FCC SAR REPORT

Applicant:	PAX Technology Limited
Address of Applicant:	Room 2416, 24/F., Sun Hung Kai Centre, 30 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
Equipment Under Test (EUT)	
Product Name:	Countertop Payment Terminal
Model No.:	A80
Trade mark	PAX
FCC ID:	V5PA80SMBW
Applicable standards:	FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1093
Date of Test:	01 Nov., 2021~01 Nov., 2021
Test Result:	Maximum Reported10-g SAR (W/kg) Extremity: 0.193

Authorized Signature:

Bruce Zhang
Laboratory Manager

2 Version

Version No.	Date	Description
00	*23 Dec., 2021*	*Original*

Tested by: Vieta Zhang ***Date:*** *23 Dec., 2021*

Test Engineer

Reviewed by: Wiby Zhang ***Date:*** *23 Dec., 2021*

Project Engineer

3 Contents

4 SAR Results Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as bellows:
<Highest Reported standalone SAR Summary>

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Reported 10-g SAR(W/kg)	Equipment Class	Highest Reported 10-g SAR (W/kg)
Extremity 10-g SAR (0 mm Gap)	WLAN 2.4GHz	0.193	DTS	0.193

Note:

1. This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (4.0 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

5 General Information

5.1 Client Information

Applicant:	PAX Technology Limited
Address of Applicant:	Room 2416, 24/F., Sun Hung Kai Centre, 30 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
Manufacturer:	PAX Computer Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
Address of Manufacturer:	4/F, No.3 Building, Software Park, Second Central Science-Tech Road, High-Tech industrial Park, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R.C.

5.2 General Description of EUT

<table>
<tr><td>Product Name:</td><td colspan="4">Countertop Payment Terminal</td></tr>
<tr><td>Model No.:</td><td colspan="4">A80</td></tr>
<tr><td>Category of device</td><td colspan="4">Portable device</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="6">Operation Frequency:</td><td rowspan="3">Wi-Fi:</td><td>2412MHz~2462MHz</td><td colspan="2">5150MHz-5250MHz</td></tr>
<tr><td>5250MHz-5350MHz</td><td colspan="2">5470MHz-5725MHz</td></tr>
<tr><td>5725MHz-5825MHz</td><td colspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="4">Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz</td></tr>
<tr><td>Wi-Fi:</td><td>☒802.11b(DSSS)</td><td colspan="2">☒802.11a/g/n/ac (OFDM)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Bluetooth:</td><td>☒BDR(GFSK)</td><td>☒ EDR(π/4-DQPSK, 8DPSK)</td><td>☒LE(GFSK)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Antenna Type:</td><td colspan="4">Internal Antenna</td></tr>
<tr><td>Antenna Gain:</td><td colspan="4">2.4G Wi-Fi: 1.50 dBi; 5G Wi-Fi: 2.0 dBi
Bluetooth: 1.50dBi;</td></tr>
<tr><td>Dimensions (L*W*H):</td><td colspan="4">180mm (L)× 84mm (W)× 80mm (H)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Accessories information:</td><td colspan="4">Adapter 1:
Model No.: G024A090100ZZUD
Input: AC100-240V, 50/60Hz 0.8A Max
Output: DC 9.0V, 1.0A
Adapter 2:
Model No.: ADS-18SG-09-2 09009G
Input: AC100-240V, 50/60Hz 0.6A Max
Output: DC 9.0V, 1.0A
Adapter 3:
Model No.: SW-0396A
Input: AC100-240V, 50/60Hz 0.5A Max
Output: DC 9.0V, 1.0A</td></tr>
<tr><td>Remark:</td><td colspan="4">There are two kinds of EUT, one has a modem module, the other without. Choose to test the EUT without modem.</td></tr>
</table>

5.3 Maximum RF Output Power

WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)				
Mode/Band	b	g	n (HT-20)	n (HT-40)
WLAN 2.4GHz	14.93	12.51	12.75	11.64

WLAN 5.2 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.2GHz	9.75	8.34	7.13	6.01	9.76	9.04

WLAN 5.2 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.3GHz	10.33	8.90	7.70	6.74	10.10	9.60

WLAN 5.2 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.6GHz	9.80	8.80	7.33	6.55	9.79	8.76

WLAN 5.8 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.8GHz	7.42	6.18	4.90	4.48	7.46	6.24

