:	30 November 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(e)	
Test Method Used:	KDB 558074 Section 10.3	

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	40


Note(s):

- 1. All modulation schemes supported by the EUT were investigated on the middle channel of each supported channel bandwidth in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 10.3 Method AVGPSD-1. The modes that produced the highest power and therefore deemed worst case were:
 - o 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
 - 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
 - o 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
 - 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
- 2. Final measurements were performed using the above modulation schemes on the bottom, middle and top channels.
- 3. The EUT was transmitting at 100% duty cycle.
- 4. For 5 MHz channel bandwidth: The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 3 kHz and video bandwidth 10 kHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set to auto couple. Trace averaging was employed over 100 sweeps. The span was set to greater than 1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The highest peak of the measured signal was recorded.
- 5. For 10 MHz & 20 MHz channel bandwidths: The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 10 kHz and video bandwidth 30 kHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set to auto couple. Trace averaging was employed over 100 sweeps. The span was set to greater than 1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The highest peak of the measured signal was recorded.
- 6. For 30 MHz channel bandwidth: The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 50 kHz and video bandwidth 200 kHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set to auto couple. Trace averaging was employed over 100 sweeps. The span was set to greater than 1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The highest peak of the measured signal was recorded.
- 7. The test receiver was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF level offset was entered on the test receiver to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.

UL VS LTD Page 21 of 53

Transmitter Power Spectral Density (continued)

Test setup:





Page 22 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Power Spectral Density (continued)


Results: 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Channel	PSD (dBm / 3 kHz)	Limit (dBm / 3 kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	1.0	8.0	7.0	Complied
Middle	1.4	8.0	6.6	Complied
Тор	1.5	8.0	6.5	Complied





Bottom Channel



Top Channel


UL VS LTD Page 23 of 53


ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Power Spectral Density (continued)

Results: 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Channel	PSD (dBm / 3 kHz)	Limit (dBm / 3 kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	0.4	8.0	7.6	Complied
Middle	2.4	8.0	5.6	Complied
Тор	2.6	8.0	5.4	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel


Top Channel


Page 24 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Power Spectral Density (continued)

Results: 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Channel	PSD (dBm / 3 kHz)	Limit (dBm / 3 kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	-0.9	8.0	8.9	Complied
Middle	-0.4	8.0	8.4	Complied
Тор	-0.3	8.0	8.3	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel

Top Channel


UL VS LTD Page 25 of 53


ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Power Spectral Density (continued)

Results: 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Channel	PSD (dBm / 3 kHz)	Limit (dBm / 3 kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	3.8	8.0	4.2	Complied
Middle	3.5	8.0	4.5	Complied
Тор	3.9	8.0	4.1	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel

Top Channel

Page 26 of 53 UL VS LTD

<u>Transmitter Power Spectral Density (continued)</u>

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1785	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.13	Not stated	23 Apr 2016	12
M1630	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU 40	100233	20 Feb 2016	12
A2139	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18-10	090918-04#1	Calibrated before use	-
A2528	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18W5-20	832828#3	Calibrated before use	-
M260	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMP02	829076/008	27 Apr 2016	12
S0551	DC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	6674A	ITM00512445	Calibrated before use	-
M1251	Digital Multimeter	Fluke	175	89170179	26 May 2016	12

UL VS LTD Page 27 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

5.2.4. Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	Kiren Mistry & Sandeep Bharat		25 November 2015 & 26 November 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(b)(3)
Test Method Used:	KDB 558074 Section 9.2.2.2

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24 to 25
Relative Humidity (%):	36 to 44


Note(s):

- 1. All modulation schemes supported by the EUT were investigated on the middle channel of each supported channel bandwidth in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 9.2.2.2 Method AVGSA-1. The modes that produced the highest conducted output power and therefore deemed worst case were:
 - 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
 - 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
 - 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
 - 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK
- 2. Final measurements were performed using the above modulation schemes on the bottom, middle and top channels.
- 3. The EUT was transmitting at 100% duty cycle.
- 4. For 5 MHz & 10 MHz channel bandwidths: The spectrum analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set to auto couple. Trace averaging was employed over 100 sweeps. The span was set to greater than 1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The power was integrated across the occupied bandwidth of the signal using the channel power function of the spectrum analyser.
- 5. For 20 MHz & 30 MHz channel bandwidths: The spectrum analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 300 kHz and video bandwidth 1 MHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set to auto couple. Trace averaging was employed over 100 sweeps. The span was set to greater than 1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The power was integrated across the occupied bandwidth of the signal using the channel power function of the spectrum analyser.
- 6. The spectrum analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF level offset was entered on the spectrum analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.

