



- 1.) The equipment code and description on Form 731 (page 2) calls this an EWOO. No-one in North America will know what that means. Would it not be more appropriate to call it something like "...iPod A/V Remote Control"?

I have revised 731 Form about the description.

- 2.) The internal photos are a bit too course and out of focus to be useful. May we have better internal photos please?

I have uploaded the revised internal photos to website.

- 3.) The block diagram should contain a better description of the NRF24L01 2.4GHz transceiver. This critical part is the one most interesting to any reader. May I suggest finding a commercial chipset data sheet and uploading to the Operational Description of this device?

I have uploaded the revised block diagram to website.

- 4.) The existing Operational Description does nothing to describe how the device creates, modulates and amplifies radio frequency energy. A chipset data sheet would answer a lot of questions. Kindly provide either a better Operational Description or chipset data sheet.

I have uploaded chipset data sheet to website.

- 5.) Does this device transmit digital or analog intelligence within the 2400-2483.5 MHz band?

Digital.

- 6.) I have a question about the Test Setup. It appears from the Manual that a fully configured system would consist of an EWOO, an iPod, a cradle to hold both products (as seen in the Manual) and possibly a computer with USB. If I am incorrect, my apologies. Please explain why only the EWOO by itself was tested. Please also confirm if it was tested in three orthogonal planes.

Only the remote control is transmitter.

- 7.) The test report provides data on 2402 MHz. But the Form 731 appears to indicate this device is switched between 2410 and 2463 MHz. I am confused. What channels/frequencies will this device operate?

Sorry for having a type error on it. Actually the device is 2402MHz. I have revised test report and 731 Form.

- 8.) The Harmonic and Spurious Emissions datasheet (page 10 of Test Report) shows no data. This is unusual. ANSI C63.4 requests data for the six highest emissions even when all emissions are 20dB or more below the limit. I suggest than in cases when no spurious emissions are found, you simply provide noise floor measurements of the system at the harmonics. Remember, it is always better to provide a number – any number - than no number at all.

I have added test data to page 10 of test report. The revised test report has been uploaded to website.