Bluetooth Average Power (dBm)							
Mode/Band	1 Mbps (GFSK)	2 Mbps (π/4DQPSK)	3 Mbps (8DPSK)	BLE 1M	BLE 2M	BLE S=2	BLE S=8
Bluetooth	4.61	6.48	7.02	5.26	6.17	5.75	7.29

5.4 Environment of Test Site

Temperature:	18°C ~25°C
Humidity:	35%~75% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1010 mbar

5.5 Test Sample Plan

Sample Number	Used for Test Items
3#	SAR
***Remark**: JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. is only responsible for the test project data of the above samples, and will keep the above samples for a month.*	

5.6 Test Location

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd.
No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community,Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong,People's Republic of China.
Tel: +86-755-23118282, Fax: +86-755-23116366
Email: info-JYTee@lets.com, Website: http://www.ccis-cb.com

6 Introduction

6.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SARdistribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numericalmodeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and generalpopulation/uncontrolled, based on a person’s awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. Ingeneral, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

6.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) anincremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is asbelow:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv}\right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt is the exposure duration, or related to theelectrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

7 RF Exposure Limits

7.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individualswho have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limitsare applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who areexposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential forexposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would comeunder this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wirelesstransmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

7.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurredby persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). Ingeneral, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposedas a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposureand can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when theexposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levelsmay be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware ofthe potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or bysome other appropriate means.

7.3 RF Exposure Limits

SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT *General Population* (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT *Occupational* (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

Note:

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube)and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of acube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

8 SAR Measurement System



Fig.8.1 MVGCOMOSAR System Configurations

Thesemeasurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system COMOSAR from MVG. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.
The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by MVG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than ±10%.The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528.

The MVGCOMOSAR system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of thefollowing items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

8.1 E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by MVG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

➢ **E-Field Probe Specification**

Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Model	SSE2
Frequency Range	150 MHz to 6 GHz
Dynamic Range	0.01W/kg to 100W/kg
Probe linearity	<0.25dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip diameter: 2.5 mm Distance between dipoles / probe extremity: 1 mm

Fig. 8.2 Photo of E-Field Probe

➢ **E-Field Probe Calibration**

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with EN/IEC 62209-1/-2 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, MVG proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the technique using reference waveguide.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\sigma} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{(2\pi/\sigma)}$$

Where :

Pfw = Forward Power

Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide Dimensions

ı = Skin Depth

Keithley configuration

Rate=Medium; Filter=ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE=MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

After each calibration, a SAR measurement performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The Calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

CF(N)=SAR(N)/Vlin(N) (N=1,2,3)

The linearized output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N)) N=1,2,3

Where the DCP is the dipole compression point in mV

8.2 Robot

The COMOSAR system uses the high precision robots from KUKA. For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (KUKA-KRC2sr) from KUKA is used. The KUKA robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; nobelt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic constructionshields)

Fig. 8.4 Photo of Robot

8.3 Phantom

<SAM Phantom>

Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm; Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm
Filling Volume Dimensions	Approx. 27 liters Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm; Height: 200mm
Material	Fiberglass based
Relative permittivity	3-4
Loss tangent	0.02
Measurement Areas	Left Head, Right Head, Flat phantom

Fig. 8.7Photo of SAMPhantom

The phantom developed by MVG is produced in accordance with the specified in the standards. It has beendesigned to fit the COMOSAR phantom tables and is delivered with a plastic cover to prevent liquid evaporation.

8.4 Device Holder

The positioning system is made of an extremely stable material, which ensures easy handling andreproducible positioning. It also allows correct positioning of the dipoles referenced by the IEEE, ANSIand IEC.

<Device Holder for SAM Phantom>

Model	Handset Positioning System
Material properties	The positioning system is made ofPETP. This material offers a low permittivity of 3.2 and lowloss, with a loss tangent of 0.005 to minimize the influenceof the DUT on measurement results.
Mechanical properties	The positioning system developedby MVG allows a positioning resolution better than1 mm. The system is fixed on a bottom rail “x axis” sothat the positioning system can be quickly moved from theright to the left part of the phantom. In addition, it can be moved on a perpendicular “y axis”and the height can be adapted. The system is also composedof three rotation points for accurate positioning ofthe device’s acoustical output.
Accuracy and precision	A curved rail on the top partallows the fast switch from the cheek to the tilt position.The required 15° angle for the tilt position can be easilychecked thanks to a printed scale on the curved rail witha tolerance of ± 1°