Page 28 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power (continued)

Test setup:





UL VS LTD Page 29 of 53

Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power (continued)

Results: 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Channel	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Conducted Output Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	26.9	30.0	3.1	Complied
Middle	28.2	30.0	1.8	Complied
Тор	28.6	30.0	1.4	Complied





Bottom Channel

100 kHz 300 kHz VBW

Ref Lvl 40 dBm 13.98 dBm 5.76232866 GHz Unit 36.2 dB Offse .98 dBm 866 GHz .64 dB 000 MH CH BW .309000 Center 5.763 GHz Span 10 MHz 10505077 26.NOV.2015 17:21:50 itle:


Top Channel


Page 30 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power (continued)

Results: 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK


Channel	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Conducted Output Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result	
Bottom	26.4	30.0	3.6	Complied	
Middle	27.8	30.0	2.2	Complied	
Тор	28.5	30.0	1.5	Complied	





Bottom Channel

hannel Middle Channel




Top Channel


UL VS LTD Page 31 of 53

Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power (continued)

Results: 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK


Channel	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Conducted Output Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	27.7	30.0	2.3	Complied
Middle	28.2	30.0	1.8	Complied
Тор	28.6	30.0	1.4	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel




Top Channel


Page 32 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power (continued)

Results: 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Channel	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Conducted Output Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result	
Bottom	28.1	30.0	1.9	Complied	
Middle	28.0	30.0	2.0	Complied	
Тор	28.9	30.0	1.1	Complied	





Bottom Channel

Middle Offathie

Top Channel

UL VS LTD Page 33 of 53

<u>Transmitter Maximum Average Output Power (continued)</u> <u>Test Equipment Used:</u>

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1785	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.13	Not stated	23 Apr 2016	12
M127	Spectrum Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSEB30	842659/016	11 Aug 2016	12
A2139	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18-10	090918-04#1	Calibrated before use	-
A2528	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18W5-20	832828#3	Calibrated before use	-
M260	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMP02	829076/008	27 Apr 2016	12
S0551	DC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	6674A	ITM00512445	Calibrated before use	-
M1251	Digital Multimeter	Fluke	175	89170179	26 May 2016	12

Page 34 of 53 UL VS LTD

5.2.5. Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	Kiren Mistry & Sandeep Bharat	Test Date:	03 December 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(d)
Test Method Used:	KDB 558074 Section 11 & ANSI C63.10 Section 6.10.4


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	40

Note(s):

- 1. Conducted measurements at band edges were used in conjunction with radiated limits. The EUT was set to transmit on the bottom channel when performing measurements at the lower band edge on modes that produced the highest power & widest bandwidth.
- 2. The modes that produced the highest power and widest bandwidth were:
 - 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth QPSK & 32QAM
 - 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth QPSK
 - o 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth QPSK
 - o 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth QPSK & 16QAM
- 3. A non-restricted band is adjacent to the lower band edge so the -30 dBc limit applies as the maximum average output power was used to demonstrate compliance, in accordance with FCC KDB 558078 Section 11.1 (b) and §15.247(d). The band edge emissions were measured using a 100 kHz bandwidth and peak detector. The -30 dBc limit was relative from the peak of the bottom channel carrier.
- 4. The test receiver was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF level offset was entered on the test receiver to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.
- 5. Upper band edge measurement results can be found in a separate report UL-RPT-RP10505077JD08B.

Test setup:




UL VS LTD Page 35 of 53


ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions (continued)

Results: 5 MHz Channel Bandwidth

Modulation Scheme	Peak Band Edge Level (dBm)	-30 dBc Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
QPSK	-27.0	-7.1	19.9	Complied
32QAM	-24.4	-6.5	17.9	Complied





QPSK 32QAM


Page 36 of 53 UL VS LTD

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions (continued)

Results: 10 MHz Channel Bandwidth

Modulation	Peak Band Edge	-30 dBc Limit	Margin	Result
Scheme	Level (dBm)	(dBm)	(dB)	
QPSK	-28.9	-10.3	18.6	Complied



QPSK


UL VS LTD Page 37 of 53

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions (continued)

Results: 20 MHz Channel Bandwidth

	Modulation Scheme	Peak Band Edge Level (dBm)	-30 dBc Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Ī	QPSK	-27.9	-12.6	15.3	Complied



QPSK


Page 38 of 53 UL VS LTD


VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Band Edge Conducted Emissions (continued)