Fig. 8.9 Photo of Device Holder

8.5 Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Equipment Description	Model	Management Number	Cal. Information	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
MVG	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	SSE2	WXJ076	05.20.2021	05.19.2022
MVG	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	SID2450	WXJ076-12	01.14.2021	01.13.2024
KEITHLEY	DIGIT MULTIMETER	DMM6500	WXJ076-1	12.17.2019	12.16.2022
MVG	MVG Measurement Software	OpenSAR	Version: V5_01_09	N.C.R	N.C.R
MVG	COMOSAR IEEE SAM PHANTOM	N/A	WXG009-2	N.C.R	N.C.R
MVG	COMOSAR IEEE SAM PHANTOM	N/A	WXG009-3	N.C.R	N.C.R
MVG	MOBILE PHONE POSITIONNING SYSTEM	N/A	WXG009-4	N.C.R	N.C.R
KUKA	Robot	KR 6 R900 sixx	WXG009-1	N.C.R	N.C.R
HP	Network Analyzer	8753D	WXJ024	06.18.2020	06.17.2022
KEYSIGHT	EPM Series Power Meter	N1914A	WXJ075	08.29.2021	08.28.2022
KEYSIGHT	E-Series Power Sensor	E9300H	WXJ075-1	08.29.2021	08.28.2022
KEYSIGHT	E-Series Power Sensor	E9300H	WXJ075-2	08.29.2021	08.28.2022
KEYSIGHT	Signal Generator	N5173B	WXJ006-7	03.25.2021	03.24.2022
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-13	See Note 3	
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-14	See Note 3	
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-15	See Note 3	
Weinschel	Attenuator	23-3-34	WXG008-16	See Note 3	
Anritsu	Directional Coupler	MP654A	WXG008-17	See Note 3	
MVG	LIMESAR DIELECTRIC PROBE	SCLMP	WXG009-5	See Note 4	
TXC	Broadband Amplifier	BBA018000	WXG008-11	See Note 5	

Note:

1. The calibration certificate of MVG can be referred to appendix C of this report.
2. Referring to KDB 865664 D01v01r04, the dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justification. Thedipoles are also not physically damaged, or repaired during the interval.
3. The Insertion Loss calibration of Dual Directional Coupler and Attenuator were characterized via the networkanalyzer and compensated during system check.
4. The dielectric probe kit was calibrated via the network analyzer, with the specified procedure (calibrated in purewater) and calibration kit (standard) short circuit, before the dielectric measurement. The specific procedure andcalibration kit are provided by MVG.
5. In system check we need to monitor the level on the spectrum analyzer, and adjust the power amplifier level to haveprecise power level to the dipole; the measured SAR will be normalized to 1W input power according to the ratio of1W to the input power to the dipole. For system check, the calibration of the power amplifier is deemed not criticallyrequired for correct measurement; the spectrumanalyzer is critical and we do have calibration for it
6. Attenuator insertion loss is calibrated by the network Analyzer, which the calibration is valid, before systemcheck.
7. N.C.R means No Calibration Requirement.

9 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 9.1, for body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 9.2.



Fig. 9.1 Photo of Liquid Height for Head SAR (depth>15cm)



Fig. 9.2 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR (depth>15cm)

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within±5% of the values given in the table below recommended by the FCC OET 65supplement C and RSS 102 Issue 5.

Target Frequency	Head	
(MHz)	εr	σ(S/m)
150	52.3	0.76
300	45.3	0.87
450	43.5	0.87
835	41.5	0.90
900	41.5	0.97
915	41.5	0.98
1450	40.5	1.20
1610	40.3	1.29
1800-2000	40.0	1.40
2450	39.2	1.80
3000	38.5	2.40
5800	35.3	5.27

(εr = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m

The dielectric parameters of liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using a MVG Liquid measurement Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.
The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (εr)	Conductivity Target(σ)	Permittivity Target(εr)	Delta (σ)%	Delta (εr)%	Limit (%)	Date (mm/dd/yy)
2450	22.7	1.82	39.94	1.80	39.20	1.11	1.89	±5	11.01.2021

10 SAR System Verification

Each ComoSARsystemis equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the OpenSAR software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

- **Purpose of System Performance check**

  The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

- **System Setup**

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:



Fig.10.1 System Verification Setup Diagram



Fig.10.2 Photo of Dipole setup

-

➢ **System Verification Results**

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the verification data should be within its specification of 10%. The table as below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix C of this report.