Results: 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth

Modulation Scheme	Peak Band Edge Level (dBm)	-30 dBc Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
QPSK	-14.2	-13.2	1.0	Complied
16QAM	-15.9	-14.0	1.9	Complied





QPSK 16QAM

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1785	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.13	Not stated	23 Apr 2016	12
M1630	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU 40	100233	20 Feb 2016	12
A2139	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18-10	090918-04#1	Calibrated before use	-
A2528	Attenuator	AtlanTec RF	AN18W5-20	832828#3	Calibrated before use	-
M260	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMP02	829076/008	27 Apr 2016	12
S0551	DC Power Supply	Hewlett Packard	6674A	ITM00512445	Calibrated before use	-
M1251	Digital Multimeter	Fluke	175	89170179	26 May 2016	12

UL VS LTD Page 39 of 53 N 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

5.2.6. Transmitter Radiated Emissions – 2 ft Flat Panel Antenna

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Sandeep Bharat	Test Date:	07 December 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference: Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)	
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.5
Frequency Range	30 MHz to 1000 MHz


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	45

Note(s):

- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. Preliminary investigations showed similar emission levels below 1 GHz for each channel of operation. Therefore pre-scans were performed with the EUT set to the middle channel only.
- 3. The EUT was set to transmit QPSK signals on 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth as this mode was seen to produce the highest output power and therefore deemed worst case for this test.
- 4. All emissions shown on the pre-scan plots were investigated and found to be ambient, or >20 dB below the applicable limit or below the measurement system noise floor. Therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded in the table below.
- 5. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.

Test setup for radiated measurements:




Page 40 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Middle Channel / 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Frequency	Antenna	Peak Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
972.224	Vertical	34.2	54.0	19.8	Complied



Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	19 Mar 2016	12
M1945	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.01	0112	23 Apr 2016	12
M1273	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB26	100275	19 Mar 2016	12
A1834	Attenuator	Hewlett Packard	8491B	10444	05 Mar 2016	12
G0543	Pre-Amplifier	Sonoma	310N	230801	10 Feb 2016	3
A490	Bilog Antenna	Chase	CBL6111A	1590	30 Apr 2016	12

UL VS LTD Page 41 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Sandeep Bharat	Test Dates:	07 December 2015 to 11 December 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used: KDB 558074 Sections 11 & 12 referencing ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.6	
Frequency Range	1 GHz to 40 GHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24 to 26
Relative Humidity (%):	42 to 48

Note(s):


- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. The EUT was set to transmit QPSK signals on 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth as this mode was seen to produce the highest output power and therefore deemed worst case for this test.
- 3. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver therefore the highest peak and average noise floor readings of the measuring receiver were recorded as shown in the table below.
- 4. The emission shown at 5745.5 MHz on the 3 GHz to 6 GHz plot is the EUT fundamental.
- 5. All pre-scans and any final measurements were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 m above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Radiation Absorbent Material (RAM) was placed on the floor between the EUT and the measurement antenna. Maximum emission levels were determined on any detected emissions by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.


Page 42 of 53 UL VS LTD


Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)


Results: Middle Channel / 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Frequency (MHz)	Detector	Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
7891.784	Peak	61.7	74.0	12.3	Complied
7699.399	Average	50.7	54.0	3.3	Complied












UL VS LTD Page 43 of 53

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)







Page 44 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	19 Mar 2016	12
M1945	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.01	0112	23 Apr 2016	12
M1273	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB26	100275	19 Mar 2016	12
M1630	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100233	20 Feb 2016	12
A1227	Pre-Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A01566	10 Feb 2016	3
A1834	Attenuator	Hewlett Packard	8491B	10444	05 Mar 2016	12
A2176	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH07000	800980	17 Apr 2016	12
A2474	Band Reject Filter	Wainwright Instruments	WRCJV8	1	Calibrated before use	-
A2699	Antenna	EMCO	3115	6738	27 Apr 2016	12
A255	Antenna	Flann Microwave	16240-20	519	20 Dec 2015	12
A256	Antenna	Flann Microwave	18240-20	400	20 Dec 2015	12
A436	Antenna	Flann Microwave	20240-20	330	21 Dec 2015	12
A203	Antenna	Flann Microwave	22240-20	343	19 May 2016	36
A1785	Pre-Amplifier	Farran Technology	FLNA-28-30	FTL6483	09 Jan 2016	12