Date (mm/dd/yy)	Frequency (MHz)	Power fed onto dipole (mW)	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized to1W 1g SAR (W/kg)	1W Target 1g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
11.01.2021	2450	100	5.413	54.13	52.92	2.29

11 EUT Testing Position

This EUT was tested in one position. It is Left of the EUT with phantom 0 mm gap, as illustrated below, please refer to Appendix B for the test setup photos.

11.1 Extremity Accessory Configurations

- To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm.



Fig.11.1 Illustration for Body Position

12 Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as bellows:

<Conducted power measurement>

- For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyzer, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

<Conducted power measurement>

- Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- Place the EUT in positions as Appendix B demonstrates.
- Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the OpenSAR software.
- Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
- Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power drift measurement

12.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The OpenSAR software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a “cube” measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10 g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine. The system always gives the maximum values for 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.
- Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters).
- Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume.
- Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

12.2 Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

12.3 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r04 quoted below.

<table>
<tr><th colspan="3"></th><th>≤ 3 GHz</th><th>> 3 GHz</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface</td><td>5 ± 1 mm</td><td>½·δ·ln(2) ± 0.5 mm</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location</td><td>30° ± 1°</td><td>20° ± 1°</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3" rowspan="2">Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Area}, Δy_{Area}</td><td>≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm
2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm</td><td>3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm
4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be ≤ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Zoom}, Δy_{Zoom}</td><td>≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm
2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 5 mm*</td><td>3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm*
4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm*</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="3">Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface</td><td colspan="2">uniform grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$</td><td>≤ 5 mm</td><td>3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm
4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm
5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">graded grid</td><td>$\Delta z_{Zoom}(1)$: between 1st two points closest to phantom surface</td><td>≤ 4 mm</td><td>3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm
4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm
5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm</td></tr>
<tr><td>$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$: between subsequent points</td><td colspan="2">≤ 1.5·$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$</td></tr>
<tr><td>Minimum zoom scan volume</td><td colspan="2">x, y, z</td><td>≥ 30 mm</td><td>3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm
4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm
5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.
* When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the area scan based 1-g SAR estimation procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.</td></tr>
</table>

12.4 Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

12.5 SAR Averaged Methods

In COMOSAR system, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

12.6 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In OpenSAR measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

13 Conducted RF Output Power

13.1 WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 b	802.11 g	802.11n (HT20)
CH 01	2412	14.36	11.87	11.87
CH 06	2437	14.53	11.92	11.85
CH 11	2462	**14.93**	12.51	12.75

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n (HT40)
CH 03	2422	11.10
CH 06	2437	10.77
CH 09	2452	11.64

Note:

1. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
2. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, In the 2.4 GHz band, separate SAR procedures are applied to DSSS and OFDM configurations to simplify DSSS test requirements.SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions:
   1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
   2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 3 W/kg.
3. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
4. Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 86.58%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.16.

13.2 WLAN 5.2GHz Band Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 ac20	802.11 n20
CH 36	5180	9.45	8.00	**9.76**
CH 40	5200	**9.75**	8.34	9.65
CH 48	5240	8.75	7.65	8.97

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac40	802.11 n40
CH 38	5190	6.26	9.04
CH 46	5230	7.13	7.70

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac80
CH 42	5210	6.01

Note:

5. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.

13.3 WLAN 5.3GHz Band Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 ac20	802.11 n20
CH 52	5260	**10.33**	8.71	10.10
CH 60	5300	9.83	8.90	10.00
CH 64	5320	9.32	8.27	9.65

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac40	802.11 n40
CH 54	5270	7.65	9.60
CH 62	5310	7.70	9.17

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac80
CH 58	5290	6.74

Note:

6. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.

13.4 WLAN 5.6GHz Band Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 ac20	802.11 n20
CH 100	5500	**9.80**	8.80	9.79
CH 120	5600	8.69	7.83	9.21
CH 140	5700	7.94	6.76	8.33

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac40	802.11 n40
CH 102	5510	7.33	8.76
CH 118	5590	6.79	8.10
CH 134	5670	6.47	7.47

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac80
CH 106	5530	6.55
CH 122	5610	6.14

Note:

7. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.

13.5 WLAN 5.8GHz Band Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 ac20	802.11 n20
CH 149	5745	**7.42**	6.13	7.37
CH 157	5785	7.29	6.18	**7.46**
CH 165	5825	6.42	5.47	6.84

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac40	802.11 n40
CH 151	5755	4.90	6.29
CH 159	5795	4.60	6.04

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 ac80
CH 155	5775	4.48

Note:

8. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.