UL VS LTD Page 45 of 53

VERSION 3.0 ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

5.2.7. Transmitter Radiated Emissions – 4 ft Parabolic Antenna

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Sandeep Bharat	Test Date:	29 December 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)		
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.5		
Frequency Range	30 MHz to 1000 MHz		

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	36

Note(s):


- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. Preliminary investigations showed similar emission levels below 1 GHz for each channel of operation. Therefore pre-scans were performed with the EUT set to the middle channel only.
- 3. The EUT was set to transmit QPSK signals on 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth as this mode was seen to produce the highest output power and therefore deemed worst case for this test.
- 4. All emissions shown on the pre-scan plots were investigated and found to be ambient, or >20 dB below the applicable limit or below the measurement system noise floor. Therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded in the table below.
- 5. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.

Page 46 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Middle Channel / 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Frequency	Antenna	Peak Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
979.940	Vertical	33.0	54.0	21.0	Complied



Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	19 Mar 2016	12
M1945	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.01	0112	23 Apr 2016	12
M1273	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB26	100275	19 Mar 2016	12
A1834	Attenuator	Hewlett Packard	8491B	10444	05 Mar 2016	12
G0543	Pre-Amplifier	Sonoma	310N	230801	10 Feb 2016	3
A490	Bilog Antenna	Chase	CBL6111A	1590	30 Apr 2016	12

UL VS LTD Page 47 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Sandeep Bharat	Test Dates:	29 December 2015 & 30 December 2015
Test Sample Serial Number:	ZLS13040003		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	KDB 558074 Sections 11 & 12 referencing ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.6
Frequency Range	1 GHz to 40 GHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24 to 25
Relative Humidity (%):	36 to 44

Note(s):


- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. The EUT was set to transmit QPSK signals on 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth as this mode was seen to produce the highest output power and therefore deemed worst case for this test.
- 3. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver therefore the highest peak and average noise floor readings of the measuring receiver were recorded as shown in the table below.
- 4. The emission shown at 5745.5 MHz on the 3 GHz to 6 GHz plot is the EUT fundamental.
- 5. All pre-scans and any final measurements were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 m above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Radiation Absorbent Material (RAM) was placed on the floor between the EUT and the measurement antenna. Maximum emission levels were determined on any detected emissions by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.


Page 48 of 53 UL VS LTD


Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)


Results: Middle Channel / 30 MHz Channel Bandwidth / QPSK

Frequency (MHz)	Detector	Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
15895.792	Peak	55.5	74.0	18.5	Complied
7831.663	Average	43.1	54.0	10.9	Complied











UL VS LTD Page 49 of 53

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)







Page 50 of 53 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	19 Mar 2016	12
M1945	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.01	0112	23 Apr 2016	12
M1273	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB26	100275	19 Mar 2016	12
M1630	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100233	20 Feb 2016	12
A1227	Pre-Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A01566	10 Feb 2016	3
A1834	Attenuator	Hewlett Packard	8491B	10444	05 Mar 2016	12
A2176	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH07000	800980	17 Apr 2016	12
A2474	Band Reject Filter	Wainwright Instruments	WRCJV8	1	Calibrated before use	-
A2699	Antenna	EMCO	3115	6738	27 Apr 2016	12
A255	Antenna	Flann Microwave	16240-20	519	20 Dec 2015	12
A256	Antenna	Flann Microwave	18240-20	400	20 Dec 2015	12
A436	Antenna	Flann Microwave	20240-20	330	21 Dec 2015	12
A203	Antenna	Flann Microwave	22240-20	343	19 May 2016	36
A1785	Pre-Amplifier	Farran Technology	FLNA-28-30	FTL6483	09 Jan 2016	12

UL VS LTD Page 51 of 53

6. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
AC Conducted Spurious Emissions	0.15 MHz to 30 MHz	95%	±4.69 dB
Conducted Maximum Average Output Power	5725 MHz to 5850 MHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Spectral Power Density	5725 MHz to 5850 MHz	95%	±1.13 dB
6 dB Bandwidth	5725 MHz to 5850 MHz	95%	±3.92 %
Conducted Spurious Emissions	5725 MHz to 5850 MHz	95%	±2.62 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1 GHz	95%	±5.65 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	1 GHz to 40 GHz	95%	±2.94 dB

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

Page 52 of 53 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 15 JANUARY 2016

7. Report Revision History

Version	Revision Details		
Number	Page No(s)	Clause	Details
1.0	-	-	Initial Version
2.0	-	-	FCC ID updated
3.0	-	-	Section 4.2 updated

--- END OF REPORT ---

UL VS LTD Page 53 of 53