13.6 Bluetooth Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	GFSK	π/4-DQPSK	8DPSK
CH 00	2402	3.56	5.65	6.16
CH 39	2441	4.48	6.26	6.91
CH 78	2480	4.61	6.48	7.02

Average Power (dBm)					
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	BLE 1M	BLE 2M	BLE S=2	BLE S=8
CH 00	2402	5.23	2.91	5.58	7.02
CH 20	2442	4.68	6.17	4.70	6.49
CH 39	2480	5.26	3.97	5.75	**7.29**

Note:

1. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case of all mode were shown in report.

14 Exposure Positions Consideration

14.1 EUT Antenna Locations





Fig.14.1 EUT Antenna Locations

Note: This antenna diagram is only used as a reference for the distance from the antenna to each edge. For the specific shape of the antenna, please refer to the physical photo.

14.2 Test Positions Consideration

SAR exclusion calculations for antenna < 50mm from the user														
Antennas	Freq. (MHz)	Max. tune-up Power		Distance of Antennas to EUT edge/surface (mm)					Calculated Threshold Value (≦7.5 SAR is not required)				
		dBm	mW	Front	Back	Bott.	Right	Left	Front	Back	Bott.	Right	Left
2.4G WIFI	2462	15.0	31.6	10	27	68	76	5	5.0	1.8	>50mm	>50mm	9.9
5.2G WIFI	5200	10.0	10.0	10	27	68	76	5	2.3	0.8	>50mm	>50mm	4.6
5.3G WIFI	5260	10.5	11.2	10	27	68	76	5	2.6	1.0	>50mm	>50mm	5.1
5.6G WIFI	5600	10.0	10.0	10	27	68	76	5	2.4	0.9	>50mm	>50mm	4.7
5.8G WIFI	5745	7.5	5.6	10	27	68	76	5	1.3	0.5	>50mm	>50mm	2.7
Bluetooth	2480	7.5	5.6	10	27	68	76	5	0.9	0.3	>50mm	>50mm	1.8

SAR exclusion calculations for antenna > 50mm from the user													
Antennas	Freq. (MHz)	Max. tune-up Power		Distance of Antennas to EUT edge/surface (mm)					Calculated Threshold Value (SAR test exclusion power, mW)				
		dBm	mW	Front	Back	Bott.	Right	Left	Front	Back	Bott.	Right	Left
2.4G WIFI	2462	15.0	31.6	10	27	68	76	5	/	/	275.5	355.5	/
5.2G WIFI	5200	10.0	10.0	10	27	68	76	5	/	/	245.8	325.8	/
5.3G WIFI	5260	10.5	11.2	10	27	68	76	5	/	/	245.5	325.5	/
5.6G WIFI	5600	10.0	10.0	10	27	68	76	5	/	/	243.3	323.3	/
5.8G WIFI	5745	7.5	5.6	10	27	68	76	5	/	/	242.5	322.5	/
Bluetooth	2480	7.5	5.6	10	27	68	76	5	/	/	275.5	355.5	/

Test Positions					
Antennas	Front	Back	Bottom Side	Right Side	Left Side
2.4G WIFI	No	No	No	No	Yes
5.2G WIFI	No	No	No	No	No
5.3G WIFI	No	No	No	No	No
5.6G WIFI	No	No	No	No	No
5.8G WIFI	No	No	No	No	No
Bluetooth	No	No	No	No	No

Note:

1. Extremity (hands) SAR mode SAR assessments is required.
2. For top side won’t be touched when POS terminals machine is working, SAR evaluation for top side is generally not necessary.
3. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for handsets the test separation distance is determined by the smallest distance between the outer surface of the device and the user, which is 0 mm for extremity SAR.

15 SAR Test Results Summary

15.1 Extremity SAR Data

➢ WLAN 2.4GHz Extremity SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Variation (%)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR_{10g} (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR_{10g} (W/kg)
1	2.4GHz/802.11b	Left	11	2462	14.93	1.07	15.0	**0.164**	1.016	1.16	0.193
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					**4.0 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 10g**						

Note:

1. Extremity SAR testing was performed at 0mm separation, and this distance is determined by the handsetmanufacturer that there will be body-worn accessories that users may acquire at the time of equipment certification,to enable users to purchase aftermarket body-worn accessories with the required minimum separation.
2. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output channel Reported SAR ≤ 2.0W/kg, otherchannels SAR testing is not necessary.
3. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, OFDM SAR is not required when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 3.0 W/kg. Cuz the maximum output power specified for OFDM and DSSS are 19.95mW(13.0dBm) and 31.62mW(15.0dBm), the scaled SAR would be 0.193×(19.95/31.62)=0.122W/Kg<3.0W/kg, therefore, SAR is not required for OFDM.
4. According toKDB 865664 D02v01r02, SAR plot is required for the highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination.

15.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. Theevaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation ofuncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation istermed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by anestimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of theestimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. Thisincludes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method ofleast squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; orcarrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevantinformation available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behaviorand properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reportsand uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is eitherobtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution,rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in below Table.

UncertaintyDistributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor	1/k(b)	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of theresult. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation usingthe usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root ofthe estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within whichthe measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by acoverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of ameasured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of thisdocument, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASYuncertainty Budget is shown in the following tables.

Uncertainty Component	Section	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(C_i) (1 g)	(C_i) (10 g)	Std. Unc. (1 g)	Std. Unc. (10 g)	V_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	±7.4%	N	1	1	1	±7.4%	±7.4%	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	±1.2%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±0.49%	±0.49%	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	±0.9%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±0.36%	±0.36%	∞
Boundary Effects	E.2.3	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.58%	±0.58%	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	±0.9%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.52%	±0.52%	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	±0.25%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.14%	±0.14%	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	±0.3%	N	1	1	1	±0.3%	±0.3%	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	±0.8%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.46%	±0.46%	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	±2.6%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%	∞
RF Ambient Noise	E.6.1	±3.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.73%	±1.73%	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	E.6.1	±3.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.73%	±1.73%	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerances	E.6.2	±0.4%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.23%	±0.23%	∞
Probe positioning tolerance with respect to the phantom shell surface	E.6.3	±2.9%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.68%	±1.68%	∞
Interpolation, extrapolation, and integration algorithm For max. SAR Evaluation.	E.5	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.58%	±0.58%	∞
Test Sample Related									
Device Positioning	E.4.2	±4.6%	N	1	1	1	±4.6%	±4.6%	M-1
Device Holder	E.4.1	±5.2%	N	1	1	1	±5.2%	±5.2%	M-1
Power Drift	6.6.2	±5.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.89%	±2.89%	∞
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	±4.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.31%	±2.31%	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured value)	E.3.3	±3.33%	N	1	0.78	0.71	±2.6%	±2.6%	M
Liquid dielectric constant (measured value)	E.3.3	±3.25%	N	1	0.23	0.26	±0.75%	±0.85%	M
Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty	E.3.4	±1.3%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	±0.59%	±0.53%	∞
Liquid Dielectric Constant - Temperature Uncertainty	E.3.4	±1.1%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	±0.15%	±0.17%	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (RSS)							±11.56%	±11.50%	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Level, k = 2)							±23.11%	±23.0%	

Uncertainty Budget for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz according to IEEE1528-2013

15.3 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested. Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

16 Reference

[1]. FCC 47 CFR Part 2 “Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules andRegulations”

[2]. ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992, “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposureto Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz”, September 1992

[3]. IEEE Std. 1528-2013, “Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-AverageSpecific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices:Measurement Techniques”, September2013

[4]. OpenSAR V5 Software User Manual

[5]. FCC KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, “SAR GUIDANCE FOR IEEE 802.11 (Wi-Fi) TRANSMITTERS”, October 2015

[6]. FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, “RF EXPOSURE PROCEDURES AND EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION POLICIES FOR MOBILE AND PORTABLE DEVICES”, October 2015

[7]. FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, “SAR MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR 100 MHz TO 6 GHz”, August2015

Appendix A: Plots of SAR System Check

System check at 2450 MHz

Date of measurement: 1/11/2021

A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.23
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Dipole
Band	CW2450
Channels	Middle
Signal	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permitivity

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.942316
Conductivity (S/m)	1.821315

C. SAR Surface and Volume

SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR
	

Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=-1.00; SAR Peak: 8.72 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.388147
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.413261
Variation (%)	-1.360000

E. Z Axis Scan



Appendix B: Plots of SAR Test Data

SAR Measurement at IEEE 802.11b ISM (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 1/11/2021

A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.23
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Middle
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permitivity

Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permitivity (real part)	39.956002
Conductivity (S/m)	1.838081

C. SAR Surface and Volume

SURFACE SAR	**VOLUME SAR**
	

Maximum location: X=18.00, Y=-11.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.75 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.164390
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.380707
Variation (%)	1.070001

E. Z Axis Scan



Appendix C: System Calibration Certificate

Calibration information for E-field probes

COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.140.1.21.BES.B

Cancel and replace the report ACR.140.1.21.BES.A

JIANYAN TESTING GROUP SHENZHEN CO.,LTD.
NO.101, BUILDING 8, INNOVATION WISDOM PORT, NO.155 HONGTIAN ROAD, HUANGPU COMMUNITY, XINQIAO STREET,
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 18/21 EPGO354

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 05/20/2021

Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

Page: 1/10

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	5/20/2021	
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	5/20/2021	
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	5/21/2021	Yann TOUTAIN

	Customer Name
Distribution :	JIANYAN TESTING GROUP SHENZHEN CO.,LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	5/20/2021	Initial release
B	Jérôme Luc	5/21/2021	Change customer address Add picture 1 Add 1450 MHz calibration

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. Project No.: JYTSZE2110079
No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community,Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong,People's Republic of China.
Telephone: +86 (0) 755 23118282 Fax: +86 (0) 755 23116366, E-mail:info-JYTee@lets.com

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 18/21 EPGO354
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.202 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.217 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.225 MΩ

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.

Figure 1 – *MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole*

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and d_{be} + d_{step} along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$SAR_{uncertainty}[\%] = \delta SAR_{be}\frac{\left(d_{be}+d_{step}\right)^2}{2d_{step}}\frac{\left(e^{-d_{be}/(\delta/2)}\right)}{\delta/2} \quad \text{for } \left(d_{be}-d_{step}\right) < 10\ \text{mm}$$

where

$SAR_{uncertainty}$	is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect
d_{be}	is the distance between the surface and the closest *zoom-scan* measurement point, in millimetre
Δ_{step}	is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect at the second location is negligible
δ	is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx$ 14 mm at 3 GHz;
ΔSAR_{be}	in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the distance d_{be} from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.

The measured worst case boundary effect SARuncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	**Uncertainty value (%)**	**Probability Distribution**	**Divisor**	**ci**	**Standard Uncertainty (%)**
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 (µV/(V/m)²)	Normy dipole 2 (µV/(V/m)²)	Normz dipole 3 (µV/(V/m)²)
0.86	0.87	0.90

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
107	101	105

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$



5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity:+/-1.55% (+/-0.07dB)

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. Project No.: JYTSZE2110079
No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community,Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong,People's Republic of China.
Telephone: +86 (0) 755 23118282 Fax: +86 (0) 755 23116366, E-mail:info-JYTee@lets.com

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)	ConvF
HL450*	450	1.92
BL450*	450	1.87
HL750	750	1.73
BL750	750	1.81
HL850	835	1.68
BL850	835	1.82
HL900	900	1.88
BL900	900	1.92
HL1450	1450	2.25
BL1450	1450	2.54
HL1750	1750	2.07
BL1750	1750	2.20
HL1900	1900	2.14
BL1900	1900	2.23
HL2100	2100	2.09
BL2100	2100	2.27
HL2300	2300	2.23
BL2300	2300	2.48
HL2450	2450	2.23
BL2450	2450	2.58
HL2600	2600	2.15
BL2600	2600	2.38
HL3300	3300	2.02
BL3300	3300	2.19
HL3500	3500	2.11
BL3500	3500	2.29
HL3700	3700	2.13
BL3700	3700	2.28
HL3900	3900	2.26
BL3900	3900	2.48
HL4200	4200	2.58
BL4200	4200	2.63
HL4600	4600	2.44
BL4600	4600	2.60
HL4900	4900	2.34
BL4900	4900	2.32
HL5200	5200	1.86
BL5200	5200	1.75
HL5400	5400	2.07
BL5400	5400	1.94
HL5600	5600	2.20
BL5600	5600	2.11
HL5800	5800	2.07
BL5800	5800	1.99

* Frequency not cover by COFRAC scope, calibration not accredited

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg

5.4 ISOTROPY

HL1900 MHz



Isotropy (0.12% (+/- 0.0 dB))

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. Project No.: JYTSZE2110079
No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community,Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong,People's Republic of China.
Telephone: +86 (0) 755 23118282 Fax: +86 (0) 755 23116366, E-mail:info-JYTee@lets.com

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023

Calibration information for Dipole

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.B

Cancel and replace the report ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.A

JIANYAN TESTING GROUP
SHENZHEN CO.,LTD.
No.110~116, BUILDING B, JINYUAN BUSINESS BUILDING,
XIXIANG ROAD, BAOAN DISTRICT,
SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, PR CHINA
MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 50/20 DIP 2G450-514

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 01/14/2021

Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

Page: 1/13

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.B

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	1/15/2021	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	1/15/2021	JS
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	2/8/2021	Yann Toutain 2021.02.08 17:56:05 +01'00'

	Customer Name
Distribution :	JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co.,Ltd.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme LUC	1/15/2021	Initial release
B	Jérôme LUC	2/8/2021	Change customer name/address

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 50/20 DIP 2G450-514
Product Condition (new / used)	New

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.

Figure 1 – *MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole*

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
0 - 300	0.20 mm
300 - 450	0.44 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.B

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-29.61	-20	51.2 Ω + 3.1 jΩ

6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-23.93	-20	55.8 Ω + 2.7 jΩ

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. Project No.: JYTSZE2110079
No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community,Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong,People's Republic of China.
Telephone: +86 (0) 755 23118282 Fax: +86 (0) 755 23116366, E-mail:info-JYTee@lets.com

6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	51.45	30.4 ±1 %.	30.60	3.6 ±1 %.	3.58
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3300	-		-		-	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3900	-		-		-	
4200	-		-		-	
4600	-		-		-	
4900	-		-		-	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
450	43.5 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
750	41.9 ±10 %		0.89 ±10 %	
835	41.5 ±10 %		0.90 ±10 %	
900	41.5 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
1450	40.5 ±10 %		1.20 ±10 %	
1500	40.4 ±10 %		1.23 ±10 %	
1640	40.2 ±10 %		1.31 ±10 %	
1750	40.1 ±10 %		1.37 ±10 %	
1800	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1900	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1950	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2000	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2100	39.8 ±10 %		1.49 ±10 %	
2300	39.5 ±10 %		1.67 ±10 %	
2450	39.2 ±10 %	41.9	1.80 ±10 %	1.88
2600	39.0 ±10 %		1.96 ±10 %	
3000	38.5 ±10 %		2.40 ±10 %	
3300	38.2 ±10 %		2.71 ±10 %	
3500	37.9 ±10 %		2.91 ±10 %	
3700	37.7 ±10 %		3.12 ±10 %	
3900	37.5 ±10 %		3.32 ±10 %	
4200	37.1 ±10 %		3.63 ±10 %	
4600	36.7 ±10 %		4.04 ±10 %	
4900	36.3 ±10 %		4.35 ±10 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 41.9 sigma : 1.88
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	52.92 (5.29)	24	23.68 (2.37)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3300	-		-	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	
3900	-		-	
4200	-		-	
4600	-		-	
4900	-		-	

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.B



7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±10 %		0.80 ±10 %	
300	58.2 ±10 %		0.92 ±10 %	
450	56.7 ±10 %		0.94 ±10 %	
750	55.5 ±10 %		0.96 ±10 %	
835	55.2 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
900	55.0 ±10 %		1.05 ±10 %	
915	55.0 ±10 %		1.06 ±10 %	
1450	54.0 ±10 %		1.30 ±10 %	
1610	53.8 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1800	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
1900	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
2000	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
2100	53.2 ±10 %		1.62 ±10 %	
2300	52.9 ±10 %		1.81 ±10 %	
2450	52.7 ±10 %	53.4	1.95 ±10 %	2.14
2600	52.5 ±10 %		2.16 ±10 %	
3000	52.0 ±10 %		2.73 ±10 %	
3300	51.6 ±10 %		3.08 ±10 %	
3500	51.3 ±10 %		3.31 ±10 %	
3700	51.0 ±10 %		3.55 ±10 %	
3900	50.8 ±10 %		3.78 ±10 %	
4200	50.4 ±10 %		4.13 ±10 %	
4600	49.8 ±10 %		4.60 ±10 %	
4900	49.4 ±10 %		4.95 ±10 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.4 sigma : 2.14
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	54.47 (5.45)	23.42 (2.34)



JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. Project No.: JYTSZE2110079
No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community,Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong,People's Republic of China.
Telephone: +86 (0) 755 23118282 Fax: +86 (0) 755 23116366, E-mail:info-JYTee@lets.com

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	**Manufacturer / Model**	**Identification No.**	**Current Calibration Date**	**Next Calibration Date**
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023

------End of Report----